

From: Amy Prime [GRO]
To: David Cavender [GRO], Gideon Cohen [GRO],
"Stephanie Wood" [GRO], Anthony Grabiner
[GRO], Owain Draper [GRO]
Cc: Tom Beezer [GRO], Andrew Parsons [GRO],
[GRO], Dave Panaech [GRO]
Subject: Recusal Application - Timetable [WBDUK-AC.FID26896945]
Date: Thu, 21 Mar 2019 16:42:45 +0000
Importance: Normal
Inline-Images: image6bea26.PNG; image6a123c.PNG; image0a303c.PNG

Dear All

Further plans of actions and thoughts will follow, but in the meantime the timetable for the recusal application is as follows:

Post Office to file a witness statement by 12pm on **26 March** (further detail below)

Cs to confirm whether they will oppose the application by 12pm on **27 March**

Cs responsive evidence to be filed on **29 March**

Skeletons on **2 April**

Hearing on **3 April**

The first work stream is the Post Office witness statement, for which the Judge has directed that (taken from transcript):

"Post Office to provide a witness statement by noon on Tuesday 26th -- but we can come and discuss the timing in a moment -- that sets out: 1, the specific findings in the judgment referred to in paragraph 24 of Mr Parson's 14th witness statement; 2, the critical invective referred to in paragraph 25 of Parsons 14; and 3, the criticisms of the Post Office witnesses referred to in paragraph 25."

I anticipate that the work which has already been done towards the draft skeleton argument will cross-over with the information which the Judge now wishes to be included within a witness statement. **Gideon** – would it be possible for this information to be lifted out / to be finessed into a witness statement?

Kind regards

Amy

Amy Prime
Solicitor

Womble Bond Dickinson (UK) LLP



Stay informed: sign up to our e-alerts

***Join us for Disrupting Disputes 2.0
20 March 2019 at the British Library***

Book your place [here](#)



womblebonddickinson.com

