From: Watson, Richard - UKGI[/O=HMT/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=E8568E9D213F4097A7A65F3DA4F63CC1-WATSON, RICHARD (RWA] Tue 19/03/2019 7:54:23 PM (UTC) Sent: Cooper, Tom - UKGI GRO To: Subject: Re: Official Sensitive: Post Office Litigation Update Are you free to speak? Sent from my BlackBerry — the most secure mobile device From: Tom.Cooper GRO Sent: 19 March 2019 7:50 pm To: Richard.Watson GRO Cc: Joshua.Fox GRO Lucie.Lambert GRO Subject: Re: Official Sensitive: Post Office Litigation Update Really? Sent from my iPhone On 19 Mar 2019, at 19:41, Watson, Richard - UKGI GRO Tom I spoke again to BEIS Legal. While they agree it is a board decision we think that if POL decides to make the application it should leave open the possibility that the Minister, after her discussion with Tim tomorrow, would want to say the shareholder disagrees. Legally the shareholder cannot force the board not to make the application and our advice to the Minister is not to get involved but if she is adamant she does not want it made that may be a matter the board will want to consider. I am free to speak tonight and anytime before 10am tomorrow and then again between 1 and 2 and then after 5, possibly earlier. Richard Sent from my BlackBerry — the most secure mobile device From: MPST.Tolhurst GRO **Sent:** 19 March 2019 6:18 pm To: Tom.Cooper GRO Stephen.Clarke GRO GRO Cc: Tom.Aldred mpst.clarkspad GRO GRO <u>PermanentSecretary</u> GRO ; mpst.clark@ Gareth.Evans GRO ____Joshua.Fox GRO Lucie.Lambert Richard.Watson GRO Craig.Watson(Cecilia.Vandinii GRO Richard.Becket GRO Gavin.Lamber

Subject: RE: Official Sensitive: Post Office Litigation Update

I would suggest picking this up once we have an update following any discussions between SoS/Kelly this evening.

Kelly has a debate tomorrow afternoon so it is likely it would be early evening if a call is to take place.

Please let me know if and when the decision to make an application has been made

Thanks, Jess
Jessica Tysoe Private Secretary to Kelly Tolhurst MP 1 Victoria Street, London, SW1H 0ET GRO mpst.tolhurst GRO
From: Cooper, Tom - UKG[GROSent: 19 March 2019 18:12
To: Tolhurst, Mpst (BEIS) GRO
Cc: Aldred, Tom - UKG GRO Clarke, Stephen - UKGI
GRO Clark Spad, Mpst (BEIS) GRO Permanent
Secretary[GRO Clark, Mpst (BEIS) GRO Evans,
Gareth (BEIS) GRO Watson, Richard - UKGI GRO
Lambert, Lucie - UKGI GRO : Fox, Joshua - UKGI GRO
Watson, Craig (Advanced Manufacturing and Services) GRO Vandini, Cecilia
Watson, Craig (Advanced Manufacturing and Services) GRO Vandini, Cecilia (Advanced Manufacturing and Services) GRO Beckett, Richard (BEIS)
Watson, Craig (Advanced Manufacturing and Services) GRO Vandini, Cecilia (Advanced Manufacturing and Services) GRO Beckett, Richard (BEIS) GRO Lambert, Gavin (Advanced Manufacturing and Services)
Sent: 19 March 2019 18:12 To: Tolhurst, Mpst (BEIS) GRO Cc: Aldred, Tom - UKG GRO Clarke, Stephen - UKG GRO Permanent Secretary GRO Clark Spad, Mpst (BEIS) GRO Evans, Gareth (BEIS) GRO Watson, Richard - UKG GRO Lambert, Lucie - UKG GRO ; Fox, Joshua - UKG GRO Watson, Craig (Advanced Manufacturing and Services) GRO Vandini, Cecilia (Advanced Manufacturing and Services) GRO Beckett, Richard (BEIS) GRO Lambert, Gavin (Advanced Manufacturing and Services) GRO CRO CRO CRO CRO CRO CRO CRO CRO CRO C
Watson, Craig (Advanced Manufacturing and Services) GRO Vandini, Cecilia (Advanced Manufacturing and Services) GRO Beckett, Richard (BEIS) GRO Lambert, Gavin (Advanced Manufacturing and Services) GRO Subject: Re: Official Sensitive: Post Office Litigation Update
Subject: Re: Official Sensitive: Post Office Litigation Update Should I put Tim Parker and Al Cameron on notice for a call tomorrow then? Please note that Tim Parker
Subject: Re: Official Sensitive: Post Office Litigation Update Should I put Tim Parker and Al Cameron on notice for a call tomorrow then? Please note that Tim Parker is out of the country at the moment and he might be hard to reach especially at short notice.
Subject: Re: Official Sensitive: Post Office Litigation Update Should I put Tim Parker and Al Cameron on notice for a call tomorrow then? Please note that Tim Parker is out of the country at the moment and he might be hard to reach especially at short notice. Tom Sent from my iPhone

I briefly discussed this with Kelly over the phone and as expected she has concerns. Immediately she said she would raise with SoS, I have flagged this to his office, and I believe she has already contacted him.

She agreed that they shouldn't do anything until after the decision has been made at the board meeting/call tomorrow and it is likely that she will want another call with Tim Parker and Al Cameron after this to ask them to explain their reasoning behind their change of mind.

I will keep you updated on any outcomes of her discussion with SoS.

Kind regards, Jess

<image003.png></image003.png>	Jessica Tysoe
	Private Secretary to Kelly Tolhurst MP
	Parliamentary Under Secretary of State
	Department for Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy
	1 Victoria Street, London, SW1H 0ET
	GRO GRO
	www.gov.uk/beis https://twitter.com/beisgovuk
From: Aldred, Tom - UKGI	GRO
Sent: 19 March 2019 16:24	
To: Clarke, Stephen - UKGI	GRO Tolhurst, Mpst (BEIS)
GRO	
Cc: Clark Spad, Mpst (BEIS)	GRO Permanent Secretary
GRO	Clark, Mpst (BEIS) GRO Evans,
Gareth (BEIS) GRO	; Watson, Richard - UKGI
GRO	Lambert, Lucie - UKGI GRO Fox,
	Watson, Craig (Advanced Manufacturing and
Services) GRO	Cooper, Tom - UKGI GRO
Vandini, Cecilia (Advanced Ma	
Beckett, Richard (BEIS)	GRO Lambert, Gavin (Advanced
Manufacturing and Services)	
Subject: RE: Official Sensitive:	
Subject. RE. Official Selisitive.	Post Office Litigation Opuate
decision. The strong legal a decision. We advise the bes	have a Board call tomorrow at which they will take the advice is that the minister should not be involved in this st time for a call with POL would be after a decision has build explain their reasoning for the change of mind (if
	weekend's call with the minister
Tom	
Tom Aldred, Post Office Share	eholder Team, UKGI
T: GRO	
From: Clarke, Stephen - UKGI	
Sent: 19 March 2019 15:49	
To: Tolburst Most (BEIS)	000
To: Tolhurst, Mpst (BEIS) Cc: Clark Spad, Mpst (BEIS)	GRO j
Cc: Clark Spad, Mpst (BEIS)	GRO Permanent Secretary [Clark, Mpst (BEIS)] GRO Evans,
GRO	Clark, Mpst (BEIS) GRO Evans,
Gareth (BEIS) GRO) Watson, Richard - UKGI
GRO	Lambert, Lucie - UKG GRO Fox,
Joshua - UKGI <u>gro</u>	Clark, Mpst (BEIS) GRO Evans, Watson, Richard - UKGI Stambert, Lucie - UKGI GRO Watson, Craig (Advanced Manufacturing and
Services): GRO	Cooper, Tom - UKGI GRO
Aldred, Tom - UKGI	GRO Vandini, Cecilia (Advanced Manufacturing Beckett, Richard (BEIS)
and Services) GRO	Beckett, Richard (BEIS)
GRO	Lambert, Gavin (Advanced Manufacturing and Services)
GRO]

Official Sensitive and Subject to Legal Privilege – do not forward outside this distribution

Jess,

As discussed with Tom and Craig, see below an update for the Minister on POL's response to Friday's judgement. I also attach POL's first report on the second (Horizon Issues) trial that started on 11 March.

"As you know POL have been considering possible grounds of appeal. One of those grounds is that the judge has acted in a procedurally unfair way by making findings of fact which are not relevant to the determination of the common issues trial and upon which there had not been full disclosure between the parties, both of documents and witness evidence. Part of POL's argument on appeal is that the judge has improperly allowed his findings on post contractual events to influence his ruling on what the terms of the contracts were between POL and Postmasters. That is legally impermissible. Linked to this unfairness issue is a real concern that the Judge made findings of fact in such a way as to betray a prejudice to POL which means it would no longer be fair for the Judge to continue to hear the proceedings in the current and any future trials. As you are aware, the judge is currently hearing the second trial, which started on 11 March, and POL feel that the findings in the first trial may adversely impact the fairness of the litigation. Given all the above, POL appear likely to make an application for the judge to take no further part in the litigation (i.e. to recuse himself from proceedings).

Applications for recusals such as this are rare, especially in circumstances that do not involve a conflict of interest on the part of the judge, but rather are based on procedural unfairness. If such an application is made, it is likely to generate significant media attention. However, POL have taken additional legal advice from very senior barristers who have not had any prior involvement in the case and so have an independent viewpoint. While the legal advice could change, the current legal advice is a clear recommendation in favour of seeking a recusal. Tom Cooper's feedback from the recent POL board call on this topic is that the board are not enthusiastic about making this application but feel there is no option given the additional legal advice received. This is particularly the case given that the second trial is currently being heard by the same judge and includes testimony from witnesses who have been discredited in the first judgment.

The advice from BEIS Legal and UKGI Legal is that BEIS officials/Ministers and the shareholder NED should not be involved in POL's formal decision-making on the recusal application, although they may participate in discussions and hear the advice from POL's legal team. A final decision on whether to make this application is likely to be made on Wednesday 20 March. If POL decide to proceed we will let you know and provide defensive lines. If you require further detail on the legal arguments underpinning the application for recusal we can arrange a call to include Post Office."

Stephen Clarke I Post Office Shareholder Team **UK Government Investments**Corporate finance and governance in government.

1 Victoria Street I London I SW1H 0ET

T:L	GRO]
E:[GRO	

This email and any files transmitted with it are intended solely for the use of the individual(s) to whom they are addressed. If you are not the intended recipient and have received this email in error, please notify the sender and delete the email. This footnote also confirms that our email communications may be monitored to ensure the secure and effective operation of our systems and for other lawful purposes, and that this email has been swept for malware and viruses.

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service. For more information please visit http://www.symanteccloud.com

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service. For more information please visit http://www.symanteccloud.com