

From: Anthony de Garr Robinson <GRO>
To: 'Andrew Parsons' <GRO>
Cc: Katie Simmonds <GRO>, Jonathan Gribben <GRO>, Owain Draper <GRO>
Subject: RE: Worden 3 [WBDUK-AC.FID123887118]
Date: Mon, 8 Apr 2019 10:15:03 +0000
Importance: Normal
Inline-Images: image001.png; image002.png; image003.png

Dear Andy,

I'm not surprised. I imagine that, if we serve a Worden 3, the claimants will claim that we are acting tactically – they may even link it to our recusal application (but for that application, there would not have been time for RW to wrestle with these questions etc).

There is no problem-free way of addressing this issue. Even if we tell him not to, RW takes the view that as an independent expert he is obliged to tell the parties and the court that his view has changed on material matters. I've asked Owain (to whom I have copied this email) whether the exercises that RW has (half) done and the conclusions to which he thinks they lead count as changes of view requiring the parties and the court to be told pursuant to para 2.5 of PD35. If they do, the matter is out of our hands, and the question becomes whether the parties and the court should be told via a supplemental report or in a more subtle way, maybe via meetings between the experts and joint statements following those meetings. But even if they don't, RW will discuss these things when taxed in cross examination, and the fact that he is only doing so then will create its own problems, both for him and for us. I suspect that the "change of view" question isn't clear either way, which makes our situation even more awkward. I doubt that RW would agree to say nothing in that situation, even if we instructed him to, and I also doubt that we would feel safe in giving such an instruction.

It seems to me that his ever-expanding views as to the number of Horizon bugs which were branch-affecting do count as changes of view, but that could probably be addressed in the course of the expert meeting that the experts have agreed to have anyway.

I share the instinct not to say anything until judgment in the recusal application, but as I think Owain pointed out at our con with RW on Friday, if the parties and the court are to be told of RW's changes of view, this needs to be done sooner rather than later. Putting the point another way, the later we leave it, the easier it will be for the claimants to portray it as tactical.

Best wishes,

Tony

From: Andrew Parsons <[REDACTED]>
Sent: 07 April 2019 21:16
To: Anthony de Garr Robinson <[REDACTED]>
Cc: Katie Simmonds <[REDACTED]>; Jonathan Gribben <[REDACTED]>
[REDACTED]
Subject: Worden 3 [WBDUK-AC.FID123887118]

Tony

Worden 3 has gone down like a lead balloon at PO. I will work through the problem tomorrow. The one firm instruction I have is that nothing should happen on this until after the recusal outcome on Tuesday. PO (Rodric) wants to understand the lie of the land before making decisions.

A

Andrew Parsons

Partner

Womble Bond Dickinson (UK) LLP

d: [REDACTED]
m: [REDACTED]
t: [REDACTED]
e: [REDACTED]

[Manage your e-alert preferences](#)



womblebonddickinson.com



Please consider the environment! Do you need to print this email?

The information in this e-mail and any attachments is confidential and may be legally privileged and protected by law. [REDACTED] only is authorised to access this e-mail and any attachments. If you are not [REDACTED] please notify [andrew.parsons@wbd.com](#) as soon as possible and delete any copies. Unauthorised use, dissemination, distribution, publication or copying of this communication or attachments is prohibited and may be unlawful. Information about how we use personal data is in our [Privacy Policy](#) on our website.

Any files attached to this e-mail will have been checked by us with virus detection software before transmission. Womble Bond Dickinson (UK) LLP accepts no liability for any loss or damage which may be caused by software viruses and you should carry out your own virus checks before opening any attachment.

Content of this email which does not relate to the official business of Womble Bond Dickinson (UK) LLP, is neither given nor endorsed by it.

This email is sent by Womble Bond Dickinson (UK) LLP which is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales under number OC317661. Our registered office is 4 More London Riverside, London, SE1 2AU, where a list of members' names is open to inspection. We use the term partner to refer to a member of the LLP, or an employee or consultant who is of equivalent standing. Our VAT registration number is GB123393627.

Womble Bond Dickinson (UK) LLP is a member of Womble Bond Dickinson (International) Limited, which consists of independent and autonomous law firms providing services in the US, the UK, and elsewhere around the world. Each Womble Bond Dickinson entity is a separate legal entity and is not responsible for the acts or omissions of, nor can bind or obligate, another Womble Bond Dickinson entity. Womble Bond Dickinson (International) Limited does not practice law. Please see www.womblebonddickinson.com/legal notices for further details.

Womble Bond Dickinson (UK) LLP is authorised and regulated by the Solicitors Regulation Authority.

WBD_000574.000002

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service.
For more information please visit <http://www.symanteccloud.com>

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service.
For more information please visit <http://www.symanteccloud.com>
