From:	Jane Davies	GRO	
Sent:	Wed 08/03/2023	3:12:48 AM (UTC)	
То:	Lisa Harrington	GRO GRO	; Henry Staunton
Subject:	RE: CONFIDENT	IAL: CEO pay rise	9

Hi Lisa/Henry,

I agree with you point here. Tom was working 'alone' on this proposal.

A further point of clarification might be important here.

If you recall, Nick was immensely frustrated ('insulting/risible were his actual words) with the proposal suggested in the email on 12th January (Tom's proposal), to the extent that he was considering a formal grievance and / or considering his resignation. Following a meeting with you and members of RemCo on 24th, Henry and I took a revised proposal to David Bickerton and Charles Donald on 25th January, and amongst other proposed changes to Nick's package, Henry requested a pay increase 'to be in line with the PO 'likely to be 5%' (as we were still working through the FY22/23 pay negotiations) and for this to be paid in 2022. It was also noted that Nick had not received an increase since joining in 2019, and Henry felt this was unacceptable. We understood that proposal was to be shared with the SoS later that day. Henry then received confirmation that 'up to 5%' pay increase had been approved (that we would be receiving a 'letter' confirming this).

Unfortunately, I have not received the promised letter to confirm the agreed changes which has not been helpful. I have always maintained in verbal updates with UKGI that this is to be backdated to 2022.

Hope this helps.

Speak later,

Regards, Jane

From: Lisa Harrington	GRO				
Sent: 08 March 2023 07:32					
To: Cooper, Tom - UKGI	GRO	>; Henry Sta	unton GRO		
GRO	; Jane I	Davies	GRO	}	
Cc: Brian Gaunt	GRO	; Benjamin	Tidswell		
GRO	Gra	atton, Lorna - UKGI <		GRO	Þ
Subject: Re: CONFIDENTIAL: (EO pay rise				

Tom,

Many thanks for your help on this matter.

As outgoing RemCo Chair I echo Henry's point and frustration. There is a clear risk that the Remuneration Committee is becoming defunct if it has no independent decision-making powers. If this is the case, we should reconstitute it as an Advisory board.

Please let me know if I can be of help in the follow-up.

Lisa

Sent from Outlook for Android

From: Cooper, Tom - UKGI	GRO			
Sent: Tuesday, March 7, 2023 8	3:02:27 PM			
To: Henry Staunton	GRO	; Jane Davies		
GRO	>; Lisa Harrington	GRO		
Cc: Brian Gaunt	GRO	k>; Benjamin Tidswell		
GRO	; Gratton,	Lorna - UKGI {	GRO	

Subject: Re: CONFIDENTIAL: CEO pay rise

Caution: This email has been sent by an external contact. Please take care when clicking links or opening attachments. When in doubt, please use the "Report Phishing" button.

Henry

I understand your frustration. This whole topic has been frustrating for all concerned.

Wish it were otherwise but I don't have a magic wand to deal with this. Decision-making sits with the Department.

The paper trail is clear and to get this pay rise from April last year it will have to go back to SoS.

Tom

Sent from Outlook for iOS

From: Henry Staunton		GRO 🏱			
Sent: Tuesday, March 7,	2023 7:22:31	PM			
To: Jane Davies	GRO	; Cooper, Tom - UK	GRO GRO	<u></u>	
Lisa Harrington	GRO)			
Cc: Brian Gaunt	GRO	; Benjamin Tid	swell		
GRO		>; Gratton, Lorna - UKGI	GRO		
Subject: Re: CONFIDENTIAL: CEO pay rise					

Tom ,

What a huge amount of time and energy such a small matter is taking up .

We have very very serious current trading and systems issues and historic legal problems at PO. The date of the salary increase is a relatively trivial matter and I am amazed "officials "cannot deal with it . The RemCo has taken a decision to NOT give a zero increase to Nick wef 1 April 2022 - 5% seems quite reasonable to me and it obviously was to the RemCo. IF this is over ruled it suggests that the RemCo is merely performing an advisory function , nothing more .

For what it is worth my reading of the correspondence suggests the increase is wef 1 April 2022 . BW ,

Henry

Sent from Outlook for iOS

From: Jane Davies	GRO			
Sent: Tuesday, March 7, 2023	3:35:56 PM			
To: Cooper, Tom - UKGI	GRO	; Lisa Harrington GRC	2	
GRO	>; Henry Stau	inton	GRO]
Cc: Brian Gaunt	GRO	; Benjamin <u>Tidswell</u>		
GRO	>; Grattor	n, Lorna - UKGI 🖣	GRO	
Subject: RE: CONFIDENTIAL: C	EO pay rise			

Hi Tom,

I have been liaising with Ben to ensure this is managed and backdated to 2022.

The email exchange documented the proposal from you on the CEO retention, bonus and pay, which I believe you were submitting to the SoS. However, I did not see any follow up emails. The dates in the proposal here were inconsistent with the letter from Henry (which was prepared by my predecessor in November, I picked this up after I joined POL on 1st December).

The pay rise (up to 5%) is subject to the overall pay increase which we are still negotiating with the TU's and therefore, it would not appropriate to incorporate into the STI, until such time the increase is formally agreed. However, I do feel this should be backdated in line with Henry's original letter.

Kind regards, Jane

From: Cooper, Tom - UKGI 🖣	GRO			
Sent: 07 March 2023 10:46				
To: Lisa Harrington	GRO	; Henry Staunto	n gro	
GRO	>; Jane Davies <	GRO	<i>laanaa</i> .	}
Cc: Brian Gaunt	GRO	; Benjamin Tidswell		-
GRO	; Gratton, L	orna - UKGI <	GRO	
Subject: CONFIDENTIAL: CEO p	ay rise	<u> </u>		

Caution: This email has been sent by an external contact. Please take care when clicking links or

opening attachments. When in doubt, please use the "Report Phishing" button.

Lisa, Henry, Jane

I'm following up on the discussion we had at Remco last week about the effective date for Nick's pay rise.

At the end of last year, Henry sent a letter to SoS (dated 11 November) with the proposed enhancements to Nick's remuneration. Jane's email (attached) refers to a paragraph in the letter that proposes action in 2022. At Remco last week, you all confirmed that a pay rise from 1 April 2022 was intended.

You'll recall that Henry's proposal was rejected by SoS in its entirety after Henry and SoS met. At that point, we agreed that there was no point in POL making a further request. Instead, officials, led by UKGI, would propose a more modest package that we believed would have a better chance of achieving some success. This was discussed, including with Jane, and Jane summarised the position in her email to Henry on 12 January (also attached). The submission made by officials was in line with Jane's email. As you know, the result was that SoS approved elements 1 and 3 contained in Jane's email.

Jane's email is clear that what was proposed by officials was a pay increase from 1 April 2023, not April 2022. SoS approved a pay rise from 1 April 2023 in line with the submission that was made by officials.

Given Remco would like to see a backdated pay increase, I'd be grateful if you could consider this and let me know what you would like to do. The options seem to be either to:

• Accept SoS's decision that the pay rise should apply from 1 April 2023. This would have negative consequences for Nick particularly if he has already been informed that the pay rise will be backdated; or

• Go back to SoS and ask her to approve a retrospective pay rise. We would also note that Nick's 22/23 STIP entitlement would increase accordingly (Nick's STIP entitlement for 22/23 is already in the system for approval based on his existing salary). I have already established that this decision can't be taken by officials. This could have negative consequences for the relationship between the Department and POL particularly given the previous problems over the unapproved payment of Nick's STIP. As you know from our recent experience, there is no guarantee that an approval will be forthcoming.

Tom

This email and any files transmitted with it are intended solely for the use of the individual(s) to whom they are addressed. If you are not the intended recipient and have received this email in error, please notify the sender and delete the email. This footnote also confirms that our email communications may be monitored to ensure the secure and effective operation of our systems and for other lawful purposes, and that this email has been swept for malware and viruses.

This email and any attachments are confidential and intended for the addressee only. If you are not the named recipient, you must not use, disclose, reproduce, copy or distribute the contents of this communication. If you have received this in error, please contact the sender by reply email and then delete this email from your system. Any views or opinions expressed within this email are solely those of the sender, unless otherwise specifically stated.

POST OFFICE LIMITED is registered in England and Wales no 2154540. Registered Office: Finsbury Dials, 20 Finsbury Street, London EC2Y 9AQ.

Post Office Limited is committed to protecting your privacy. Information about how we do this can be found on our website at www.postoffice.co.uk/privacy

This email and any files transmitted with it are intended solely for the use of the individual(s) to whom they are addressed. If you are not the intended recipient and have received this email in error, please notify the sender and delete the email. This footnote also confirms that our email communications may be monitored to ensure the secure and effective operation of our systems and for other lawful purposes, and that this email has been swept for malware and viruses.