1		Thursday, 17 November 2022	1
2	(10	.00 am)	2
3	MR	BEER: Good morning, sir. Can you see and hear me?	3
4	SIR	WYN WILLIAMS: Yes, thank you very much.	4
5	MR	BEER: Can I recall Charles Cipione, please.	5
6	SIR	R WYN WILLIAMS: Yes.	6
7		CHARLES CIPIONE (sworn)	7
8		Questioned by Mr Beer	8
9	MR	BEER: Please take a seat, Mr Cipione. As we established	9
10		on the last occasion, your report is 174 pages in length	10
11		and is divided into two parts, parts 1 and 2. Part 1 is	11
12		between pages 12 and 64, and part 2 is between pages 65	12
13		and 160. You're giving evidence today, as you know,	13
14		about part 2 of your report.	14
15		Can you take open part 2 of your report, please.	15
16		It is at page 65 in the hard copy and on screen it's	16
17		EXPG0000001. Thank you.	17
18		That runs as I say between pages 65 and 160. Can	18
19		you please confirm the following. Firstly, that you	19
20		have made clear in part 2 of your report which facts and	20
21		matters referred to are within your own knowledge and	21
22		which are not?	22
23	A.	Yes.	23
24	Q.	, , ,	24
25		which are within your own knowledge you confirm to be	25
		·	
1		HTML and PDF files and converted them into a more	1
2		digitised form so that we could do searching and	2
3		whatnot, and then feed it into further processes. So	3
4		effectively that is what 7.2 is describing.	4
5	Q.	So it's the very first stage, getting the primary	5
6		material into a more readable and searchable format?	6
7	A.	Exactly.	7
8	Q.	Yes. And after that analytics work stream, what was	8
9		next?	9
10	A.	So as further downstream process following the analytics	10
11		work stream, we also wanted to incorporate a couple of	11
12		technologies for the review purposes of the project.	12
13		The two technologies are Relativity and Brainspace.	13
14		Relativity is an E discovery platform for viewing	14
15		documents. So we wanted to populate the information	15
16		into Relativity, all of the PEAKs and PinICLs, as well	16
17		as the KELs and monthly reports if we needed them in	17
18	_	there for monthly purposes.	18
19	Q.	Just to remind us from last time, I think you received	19
20		56,489 PinICLs and 16,530 PEAKs. Does that sound right?	20
21	Α.	That sounds right, yes.	21
22	Q.	Thank you. Sorry, I interrupted.	22
23	A.	So Relativity is just a tool to help for the review	23
24 25		process, as simple as that. Brainspace is a tool to	24
25		help organise the searching methodologies that we were 3	25

true?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And thirdly that the opinions you have expressed in part 2 of your report represent your true and complete professional opinions on the matters to which they refer?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Thank you. Can we turn to the next page, please which is your methodology. In this section of your report and over the next six pages you address the methodology that you and your team adopted to analyse the primary material that you were provided with; is that right?
- A. That is correct.
- Q. Now, rather than going through each of the paragraphs on those six pages, I would be grateful if you would describe in summary terms the process that you and your team adopted to the very large cohort of material that you were given.
- A. Absolutely, absolutely. So we'll take this section by section. The first section 7.2 is the analytics work stream. What I am describing here is the fact that we wanted to try to structure the information in the PEAKs and PinICLs in a way that we could then do further analysis on that.

Effectively, what we did was we took a number of

using for some of the work that we were doing. Effectively it is a machine learning tool that allows us to group the information especially as it came from the analytics work section to help us narrow in and identify specific documents or groups of documents as needed throughout the review. So effectively we loaded the information into there, into Relativity and into Brainspace, as part of the process.

So we actually loaded in the HTML documents, but we associated them with the work that was performed in the analytics work stream. So they were paired up.

- Q. Tied with each other?
- A. Exactly. Perhaps it might be interesting. You know, some of the information that we were interested in seeing, especially in the PEAKs and the PinICLs, were bits of information out of the PEAKs and PinICLs referred to as response categories and defect causes. They were just a standard bit of information that were in the PEAKs and PinICLs.

So we -- I do reference where we had our reference information from there and, if you look on figure 7.1, it shows how that would have been displayed in the Relativity system as the team was looking through their initial review to identify documents for me to review.

Q. Thank you. I think you also received 656 KELs; is that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 right? 2 A. That is correct. So we did a similar process with the 3 KELs. We ran it through this analytics work stream and 4 we made that information available in Relativity and 5 Brainspace for review purposes. 6 Q. I think you were only able to examine a proportion of 7 the KELs that you identified in the period that you were 8 looking at, and the reason for that was that you were, 9 I think, told that some KELs had been deleted. Do you 10 know why the KELs had been deleted? 11 A. Let me make sure. 12 Q. It's a footer 69, 7.4.4, 7.4.5. 13 A. Yes, my understanding was some of the KELs were deleted 14 and weren't available for me to receive for review. 15 Q. Did you, from the material that you did examine, 16 understand why the KELs may have been deleted or not? 17 A. Other than they were deleted they could have timed out. 18 Perhaps they weren't relevant for retention from 19 Fujitsu, but past that it would be speculation on my 20 21 Q. Thank you very much. You received a series of 22 management reports, I think two, and you gave us the 23 numbers of those on the last occasion and the different 24 species of the management reports, monthly Pathway ICL 25 reports and the like. They are set out in the previous 5 1 Q. Thank you very much. 2 In terms of the limitations of the exercise that 3 you undertook, you took us through on the last occasion 4 the limitations of it. Just to remind us of some of 5 them, firstly, the material that you were examining came 6 principally from Fujitsu rather than the Post Office. 7 A. That is correct. 8 Q. Therefore you don't have, as it were, the Post Office 9 side of the house, side of the story, insofar as 10 concerns the issues that you speak to in your report. 11 A. That is correct. There are a few of the monthly reports 12 that were jointly issued by the Post Office and by 13 ICL Pathway, but the majority of the information that 14 was used for the themes and opinions I arrive at come 15 solely from ICL Pathway. 16 Q. On occasions, the ICL Pathway-issued monthly reports 17 attribute a view to the Post Office, but that's coming 18 from ICL Pathway rather than the Post Office itself? 19 A. That is correct. To the extent that I cite anything 20 that refers to the Post Office, unless it's from the 21 joint report, that is ICL Pathway's view concerning the 22 Post Office. It's not the Post Office's view. 23 Q. We'll come to look at an example of the joint report 24 where it's co-authored by the Post Office and Fujitsu in 25 a moment.

7

section of your report in 6.4. I'm not going to go there.

You explained overall on the last occasion that what you described as a brute-force approach was not possible. Can you just remind us of what you meant by brute-force approach not being possible.

- A. Right. So the brute-force approach was not a practical approach to review the PEAKs and PinICLs.
- Q. Yes.
- A. However, for the management reports I did review those. I reviewed all of those. So the review process for the management reports was -- I read them. I read all of them, sometimes several times. For the PEAKs and the PinICLs it was more of the Relativity review process, and we can get into that a little bit more if you want as far as, you know, we would target particular words, we would have Brainspace help us identify themes that we were interested in looking in, and have Brainspace assist us in identifying the PEAKs or PinICLs that were relevant to the particular theme that we were investigating.

So PEAKs and PinICLs, brute force, too many documents, not enough time, and we did not do that. For the monthly reports and the KELs we did review all of those.

6

Secondly, in terms of the limitation of the time period of the exercise you were undertaking, it restricted to which years?

- A. I believe it was 1996 through 2000 was the material that was covering that time period.
- Q. Now, I believe you have been given access to the witness statement of the witnesses who have to date given evidence in Phase 2 of the Inquiry.
- A. That is correct.
 - Q. You have been given access to the transcripts of witnesses who have to date given evidence in Phase 2 of the Inquiry?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Has anything in the witness statements that you have been given or the transcripts that you have been able to read given you cause to revise or change any of the opinions or the contents of your report in part 2?
 - A. I have not read anything in the witness statements or the transcripts that gives me pause concerning any of my opinions.
 - Q. Before we get into the detail -- and we're going to come back to this at the end, when looking at some of your overall conclusions that are expressed in the executive summary -- at a high level, could you summarise it for us, the impact, if any, that the written and oral

2 views expressed in your report. That is a very broad 2 3 3 question. 4 A. Yes. Could you ask me the question one more time, 4 5 5 please. 6 Q. Yes. Looking at the witness evidence that you have 6 7 7 read, both the witness statements and the transcripts, 8 8 can you summarise for us what impact, if any, that evidence had on the views expressed in your report. 9 9 10 A. As far as the views expressed in my report, I believe it 10 11 confirms most of the views that I've expressed in my 11 12 report. The witness statements and the transcript 12 13 information that I've read so far seems to run in 13 14 parallel with the opinions that I've expressed here. 14 15 Q. So would this be a fair way of putting it: it solidified 15 16 the conclusions that you reached? 16 17 A. Yes. 17 18 Q. In the light of the witness statements and transcripts 18 19 of the oral evidence that you've read, have you formed 19 20 any view as to what you now know as to the use of some 20 21 of the data that the Horizon System produced for 21 22 22 criminal justice purposes, i.e. to seek to prove beyond 23 reasonable doubt that a subpostmaster committed an 23 24 24 offence of theft or false accounting? 25 A. Are you asking me if I have an opinion on whether there 25 1 within those datasets. 1 2 2 Q. What do you mean by a high level of transparency and 3 3 auditability? 4 A. What I mean is it's apparent what happened with the 4 5 data, and you can look at that two ways. When I say 5 6 6 transparency, I mean you're talking about all the 7 processes, all the manipulations, it's apparent through 7 8 8 the coding or the queries or the reporting exactly what 9 9 manipulations are happening there. 10 Q. And transparent to who? 10 11 11 A. Transparent to anyone that wants to look at it: 12 12 transparent for the court, transparent for the debtors, 13 13 transparent for the creditors, which are the two sides 14 in the Bankruptcy Court in the United States. 14 15 Q. How does the design of a system that produces data that 15 16 may be used for the purposes of legal proceedings, 16 17 including criminal legal proceedings, affect the design 17 18 of the system? 18 19 A. I'm going to go back to the concepts of: the results 19 20 have to be complete and accurate, and that's kind of 20 21 lifted from the accounting world, completeness and 21 22 accuracy. Accuracy you can think of as at the very 22 23 atomic level of everything is correct, everything is 23 24 correct. Completeness means it's correct for 24 25 everything. 25

11

evidence that you have been able to read had on the

1

was a high level of integrity within the data that was used?

- Q. Yes.
- A. Yes. Based off of the information in the witness statements and the transcripts, I think that the integrity of the information used would be suspect.
- Q. You told us on the last occasion that part of your background, a significant part of it, involved the design, creation and maintenance of systems that are used in legal proceedings.
- A. That is correct.
- Can you just remind us in summary form what that was --
- A. Yes.
 - Q. -- or what that is.
 - A. What that is. So the firm I work for, AlixPartners, does a lot of work in the turnaround restructuring space in the United States. That is a legal proceeding that requires a lot of reporting to the court, and it requires basically presenting defensible data as far as the court is concerned. When I say defensible, what I mean is the data has to be complete and accurate and, to support that completeness and accuracy, it's important for the people producing the data and any analyses, reports that are associated with that data to maintain a high level of transparency and auditability 10

So you want to -- so, for instance, if you have a set of transactions and you are trying to display perhaps a sub-set of them and do a manipulation, do some sort of calculation and then summary information on that, what you want to be able to prove is, number 1, I've started with the complete set of data. The way you do that is you show, perhaps not all of the data is used in the final analysis, but you show that there's basically a waterfall of: here's the data -- here's everything, here's this set of data that is being used in the analysis, and here's the set of data that is not being used in the analysis.

A completeness check on that would be the set that's not used in the analysis adds up to £100, the set that is being used in the analysis is £110, and I can go back and confirm that, showing that the complete set is 110 pounds' worth of data. That would be just a very simple view of completeness.

Accuracy would be: perhaps I'm calculating an interest rate on some of the transactions and I want to show that, you know, there's basically there's a manipulation of that. So perhaps I'm doing a time series, and over time interest accrues to a particular amount. I want to be able to show that that calculation is accurate. So I want to show that it's accurate at

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 the detail level, and I want to show that it's complete 2 at the very highest level, so that there's no doubt that 3 the results of the reports that I'm doing are complete 4 and accurate. 5 I can say that they are complete and accurate, and you can either believe me or not believe me. But, if 6 7 I have a system or a process that is both transparent 8 and auditable, it allows anyone that wants to look at it 9 to confirm for themselves that, okay, I'm looking at the 10 process that happened and, for purposes of transparency,

the manipulations.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Alternatively, if it's like a multi-step process and you want to see the waterfall going from step 1 to step 2 to step 3 and what the results are for the complete set of data, having all of that recorded from step 1 to step 2 to step 3 to step 4 and available for review, that would be an auditability check.

that might be looking at the code that I used to do all

So if I have one of them, it probably would provide comfort to everyone. If I have both of them, it just increases the comfort, not only for them but for me because I want to be able to make sure, as I'm doing the process, that I'm doing it correctly. I want to make sure that there is a great amount of integrity in the results that I produce, especially if it's in

13

A. Of course it's very important for the users of the system to know how to use the system. The system is there for the users. The system is there to support the business processes that have been part of the requirements process for building the system.

The system could be great but, if the users don't know how to use it, it loses all its value. So it's critically important that the users be very comfortable with operating the system.

- Q. In the case of the Horizon System, was there anything in particular that heightened the need for such training?
- A. I would say generally my statement is a blanket statement. People need to -- you know, users need to know how to use the system. I believe that there might be certain circumstances in the user community for the Horizon System that probably heightened the attention that might need to be spent on making sure that this user community is attended to properly.

The first one is my understanding is that, prior to the Horizon system, this was a manual process. So not only are you doing a change of process, you are also introducing the concept of technology to assist in the process. So any time you change that could be a challenge for some people.

But going from a very paper-based process to

15

a commercial court or a business litigation court. I would expect that that level of custodianship is probably even more important in a criminal court.

- **Q.** So does it come to this, that the answer to my question is that, yes, it does affect and it affects in the way that you have described the way that you design, build and run a system, if you know that the data from it may be used for the purposes of legal proceedings?
- A. I would say it would affect it regardless of what system I'm using but, as the stakes go up, yes, it's even more important to adhere to those principles.
- Q. Thank you. Can we turn to the topics that you have addressed in your report, and they start on page 72. There is a series of topics -- and we're going to go through them one by one -- that you have identified as being relevant and important.
- A.
- Q. The first of them is disclosed by the heading "Subpostmaster training experienced difficulties during National Roll-out."

In paragraph 8.1.1, you address the importance of training. Can you help us at a general level, without addressing the Horizon IT System for the moment, how important is training when a new IT system is introduced?

14

- a computer-based process just adds another dimension of unknown to the formula, and it must be accounted for.
- Q. This was happening at the close of the last century.
- Yes, in the last millennium.
- Q. Yes. You highlight in the last sentence of paragraph 8.1.1:

"It is apparent from reading the ICL monthly reports that there were significant problems in training the SPMs as they adopted the Horizon IT System."

On the basis of what you read, looking at it generally, is it fair to say that was consistent theme throughout period that we're looking at?

- A. Yes.
- Q. That was recorded in the ICL reports at a significant level of concern?
- Α. Yes.
- Q. In paragraph 8.1.2, you make the point that this was not just a challenge of training users on a new system, it was actually a challenge of training users on computers in general. Is that a product of the age that we're talking about?
- A. Absolutely.
 - Q. You extract a quotation from, I think, material published by Fujitsu as part of its promotional and sales literature and, in the last five lines of the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

quotation from their promotional material, you say, or they say:

"Training was provided to 63,000 staff members from the ages of 16 to 87 with various skills ...

Approximately 5,000 calls were received each week by the Helpdesk, due to the counter staffs' lack of computer experience."

Is it on that basis that you make the point that the group of people that were being trained naturally had a variable level of skills?

- A. Yes.
- Q. 5,000 calls a week with a cohort of 63,000, did you draw any views on that?
- A. That's a high rate, for sure. I think it's a function of, like I said, not only are we changing the system from paper-based to computer, but we do have a wide spectrum of what I would expect to be some people are very computer savvy at that point, but there might be some people that have moderate abilities in computers, might be some people that don't have any ability to use computers, and there might be some people that are very resistant or just don't want to use computers.

That's a wide range of users to try to accommodate, and I would expect that that probably was part of the calculus that went into those numbers.

17

Q. Can we look at some examples over the page, please, in the extracts from the management reports, including ICL Pathway's monthly report. In fact, just before we do so, could I look at what you said in paragraph 8.1.4 at the foot of the previous page.

You tell us in the last few sentences that what we're about to look at are verbatim extracts that you have highlighted some matters in bold, that the views expressed are those of the authors, being principally ICL Pathway documents, but in some cases joint ICL and Post Office documents.

- A. That is correct.
- Q. If we then go over the page, please, do you in the second column of this table make clear whether this is an ICL document that you are quoting verbatim from, or a jointly issued document from ICL Pathway and the Post Office?
- A. Yes, it's clear. So, for instance, the first line, ICL Pathway monthly report April 1999, that's an ICL Pathway-authored -- a solely ICL Pathway-authored document.
- Q. The rest of that page, I think, is all the same?
- 23 A. That's correct.
- 24 Q. Then, if we just skip over the page --
 - A. Yes.

1 Q. You tell us in paragraph 8.1.3 that Pathway was aware of 2 the importance of training the subpostmasters and that 3 that was recognised by them early on, and you note that 4 Pathway itself noted in April 1999 that the 5 subpostmasters were facing difficulties in transitioning 6 from a paper-based balancing process to an automated 7 balancing process, and that ICL Pathway responded by 8 saying that a greater emphasis should be placed, in 9 training, on the practical experience of balancing. 10 That was the answer that was given at the time; is that

12 **A.** Yes.

right?

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Did it appear that that was successful, i.e. the answer from ICL saying a greater emphasis must be placed on practical experience of balancing, given the reports of difficulties in balancing persisted throughout 1999?

A. I apologise, Mr Beer, what was the actual question?

- Q. Did it appear to you that the response to the problems that subpostmasters reported of facing difficulties in balancing, namely, to say, "Well, a greater emphasis must be placed on training, on practical experience of balancing", was successful, given the reports of difficulties in balancing persisted throughout 1999?
- **A.** I would say that it didn't appear to alleviate the problems.

18

- Q. -- and look at the first entry.
 A. Yes, the first entry there, ICI
 - **A.** Yes, the first entry there, ICL and Post Office monthly joint implementation report. So that would have been co-authored by ICL and the Post Office.
 - **Q.** Then I think the rest of the documents on the page are ICL Pathway alone-issued documents?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. I think we can see that in evidence, not only by your description of the report as being an ICL Pathway monthly report, but also from the extracted text. If you look at the second report for May, Pathway wrote:

"POCL are shaping up to hit us on service level agreements and training."

- A. Yes, that would have been ICL Pathway's view.
- Q. So the "us" there is the ICL Pathway view?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Was there anything in the content of the reports that suggested, where they were authored by ICL Pathway themselves, these monthly reports, that POCL were provided with copies of them?
 - A. I don't believe I ever saw any indication of that.
 - Q. From the other evidence that you read from PinICLs, PEAKs, KELs or other documentation that you read, was there anything in that which suggested that those ICL Pathway monthly reports were provided to POCL?

20

1	Α.	No.	1
2	Q.	Now that formulation that we read at the end of	2
3	٠.	paragraph 8.1.4, if we just go back to that, at the foot	3
4		of the page, is the same formulation, give or take a few	4
5		words, that you use in succeeding sections of your	5
6		report, when you're about to introduce the table of	6
7		verbatim extracts from PinICLs, PEAKs, KELs, monthly	7
8		reports?	8
9	A.	Yes.	9
10	Q.	And does what you just said apply equally to all those	10
11		succeeding sections?	11
12	A.	It does.	12
13	Q.	Thank you. Go back to the substance then, if we can	13
14		just briefly look over the page, please, at September	14
15		'99. Scroll down, please. The first entry ICL records:	15
16		"Although national roll-out rates have risen to	16
17		2,000 (sic) Post Offices per week, the level of issues	17
18		occurring on installation day and the level of training	18
19		scheduling failures puts achievement of the 300 offices	19
20		per week roll-out rate required in 2000 at risk.	20
21		Knowledgepool are introducing new scheduling software	21
22		and a plan of activity to remove/reduce the causes of	22
23		the other issues is being put in place for the November	23
24		to January break in National Roll-out."	24
25		Can you help us who were Knowledgepool? 21	25
1		The first:	1
2		"POCL perception of SLAs and training, and also of	2
3		our commercial attitude to risk-taking on new business:	3
4		all negative as epitomised by the recent Dave Miller	4
5		letter. Risk remains that POCL will extract commercial	5
6		concessions out of us"	6
7		Did you track that through, the suggestion that	7
8		POCL would seek money from ICL Pathway in relation to	8
9		the provision of training?	9
10 11	A. Q.	When you say, did I track that through Yes, did you see that in later documents?	10 11
12	Q. A.	I believe that that was a running commentary, yes.	12
13	Q.	We can see in the entry then of December 2000:	13
14	ų.	"A settlement for the projected shortfall in	14
15		training courses against the contracted, number arising	15
16		from low course-occupancy levels, has been agreed with	16
17		the Post Office. As part of a package to achieve	17
18		relaxations against existing service level agreements,	18
19		pathway will pay the first £1 million of the training	19
20		shortfall."	20
21		That's what I was	21
22	A.	Yes.	22
23	Q.	referring to.	23
24		Did what you read in these reports, these	24
25		management reports, reflect what you found in the	25
		23	

- **A.** I believe Knowledgepool was the partner with ICL that assisted with the training of the SPMs.
- Q. Essentially a subcontractor of ICL Pathway that provided the training?
- A. Yes.

Q. We can see that at the second entry for September 1999:

" ... currently a serious issue relating to the scheduling of training events within the implementation programme. The training scheduling system of Pathway's training subcontractor, Knowledgepool, has been struggling to scope during the early part of national roll-out, although a planned system replacement was imminent."

So this isn't Horizon itself. This is a separate system used for scheduling training; that's how you understood it?

- A. Yes.
- Q. During September the training scheduling system crashed resulting in a loss of data and some data corruption, and then we can read the rest.

Then, if we go over the page, please, the joint report covering a period of September '99 to nearly the end of October '99, training continues to cause major difficulties, and then in May, the two lots of May 2000 entries, if you just cast your eye over those, please.

22

PinICLs when you came to read them relating to training issues?

- A. Yes
- Q. If we look at the foot of the page at 8.1.5, you start a summary of some of the PinICLs and include verbatim extracts from them. I'm not going to go through them, but you summarise them in 8.1.5:

"A review of the PinICLs and PEAKs [I think these are just PinICLs in fact] reinforces the theme that the subpostmasters were reporting that the lack of training was problematic in the execution of business activities. Additionally SSC staff [remembering back, that's the third tier of support] were also raising concerns about the ineffectual nature of training."

You embolden some sections. You then set out in paragraph 8.2 a series of PinICLs. As I say, I'm not going to go through them. Can we go forward to page 76, please, and look at 8.1.6, which is towards the bottom of the page. You say that you:

"... surveyed the PinICL and PEAK population for any final defect cause being assigned 'General - User' or 'General - User Knowledge' ..."

Can you just explain what you mean by surveying the population for any final defect cause?

A. Certainly. So at the beginning of our conversation

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 today, we talked about the analytics work stream and 2 evaluating the PEAKs and PinICLs information, and a part 3 of that analytics work stream was to help identify 4 certain data elements that were contained within the 5 PEAKs and the PinICLs. 6 One of those data elements was a defect cause and 7 a defect cause is essentially the -- as an error was 8 raised and entered into the PEAK or PinICL system, it 9 was evaluated, and part of that evaluation was ICL would 10 make a determination on what the cause of the particular 11 defect that raised that error was. 12 This is a representation of that analysis. So, looking through a population of PEAKs and PinICLs that 13 14 we had in our possession, we looked for the final defect 15 cause. So perhaps it might be good for me to talk about 16 that. 17 So the defect cause has the opportunity to change 18 values as the error is being investigated. It might be 19 thought that there might be a particular, an initial 20 evaluation that a defect cause is one thing, but upon 21 further investigation it has the opportunity to change. 22 The analysis here is looking at what the final 23 value of the defect cause was across the PEAK and PinICL 24 population, and I identified two values that I thought 25 were pertinent for this section. 25 1 we went and looked back at the actual Helpdesk tickets. 2 because the Helpdesk is what precedes anything that 3 might get into the PEAK or PinICL system. 4 Q. The 5,000 calls a week? 5 A. Yes, exactly. 6 Q. What's the significance of this finding in 8.1.6? 7 A. There are a lot of problems with user knowledge on how 8 to use the system. 9 **Q.** In 8.1.7, you say: 10 "This figure indicates a wave of user issues in 11 September '99, March 2000, June 2000 and November 2000 12 during the national roll-out period." 13 If we go over the page, please, if we can blow 14 that up slightly, you depict the overall volumes between, I think, May '99 and December 2000. 15 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. The spikes that you speak about, September '99, which we 18 can see before, October '99, March 2000, June 2000 and 19 November 2000 -- I suppose, July as well -- the colour 20 coding on the right-hand side, does that refer to the final defect cause? 21 22 23 Q. So the thing that you've just spoken about? 24 25 Given the process of progress in national roll-out, did

27

A. Exactly. Q. That resulted, in the period you were looking at, 435

Q. They are General-user and General-user knowledge?

PinICLs being identified where those two values had been applied?

- A. That is correct.
 - Q. You say:

"Please keep in mind that the SMC was supposed to resolve user issues. These PinICLs and PEAKs were promoted to the SSC."

What did you mean -- what sits behind those two sentences?

A. So we'll talk about in greater detail, I'm sure, as the conversation continues. But the SSC who is in charge of the PEAKs and PinICLs, that's more of a technical error evaluation and remediation system. User questions should have been identified by the Helpdesk or by the SMC, which are the first and second levels of basically help to the users. Only real technical errors are supposed to arrive for the SSC to evaluate but, if it does get to the SSC, they raise a PinICL and it starts to be documented.

So what I'm trying to convey here is that this is what actually didn't get resolved at the first and second level. This population could be much greater if 26

vou think about whether this is a function, these PEAKs. of the increasing number of users being admitted to the system?

- A. It absolutely is part of the function, yes.
 - Q. Did you draw any overall conclusions from what you saw in the monthly reports and the PinICLs, as to the training difficulties that were experienced during national roll-out?
 - A. Just the general concept that the training didn't seem to go swimmingly well. The user base had a lot of problems with understanding how to use the system or, throughout the national roll-out period, that's the conclusion that I would draw.
 - Q. Thank you. Can we turn to section 9, please, of your report on page 78. The heading "Hardware issues were problematic during national roll-out", and if we read 9.1.2:

"In the national roll-out of the Horizon IT System, there was a discrete period (August 1999) where hardware issues rose to the level of being 'a serious Acceptance Incident'. According to ICL Pathway's monthly reports some issues persisted through October 1999, but appear to have subsided to an acceptable level by January 2000."

Now, the material that you relied on to say that,

1		I think, all came from the ICL Pathway monthly reports	1		1,281 PinICLs
2		which you set out in table 9.1; is that right?	2		them as the fir
3		Yes.	3	Α.	
4	Q.	If we go forwards, please, to page 80 and read that very	4	Q.	Did you set the
5		short paragraph at the top of the page:	5		I mentioned, J
6		"It should be noted that in May 2000, there were	6	Α.	Yes.
7		still hardware issues being raised in PinICLs."	7	Q.	
8		Then, if you then look at the table at 9.2, you're	8		period?
9		essentially checking what you read in the monthly	9	Α.	Yes, it does.
10		reports again against the PinICLs; is that right?	10	Q.	Again, that mi
11		That is correct.	11		were more use
12	Q.		12	_	Absolutely.
13		report about the issue subsiding to an acceptable level	13	Q.	
14		at the start of 2000, you record that in May 2000 there	14		PinICLs AND
15		were still hardware issues being raised in PinICLs; is	15 16		figure's legend
16		that right?	16 47		hardware, ISD
17	Α.	That is correct.	17		Just exp
18	Q.		18	Α.	
19		July 1996 and the end of 2000, where the product at	19		I received call
20		fault in the PinICL or PEAK was shown as desktop or	20		that it existed
21		hardware?	21		we did was we
22		Yes.	22		values that we
23	Q.		23		adaptor/driver
24		scroll down to 9.1.5 and the table underneath, did you,	24	_	a hardware iss
25		using that product-at-fault value, find that there were 29	25	Q.	Do we see, if v
1		pattern of a PEAK during national roll-out	1		reliability of ha
2	A.	Yes.	2		improved dras
3	Q.	in table 9.2?	3		wasn't the h
4	A.	Sorry, yes.	4		as it is now, as
5	Q.	In 9.1.7, you tell us that you looked at 332 KELs of	5		concerned. S
6		which about 10 per cent were coded as responsive, i.e.	6		Notwith
7		the nature of the KEL was concerned with	7		little comfort to
8		a hardware-related issue; is that right?	8		hardware issu
9	A.	Yes, that is correct.	9		posed a proble
10	Q.	Looking at all of those sources, the monthly reports,	10	Q.	Could anything
11		the PinICLs and PEAKs, and the KELs, did you form any	11		users, subpos
12		view overall, as to the preponderance of hardware issues	12		caused by har
13		during national roll-out?	13		keystrokes, fo
14	A.	The hardware issue first of all, there absolutely was	14	A.	Yes. So to the
15		an issue with hardware during national roll-out, and it	15		such a specific
16		impeded the roll-out certainly.	16		could have be
17	Q.	Could such issues affect the reliability of data held on	17		fraction of wha
18		the system, and could such reliability issues lead to	18		showing here
19		what would seem to be inexplicable financial imbalances?	19		of either the co
20	A.	That would yes, there's a possibility that that could	20		counter set-up
21		happen, absolutely.	21		failed. You kn
22	Q.	Is it normal that there are hardware issues when you	22		happened dur
23		roll out a big system?	23		right now certa
24	A.	Yes, and we need to remember the time range that we're	24		much greater
25		talking about. I would say, over the last 25 years, the	25	Q.	Overall I think

281 PinICLs or PEAKs that had that value ascribed to final fault?

nose out in your table between the period July '96 and end 2000, at 9.1?

ow a spike during the national roll-out

- night be a function of the fact that there sers being brought online?
 - 9.1.6, you say you again surveyed the PEAKs for product groups listed in the next nd, where the product-at-fault value was DN, ISDN adaptor or driver.

xplain again what you are doing there.

- value within the information that lled "product at fault" and, to the extent and we identified a value for that, what e basically pulled out any product-at-fault ere either hardware, ISDN or ISDN er, all of which I would associate with ssue.
- we go over the page, in 9.2, a similar 30

ardware used in technical systems has stically. It wasn't as good. It certainly hardware wasn't as good in the late '90s as far as reliability and durability is So I am extremely aware of that.

hstanding that statement, that probably was to anyone that was experiencing the ues during that time, and it definitely lem for the national roll-out.

- ng have been done to warn or inform end stmasters, of the issues that might be ardware problems; so hardware-generated or example, or phantom transactions?
- ne extent that ICL Pathway was aware of fic thing as that, definitely communications een made. I suspect that that was a small nat I'm showing here. I think what I'm e is more of a catastrophic, holistic failure communication, the ISDN line, or the p. It could be that both your hard drives now, unfortunately that's just things that ring that time. I mean, drives could fail tainly also, but the prevalence of that was r 25 years ago.
- nk you told us that you formed the view

that hardware issues caused problems, and problems for subpostmasters, and it was problematic for them during the national roll-out.

- A. Absolutely.
- Q. Can we turn to section 10, please, page 83, where you address the disconnection of many Post Office branches from the central system during national roll-out. You tell us that in 10.1.1 that:

"The ambition of Horizon was to allow branches to communicate their information to a central system" --

Reminding us of an earlier passage in your report, the Horizon campuses.

"It also allowed for software and reference data updates to be distributed from the campuses to the branches.

"To accomplish this ... a telecommunications system was incorporated into Horizon."

This depended on ISDN lines or satellite links being installed at each branch with BT or Energis providing the backbone infrastructure to utilise this hardware. It relied also on each branches equipment to be available for polling.

Can you tell us what polling means, please.

A. Certainly it's basically having a remote computer being available to communicate with a central computer, and 33

the counter needs to communicate. Since it's not a persistent connected communications link, what would happen is a polling would have to occur. The central system would need to reach out to each one of the counters and say, "Number 1, are you even there and, if you are there, I need to grab some information from you. I need basically to collect all the transactions that you performed that day so that I can do the downstream processing of all that information." So effectively that's what the polling information is.

There are occasions also where the central system needs to push information down to the counters. That might be: I'm updating reference data which is -- if you remember, it's part of the system that allows the system to work correctly and, in order to maintain synchronisation of how each system works, all of that information needs to be passed down to the counters, as well as just straight software updates, that would need to be passed down to the counters.

Q. You tell us in 10.1.3 that:

"The Monthly Reports indicate that throughout '98 and '99 Pathway was concerned with their ability to effectuate this design feature: they were concerned with BT's coverage of the UK as well as other technical issues related to their standards."

the polling is usually described as when the central computer reaches out to the remote computer to see if it's available to communicate. So that would be polling.

- **Q.** How does that work? Can you explain in a little more detail how that works.
- A. Certainly. So in this system the network was not constant -- always on. It was not like systems are now when you're -- well, even when you're on your browser, you're not really actually always on necessarily.

But effectively what they were -- the mechanism that they were using was they had a communications link, either through a satellite or through an ISDN line, that utilised BT or Energis' backbone or the other service providers, which allowed the movement of data between the counter information at the branch and the data servers at Bootle or Wigan.

What would happen is every night the counters needed to basically communicate with the central branch to accumulate all the transactions that happened, right? So that would be the direction of: I've conducted my business. Now I want to make sure that all of the records of those transactions are managed centrally at the data centres.

In order to accomplish that, the data centre and 34

Is that concern by ICL Pathway before national roll-out?

- A. Yes
 - **Q.** This is even before national roll-out. Then if we look at 10.1.4:

"During the national roll-out these problems were realised."

So, true enough, ICL Pathway's worries turned out to be correct?

- A. Yes.
- Q. "Hardware, network availability, and user issues combined to create a situation where ICL Pathway was occupied with a higher than expected amount of non-polling branches."

Given what you have said, that there was concern before national roll-out about ICL Pathway's ability to effectuate this design feature, i.e. to ensure polling with hardware installed at the branch, what do you mean by: it was higher than expected amount of non-polling branches?

A. I would imagine, in any contingency planning that anyone was doing with a system that was designed like this, that they would expect that some counters wouldn't be available for some periods of time. But based off of the reading that I performed, it was much higher than 36

1		they expected as far as non-polled counters.	1		and resolve an
2	Q.	You say in the third sentence:	2		status was 24 h
3		"This was problematic because Horizon relied on	3	A.	Yes.
4		this design aspect to not only collate and	4	Q.	Just explain who
5		centralise information but also for efficient	5	A.	So I believe this
6		updates of software to the branches."	6		ICL Pathway, ju
7		What level of problem is this?	7		They needed to
8	A.	It's a giant level of problem. Let's take it to an	8		different counte
9		extreme. Let's say that I never am able to poll	9		know, there cou
10		a counter. Effectively that counter is out of the	10		ICL Pathway's o
11		network. So, if I am not able to communicate between	11		happening, sucl
12		the counter and the data centres, that's breaking the	12		that counter's co
13		system. That's taking all of functionality that was	13		be polled and, u
14		purported to be in the Horizon System and throwing it	14		turn it on, it's ne
15		out on a counter-by-counter basis.	15		were definitely s
16	Q.	For bug fixes, did that rely on the ability to poll?	16		of their immedia
17	A.	Yes, yes. Any communication between the central servers	17	Q.	You set out extr
18		and the counters relied on that communication mechanism,	18		essentially estal
19		which I'm referring to as the polling. The polling is	19		answers. Can v
20		actually: are you there? Once you get an affirmative	20		the table 10.2.
21		answer back, then you can move information between	21		please.
22		either the counter and the data centre or the data	22	A.	Certainly. So th
23		centre and the counter.	23		in the month of
24	Q.	You say in 10.1.5:	24		a set of categor
25		"Additionally ICL Pathway was compelled to raise	25		offices weren't a
		37			
1		of days. So, for instance, we see the first figure of	1	Α.	This was an issu
2		86. On November 1, 2000, there were 86 counters that	2		November, you
3		had not been polled in a day.	3		So, even if there
4	Q.	You use language interchangeably of counters and	4		sorry, 20,000 br
5		branches, and counters and offices. Is it counters or	5		20,000 branche
6		is it offices?	6		a significant y
7	Α.	This says offices. So maybe we should also refresh our	7		that's a significa
8		memory on exactly how the Horizon System worked at that	8		not communicat
9		time. So there might have been many particular counters	9	Q.	Does this show
10		at each office, but there was only one counter that was	10		entire month es
11		hooked up to the communications system that allowed for	11	Α.	Yes.
12		polling. So this would be office, not necessarily	12	Q.	You then again
13		counter. This would be per branch or per office.	13		had shown to th
14	Q.	You were telling us that, for 1 November, there were 86	14	Α.	Yes.
15		offices that did not poll for one day?	15	Q.	If we look at the
16	Α.	•	16		say:
17	Q.	There were 83 that hadn't polled for 2 or 3 days?	17		"I surveye
18	Α.	Yes.	18		entries where th
19	Q.	There were 28 that hadn't polled for between 4 and 9	19		'VPN' within the
20	٠.	days?	20		That's be
21	Α.	Yes.	21		connectivity.
22	Q.	So it goes on?	22		"This que
	Α.	Exactly.	23		the figure below
23		, -			
23 24	Q.	Did you draw a conclusion from this level or extent of	24		value shown in
23 24 25	Q.	Did you draw a conclusion from this level or extent of non-polling offices and the duration?	24 25		value shown in t

issue for any branch whose non-polled hours in duration."

- hat that means.
- is is related to an SLA requirement for ust as part of the requirements process. to make sure that they were polling ers, and that's regardless of why -- you ould be things that were completely out of control that would stop that polling from ch as the counter wasn't turned on. If computer is never on, it's never going to unless ICL Pathway sends someone out to ever going to be turned on. So there some aspects of that that were outside iate control.
- tracts from the monthly reports that ablish what you said in your last few we go on to page 86, please, and look at Could explain what this table shows,
- this table is describing all of the days f November of 2000, and it is creating ries of how many counters or how many able to be polled across a different set
- sue. Especially as we look further into see the numbers becoming very high there. re were, you know, 20,000 offices -oranches -- let's pretend that there's es live in the network -- that's you know, towards the end of November ant portion of those branches that are ating with the central data servers.
- wa consistently serious problem across the ssentially?
- n cross-refer to what the monthly reports the PinICLs and PEAKs; is that right?
 - e foot of 87, page 87, at 10.1.8, you

yed the PinICL and PEAK population for the Product at Fault contained 'ISDN' or eir values."

ecause they are both related to

ery resulted in the 4,733 entries shown in w, with their specific Product-at-Fault the legend. This problem manifested onal roll-out period."

1		Then over the page, please, to the graph. The	1
2		colours on the right-hand side or the key on the	2
3		right-hand side, I think, shows that the preponderance	3
4		of the problems related to the shade of blue that	4
5		relates to ISDN; is that right?	5
6	Α.		6
7	Q. A.	The fourth colour down on the right-hand side? Yes.	7
8 9	Q.		8 9
10	ų.	did you draw any conclusion as to whether that signified	10
11		anything about the size or severity of the problem?	11
12	Α.		12
13	,	related to the physical connection or the implementation	13
14		of the Horizon package at this time.	14
15	Q.		15
16		I'm going to skip over for the moment sections 11	16
17		and 12 of your report and go straight to section 13,	17
18		please, on page 98 where your heading of the issue is:	18
19		"The persistence of reference data management	19
20		degraded the integrity of Horizon."	20
21		Can you explain, before we get into the detail of	21
22		this, what your overall finding was.	22
23	A.	My overall finding was so just to remind everyone,	23
24		the reference data is a component of the system that	24
25		I referred to at my first hearing as data-driven logic.	25
		41	
1		I think that speaks for itself.	1
2		Yes.	2
2 3	A. Q.	Yes. You then in 1.4 and 1.5 set out issues raised by ICL	2
2 3 4		Yes. You then in 1.4 and 1.5 set out issues raised by ICL Pathway as early as early 1997, concerning POCL's	2 3 4
2 3 4 5		Yes. You then in 1.4 and 1.5 set out issues raised by ICL Pathway as early as early 1997, concerning POCL's reference data incorporation into the system. You say:	2 3 4 5
2 3 4 5 6		Yes. You then in 1.4 and 1.5 set out issues raised by ICL Pathway as early as early 1997, concerning POCL's reference data incorporation into the system. You say: "By late '97 ICL Pathway characterised its	2 3 4 5 6
2 3 4 5 6 7		Yes. You then in 1.4 and 1.5 set out issues raised by ICL Pathway as early as early 1997, concerning POCL's reference data incorporation into the system. You say: "By late '97 ICL Pathway characterised its contractual obligations regarding reference data as	2 3 4 5 6 7
2 3 4 5 6 7 8		Yes. You then in 1.4 and 1.5 set out issues raised by ICL Pathway as early as early 1997, concerning POCL's reference data incorporation into the system. You say: "By late '97 ICL Pathway characterised its contractual obligations regarding reference data as poorly defined but acknowledged the significance of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8
2 3 4 5 6 7		Yes. You then in 1.4 and 1.5 set out issues raised by ICL Pathway as early as early 1997, concerning POCL's reference data incorporation into the system. You say: "By late '97 ICL Pathway characterised its contractual obligations regarding reference data as	2 3 4 5 6 7
2 3 4 5 6 7 8		Yes. You then in 1.4 and 1.5 set out issues raised by ICL Pathway as early as early 1997, concerning POCL's reference data incorporation into the system. You say: "By late '97 ICL Pathway characterised its contractual obligations regarding reference data as poorly defined but acknowledged the significance of the issue as crucial." What do you mean by that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q.	Yes. You then in 1.4 and 1.5 set out issues raised by ICL Pathway as early as early 1997, concerning POCL's reference data incorporation into the system. You say: "By late '97 ICL Pathway characterised its contractual obligations regarding reference data as poorly defined but acknowledged the significance of the issue as crucial." What do you mean by that? So, if this is a vital component of your system, you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q.	Yes. You then in 1.4 and 1.5 set out issues raised by ICL Pathway as early as early 1997, concerning POCL's reference data incorporation into the system. You say: "By late '97 ICL Pathway characterised its contractual obligations regarding reference data as poorly defined but acknowledged the significance of the issue as crucial." What do you mean by that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q.	Yes. You then in 1.4 and 1.5 set out issues raised by ICL Pathway as early as early 1997, concerning POCL's reference data incorporation into the system. You say: "By late '97 ICL Pathway characterised its contractual obligations regarding reference data as poorly defined but acknowledged the significance of the issue as crucial." What do you mean by that? So, if this is a vital component of your system, you have to make sure that it's right. What I've read in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q.	Yes. You then in 1.4 and 1.5 set out issues raised by ICL Pathway as early as early 1997, concerning POCL's reference data incorporation into the system. You say: "By late '97 ICL Pathway characterised its contractual obligations regarding reference data as poorly defined but acknowledged the significance of the issue as crucial." What do you mean by that? So, if this is a vital component of your system, you have to make sure that it's right. What I've read in the materials that were provided to me was that this was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q.	Yes. You then in 1.4 and 1.5 set out issues raised by ICL Pathway as early as early 1997, concerning POCL's reference data incorporation into the system. You say: "By late '97 ICL Pathway characterised its contractual obligations regarding reference data as poorly defined but acknowledged the significance of the issue as crucial." What do you mean by that? So, if this is a vital component of your system, you have to make sure that it's right. What I've read in the materials that were provided to me was that this was a split responsibility between ICL Pathway and the Post	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q.	Yes. You then in 1.4 and 1.5 set out issues raised by ICL Pathway as early as early 1997, concerning POCL's reference data incorporation into the system. You say: "By late '97 ICL Pathway characterised its contractual obligations regarding reference data as poorly defined but acknowledged the significance of the issue as crucial." What do you mean by that? So, if this is a vital component of your system, you have to make sure that it's right. What I've read in the materials that were provided to me was that this was a split responsibility between ICL Pathway and the Post Office Counters Limited.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q.	Yes. You then in 1.4 and 1.5 set out issues raised by ICL Pathway as early as early 1997, concerning POCL's reference data incorporation into the system. You say: "By late '97 ICL Pathway characterised its contractual obligations regarding reference data as poorly defined but acknowledged the significance of the issue as crucial." What do you mean by that? So, if this is a vital component of your system, you have to make sure that it's right. What I've read in the materials that were provided to me was that this was a split responsibility between ICL Pathway and the Post Office Counters Limited. Ultimately, a lot of the information needed to be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q.	Yes. You then in 1.4 and 1.5 set out issues raised by ICL Pathway as early as early 1997, concerning POCL's reference data incorporation into the system. You say: "By late '97 ICL Pathway characterised its contractual obligations regarding reference data as poorly defined but acknowledged the significance of the issue as crucial." What do you mean by that? So, if this is a vital component of your system, you have to make sure that it's right. What I've read in the materials that were provided to me was that this was a split responsibility between ICL Pathway and the Post Office Counters Limited. Ultimately, a lot of the information needed to be sourced from POCL. I don't think all of it, but a lot	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q.	Yes. You then in 1.4 and 1.5 set out issues raised by ICL Pathway as early as early 1997, concerning POCL's reference data incorporation into the system. You say: "By late '97 ICL Pathway characterised its contractual obligations regarding reference data as poorly defined but acknowledged the significance of the issue as crucial." What do you mean by that? So, if this is a vital component of your system, you have to make sure that it's right. What I've read in the materials that were provided to me was that this was a split responsibility between ICL Pathway and the Post Office Counters Limited. Ultimately, a lot of the information needed to be sourced from POCL. I don't think all of it, but a lot of it was sourced from POCL. What I'm trying to say	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q.	Yes. You then in 1.4 and 1.5 set out issues raised by ICL Pathway as early as early 1997, concerning POCL's reference data incorporation into the system. You say: "By late '97 ICL Pathway characterised its contractual obligations regarding reference data as poorly defined but acknowledged the significance of the issue as crucial." What do you mean by that? So, if this is a vital component of your system, you have to make sure that it's right. What I've read in the materials that were provided to me was that this was a split responsibility between ICL Pathway and the Post Office Counters Limited. Ultimately, a lot of the information needed to be sourced from POCL. I don't think all of it, but a lot of it was sourced from POCL. What I'm trying to say here is that I don't know that the communication of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q.	Yes. You then in 1.4 and 1.5 set out issues raised by ICL Pathway as early as early 1997, concerning POCL's reference data incorporation into the system. You say: "By late '97 ICL Pathway characterised its contractual obligations regarding reference data as poorly defined but acknowledged the significance of the issue as crucial." What do you mean by that? So, if this is a vital component of your system, you have to make sure that it's right. What I've read in the materials that were provided to me was that this was a split responsibility between ICL Pathway and the Post Office Counters Limited. Ultimately, a lot of the information needed to be sourced from POCL. I don't think all of it, but a lot of it was sourced from POCL. What I'm trying to say here is that I don't know that the communication of requirements or the I believe that what ICL Pathway	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q.	Yes. You then in 1.4 and 1.5 set out issues raised by ICL Pathway as early as early 1997, concerning POCL's reference data incorporation into the system. You say: "By late '97 ICL Pathway characterised its contractual obligations regarding reference data as poorly defined but acknowledged the significance of the issue as crucial." What do you mean by that? So, if this is a vital component of your system, you have to make sure that it's right. What I've read in the materials that were provided to me was that this was a split responsibility between ICL Pathway and the Post Office Counters Limited. Ultimately, a lot of the information needed to be sourced from POCL. I don't think all of it, but a lot of it was sourced from POCL. What I'm trying to say here is that I don't know that the communication of requirements or the I believe that what ICL Pathway is saying here is they don't think that the Post Office is understanding the importance of this issue as ICL Pathway is trying to develop and deliver a system.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q.	Yes. You then in 1.4 and 1.5 set out issues raised by ICL Pathway as early as early 1997, concerning POCL's reference data incorporation into the system. You say: "By late '97 ICL Pathway characterised its contractual obligations regarding reference data as poorly defined but acknowledged the significance of the issue as crucial." What do you mean by that? So, if this is a vital component of your system, you have to make sure that it's right. What I've read in the materials that were provided to me was that this was a split responsibility between ICL Pathway and the Post Office Counters Limited. Ultimately, a lot of the information needed to be sourced from POCL. I don't think all of it, but a lot of it was sourced from POCL. What I'm trying to say here is that I don't know that the communication of requirements or the I believe that what ICL Pathway is saying here is they don't think that the Post Office is understanding the importance of this issue as ICL Pathway is trying to develop and deliver a system. Thank you. You say in 1.6 that you are going to extract	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q.	Yes. You then in 1.4 and 1.5 set out issues raised by ICL Pathway as early as early 1997, concerning POCL's reference data incorporation into the system. You say: "By late '97 ICL Pathway characterised its contractual obligations regarding reference data as poorly defined but acknowledged the significance of the issue as crucial." What do you mean by that? So, if this is a vital component of your system, you have to make sure that it's right. What I've read in the materials that were provided to me was that this was a split responsibility between ICL Pathway and the Post Office Counters Limited. Ultimately, a lot of the information needed to be sourced from POCL. I don't think all of it, but a lot of it was sourced from POCL. What I'm trying to say here is that I don't know that the communication of requirements or the I believe that what ICL Pathway is saying here is they don't think that the Post Office is understanding the importance of this issue as ICL Pathway is trying to develop and deliver a system.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23

It could represent something as simple as just a price list, you know, a first-class stamp costs this much. That information was not hard coded into the Horizon System. It was passed to the Horizon System via what I'm referring to as reference data.

Now, my understanding is there are a lot more complicated partitions of the reference data that I won't go into now, but it was essential for the Horizon System to operate correctly for that to have integrity and to be consistently completely and accurately delivered to each one of the branches in order for the Horizon System to operate.

What I'm saying here is there were a lot of issues that I read about that were referring to the integrity of the reference data as it pertained to the actual operation of the Horizon System.

- Q. I think on the last occasion you identified, or you told us that a design of a system that utilised data-driven logic was in principle a good thing.
- A. Absolutely.
- **Q.** You say in 13.1.3:

"The advantages of data-driven logic rely upon its custodianship. If the 'data' in the data-driven logic is not timely, accurate or complete, the system it supports will not operate as intended."

42

have just said. Then, if we go over the page, please, to the foot of the page -- keep going, please -- sorry, two more pages to 102, and then foot of the page, please.

In 13.1.7 you say:

"A review of the PinICLs and PEAKs supports the contention that Reference Data was a cause of problems in the Horizon IT System."

You set those out in your table at 13.2. Go over the page, please, and then over the page again to page 105. You say that you:

"... surveyed the PinICL and PEAK population for any Product-at-Fault value where Reference Data was indicated."

You found 1,863 such PinICLs or PEAKs, and that led you to the conclusion that reference-data problems began manifesting themselves in 1998 and were prevalent during the national roll-out period.

Can you just identify for us in the table -- thank you -- it's the dark blue, second from bottom; is that right?

- **A.** Yes. So the dark blue second from bottom says "reference data" explicitly, yes.
- Q. But the other product-at-fault values identified on that table are product-at-fault values that also in your view

1		relate to reference data?	1	issue arises from and attributing that to POCL?
2	A.	Yes.	2	A. That is the conclusion I've drawn, yes.
3	Q.	I think they show a persistence of the issue right until	3	Q. Is that the sky-blue colour in the chart at 13.1
4		the end of 2000; is that right?	4	A. Yes.
5	A.	That is correct.	5	Q. That's why we don't see it before because it's a new
6	Q.	How serious or problematic is this?	6	product-at-fault value?
7	Α.	This is a big problem. This is a main component of the	7	A. That's right. Hypothetically, before that it was still
8		system. If the reference	8	could have been caused by POCL, but they weren't
9	Q.	I missed that.	9	tracking it at that level of precision, as you say.
10	A.	This is a big problem. This is a serious problem. If	10	Q. That brings us to the end of section 13 of your report.
11		this is not done correctly, the system is not working	11	Sir, might that be an appropriate time to take the
12		correctly.	12	morning break?
13	Q.	Going back to 13.1.8, you say:	13	SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes.
14		"Interestingly, [I suppose that's a relative	14	MR BEER: We lost you at the end of your sentence there.
15		value] a Product at Fault value of 'POCL Reference Data'	15	SIR WYN WILLIAMS: I muted myself too quickly. I said
16		seems to appear in February 2000 and from that point	16	that's fine, Mr Beer.
17		forward occupies a significant portion of the chart."	17	MR BEER: Thank you very much, sir. Can we say half past,
18		Without teasing you too much, why did you find it	18	please.
19		interesting?	19	SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes, of course.
	^	•		·
20	A.	I found it interesting that, I believe, ICL Pathway was	20	MR BEER: Thank you.
21		trying to clearly delineate whether they thought this	21	(11.17 am)
22		reference-data issue was a Post Office issue or not	22	(A short break).
23	_	a Post Office issue.	23	(11.31 am)
24	Q.	I see. So they are being more precise as to the	24	MR BEER: Good morning, sir. Can you see and hear us?
25		attribution of where they believed the reference-data 45	25	SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes, thank you. 46
1	MR	R BEER: Mr Cipione, can I go back before we move on to	1	Does that answer your question?
2		section 14 to something you said in section 13 of your	2	Q. Yes, I think it does.
3		report. If we just go back to 13.1.1 on page 98, you	3	Can we turn to section 14 of your report, please,
4		say in 13.1.1:	4	page 106 and your subject heading is:
5		"This design feature [that's data-driven logic]	5	"The Horizon IT System Helpdesk was often the root
6		it's benefit was efficiently to update the Horizon IT	6	of Service Level Agreement issues with POCL."
7		System's functionality without the need to develop,	7	Again can you just explain in overview what this
8		design, test, and deploy new versions of the software."	8	section of your report is concerned with.
9		I think you are saying that as a positive thing	9	A. This section is concerned with the front-line support
10		there.	10	that was provided to the subpostmasters and
11	A.	Absolutely, yes.	11	subpostmistresses at the branches that were using the
12	Q.	Would you accept that the use of reference data in this	12	Horizon System. There was one of the service level
13		way, whilst it lowered the need for development, design,	13	agreements, probably many of the metrics in the service
14		testing and deployment of new versions of the software,	14	level agreements, required ICL Pathway to have
15		in practice it may have led to a need for increased	15	a Helpdesk that was responsive when calls came in, and
16		testing?	16	that's what I'm referring to here.
17	Α.	If the integrity of the reference data or the mechanism	17	Q. Here you're referring to the HSH Horizon System
18		for distributing the reference data wasn't good, it	18	Helpdesk. That's the first line of support?
19		could absolutely create a situation where there are	19	A. That is the first line of support.
20		a lot of errors in the system, and it might not be	20	Q. You say that in the third line of 14.1.1:
21		readily apparent where those errors are arising from.	21	"The monthly reports discussed the failings of
22		So that, in effect, could cause more testing, more	22	which HSH, in regard to SLA [failings] for a significant
23		cycling, more uncertainty around the causes and	23	amount of the review period. Concerns were first raised
24		remediation efforts required to make the system work	24	in September '98 and carried through the national
25			25	roll-out."
20		properly.	20	ron-out.

2

3

4

5

6

7

1		dented confidence from POCL."	1
8		Where did you get that information from?	8
9	A.	The monthly reports.	9
10	Q.	So is that ICL saying that those issues dented POCL's	10
11		confidence, so their perception of POCL's confidence in	11
12		them?	12
13	A.	Yes, those are ICL's words.	13
14	Q.	"In May 2000, ICL declared an 'own goal'" I think	14
15		that's the word in the report itself, or words in the	15
16		report itself; is that right?	16
17	A.	That is correct.	17
18	Q.	" based on Horizon System Helpdesk performance."	18
19		They replaced their management team, and improvements	19
20		were noted in June 2000.	20
21	A.	Yes.	21
22	Q.	You then set out in your table at 14.1, on that page and	22
23		the next, extracts from the monthly reports that	23
24		substantiate the conclusions that you had reached. Then	24
25		at the foot of the next page, you tell us that you 49	25
1		What's the importance of a tiered escalation	1
2		process such as this?	2
3	A.	It would create a very efficient process to handle any	3
4		issue that was raised by anyone using the Horizon	4
5		System. The efficiency I'm referring to here relates	5
6		to: a user is having a problem. That problem could be	6
7		that the user doesn't know how to use the system or	7
8		something very simple, in which case it would be good to	8
9		have at the first period of triage someone there that	9
10		could help with very simple answers to the user	10
11		community. That would have been the HSH, which was the	11
12		first line.	12
13		But it's not efficient as far as the Helpdesk	13
14		management process, or the HSH, to have a global	14
15		understanding of every issue that might or might not	15
16		come up. So they need to have someone to refer to if	16
17		their because usually the first line of Helpdesk is	17
18		usually provided with a number of scripts that allow	18
19		them to diagnose information that was received by the	19
20		callers, and either help the caller immediately, or at	20
21		some point that end of the script it's, "Okay, I don't	21
22		know enough about the system right now. I need to pass	22
23		you on to the next level."	23
24		The SMC is the next level who, theoretically, are	24
25		a little bit more knowledgeable about the technical 51	25

On your review of the material, did those concerns

"The same issues that triggered SLA concerns also

persist at the same level throughout that period?

A. They were a consistent topic of discussion in the

material I read throughout the period.

'dented' confidence from POCL."

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

Q. You say:

didn't examine the PinICLs and PEAKs to substantiate or undermine what was said in the monthly reports. Just explain why.

- A. I wouldn't expect any discussion of front-line SLA response rates to exist within the PinICL or PEAK documents.
- Q. Can we turn to section 15 of your report, please, page 108, where your conclusion is essentially in the section heading:

"The Horizon SMC was frequently cited for not properly filtering calls to the SSC. This lack of filtering delayed the SSC from resolving technical problems."

So you are here addressing the second to the third level support lines; is that right?

- A. Yes, that is correct.
- Q. You remind us in 15.1.1 of the error-escalation process where users were directed to level 1, the HSH first.

"The SMC [at level 2] was responsible for determining if the problem required the SSC to become involved. If the issue was deemed necessary for escalation ... it would then be recorded in the PinICL system.

"The SSC was responsible for the maintenance of PinICLs".

50

issues of the system, as well as the just general usability of the system. It's their responsibility to make sure that calls that get beyond the initial Helpdesk are created or routed correctly.

So, if you recall, in my first testimony, the SSC is not really in charge of any hardware issues. So, if a call gets to the SMC, and it's clear to the SMC that this is hardware issue, I believe that there was a different group that helped the hardware issue. So perhaps the front line would not know exactly where to send that, but the SMC would.

The SMC was supposed to only send calls on to the SSC, if they were a technical -- a non-hardware issue technically related to the Horizon System. So that was their purpose and that is generally a good construction of a helpdesk process.

- Q. What did you see in the monthly reports when the topic of the SMC filtering calls was discussed?
- A. When I did read about the SMC in the monthly reports, it was usually in regard to the SMC was sending calls to the SSC that they should have been able to handle, or the Helpdesk should have been able to handle, or certainly was not the responsibility of the third line of support, the SSC, the technical portion of the Helpdesk.

1 **Q.** What are the consequences of that? 2 A. The consequences of that are: now you have a group of 3 people at the SSC -- that's the third line -- who are 4 responsible for resolving actual technical issues of the 5 Horizon System. That's a difficult job for multiple 6 reasons. 7 The situation that is created when the SMC is not 8 filtering calls correctly is now they are having to deal 9 with not only technical issue calls but non-technical 10 issue calls, but they don't know that necessarily when 11 they're getting it. If the SMC promotes an issue to the 12 SSC, they think it's a technical issue. So they start 13 investigating it and probably spend a good amount of time on it. If they find out that this was something 14 15 that should have been handled by the first or second 16 line of support, their attention has been diverted from 17 actual technical issues to non-technical issues that 18 should have been resolved prior to it getting to the 19 SSC. 20 Q. I think you found, you tell us in 15.1.4, that that problem persisted throughout the national roll-out? 21 22 23 Q. You also, if we go to page 110, please, examined at the 24 bottom half of the page extracts from PinICLs. The 25 heading to that table says "Verbatim extracts from 53 1 that related to the SMC failing properly to filter calls 2 up? 3 **A.** That was an aggravating condition. 4 Q. What were the other causes of the SSC being overwhelmed 5 with PinICLs and PEAKs? 6 A. There were a lot of errors being raised about the 7 Horizon System. 8 Q. You record in 16.1.2: "The ICL Monthly Reports often call attention to 9 10 the workload of the SSC." 11 You reviewed the PinICLs and PEAKs and recognised 12 the complexity of some of the issues that SSC was 13 responsible for resolving. What did you mean by that, 14 that you recognised the complexity? 15 A. So just -- you know, in the course of doing my review, 16 of course I looked at quite a few of the PinICLs and 17 PEAKs, and I needed to gain an understanding, as best 18 I could, of the issues that were being raised, meaning 19 that there was a PinICL or PEAK created, and the 20 remediation process that was performed to attempt to 21 remediate these errors as they arose. 22 In the course of that review, I had to basically 23 dive as deeply as I could into understanding many of 24 these PEAKs or PinICLs. Through the course of that

reading, it became clear to me that some of these issues

55

25

monthly reports". I think that should be from PinICLs 1 2 and PEAKs? 3 Α. Yes. 4 Q. To see whether that which you had read in the monthly 5 reports was supported, and I think you found that it 6 was? 7 A. It is. 8 Q. We can see some of the things which I suppose the SSC 9 were writing emboldened. The first entry, 27 May '99, 10 "Why was the call sent to the SSC?" 17 June '99, "I'm 11 unsure why this was sent to the SSC." 13 October '99, 12 "I don't understand why this call has been sent to the 13 SSC." So it goes on right up until December 2000. 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. So despite I think the issue having been recognised it 16 persisted; is that right? 17 A. That is correct. 18 Q. Can we turn, please, to section 16 of your report. 19 "The SSC was overwhelmed with PinICLs and PEAKs 20 but was earnest in its effort to perform its duties." 21 Is this related to section 15 that we've just 22 looked at? 23 A. Yes. Yes, this is the third line. We discussed them 24 a little bit in the prior section. 25 Q. In terms of the SSC being overwhelmed with PinICLs, was 54 1 were difficult to identify what the cause was and, therefore, reading the narratives, I just wanted to 2 3 comment that it was -- it was a good effort on the 4 people -- on the PinICLs and PEAKs that I read, for the 5 people that for the most part -- now this is not 6 a blanket statement, but this is a statement for the 7 ones that I reviewed -- for the most part of the review 8 process that I observed through reading the narratives of the PinICLs and PEAKs, there appeared to be a very 9 10 earnest effort to try to resolve the issues. 11 12 for what period? 13

- Q. What are the parameters of that view in terms of when or
- A. Are you talking what was the period of documentation --
- Q. No, the view that there was an earnest attempt to resolve problems by the SSC.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. So my parameters were: was attention being given to it? Did it seem to have been evolving? You know, was extra information needed? Was it provided? What was done with that information and, you know, was there some sort of resolution at whatever level achieved in those PinICLs and PEAKs?
- Q. It's my fault. You just answered what values did you use. My question was: over what time period did that conclusion relate to?
 - A. Sorry, yes. So the information that I was looking at

1 was from 1996 through 2000. 1 latter part of 1996, and the information as far as new 2 Q. So the entire period? 2 PinICLs and PEAKs existing for entry into this chart 3 A. Yes. 3 runs through, you can see right there, at the end of 4 Q. You extract for us in 16.1. and the table at 16.1 parts 4 2000. 5 5 of the monthly reports that support those conclusions. Q. Yes. 6 Then, if we go over the page, please, to 16.1.5 on 6 A. So it might be a little difficult to see, but there is a 7 7 page 114, which is at the foot of the page, you little bit of a different colour at the beginning of 8 8 introduce your figure 16.1 by telling us that the 2001 9 following figures line shows the open balance of PPs. 9 Q. Yes. So 9 January 2001, that line there is dark --10 i.e. PinICLs and PEAKs, by day. Can you just explain in 10 A. It's a bit darker. So for up to the end of 2000 there's 11 broad terms what the table is then? 11 the possibility of a new entry into the open balance. 12 A. So an open -- so just to go through the life of one PEAK 12 By the time we get to the beginning of 2001, I've run or PinICL --13 13 out of my source material. But what I wanted to show on 14 Q. Yes. 14 this chart was that there were still open PEAKs or 15 A. -- it's entered into the system. There's an entry date 15 PinICLs that were sourced from 1996 to 2000 that still 16 into the system. It's worked on for one to many days. 16 hadn't been resolved. 17 At some point the PEAK or PinICL has closed. So what 17 So I'm running the chart out until when all of 18 this chart is showing is, as PEAKs and PinICLs are 18 these PEAKS and PinICLs are resolved. But the open 19 entered and relieved from the system, there's 19 balance is probably, or is absolutely most pertinent 20 a particular open balance on any particular date. This 20 from the end of '96 through the end of 2000 on this 21 chart, where it's just the run-out after 2001 begins. chart is showing what the open balance was of the PEAKs 21 22 22 and PinICLs on any particular day throughout the period Q. The graph shows a downward trend leading to a minimum 23 in the chart. 23 number at that time in July '99 and then a very rapid 24 24 Q. Can we look at the chart and you speak to it. rise; is that right? 25 A. Certainly. So we can see that the chart starts in the 25 A. Yes, that is correct. 58 57 1 Q. And you describe in your report that cresting in 1 Q. You conducted an analysis of the time taken fully to May 2000? 2 2 3 3 4 Q. What conclusions overall did you draw from that analysis 4 A. So this is trying to represent how long from the 5 of the open balance of PinICLs and PEAKs by day? 5 6 6 A. Well, the first thing is there seems to be a lot of 7 them. You know, everything's relative on different 7 8 8 systems, you know, what allows a PinICL or PEAK to be for me to review? 9 9 created, at what level of granularity is it. But having 10 read it, there seems to be a lot of errors being raised 10

close a PinICL or PEAK, and that table is shown over the page, please. Can you explain what figure 16.2 shows.

inception of a PinICL or PEAK to its resolution. It's basically showing its age. You know, what are the age categories of the PinICLs and PEAKs that were available

The first bar chart indicates that, you know, more than 30,000 of them took from zero to 20 days to resolve, from opening to closing.

The next bar is 20 to 40, and it goes on, you know, from there. But there is -- you know, at the end of the chart there is a box that represents everything that took more than 180 days to resolve. So this is just showing a distribution on how long it to look to resolve a particular PinICL or PEAK.

- Q. So that last chunk at the end there, more than six months to resolve, does that equate to some 3,000 PinICLs and PEAKs requiring more than six months to resolve?

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Did you form a view or draw any conclusions in relation to this analysis?
- A. It appears to me that these take a long time to resolve.

59

regarding the Horizon System. So that's just an overall

in the middle of August of '99 the balance seems to be

dropping. But as we're approaching or as we're getting

to the end of August, all the way, you know, through

significant increase in the population of open errors in

with the national roll-out period, so just the volume of

counters that exist, absolutely will have an input to

this. But it also indicates to me that there are still

significant issues being raised about the efficacy of

looks like, well into the next year, there is a

the system that need to be resolved.

You will notice that it looks as though, you know,

Now that could be because that happens to concur

comment about the chart.

the Horizon System.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1		The amount of hasically the agains of those DiplCLs	1		0 January 2001, those are the open BEAKs at that point
1 2		The amount of basically the ageing of these PinICLs and PEAKs seemed to be very long, in my opinion, on how	2		9 January 2001, those are the open PEAKs at that point, and it actually takes until, well, between September and
3		to resolve them. Of course every one is unique. There	3		December 2002, which is getting close to two years for
4		could be a particular issue that is outside of their	4		some of them to be resolved. Have I got that right?
5		control that they didn't feel comfortable in actually	5	٨	You have that right, sir.
					_
6		officially closing a PinICL or PEAK down. That's fine	6		R WYN WILLIAMS: Fine, thank you.
7		for one or two. But what I'm getting from this chart is	7	IVIK	BEER: Can we turn to section 17 of your report, please,
8		that it takes a lot of time to actually close these	8		on page 118. Again the topic disclosed by the heading:
9	_	down. So that doesn't it's not a good look.	9		"Acceptance Incidents [Als] were a gating issue to
10	Q.	You also, I think, analysed those people, I think all	10		the financial success of ICL Pathway. A persisting
11		within ICL, who were involved in resolving 1,000 PEAKs	11		issue related to Al376."
12		or PinICLs or more, and there was a list of 48 of them,	12		Again to introduce this topic, can you explain
13		I think, and this is a sort of a league table; is that	13		what you're addressing in this section of your report,
14	_	right?	14	_	please.
15		Yes, that is correct.	15	Α.	Yes. So my understanding from reading the material was
16	Q.	Barbara Longley, the first amongst them, an employee of	16		that the acceptance was a term that POCL and ICL Pathway
17		ICL Pathway; is that right?	17		used to confirm that the system was good enough to be
18		That's my understanding, yes.	18		put into practice. It was good enough to be deployed
19		Can we turn to section 17 of the report, please.	19		and, more specifically, it actually triggered, I
20	SII	R WYN WILLIAMS: Mr Beer, before we do that, can I just go	20		believe, the first payment to ICL Pathway for
21		back to the table at 16.1, just either to confirm what's	21		constructing the system and for implementing the system.
22		in my head or for Mr Cipione to dispel it.	22	Q.	You tell us in 17.1.2 that:
23		He looked at the opening of PPs up until the end	23		"Acceptance was financially significant to ICL
24		of 2000. So I've taken from that, Mr Cipione, that if	24		Pathway. [Because] ICL Pathway was paid once acceptance
25		we look at the chart which is more or less opposite 61	25		was achieved. [And] it received a high degree of 62
1		attention by ICL Pathway."	1		"24 September 1999 marked the day that Acceptance
2		The "it" there, are you referring to acceptance or	2		was granted, triggering [an invoice for payment of]
3		the financial significance attributed to acceptance	3		£68 million to be paid within 30 days."
4		or	4		Then:
5	A.	Acceptance. I'm referring to acceptance.	5		"In November '99, at least one full month and
6	Q.	You tell us that the monthly reports describe	6		possibly two full months after acceptance, ICL
7		outstanding or existing Als, and ICL Pathway's efforts	7		reported that 'POCL have come round to the understanding
8		to resolve them, and 376 caught your attention. It	8		that dealing with residual Al376 concerns in the short
9		concerned accounting integrity. Why did Al376 catch	9		to medium term will rely on processes and tools but no
10		your attention?	10		new software features as such'."
11	Α.	The Horizon System, while it is not the actual official	11		Why did that catch your attention?
12		financial accounting system for the Post Office, it is	12	Α.	I keep going back to, you know, the integrity of the
13		the source of all information for the financial for	13		accounting data that is being sourced from the Horizon
14		the accounting for the Post Office. If there are any	14		System. That's an extremely fundamental concept, and
15		issues with accounting integrity that are sourcing from	15		that is probably the most important item that most
16		the Horizon System, that seems like I mean, that's	16		important feature that the Horizon System should
17					
40		-	17		deliver.
18		the purpose you know, that's one of the main purposes	17		deliver.
18 19		the purpose you know, that's one of the main purposes of the Horizon System, to make sure that it is providing			•
19		the purpose you know, that's one of the main purposes of the Horizon System, to make sure that it is providing accounting data with integrity to the Post Office.	17 18 19	Q.	deliver. The fact that this is still an issue troubles me when I read about it.
19 20		the purpose you know, that's one of the main purposes of the Horizon System, to make sure that it is providing accounting data with integrity to the Post Office. So when I saw that, that caught my attention, that	17 18 19 20	_	deliver. The fact that this is still an issue troubles me when I read about it. Why does it trouble you?
19	Q.	the purpose you know, that's one of the main purposes of the Horizon System, to make sure that it is providing accounting data with integrity to the Post Office. So when I saw that, that caught my attention, that that was a term associated with Al376.	17 18 19 20 21	Q. A.	deliver. The fact that this is still an issue troubles me when I read about it. Why does it trouble you? Because, if that data doesn't have integrity, the system
19 20 21	Q.	the purpose you know, that's one of the main purposes of the Horizon System, to make sure that it is providing accounting data with integrity to the Post Office. So when I saw that, that caught my attention, that	17 18 19 20	A.	deliver. The fact that this is still an issue troubles me when I read about it. Why does it trouble you? Because, if that data doesn't have integrity, the system is not performing it's proper function.
19 20 21 22		the purpose you know, that's one of the main purposes of the Horizon System, to make sure that it is providing accounting data with integrity to the Post Office. So when I saw that, that caught my attention, that that was a term associated with Al376. You point out that 376 was one of the final Als to be	17 18 19 20 21 22	A.	deliver. The fact that this is still an issue troubles me when I read about it. Why does it trouble you? Because, if that data doesn't have integrity, the system is not performing it's proper function. In 17.1.7, if we scroll down, please, you record that:
19 20 21 22 23 24	A.	the purpose you know, that's one of the main purposes of the Horizon System, to make sure that it is providing accounting data with integrity to the Post Office. So when I saw that, that caught my attention, that that was a term associated with Al376. You point out that 376 was one of the final Als to be closed. That is correct.	17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A.	deliver. The fact that this is still an issue troubles me when I read about it. Why does it trouble you? Because, if that data doesn't have integrity, the system is not performing it's proper function. In 17.1.7, if we scroll down, please, you record that: "In February 2000, ICL Pathway declared that the
19 20 21 22 23	A.	the purpose you know, that's one of the main purposes of the Horizon System, to make sure that it is providing accounting data with integrity to the Post Office. So when I saw that, that caught my attention, that that was a term associated with Al376. You point out that 376 was one of the final Als to be closed.	17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A.	deliver. The fact that this is still an issue troubles me when I read about it. Why does it trouble you? Because, if that data doesn't have integrity, the system is not performing it's proper function. In 17.1.7, if we scroll down, please, you record that:

1		transferred the residual actions to	1		However, you should think that it's pretty
2		'business-as-usual'".	2		perfect. You should think that there's no reason that
3		It was unclear to you exactly what took place to	3		is out there that you will be introducing errors into
4		close Al376.	4		your financial system, based off of how the technology
5		You say:	5		is working.
6		"The reading of these entries leaves much room for	6	Q.	You then set out in 17.9.1:
7		interpretation."	7		"Regardless, the fact that accounting integrity
8		What did you mean by that, please?	8		was a persistent issue in the national roll-out of
9	Α.	I was not clear from the materials that were provided to	9		Horizon cannot have been the intention of the sponsors
10		me exactly how this acceptance issue was closed. I know	10		nor the goal of ICL Pathway."
11		it's a big deal. I know it's a big deal. I keep	11		That might be stating the obvious. It's plainly
12		seeing, I keep reading about it's a big deal, and then	12		not a goal.
13		it's closed without a lot of commentary on exactly: was	13	Α.	Of course not. I'm positive that ICL Pathway did not
14		the system fixed? Is it perfect now? I did not derive	14		have that as their intention. I'm positive that
15		anything from the material I was reading as to what	15		Post Office, as the sponsor of the system, was not
16		happened to actually allow that particular Acceptance	16		expecting that. Perhaps I wasted a few lines by writing
17		Incident to be closed.	17		that, but I just wanted to confirm that I don't think
18	Q.	You just asked yourself a question there or posed	18		that this was an intended feature of the system to have
19		a question. "Is it perfect now?" Is that what you	19		problems with the accounting integrity.
20		would have required for accounting integrity?	20	Q.	But your focus has been instead on what was done to
21	Α.	I certainly would have required that I thought it was	21		resolve it.
22		perfect. I mean, there's no perfect system. There's	22	A.	Yes.
23		always the opportunity to introduce errors in any	23	Q.	Is the state of your conclusion that you're unsure
24		computer system. So perfect is not an achievable goal	24		exactly what was done to resolve the issue on Al376?
25		for any system.	25	A.	From this report, from the materials I was provided for
		65			66
1		this report, I could not figure out what was actually	1		that I have been provided with subsequent to writing my
2	•	done to close that incident.	2		report, is that there was a lot of difficulty in
3	Q.	Have you read or heard evidence since then which goes to	3		figuring out exactly what was introducing these errors
4		that issue?	4		in the Horizon system. So as a plan B, there were a lot
5	Α.		5		of processes and procedures and tools that were trying
6	Q.	What was done; what is your understanding of what was done?	6		to ring-fence the Horizon systems, which was known to be
0		40	7		generating information that did not have the highest
8	Α.	My understanding of what was done was that the benchmark	8		level of integrity, to try to catch those errors before
9		for closing it continued to change throughout this	9	0	it hit the Post Office's financial systems.
10 11		period. There were a lot of tools and techniques and	10 11	Q.	•
12		mechanisms that were required to be wrapped around the Horizon System to check for errors that the Horizon	12		tools that sought to recognise that there was a lack of financial integrity or accounting integrity?
13		System might have been passing along through its	13	A.	Having a safety net around any financial system is fine.
14		processing. So I guess, when I read about that so	14	Λ.	It's always a good practice.
15		let me explain.	15		However, if you know that the source of
16		You have the source of information which is	16		information that's creating the errors is your own
17		Horizon, which is supposed to be creating the accounting	17		system, that being ICL, I think that's where the
18		information that's fed into the financial systems. We	18		effort there should have been a lot of effort in
19		know through the PinICLs and through this Al376 that	19		making sure that that was rock solid, that everything
20		that was not operating correctly, at least during this	20		was being done to make sure that that system functioned
21		period. So one option, which I think would have been	21		exactly the way it needed to function, because that's
		para and a specific control of the specific control of			,,
22		the best option, was to go and make sure that the actual	22		one of the basic features of this system. It's a very
22 23		the best option, was to go and make sure that the actual Horizon System was transacting correctly, was processing	22 23		one of the basic features of this system. It's a very important feature for any financial system.
		the best option, was to go and make sure that the actual Horizon System was transacting correctly, was processing the data correctly, so that it had high integrity.			one of the basic features of this system. It's a very important feature for any financial system. You have to have integrity with the data, and
23		Horizon System was transacting correctly, was processing	23		important feature for any financial system.

1		like they were going away at least during this
2		period going away from that particular effort to
3		trying to just make sure that they knew errors were
4		being generated, let's have a plan B, a plan C, a plan
5		D, to try to make sure that, if an error existed, it was
6		caught before it actually got into the Post Office's
7		financial records.
8	Q.	So, rather than putting effort into or all effort into
9		identifying root causes, you are focusing on catching
10		the consequences?
11	Α.	Yes.
12	Q.	As a process what's your view of that?
13	Α.	I think that having those type of controls is always
14		a good practice, provided that you're really trying to
15		make sure that the genesis of the information is
16		correct, has efficacy to it. If you're relying on your
17		safety nets to protect you, I would suggest that that's
18		poor practice. You need to be sorry, if that's one
19		of your main reliances, that's poor practice. You
20		should always rely on your safety net. Safety nets are
21		there for a reason. It's always a good thing to have
22		a safety net, or guard rails, or even a couple of layers
23		of safety nets or guard rails. But if you are from
24		the materials I've read, it sounds like this was still
25		an issue. This was an issue with the Horizon System.
1		specifically associated with Al376."
1 2		specifically associated with Al376." If we go over the page, please, I think the
2	A.	If we go over the page, please, I think the
2	A. Q.	If we go over the page, please, I think the lighter colour green relates to Al376; is that right?
2 3 4		If we go over the page, please, I think the lighter colour green relates to Al376; is that right? Yes, that is correct.
2 3 4 5		If we go over the page, please, I think the lighter colour green relates to Al376; is that right? Yes, that is correct. It's slightly difficult to tell. Does that show that
2 3 4 5 6	Q.	If we go over the page, please, I think the lighter colour green relates to Al376; is that right? Yes, that is correct. It's slightly difficult to tell. Does that show that they persisted into after June 2000?
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q.	If we go over the page, please, I think the lighter colour green relates to Al376; is that right? Yes, that is correct. It's slightly difficult to tell. Does that show that they persisted into after June 2000? I also am having a hard time seeing the colours, but it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q.	If we go over the page, please, I think the lighter colour green relates to Al376; is that right? Yes, that is correct. It's slightly difficult to tell. Does that show that they persisted into after June 2000? I also am having a hard time seeing the colours, but it looks like they are gone it looks like the last entry
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. A.	If we go over the page, please, I think the lighter colour green relates to Al376; is that right? Yes, that is correct. It's slightly difficult to tell. Does that show that they persisted into after June 2000? I also am having a hard time seeing the colours, but it looks like they are gone it looks like the last entry for those are July of 2000.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. A.	If we go over the page, please, I think the lighter colour green relates to Al376; is that right? Yes, that is correct. It's slightly difficult to tell. Does that show that they persisted into after June 2000? I also am having a hard time seeing the colours, but it looks like they are gone it looks like the last entry for those are July of 2000. Thank you. Is there anything else you wish to say about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. A. Q.	If we go over the page, please, I think the lighter colour green relates to Al376; is that right? Yes, that is correct. It's slightly difficult to tell. Does that show that they persisted into after June 2000? I also am having a hard time seeing the colours, but it looks like they are gone it looks like the last entry for those are July of 2000. Thank you. Is there anything else you wish to say about Acceptance Incidents and in particular Al376?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. A. Q.	If we go over the page, please, I think the lighter colour green relates to Al376; is that right? Yes, that is correct. It's slightly difficult to tell. Does that show that they persisted into after June 2000? I also am having a hard time seeing the colours, but it looks like they are gone it looks like the last entry for those are July of 2000. Thank you. Is there anything else you wish to say about Acceptance Incidents and in particular Al376? The accounting integrity of the Horizon System, I think,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. A. Q.	If we go over the page, please, I think the lighter colour green relates to Al376; is that right? Yes, that is correct. It's slightly difficult to tell. Does that show that they persisted into after June 2000? I also am having a hard time seeing the colours, but it looks like they are gone it looks like the last entry for those are July of 2000. Thank you. Is there anything else you wish to say about Acceptance Incidents and in particular Al376? The accounting integrity of the Horizon System, I think, is the most important part of the Horizon System. It is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. A. Q.	If we go over the page, please, I think the lighter colour green relates to Al376; is that right? Yes, that is correct. It's slightly difficult to tell. Does that show that they persisted into after June 2000? I also am having a hard time seeing the colours, but it looks like they are gone it looks like the last entry for those are July of 2000. Thank you. Is there anything else you wish to say about Acceptance Incidents and in particular Al376? The accounting integrity of the Horizon System, I think, is the most important part of the Horizon System. It is the reason you know, beyond any just the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. A. Q.	If we go over the page, please, I think the lighter colour green relates to Al376; is that right? Yes, that is correct. It's slightly difficult to tell. Does that show that they persisted into after June 2000? I also am having a hard time seeing the colours, but it looks like they are gone it looks like the last entry for those are July of 2000. Thank you. Is there anything else you wish to say about Acceptance Incidents and in particular Al376? The accounting integrity of the Horizon System, I think, is the most important part of the Horizon System. It is the reason you know, beyond any just the operational efficiency of the branches being worked,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. A. Q.	If we go over the page, please, I think the lighter colour green relates to Al376; is that right? Yes, that is correct. It's slightly difficult to tell. Does that show that they persisted into after June 2000? I also am having a hard time seeing the colours, but it looks like they are gone it looks like the last entry for those are July of 2000. Thank you. Is there anything else you wish to say about Acceptance Incidents and in particular Al376? The accounting integrity of the Horizon System, I think, is the most important part of the Horizon System. It is the reason you know, beyond any just the operational efficiency of the branches being worked, sure, that's important, but no-one would ask for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. A. Q.	If we go over the page, please, I think the lighter colour green relates to Al376; is that right? Yes, that is correct. It's slightly difficult to tell. Does that show that they persisted into after June 2000? I also am having a hard time seeing the colours, but it looks like they are gone it looks like the last entry for those are July of 2000. Thank you. Is there anything else you wish to say about Acceptance Incidents and in particular Al376? The accounting integrity of the Horizon System, I think, is the most important part of the Horizon System. It is the reason you know, beyond any just the operational efficiency of the branches being worked, sure, that's important, but no-one would ask for a point-of-sales system that they knew were connected to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A. Q.	If we go over the page, please, I think the lighter colour green relates to Al376; is that right? Yes, that is correct. It's slightly difficult to tell. Does that show that they persisted into after June 2000? I also am having a hard time seeing the colours, but it looks like they are gone it looks like the last entry for those are July of 2000. Thank you. Is there anything else you wish to say about Acceptance Incidents and in particular Al376? The accounting integrity of the Horizon System, I think, is the most important part of the Horizon System. It is the reason you know, beyond any just the operational efficiency of the branches being worked, sure, that's important, but no-one would ask for a point-of-sales system that they knew were connected to your financials if there was any doubt about the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A. Q.	If we go over the page, please, I think the lighter colour green relates to Al376; is that right? Yes, that is correct. It's slightly difficult to tell. Does that show that they persisted into after June 2000? I also am having a hard time seeing the colours, but it looks like they are gone it looks like the last entry for those are July of 2000. Thank you. Is there anything else you wish to say about Acceptance Incidents and in particular Al376? The accounting integrity of the Horizon System, I think, is the most important part of the Horizon System. It is the reason you know, beyond any just the operational efficiency of the branches being worked, sure, that's important, but no-one would ask for a point-of-sales system that they knew were connected to your financials if there was any doubt about the integrity of the accounting information that was being derived from it. Can we turn to section 18 of your report, please. Thank
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. A. A.	If we go over the page, please, I think the lighter colour green relates to Al376; is that right? Yes, that is correct. It's slightly difficult to tell. Does that show that they persisted into after June 2000? I also am having a hard time seeing the colours, but it looks like they are gone it looks like the last entry for those are July of 2000. Thank you. Is there anything else you wish to say about Acceptance Incidents and in particular Al376? The accounting integrity of the Horizon System, I think, is the most important part of the Horizon System. It is the reason you know, beyond any just the operational efficiency of the branches being worked, sure, that's important, but no-one would ask for a point-of-sales system that they knew were connected to your financials if there was any doubt about the integrity of the accounting information that was being derived from it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A. A.	If we go over the page, please, I think the lighter colour green relates to Al376; is that right? Yes, that is correct. It's slightly difficult to tell. Does that show that they persisted into after June 2000? I also am having a hard time seeing the colours, but it looks like they are gone it looks like the last entry for those are July of 2000. Thank you. Is there anything else you wish to say about Acceptance Incidents and in particular Al376? The accounting integrity of the Horizon System, I think, is the most important part of the Horizon System. It is the reason you know, beyond any just the operational efficiency of the branches being worked, sure, that's important, but no-one would ask for a point-of-sales system that they knew were connected to your financials if there was any doubt about the integrity of the accounting information that was being derived from it. Can we turn to section 18 of your report, please. Thank
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A. A.	If we go over the page, please, I think the lighter colour green relates to Al376; is that right? Yes, that is correct. It's slightly difficult to tell. Does that show that they persisted into after June 2000? I also am having a hard time seeing the colours, but it looks like they are gone it looks like the last entry for those are July of 2000. Thank you. Is there anything else you wish to say about Acceptance Incidents and in particular Al376? The accounting integrity of the Horizon System, I think, is the most important part of the Horizon System. It is the reason you know, beyond any just the operational efficiency of the branches being worked, sure, that's important, but no-one would ask for a point-of-sales system that they knew were connected to your financials if there was any doubt about the integrity of the accounting information that was being derived from it. Can we turn to section 18 of your report, please. Thank you. With the heading: "Payment and receipt imbalances were common symptoms with varied causes."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. A. A.	If we go over the page, please, I think the lighter colour green relates to Al376; is that right? Yes, that is correct. It's slightly difficult to tell. Does that show that they persisted into after June 2000? I also am having a hard time seeing the colours, but it looks like they are gone it looks like the last entry for those are July of 2000. Thank you. Is there anything else you wish to say about Acceptance Incidents and in particular Al376? The accounting integrity of the Horizon System, I think, is the most important part of the Horizon System. It is the reason you know, beyond any just the operational efficiency of the branches being worked, sure, that's important, but no-one would ask for a point-of-sales system that they knew were connected to your financials if there was any doubt about the integrity of the accounting information that was being derived from it. Can we turn to section 18 of your report, please. Thank you. With the heading: "Payment and receipt imbalances were common

like they were going away -- at least during this

If you continue to allow those safety nets to cover functionality that should be present in the core system, I think that's a terrible practice, rather than correcting the core system in a way that you know will persist.

- Q. Did you form a view whether the issues relating to Al376 were sufficiently serious to be bound up in whether the system should have been accepted or not?
- A. Accounting integrity, absolutely. I'd say that's probably the number 1 thing for this system, the accounting integrity.
- Q. And, therefore, what was your view as to whether or not the issues with Al376 were, on the face of it, sufficiently serious as to affect acceptance?
- A. It absolutely should have affected acceptance.
- **Q.** Can we turn, please, to page 129 of your report. This is skipping over extracts from monthly reports concerning Al376 in particular.

At the foot of the page, you say you:

"Surveyed the PinICLs and PEAKs for the pattern of 'Acceptance Incident' followed by numeric or 'AI' followed by a numeric to identify PinICLs and PEAKs that dealt with Acceptance Incident issues. The following figure shows that 358 PinICLs and PEAKs were related to Acceptance Incidents and 223 PinICLs and PEAKs were 70

directed you to identify examples of accounting issues within the PinICLs and PEAKs, and in this part of your report you explore selected examples of accounting issues as represented by payment and receipt imbalance issues. Just describe to us why you are focusing on this, please.

A. I thought it was important to try to, number 1, support the last, you know, the prior theme that we just discussed. But I also thought it was important to illustrate how these issues were manifesting themselves. I think as we get to talk through the examples that I've put in it's going to show that it wasn't as there was a particular section of the Horizon System that was causing integrity issues as far as, like, the development code was concerned.

The causes were all over the place, in my opinion, as to what created these payment and receipt imbalances, and I thought it was important to just show that there were a variety of reasons that would illustrate how things could be out of balance.

- Q. You remind us in 18.1.2 of the Cash Accounting Period 14 to 15 and then into 16, example that you gave earlier in your report which was, I think, an example showing how it should work.
- A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- **Q.** You have updated that to show what happens when you introduce a bug into the system which causes the brought-forward balance to be incorrectly calculated. So, if we just scroll down, please, and look at fig 18.1, thank you. Can you talk us through it, please.
- A. Certainly. So what I'm showing here is that, if the Horizon System was to inaccurately calculate the opening balance for cash and stock, in this example, just multiplying those values by three, as this particular receipts and payments account was reported out, it would show an imbalance between the receipts and the payments. So in this instance there's, you know, approximately £11,000 difference or discrepancy on this particular report.

So this is just an illustration. This is something that I thought would be helpful for the chair just as an example.

- Q. So this shows an imbalance of £11,000 where payments are greater than receipts?
- A. Receipts would be greater than payments, I believe. Maybe I wrote that wrong.
- Q. I was going from over the page --
- 23 A. Sorry, yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 24 Q. Let's just go back to the table and check.
 - A. I think that I might have switched receipts and payments 73

in Horizon: carried forward balance incorrect because the cash and/or stock were not correctly declared by the subpostmaster, or there have been cash and stock changes that couldn't be accounted for.

You then describe a methodology. Can you explain what you did then, please, in relation to this issue.

- A. Certainly. So in order to come up with the examples that eventually exist in this particular section, we wanted to basically search all of the PEAKs and PinICLs. Effectively what we did was we went through a number of iterations, looking at one or two trying to, manually, trying to understand the way they would look, and then start feeding in concepts or words into the Brainspace technology that I described at the very outset of this hearing.
- Q. Are they described in figure 18.1?
- A. Yes, yes. So, for instance, in this we're looking for, you know, the concept of error, cash, issue, fail, and others. So what we're looking for -- basically what's happening is Brainspace is taking entirety of the PEAKs and the PinICLs, and coming up with a bunch of words and phrases that are available for searching on. What this is showing is, you know, some what Brainspace calls a concept which is exactly how it sounds. What does it think -- just without being told anything, what concepts

- here. But the important part is there's an imbalance here.
- Q. So this would show as, what, a surplus of £11,000?
- Α.
- Q. To what extent could you ascertain whether that information that's shown on this page here was visible, assuming this was a real-life example to a subpostmaster?
 - A. I will say that, during the course of my review this, in particular, was a little bit difficult to tease out from the information that I had. I did have some technical manuals. I did have some documentation available to me. None of the reports that were available in those manuals as representative of the reports that the branches might see looked as straightforward and clean as this. So I thought it was, just for the concepts, important to put this -- I guess this is the way I would have shown it, this is to show the concept, but this certainly this not, I believe, anything close to what the people at the counters would have seen.
 - Q. If we go over the page, please, and look and 18.1.5, you say there are various other issues that could result in am imbalance: payments that were not recorded in Horizon; payments that were erroneously recorded in Horizon; receipts not recorded or erroneously recorded

could exist within this body of documents? One of them was error, one of them was issue, one of them was cash, and one of them was fail, that we have in the light blue highlighted here. So that's the start of the process.

74

- Q. So that gave you your first run of data, namely 38,803 PinICLs or PEAKs?
- A. Yes.
- You explain that only a small number of these were rated highly relevant to each of the displayed concepts. Just explain what you mean by that.
- A. So within these concepts, so as the Brainspace technology runs and includes different numbers of documents underneath each of these concepts, it has a grading for how closely aligned to the concept is this PinICL or PEAK and how weak aligned is it. What I'm saying here is that, although we have a large population, since this is kind of the outset of the process, as expected, not a high percentage of any one of the populations for each one of these concepts was considered very tightly aligned to that concept. So we needed to go on to another step.

"A review of those that top of the distribution revealed an issue mentioned in several PinICLs and PEAKs namely cash or stock not balancing. The common phrase 76

Q. You explain that in 18.1.18:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 being use was 'receipts vs payments'." 2 So did you use that concept for a new search? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. Then, if we go over the page, please, what was the 5 result of that new focused search? 6 A. So that provided a very focused set of documents. I 7 believe it was 67 documents that was the result of the 8 population underneath the receipts versus payments 9 concept. 10 Q. Can you explain what 18.1.9 means, please. 11 A. Okay. So this was just another phrase, and it looks to 12 be a technical term that was also associated here within 13 the search that happened -- it's basically -- it's 14 something that came up to be considered, to be looked 15 at, and it looks as though it was -- so it says: 16 "It's worth noting that 'EnteredBBF' is commonly 17 found in PPs when pasting in error messages from 18 a manual migration message store." 19 So from my understanding of the readings of the 20 PEAKs and PinICLs, oftentimes the SSC will try to pull 21 in information from the message stores, and they're also 22 entering -- they're trying to document the process that 23 they're using to fix it. When they happen to paste in 24 information from the message store, this particular 25 phrase happened to have been included in that 77 related to the concept of error". It's now incumbent 1 2 upon me as a reviewer to grade Brainspace. 3 So I might say, of those -- what did I say, 4 11/15 -- of the 15 that Brainspace thought was an error 5 concept, I will say, "I agree with you on 11 of them and 6 I disagree with you on 4 of them", and feed that back 7 into Brainspace and let it do the process again. 8 So now it not only has an idea of what I think is 9 an error, it also has an idea of what I think is not an 10 error, and it can then basically incrementally improve 11 its search to align with what I think the concept of an 12 error is, as opposed to what it thinks the concept of 13 error is. 14 Q. Thank you. You say that three additional rounds of 15 training were undertaken, as set out in your table. 16 Just summarise what the additional rounds of training 17 were for. 18 A. The additional rounds of training were the process I was 19 just talking about, except for it was more than once. 20 We kept doing it over. We kept telling Brainspace what 21 we wanted, we'd get it back, we would grade and we would 22 do it again, and get it back, and do it again, and get 23 it back, until we get to the end process here which was,

24

25

I believe, a 386 document.

Q. Then scroll down, please. I don't think we need to

79

information. So it shows up a lot. That's all we're saying.

- **Q.** So the search returned 67 documents, and you actually read those?
- A. Yes.
- Q. You say that a CMML model was created. What does that mean?
- A. I'm going to have to refer back to even refresh my memory of what the actual words are. But essentially what that means is we're going through an iterative process because this is a machine-learning mechanism. The first step that we talked about was an unsupervised machine-learning process, but every step beyond that is what I'm going to be describing as a supervised machine-learning process.

What does that mean? That means that we are giving a particular problem to Brainspace to solve. We are allowing Brainspace to provide what it thinks the best answer is, and then we're grading that answer and feeding the results back. So when I say grading that answer, so, for instance, I might say I want to look for a particular concept like error -- you know, that was that beginning of this process -- and let's pretend that there was a document population of 100, and Brainspace came back and said, "I think 15 of these documents are

address 1.12 or 1.13, do we?

- A. No, no, they're just technical descriptions.
 - **Q.** Therefore, if we come to your analysis, which is divided between quantitative and qualitative, starting with the former, can you explain what you're describing in 18.1.4, please.
 - **A.** Certainly. So the result of the prior process was that we had identified 399 PPs. 137 were selected for review, and 127 as relevant. So that just sets --
 - **Q.** Relevant to the concept, the idea of the payment and receipt imbalance?
 - A. Exactly. So for that population the first thing we wanted to do was just to quantitatively describe those PPs, those PinICLs and PEAKs, across two of the data elements that are associated with those. One is the response category and one is the defect cause, and I think we've discussed those already today but I'd be happy to discuss them again if you would --
 - **Q.** If you would, just by reference starting with response category to the table at [18.16], please.
 - A. So as a PinICL or PEAK is processed, they start tracking what's happening with this. We're going to identify -you know, we're going to put different values in what's called the response category, which is basically how each individual that's reviewing the PEAK or PinICL is

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

25

1 describing it. This is the category -- this is me as 2 a review, this is my response to the categorisation of 3 what I think this particular -- the stage of this 4 particular PEAK or PinICL is. So that's one dimension. 5 The defect cause, that's a little bit more 6 straightforward. As I said before, that can change 7 throughout the process, but ultimately there is a final 8 value associated with the defect cause which is supposed 9 to represent what the SSC thought the actual defect was 10 for this particular PEAK or PinICL. 11 Q. In terms of the response category, I think you concluded 12 that 19 per cent of the closure reasons, those which are 13 described as "Other" in figure 18.3 and "Administrative Response" in 18.3, didn't provide much or any insight 14 15 into the investigation process; is that right? 16 A. That is correct. 17 Q. Just explain what the significant of that is. 18 A. So, you know, these data elements should provide 19 a little bit of information about exactly how these 20 PEAKs and PinICLs were resolved, you know, what the 21 response was. When I see something like

> So, you know, for instance, in the chart in figure 81

"Administrative", it almost sounds like an "other" or

catch-all. It's just not very in informative to me as

to what the issue is.

identified by the SSC was it was a development or a design aspect of Horizon. Q. So it's self-describing? A. Yes. Q. What's the consequence of that? What's the significance

A. The significance is this was an error in the Horizon System. That's what was causing these imbalances.

A. Because the corrective -- because the cause --

- Q. But also an error in the Horizon System giving rise to or capable of giving rise to a receipt or payment imbalance?
- A. Absolutely, yes. So these are all receipt and payment issues that we're talking about now. So everything we're -- everything that we're going to discuss in this section has to do with that concept.
- Q. You turning to analyse the time that it took to resolve PinICLs and PEAKs in this category. We can turn over the page to 18.5, and you tell us in the text underneath that only 26 or 20 per cent of them were fully closed within a week; 55, 43 per cent took five weeks or more fully to close; and 37, i.e. 29 per cent, took nine weeks or more fully to close.

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

What, if any, conclusion or observation did you make on

18.3, one of them is "no fault in product". That tells me a lot more than "administrative" response. At least I understand what the point of view was from the SSC on that particular PEAK or PinICL.

- Q. Thank you. Over the page, please, and scroll down. Second element of the analysis, analysis of defect cause, and I think from this you found that a significant proportion of these PinICLs and PEAKs had defect causes that were recognised by ICL Pathway as being related to the design or development of Horizon. That is 45 per cent on the pie chart. Tell us which elements take you to the 45 per cent.
 - A. Certainly, and it's in the footnote. So it's, if the defect cause is design, design/high-level design, development/code, development/low-level design, development/reference data.
- Q. That takes you to your 45.
- Α. Yes.
 - **Q.** You say in the narrative:

"This indicates [to you] that there were acknowledged bugs, errors or defects in Horizon that were capable of giving rise to a payment and receipt imbalance."

- 24 Α. Yes
 - Q. Why did that indicate that to you?

82

that material?

- A. Well, the best case scenario on this -- let's talk about the nine weeks or more. Let's talk about the errors or defects that relate to those 37. The best case is that, after the initial error was identified and entered into the PEAK or PinICL system, there was another nine weeks where that error could have been manifesting itself throughout system. That's the best case scenario unless something outside of this process was occurring that mitigated that issue.
- Q. Can we turn over the page, please, to your qualitative observations. You say in 18.2.2 that it's useful to examine individual PinICLs and PEAKs. You selected seven that highlight the varied causes of payment and receipt imbalances. How did you select them?
- discussing. So I picked them for purposes to illustrate the various possible causes of this particular symptom.
- A.
 - Q. You summarise at a high level the PinICL or PEAK. You took some excerpts from the PEAK or PinICL and then you gave observations. We can see that in practice, if we go over the page, please, to look at the first of the seven examples.

A. I picked them from the population that we were just

Q. So to give a fair cross-section of illustrative causes?

1		Summary at the top, then the Chronology, and this	1
2		isn't all of what's in the, in this case, PinICL. We've	2
3		seen that some of them are very long indeed, running to	3
4		many, many pages.	4
5	A.	Yes.	5
6	Q.	But you have taken that important parts of the	6
7		chronology; is that right?	7
8	Α.	Yes.	8
9	Q.	And then over the page, please:	9
10		"My observations".	10
11		If you scroll down, please, you have asked	11
12		a series of six questions which I think are the same	12
13		repeating through the seven examples, and we can see	13
14		what those questions are.	14
15		So that was the way that you were structuring your	15
16		examination of the seven examples.	16
17	Α.	Yes.	17
18	Q.	If we go back to page 137, please, at 18.2.4 you make	18
19		the following general observations. Can you talk us	19
20		through those five observations please.	20
21	Α.	Certainly. So many of these PEAKs and PinICLs seem to	21
22		have been raised as a result of internal reconciliation.	22
23		So that would have been what I was describing before,	23
24		perhaps, you know, one of those safety nets that exists	24
25		to detect errors. So these PinICLs or PEAKs weren't	25
		85	
1		conversation back and forth between people in the	1
2		various groups.	2
3	Q.	Then your fourth observation, you have told us about the	3
4		earnest effort to investigate issues, identify a root	4
5		cause and mitigate future recurrences. How successful	5
6		was the earnest effort?	6
7	A.	I think that the effort, the earnest effort that I was	7
8		talking about, fixed something. It fixed something.	8
9		But there's perhaps we can kind of step away from	9
10		this particular example but just talk about what causes	10
11		errors and at what level do you remediate errors.	11
12		There are symptoms, and there are probably lots of	12
13		different levels of causes of those symptoms. The	13
14		further up the food chain you can get to the cause, the	14
15		more likely it is you are going to eliminate future	15
16		occurrences of those particular symptoms.	16
17		What I'm describing here is: I know that something	17
18		was fixed, for sure. I know that perhaps there was	18
19		a correction entered into the system. So, for instance,	19
20		there was a receipt and payment imbalance. Someone	20
21		understands what that is and understands that at the	21
22		Post Office that needs to be corrected. So perhaps one	22
23		fix would be: I'm going to correct this particular	23
24		instance of this symptom. That would probably be really	24
25		just attacking the symptom.	25
		87	

necessarily raised by an SPM calling up and saying, "I have a problem." They were more raised by the detective controls that had perhaps ringfenced the Horizon System.

- Q. Thank you. The second observation?
- A. So and I think I said this in one of my prior themes. Reading these, I think that the team that's trying to resolve these is earnest in their effort to trying to fix these problems, at least as they saw the symptom. So I did not see any indication that they weren't trying to solve them or trying to not do their job, and I think that's important for the chair to know.
- Q. Third observation.
- A. Each one of the PEAKs and PinICLs had a lot of different teams that were involved. So oftentimes there would be -- so there's different development teams that are being -- so there's the SSC, and then there's, you know, different development teams within the Horizon group that are working together to try to solve it.

So the SSC could try to solve something by themselves, but they might need to reach out to someone on the EPOSS development team or on the APS development team or on the LFS development team, depending on, you know, what time period you were in.

So this is just describing that there is a lot of

Sometimes it might be: well. I know that there was a reference-data issue related to this, and I know that there was one specific part of the reference data issue reference-data system that was at fault here. So I'm going to go correct that, and also provide a correcting accounting entry to the Post Office.

But what if I know that the management of that reference data is really the root cause of that reference-data issue existing to begin with? There might be another level that we need to go to fix that and there might be another level about that.

So what I'm trying to describe here is: these were all addressed in an earnest way to actually resolve these particular PEAKs and PinICLs. I'm not clear on whether the appropriate activity to get at the ultimate genesis of all these problems was really ever addressed across the spectrum of all these, because the SSC has got a lot of work to do. We discussed that already in one of my themes. Their job is to fix this issue, and they did a good job on that.

What I'm not clear on is whether the true cause that's creating all of these error instances is being addressed or not, and it's just not apparent to me in each one of these very specific errors that are being addressed.

1	Q.	That's why you say it's not evident that the identified	1		a Horizon-generated issue. So that one doesn't have
2		issue was resolved?	2		anything to do with Horizon; the rest of them do.
3	A.	Yes.	3		Okay. What's the significance of that?
4	Q.	So the symptom might have been cured?	4	A.	The significance of that is these are not environmental
5	A.	Yes.	5		factors that are causing these imbalance issues; it's
6	Q.	Or the next proximate cause to the symptom might have	6		Horizon.
7		been addressed?	7	Q.	It's the system?
8	A.	Yes.	8	A.	Yes.
9	Q.	But there wasn't evidence that any root causes were	9	Q.	Can we turn then to the first example, please, on
10		addressed?	10		page 138 and 139. If we could display those together,
11	A.	Well, I guess that really depends on what we define as	11		please. It may be that we won't be able to display all
12		the root cause. So we can have one degree of separation	12		of them together and, therefore, at least in a readable
13		of root cause, two degrees. I mean, if we know that	13		way. We'll do them page by page.
14		just one degree of separation actually is truly the root	14		Thank you.
15		cause, there could have been things that were absolutely	15		Can you talk us through this first example,
16		addressed. But I'm just not sure of what the degree of	16		please, concerning ECCO migration.
17		separation is here, because I'm only looking at the	17	Α.	If it's all right with you, Mr Beer, I am going to read
18		PinICLs and PEAKs information. I don't know anything	18		it verbatim, each line and then
19		more the system for sure.	19	Q.	Then give an explanation?
20	Q.	Your fifth conclusion: in the majority of these PinICL	20		Yes. All right. So the first line is:
21		and PEAKs, the root cause is related to Horizon. What	21		"[The] system call related to TIP 1052. Please
22		do you mean by that? The riposte to that that might be:	22		route this call to John Moran in EDSC."
23		of course it's related to Horizon. What are the other	23		I believe EDSC is another term for the SSC.
24		alternatives?	24		I think that's correct; I'm not positive that's correct.
25	Α.	The first example we're going to get to is not	25		But what this is indicating to me is, related to TIP
		89			90
1		1052. So I know that TIP is the Post Office's	1		a discrepancy.
2		accumulator of all the transactions for its accounting.	2	Q.	There's a shortfall of £97.39?
3		That's where Horizon is delivering its transactions to,	3	Α.	Yes. So now we're asking the SSC to investigate. So
4		at the Post Office.	4		that gets us to the next line.
5		So this would be an example of: since it's coming	5		All right, so we see some colour commentary here:
6		from TIP this indicates to me that this is not coming	6		"This is an illustration of the stupidities that
7		from postmaster. This is coming from somewhere down	7		ECCO software allows."
8		dream saying that there's a problem, okay.	8		So just to refresh everyone's memory, ECCO was a
9	Q.		9		point-of-sales system that I believe existed in some
10		All right. So, MSU, John Moran:	10		branches prior to the Horizon system. So that's why
11		"The following has been copied from Business Call	11		this is an example of an issue that is not really
12		[I'll skip that incident]. Discrepancy in Cash Account	12		a Horizon issue, okay?
13		for week 46. A comparison between values received	13		"A clerk can transfer cash and cheques between
14		within the cash account files and those derived from the	14		stock units without bothering to make sure that they
15		transaction stream identified the following	15		match up. The result shows up when the transaction data
16		differences."	16		is migrated to Horizon, which insists on clear
17		So it's showing differences. So what this is	17		
18		saying is: it looks like the cash account is showing	17		demarcation between cash and cheques. In this case the critical transactions are Transfers In of two cheques
19		different numbers than what the transactions that have			
			19 20		whose total amount exactly equals the discrepancy
20		already arrived in TIP are. So I'm imagining that there	20		noted."
21		is a balancing that's being done at the branch. It's	21		So what this is indicating to me is that ECCO
22		being sent up to the Post Office. The Post Office	22		allowed someone to call let's see ECCO is allowing

23

24

25

23

24

25

already has, in theory, all the transactions, and they

are comparing what they think that should look like to

91

what the branch is sending it, and there's

some cash and cheques, and Horizon is getting -- this is 92

cash to be called a cheque. So that's where they're out

of balance. The Post Office is thinking: I should have

1 probably an initial load of data into -- this is 1 ECCO system to the Horizon System. So then they do 2 probably an initial month in, at this particular branch, 2 their passing back to MSU for issue of RED. I think 3 3 and when they migrated the data from ECCO to Horizon, that's a reconciliation database. I can't recall exactly what "RED" stands for but my understanding is 4 ECCO incorrectly put everything into cheques instead of 4 5 5 dividing it between cash and cheques. So that's what that's kind of a documentation method that the SSC uses 6 6 the issue -- that's the source of this particular issue. to or Horizon ICL Pathway uses to show the Post Office 7 7 Then it goes on to say: that everything's all right, and they issued it to the 8 8 "I think Steve Warwick is aware of the ECCO customer, no data error, close the call, and then they 9 problem here but as a matter of course I will route the 9 closed the call. 10 call to him to allow him to comment." 10 Q. Your observations, please. 11 Steve Warwick says: 11 A. So if I assume that the issuing of a RED notice to the 12 "This issue is well documented in previous 12 customer resolved the PEAK or PinICL, then yes. So --13 incidents with TIP. The effect is that Pathway system 13 and that's my assumption but I just wanted to note that the resolution was that they documented it and sent it 14 reports the values of the affected products incorrectly 14 15 on the Cash Account for the migration CAP although the 15 on to --16 cash account still balances. TIP then uses the Cash 16 So the immediate issue was fixed? 17 Account figures from the migration CAP as the start 17 A. Yes. exactly. 18 point for validating the next Cash Account received from 18 Q. On that assumption? 19 the outlet and report a discrepancy between the 19 Α. Yes 20 transactions received in week 2 and Cash Account for 20 Q. Was a defect or root cause identified? 21 week 2. This is a user error pre-migration of ECCO+ 21 A. Yes, this was a migration -- this was an ECCO-generated 22 Office." 22 issue and it was identified. 23 This is not a Horizon issue. This is not 23 Q. And was that correctly recorded? Was that defect or 24 a Horizon-generated issue. This is an issue that 24 root cause correctly recorded in the PinICL? 25 they've seen before when they are migrating from the 25 A. I said yes on this. I think they indicated in the text 94 93 1 here that this was because the user made that transfer. 1 A. That's right. They would have been blissfully ignorant so I think that this is an appropriate identification. 2 2 of this in an appropriate way. 3 Q. Next question: evidence that the defect or root cause 3 Q. Yes. And then lastly observations on the defect root 4 was addressed. I think you said you wouldn't expect it 4 cause, you say that general user defect cause was 5 to be addressed? 5 correct? 6 A. I doubt it. 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. Then more general observations; can you help us with 7 MR BEER: Sir, that might be an appropriate moment to take 8 those? 8 the break before we move to example 2 of 7 because that 9 A. Right. So what I wanted to bring up here is that this 9 will take a little while. 10 was -- this was generated through an internal 10 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes, of course. 11 reconciliation, which is fine -- and this would have 11 MR BEER: So might we say 1.55? 12 12 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes, fine. been one of the reasons why having that ring-fence 13 around, you know, the system was good. This wasn't an 13 MR BEER: Thank you very much, sir. 14 issue really that was generated from within Horizon, but 14 (12.56 pm) 15 it was an issue to make sure that the integrity of the 15 (Luncheon Adjournment) 16 data was right and that particular safety net worked 16 (1.55 pm) 17 correctly and appropriately in this case. 17 MR BEER: Good afternoon, sir. Can you see and hear us? 18 Q. And no response to an SPM was required. So he or she 18 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes, I can. 19 wouldn't know about this fix? 19 MR BEER: Thank you very much. 20 A. That's right. This wasn't raised by an SPM: therefore. 20 Mr Cipione, can we look please at page 140 of your 21 there was no need to call an SPM about this. 21 report, EXPG0000001, 140. We're dealing here with the 22 Q. So the "changes" in, in inverted commas, the SPMs' data 22 second of the seven exemplar PinICLs. Can we do as 23 would be known without the SPM realising that there was 23 before, please; you talk us through.

24

25

Q. Translate, yes.

24

25

a discrepancy or the cause of the discrepancy or the

95

correction of the discrepancy?

A. Yes. As before I'm going to read and then explain.

1	Α.	The first line:	1
2		"Incorrect CA value. Live trial the CA sub file	2
3		for org units 12609"	3
4		Which is a particular "FAD", which I understand to	4
5		mean is a branch number identifier.	5
6		" CA week 21 contains an entry for line 2050	6
7		with a value of £17,181.05. However, TIP has calculated	7
8		from the transactions it has received that the value of	8
9		the line should be £17,642.31. There is leaves	9
10		a difference of £461.26."	10
11		So there's a problem. All right, so it moved on:	11
12		"Barbara, I have just spoken to John Pope	12
13		(Requirements). This is classified under Acceptance	13
14		Incident 376. Would you please raise the level of Al	14
15		incident. Would John Simpkins please take a look, then	15
16		send to EPOSS."	16
17	Q.	Just: "Would you please raise the level to an A/AI."	17
18	A.	Yes, "to an A."	18
19	Q.	Do you understand that to be the categorisation of A, B	19
20		and C?	20
21	A.	That is what I understand, where Category A would be the	21
22		highest priority.	22
23	Q.	Yes.	23
24	A.	Right so John Simpkins responds:	24
25		"I have checked the agent boxes at Wigan for any	25
		97	
1		which means I don't think it's in production, meaning	4
'		which means ruon tulink its in production, meaning	
2		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1
2		it's not actually being used right now.	2
3		it's not actually being used right now. "The problem message is unfortunately an Existing	2
3 4		it's not actually being used right now. "The problem message is unfortunately an Existing Reversal message. So the harvester's automatic	2 3 4
3 4 5		it's not actually being used right now. "The problem message is unfortunately an Existing Reversal message. So the harvester's automatic assignment to Serve Customer is likely to provide	2 3 4 5
3 4 5 6		it's not actually being used right now. "The problem message is unfortunately an Existing Reversal message. So the harvester's automatic assignment to Serve Customer is likely to provide problems, someone will need to amend this. Routing to	2 3 4 5 6
3 4 5 6 7		it's not actually being used right now. "The problem message is unfortunately an Existing Reversal message. So the harvester's automatic assignment to Serve Customer is likely to provide problems, someone will need to amend this. Routing to EDSC for them to solve the procedural problems and check	2 3 4 5 6 7
3 4 5 6 7 8		it's not actually being used right now. "The problem message is unfortunately an Existing Reversal message. So the harvester's automatic assignment to Serve Customer is likely to provide problems, someone will need to amend this. Routing to EDSC for them to solve the procedural problems and check when the Tivoli package is due for release."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8
3 4 5 6 7 8 9		it's not actually being used right now. "The problem message is unfortunately an Existing Reversal message. So the harvester's automatic assignment to Serve Customer is likely to provide problems, someone will need to amend this. Routing to EDSC for them to solve the procedural problems and check when the Tivoli package is due for release." So okay, the problem message is an existing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9
3 4 5 6 7 8 9		it's not actually being used right now. "The problem message is unfortunately an Existing Reversal message. So the harvester's automatic assignment to Serve Customer is likely to provide problems, someone will need to amend this. Routing to EDSC for them to solve the procedural problems and check when the Tivoli package is due for release." So okay, the problem message is an existing reversal message. So it sounds like the regular process	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11		it's not actually being used right now. "The problem message is unfortunately an Existing Reversal message. So the harvester's automatic assignment to Serve Customer is likely to provide problems, someone will need to amend this. Routing to EDSC for them to solve the procedural problems and check when the Tivoli package is due for release." So okay, the problem message is an existing reversal message. So it sounds like the regular process at the harvester would just be a step along the way of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12		it's not actually being used right now. "The problem message is unfortunately an Existing Reversal message. So the harvester's automatic assignment to Serve Customer is likely to provide problems, someone will need to amend this. Routing to EDSC for them to solve the procedural problems and check when the Tivoli package is due for release." So okay, the problem message is an existing reversal message. So it sounds like the regular process at the harvester would just be a step along the way of getting the information from the counter, all the way up	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		it's not actually being used right now. "The problem message is unfortunately an Existing Reversal message. So the harvester's automatic assignment to Serve Customer is likely to provide problems, someone will need to amend this. Routing to EDSC for them to solve the procedural problems and check when the Tivoli package is due for release." So okay, the problem message is an existing reversal message. So it sounds like the regular process at the harvester would just be a step along the way of getting the information from the counter, all the way up through the data centres, then eventually on to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		it's not actually being used right now. "The problem message is unfortunately an Existing Reversal message. So the harvester's automatic assignment to Serve Customer is likely to provide problems, someone will need to amend this. Routing to EDSC for them to solve the procedural problems and check when the Tivoli package is due for release." So okay, the problem message is an existing reversal message. So it sounds like the regular process at the harvester would just be a step along the way of getting the information from the counter, all the way up through the data centres, then eventually on to Post Office. It sounds like the existing path it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		it's not actually being used right now. "The problem message is unfortunately an Existing Reversal message. So the harvester's automatic assignment to Serve Customer is likely to provide problems, someone will need to amend this. Routing to EDSC for them to solve the procedural problems and check when the Tivoli package is due for release." So okay, the problem message is an existing reversal message. So it sounds like the regular process at the harvester would just be a step along the way of getting the information from the counter, all the way up through the data centres, then eventually on to Post Office. It sounds like the existing path it sounds like they think it's going to be a problem; you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15		it's not actually being used right now. "The problem message is unfortunately an Existing Reversal message. So the harvester's automatic assignment to Serve Customer is likely to provide problems, someone will need to amend this. Routing to EDSC for them to solve the procedural problems and check when the Tivoli package is due for release." So okay, the problem message is an existing reversal message. So it sounds like the regular process at the harvester would just be a step along the way of getting the information from the counter, all the way up through the data centres, then eventually on to Post Office. It sounds like the existing path it sounds like they think it's going to be a problem; you are not going to be able to do the regular thing.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		it's not actually being used right now. "The problem message is unfortunately an Existing Reversal message. So the harvester's automatic assignment to Serve Customer is likely to provide problems, someone will need to amend this. Routing to EDSC for them to solve the procedural problems and check when the Tivoli package is due for release." So okay, the problem message is an existing reversal message. So it sounds like the regular process at the harvester would just be a step along the way of getting the information from the counter, all the way up through the data centres, then eventually on to Post Office. It sounds like the existing path it sounds like they think it's going to be a problem; you are not going to be able to do the regular thing. Then we have Jim Anscomb saying the total	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q.	it's not actually being used right now. "The problem message is unfortunately an Existing Reversal message. So the harvester's automatic assignment to Serve Customer is likely to provide problems, someone will need to amend this. Routing to EDSC for them to solve the procedural problems and check when the Tivoli package is due for release." So okay, the problem message is an existing reversal message. So it sounds like the regular process at the harvester would just be a step along the way of getting the information from the counter, all the way up through the data centres, then eventually on to Post Office. It sounds like the existing path it sounds like they think it's going to be a problem; you are not going to be able to do the regular thing.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. A.	it's not actually being used right now. "The problem message is unfortunately an Existing Reversal message. So the harvester's automatic assignment to Serve Customer is likely to provide problems, someone will need to amend this. Routing to EDSC for them to solve the procedural problems and check when the Tivoli package is due for release." So okay, the problem message is an existing reversal message. So it sounds like the regular process at the harvester would just be a step along the way of getting the information from the counter, all the way up through the data centres, then eventually on to Post Office. It sounds like the existing path it sounds like they think it's going to be a problem; you are not going to be able to do the regular thing. Then we have Jim Anscomb saying the total discrepancy is 461.22 26?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		it's not actually being used right now. "The problem message is unfortunately an Existing Reversal message. So the harvester's automatic assignment to Serve Customer is likely to provide problems, someone will need to amend this. Routing to EDSC for them to solve the procedural problems and check when the Tivoli package is due for release." So okay, the problem message is an existing reversal message. So it sounds like the regular process at the harvester would just be a step along the way of getting the information from the counter, all the way up through the data centres, then eventually on to Post Office. It sounds like the existing path it sounds like they think it's going to be a problem; you are not going to be able to do the regular thing. Then we have Jim Anscomb saying the total discrepancy is 461.22	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20		it's not actually being used right now. "The problem message is unfortunately an Existing Reversal message. So the harvester's automatic assignment to Serve Customer is likely to provide problems, someone will need to amend this. Routing to EDSC for them to solve the procedural problems and check when the Tivoli package is due for release." So okay, the problem message is an existing reversal message. So it sounds like the regular process at the harvester would just be a step along the way of getting the information from the counter, all the way up through the data centres, then eventually on to Post Office. It sounds like the existing path it sounds like they think it's going to be a problem; you are not going to be able to do the regular thing. Then we have Jim Anscomb saying the total discrepancy is 461.22 26? 26, thank you. Indicates 143.22 has been accounted for above. Then it looks as though this next line is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		it's not actually being used right now. "The problem message is unfortunately an Existing Reversal message. So the harvester's automatic assignment to Serve Customer is likely to provide problems, someone will need to amend this. Routing to EDSC for them to solve the procedural problems and check when the Tivoli package is due for release." So okay, the problem message is an existing reversal message. So it sounds like the regular process at the harvester would just be a step along the way of getting the information from the counter, all the way up through the data centres, then eventually on to Post Office. It sounds like the existing path it sounds like they think it's going to be a problem; you are not going to be able to do the regular thing. Then we have Jim Anscomb saying the total discrepancy is 461.22 26? 26, thank you. Indicates 143.22 has been accounted for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		it's not actually being used right now. "The problem message is unfortunately an Existing Reversal message. So the harvester's automatic assignment to Serve Customer is likely to provide problems, someone will need to amend this. Routing to EDSC for them to solve the procedural problems and check when the Tivoli package is due for release." So okay, the problem message is an existing reversal message. So it sounds like the regular process at the harvester would just be a step along the way of getting the information from the counter, all the way up through the data centres, then eventually on to Post Office. It sounds like the existing path it sounds like they think it's going to be a problem; you are not going to be able to do the regular thing. Then we have Jim Anscomb saying the total discrepancy is 461.22 26? 26, thank you. Indicates 143.22 has been accounted for above. Then it looks as though this next line is indicating that it's a high priority call. It's just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23		it's not actually being used right now. "The problem message is unfortunately an Existing Reversal message. So the harvester's automatic assignment to Serve Customer is likely to provide problems, someone will need to amend this. Routing to EDSC for them to solve the procedural problems and check when the Tivoli package is due for release." So okay, the problem message is an existing reversal message. So it sounds like the regular process at the harvester would just be a step along the way of getting the information from the counter, all the way up through the data centres, then eventually on to Post Office. It sounds like the existing path it sounds like they think it's going to be a problem; you are not going to be able to do the regular thing. Then we have Jim Anscomb saying the total discrepancy is 461.22 26? 26, thank you. Indicates 143.22 has been accounted for above. Then it looks as though this next line is indicating that it's a high priority call. It's just indicating that, and with an encouragement to progress	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23

T_HV_ALL event for this office between 12 August and 18 August and did not find any."

Then Jim Anscomb says:

"There is a null value transaction Mode on [a particular number] for a cash credit of £143.22 though this is now not a problem for the harvester. No delays shown in the APR db."

I don't understand everything that's in there except for what I've honed in on, this null transaction mode, which sounds to me as though there is a problem in the reference data for this transaction.

Q. Thank you.

A. All right. So the next line:

"The erroneous message was [a particular number] not [the number that the person before was referencing] -- in case anyone else is relying on this [information]. We released a fix for this on 20 August into WP5406 [which I assume means work package, which is like a quick software release] which went to OTT and is due to be released in Tivoli package EPOSS_COUNTER_CORE~..."

This is very technical and I can't explain everything, but it sounds like they are trying to release a software fix, or they are releasing a software fix, but it indicates it hasn't made it to live, yet

"Just a thought, but the sign reversal mentioned above (serve customer sent to TIP instead of Existing Reversal) may explain 2 x 143.22 [because that's] 286.44. Can anybody help with 174.82?"

So up to this point I think they've got some ideas. They're trying to figure out what the problem is. I don't think we've identified exactly what problem is as of yet, just in case anyone thinks that they have.

Q. Yes.

A. All right. So the next line Steve Warwick:

"It may be of interest that the value of the discrepancy between the TIP and Pathway figures appears to correspond to 2 x £230.63. During the balancing of stock unit AA on [18 August] a stock adjustment was made to reduce the value of Cheques by this amount, with a corresponding increase in Cash. These two stock adjustment records were later individually reversed, generating a further four transactions for £230.63, three against cash and one against cheques. Therefore, in total four cash transactions (two positive, two negative) and two cheque transactions (one positive and one negative) were written.

"Given that there have previously been issues with TIP's rejection of 'Existing Reversal' transactions where the reversal settlement contained no

1		cross-reference details, is it possible that this has	1		And then:
2		caused the reconciliation failure? According to the	2		" a reversal of the cheque adjustment
3		message store data, the Cash Account for CAP 21 reported	3		transaction was carried out, generating two
4		total receipts equal total payments, indicating that the	4		transactions one to increase the value of cheques and
5		message store data is complete and accurate."	5		one to reduce the value of cash by the same amount."
6		Okay, so here there's some more speculation going	6		So he's telling us what happened from his
7		on as well as an indication that in CAP 21 everything	7		investigation:
8		appears to be fine or, sorry, in CAP 21 the total	8		"These transactions are recorded in the message
9		receipts and total payments are equal. So Steve Warwick	9		store with the correct signs"
10		comes back again the next day:	10		Because that's probably where he's getting this
11		"From further information received from TIP, the	11		information."
12		sequence of events seems to have been as follows"	12		"From the information supplied by TIP, it seems as
13		And I'm not going to read that time and date:	13		though they have received/treated the
14		"A stock adjustments was carried out to reduce	14		transactions (a reversal of a previous reduction in
15		value of cheques by £230.63. This wrote two	15		the value of cheques) as though it was a reduction in
16		transactions one to reduce the value of cheques, one	16		value rather than an increase in value, thereby
17		to increase the value of cash by the same amount, both	17		calculating a discrepancy of twice that amount."
18		transactions carried mode 'SAN'."	18		So he's still speculating on this last sentence:
19		Then:	19		"Either the sign on the transaction value sent to
20		" a reversal of the cash settlement transaction	20		TIP was incorrect, or TIP have misinterpreted the data
21		to the cheque adjustment took place resulting in two	21		sent."
22		transactions being written against cash, one to reduce	22		So what this is telling me is they've identified
23		the value of cash, one to increase the value of cash to	23		where the difference is now. So that's good. So they
24		settle the reversal. Both transactions carried the mode	24		found at least what the symptom is, and now they are
25		'ER'."	25		speculating on what happened in between to make those
		101			102
1		differences.	1		So this indicates to me that there was probably
1 2		differences. The next line:	1 2		So this indicates to me that there was probably something wrong in the attribute grammar and, if you
2		The next line:	2		something wrong in the attribute grammar and, if you
2		The next line: "Looking at the TIP file there were two reversals	2	Q.	something wrong in the attribute grammar and, if you recall from our first session, that's the format that
2 3 4		The next line: "Looking at the TIP file there were two reversals for £230.63 in quick succession. The first is translated for TIP as balancing plus and minus entries. The second, however, is translated into two positive	2 3 4		something wrong in the attribute grammar and, if you recall from our first session, that's the format that the reference data
2 3 4 5		The next line: "Looking at the TIP file there were two reversals for £230.63 in quick succession. The first is translated for TIP as balancing plus and minus entries.	2 3 4 5		something wrong in the attribute grammar and, if you recall from our first session, that's the format that the reference data It's written in?
2 3 4 5 6		The next line: "Looking at the TIP file there were two reversals for £230.63 in quick succession. The first is translated for TIP as balancing plus and minus entries. The second, however, is translated into two positive	2 3 4 5 6		something wrong in the attribute grammar and, if you recall from our first session, that's the format that the reference data It's written in? Yes. Okay, so the next line:
2 3 4 5 6 7		The next line: "Looking at the TIP file there were two reversals for £230.63 in quick succession. The first is translated for TIP as balancing plus and minus entries. The second, however, is translated into two positive entries, which would account for the error. See extract	2 3 4 5 6 7		something wrong in the attribute grammar and, if you recall from our first session, that's the format that the reference data It's written in? Yes. Okay, so the next line: "Testing of this should include transacting in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8		The next line: "Looking at the TIP file there were two reversals for £230.63 in quick succession. The first is translated for TIP as balancing plus and minus entries. The second, however, is translated into two positive entries, which would account for the error. See extract of TIP file and message store attached."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8		something wrong in the attribute grammar and, if you recall from our first session, that's the format that the reference data It's written in? Yes. Okay, so the next line: "Testing of this should include transacting in each mode."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		The next line: "Looking at the TIP file there were two reversals for £230.63 in quick succession. The first is translated for TIP as balancing plus and minus entries. The second, however, is translated into two positive entries, which would account for the error. See extract of TIP file and message store attached." So what TIP received is not what TIP was intending	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		something wrong in the attribute grammar and, if you recall from our first session, that's the format that the reference data It's written in? Yes. Okay, so the next line: "Testing of this should include transacting in each mode." So, if you recall, they will have been talking
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		The next line: "Looking at the TIP file there were two reversals for £230.63 in quick succession. The first is translated for TIP as balancing plus and minus entries. The second, however, is translated into two positive entries, which would account for the error. See extract of TIP file and message store attached." So what TIP received is not what TIP was intending to receive. So the next line:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		something wrong in the attribute grammar and, if you recall from our first session, that's the format that the reference data It's written in? Yes. Okay, so the next line: "Testing of this should include transacting in each mode." So, if you recall, they will have been talking about two modes. One is ER mode and one is SAN mode.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10		The next line: "Looking at the TIP file there were two reversals for £230.63 in quick succession. The first is translated for TIP as balancing plus and minus entries. The second, however, is translated into two positive entries, which would account for the error. See extract of TIP file and message store attached." So what TIP received is not what TIP was intending to receive. So the next line: "Changes to be made to clsEPOSS and clsTransaction"	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10		something wrong in the attribute grammar and, if you recall from our first session, that's the format that the reference data It's written in? Yes. Okay, so the next line: "Testing of this should include transacting in each mode." So, if you recall, they will have been talking about two modes. One is ER mode and one is SAN mode. So that's really more for them, just on the technical
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11		The next line: "Looking at the TIP file there were two reversals for £230.63 in quick succession. The first is translated for TIP as balancing plus and minus entries. The second, however, is translated into two positive entries, which would account for the error. See extract of TIP file and message store attached." So what TIP received is not what TIP was intending to receive. So the next line: "Changes to be made to clsEPOSS and clsTransaction in the EPOSSCore. Fix applied"	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11		something wrong in the attribute grammar and, if you recall from our first session, that's the format that the reference data It's written in? Yes. Okay, so the next line: "Testing of this should include transacting in each mode." So, if you recall, they will have been talking about two modes. One is ER mode and one is SAN mode. So that's really more for them, just on the technical level, but those are the two modes that they are talking
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		The next line: "Looking at the TIP file there were two reversals for £230.63 in quick succession. The first is translated for TIP as balancing plus and minus entries. The second, however, is translated into two positive entries, which would account for the error. See extract of TIP file and message store attached." So what TIP received is not what TIP was intending to receive. So the next line: "Changes to be made to clsEPOSS and clsTransaction in the EPOSSCore. Fix applied" So what they are saying here is they are changing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		something wrong in the attribute grammar and, if you recall from our first session, that's the format that the reference data It's written in? Yes. Okay, so the next line: "Testing of this should include transacting in each mode." So, if you recall, they will have been talking about two modes. One is ER mode and one is SAN mode. So that's really more for them, just on the technical level, but those are the two modes that they are talking about.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q.	The next line: "Looking at the TIP file there were two reversals for £230.63 in quick succession. The first is translated for TIP as balancing plus and minus entries. The second, however, is translated into two positive entries, which would account for the error. See extract of TIP file and message store attached." So what TIP received is not what TIP was intending to receive. So the next line: "Changes to be made to clsEPOSS and clsTransaction in the EPOSSCore. Fix applied" So what they are saying here is they are changing code. To me this sounds like they are changing code	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		something wrong in the attribute grammar and, if you recall from our first session, that's the format that the reference data It's written in? Yes. Okay, so the next line: "Testing of this should include transacting in each mode." So, if you recall, they will have been talking about two modes. One is ER mode and one is SAN mode. So that's really more for them, just on the technical level, but those are the two modes that they are talking about. "Testing should include transacting in each mode
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15		The next line: "Looking at the TIP file there were two reversals for £230.63 in quick succession. The first is translated for TIP as balancing plus and minus entries. The second, however, is translated into two positive entries, which would account for the error. See extract of TIP file and message store attached." So what TIP received is not what TIP was intending to receive. So the next line: "Changes to be made to clsEPOSS and clsTransaction in the EPOSSCore. Fix applied" So what they are saying here is they are changing code. To me this sounds like they are changing code modules.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14		something wrong in the attribute grammar and, if you recall from our first session, that's the format that the reference data It's written in? Yes. Okay, so the next line: "Testing of this should include transacting in each mode." So, if you recall, they will have been talking about two modes. One is ER mode and one is SAN mode. So that's really more for them, just on the technical level, but those are the two modes that they are talking about. "Testing should include transacting in each mode and the message should be as they were. Then performing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		The next line: "Looking at the TIP file there were two reversals for £230.63 in quick succession. The first is translated for TIP as balancing plus and minus entries. The second, however, is translated into two positive entries, which would account for the error. See extract of TIP file and message store attached." So what TIP received is not what TIP was intending to receive. So the next line: "Changes to be made to clsEPOSS and clsTransaction in the EPOSSCore. Fix applied" So what they are saying here is they are changing code. To me this sounds like they are changing code modules. Sorry, they are changing code modules?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		something wrong in the attribute grammar and, if you recall from our first session, that's the format that the reference data It's written in? Yes. Okay, so the next line: "Testing of this should include transacting in each mode." So, if you recall, they will have been talking about two modes. One is ER mode and one is SAN mode. So that's really more for them, just on the technical level, but those are the two modes that they are talking about. "Testing should include transacting in each mode and the message should be as they were. Then performing a reversal of each mode an checking that the new
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17		The next line: "Looking at the TIP file there were two reversals for £230.63 in quick succession. The first is translated for TIP as balancing plus and minus entries. The second, however, is translated into two positive entries, which would account for the error. See extract of TIP file and message store attached." So what TIP received is not what TIP was intending to receive. So the next line: "Changes to be made to clsEPOSS and clsTransaction in the EPOSSCore. Fix applied" So what they are saying here is they are changing code. To me this sounds like they are changing code modules. Sorry, they are changing code modules? They are changing code, in particular, in the modules.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17		something wrong in the attribute grammar and, if you recall from our first session, that's the format that the reference data It's written in? Yes. Okay, so the next line: "Testing of this should include transacting in each mode." So, if you recall, they will have been talking about two modes. One is ER mode and one is SAN mode. So that's really more for them, just on the technical level, but those are the two modes that they are talking about. "Testing should include transacting in each mode and the message should be as they were. Then performing a reversal of each mode an checking that the new attribute grammar exists in the cash settlements of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		The next line: "Looking at the TIP file there were two reversals for £230.63 in quick succession. The first is translated for TIP as balancing plus and minus entries. The second, however, is translated into two positive entries, which would account for the error. See extract of TIP file and message store attached." So what TIP received is not what TIP was intending to receive. So the next line: "Changes to be made to clsEPOSS and clsTransaction in the EPOSSCore. Fix applied" So what they are saying here is they are changing code. To me this sounds like they are changing code modules. Sorry, they are changing code modules? They are changing code, in particular, in the modules. I think that those are module names or the class names.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		something wrong in the attribute grammar and, if you recall from our first session, that's the format that the reference data It's written in? Yes. Okay, so the next line: "Testing of this should include transacting in each mode." So, if you recall, they will have been talking about two modes. One is ER mode and one is SAN mode. So that's really more for them, just on the technical level, but those are the two modes that they are talking about. "Testing should include transacting in each mode and the message should be as they were. Then performing a reversal of each mode an checking that the new attribute grammar exists in the cash settlements of the reversals."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19		The next line: "Looking at the TIP file there were two reversals for £230.63 in quick succession. The first is translated for TIP as balancing plus and minus entries. The second, however, is translated into two positive entries, which would account for the error. See extract of TIP file and message store attached." So what TIP received is not what TIP was intending to receive. So the next line: "Changes to be made to clsEPOSS and clsTransaction in the EPOSSCore. Fix applied" So what they are saying here is they are changing code. To me this sounds like they are changing code modules. Sorry, they are changing code modules? They are changing code, in particular, in the modules. I think that those are module names or the class names. I don't know what the code looks like, so I'm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		something wrong in the attribute grammar and, if you recall from our first session, that's the format that the reference data It's written in? Yes. Okay, so the next line: "Testing of this should include transacting in each mode." So, if you recall, they will have been talking about two modes. One is ER mode and one is SAN mode. So that's really more for them, just on the technical level, but those are the two modes that they are talking about. "Testing should include transacting in each mode and the message should be as they were. Then performing a reversal of each mode an checking that the new attribute grammar exists in the cash settlements of the reversals." So they are just saying, when you test this, make
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20		The next line: "Looking at the TIP file there were two reversals for £230.63 in quick succession. The first is translated for TIP as balancing plus and minus entries. The second, however, is translated into two positive entries, which would account for the error. See extract of TIP file and message store attached." So what TIP received is not what TIP was intending to receive. So the next line: "Changes to be made to clsEPOSS and clsTransaction in the EPOSSCore. Fix applied" So what they are saying here is they are changing code. To me this sounds like they are changing code modules. Sorry, they are changing code modules? They are changing code, in particular, in the modules. I think that those are module names or the class names. I don't know what the code looks like, so I'm speculating.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20		something wrong in the attribute grammar and, if you recall from our first session, that's the format that the reference data It's written in? Yes. Okay, so the next line: "Testing of this should include transacting in each mode." So, if you recall, they will have been talking about two modes. One is ER mode and one is SAN mode. So that's really more for them, just on the technical level, but those are the two modes that they are talking about. "Testing should include transacting in each mode and the message should be as they were. Then performing a reversal of each mode an checking that the new attribute grammar exists in the cash settlements of the reversals." So they are just saying, when you test this, make sure you are using both modes and make sure you are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		The next line: "Looking at the TIP file there were two reversals for £230.63 in quick succession. The first is translated for TIP as balancing plus and minus entries. The second, however, is translated into two positive entries, which would account for the error. See extract of TIP file and message store attached." So what TIP received is not what TIP was intending to receive. So the next line: "Changes to be made to clsEPOSS and clsTransaction in the EPOSSCore. Fix applied" So what they are saying here is they are changing code. To me this sounds like they are changing code modules. Sorry, they are changing code modules? They are changing code, in particular, in the modules. I think that those are module names or the class names. I don't know what the code looks like, so I'm speculating. "You should get in the attribute grammar for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		something wrong in the attribute grammar and, if you recall from our first session, that's the format that the reference data It's written in? Yes. Okay, so the next line: "Testing of this should include transacting in each mode." So, if you recall, they will have been talking about two modes. One is ER mode and one is SAN mode. So that's really more for them, just on the technical level, but those are the two modes that they are talking about. "Testing should include transacting in each mode and the message should be as they were. Then performing a reversal of each mode an checking that the new attribute grammar exists in the cash settlements of the reversals." So they are just saying, when you test this, make sure you are using both modes and make sure you are doing a reversal, which is trying to recreate the set of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		The next line: "Looking at the TIP file there were two reversals for £230.63 in quick succession. The first is translated for TIP as balancing plus and minus entries. The second, however, is translated into two positive entries, which would account for the error. See extract of TIP file and message store attached." So what TIP received is not what TIP was intending to receive. So the next line: "Changes to be made to clsEPOSS and clsTransaction in the EPOSSCore. Fix applied" So what they are saying here is they are changing code. To me this sounds like they are changing code modules. Sorry, they are changing code modules? They are changing code, in particular, in the modules. I think that those are module names or the class names. I don't know what the code looks like, so I'm speculating. "You should get in the attribute grammar for a cash settlement for an ER transaction the additional	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		something wrong in the attribute grammar and, if you recall from our first session, that's the format that the reference data It's written in? Yes. Okay, so the next line: "Testing of this should include transacting in each mode." So, if you recall, they will have been talking about two modes. One is ER mode and one is SAN mode. So that's really more for them, just on the technical level, but those are the two modes that they are talking about. "Testing should include transacting in each mode and the message should be as they were. Then performing a reversal of each mode an checking that the new attribute grammar exists in the cash settlements of the reversals." So they are just saying, when you test this, make sure you are using both modes and make sure you are doing a reversal, which is trying to recreate the set of circumstances that are associated with this error.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23		The next line: "Looking at the TIP file there were two reversals for £230.63 in quick succession. The first is translated for TIP as balancing plus and minus entries. The second, however, is translated into two positive entries, which would account for the error. See extract of TIP file and message store attached." So what TIP received is not what TIP was intending to receive. So the next line: "Changes to be made to clsEPOSS and clsTransaction in the EPOSSCore. Fix applied" So what they are saying here is they are changing code. To me this sounds like they are changing code modules. Sorry, they are changing code modules? They are changing code, in particular, in the modules. I think that those are module names or the class names. I don't know what the code looks like, so I'm speculating. "You should get in the attribute grammar for a cash settlement for an ER transaction the additional data of"	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23		something wrong in the attribute grammar and, if you recall from our first session, that's the format that the reference data It's written in? Yes. Okay, so the next line: "Testing of this should include transacting in each mode." So, if you recall, they will have been talking about two modes. One is ER mode and one is SAN mode. So that's really more for them, just on the technical level, but those are the two modes that they are talking about. "Testing should include transacting in each mode and the message should be as they were. Then performing a reversal of each mode an checking that the new attribute grammar exists in the cash settlements of the reversals." So they are just saying, when you test this, make sure you are using both modes and make sure you are doing a reversal, which is trying to recreate the set of circumstances that are associated with this error. The next line:

1	Q.	Just stopping there, do I understand it correctly that	1
2		the problem that had been identified so far is with	2
3		EPOSS?	3
4	A.	That is the way I'm reading it, yes.	4
5	Q.	Thank you. Sorry.	5
6	A.	Okay.	6
7		"Link test OK on CSR dev counter. Performed	7
8		a transaction followed by existing reversal for each of	8
9		the following modes:	9
10		"Serve customer, Rems (all modes) [They list out	10
11		the modes].	11
12		"On each existing reversal the message store was	12
13		checked for the new attribute grammar.	13
14		"CrossReference.OMode followed by the	14
15		corresponding mode of the reversal."	15
16		So the next line:	16
17		"WP_5766 has been applied to live. Routing call	17
18		back to call logger for closure."	18
19		So they think they've fixed it, they think they've	19
20		identified the problem, made the changes and applied the	20
21		fix. Then it says:	21
22		"We have seen that when a call is the subject of	22
23		an Acceptance Incident (as this call is) then there is	23
24		no point in us ringing up the originator to ask for	24
25		closure. They always say that such calls are the	25
		105	
1		information to TIP.	1
1 2			2
3		"Was the defect root cause correctly recorded in the PinICL or PEAK?" It was recorded as code,	3
4		development code, which we saw that they changed. So	4
5		yes.	5
6		"Is there evidence that this defect root cause was	6
7		addressed?" So I'll just read what I said:	7
8		"The text indicates that a software update was	8
9		made and tested, albeit the text only indicates that the	9
10		testing occurred, not the results of this. However,	10
11		I note that the text does not indicate that the test	11
12		failed, which I would expect it to have said if that was	12
13		the case."	13
14		So I guess what I'm saying is: I saw the testing	14
15		documentation here. It said it was ready to go live and	15
16		they had a package. So I'm going to say: yes, it was	16
17		addressed.	17
18		"Observations on the management and closure. The	18
19		closure on the PinICL once the fix had been implemented	19
20		and the original raiser's approval was obtained seems	20
21		appropriate to me."	21
22		Then:	22
23		"Observations on the root cause. There is	23
24		evidence in the ticket that the fix was implemented in	24
25		LHITS to remediate"	25
		107	

subject of regular discussions between John Pope ... and Martin Box ... Eventually somebody at TIP rings us with a list of calls which can be closed. Accordingly I shall send this call to our holding stack to await such closure."

Then it looks like it's closed. So my inference from this is, since this is a high-priority issue for both the Post Office and Pathway, there's already a mechanism going on behind the scenes to validate whether this can be closed or not.

- Q. So just looking at the chronology then, this was opened on 20 August, and then closed on 30 December 1999?
- A. Yes. I will say that -- yes, I think it looks like they started waiting for -- so it was officially closed on 30 December but I believe they think it's been resolved --
- Q. They being at the end of October?
- A. Yes, exactly so just to be clear.
- Q. Then your observations.
- A. Okay. "So was the immediate issue fixed? Yes." They fixed the issue and they received the proper closure instruction.

"Was the defect or root cause identified?" Yes, they identified the root cause. It was an issue being the signage and the grammar attributes sending the 106

So I think that this was addressed. It seemed to be addressed appropriately. So that's my observation.

- **Q.** Thank you very much. Can we turn to the third example on page 144, "Reference data delivery issue".
- A. Sure
- Q. Again, if you can walk us through the text this one is much shorter.
- A. "Office 91008 reports a difference in its receipt and payments totals for CAP 18. Please send this call to John Moran."

So John Moran gets the call, and he indicates:

"I know what caused this problem. It was because reference data was not sent to the outlets concerning P&A products -- the cash settlement was mapped to the cash account, but the corresponding transaction was not. If these transactions were recorded by in the Counter Transaction Exceptions report I could supply POCL TP with this information myself, but they have not been recorded.

"Can you supply the offending non-mapped transactions to this PinICL in message store extract so I can reconcile with Chesterfield."

And then:

"The difference in the receipt and payments totals was caused by the fact that non-core reference data was 108

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 not delivered to this office in time. Reference data 2 was for OBCS products 177 to 185, and this reference 3 data included primary mappings for these products. 4 These products cannot be mapped to the cash account at 5 stock unit rollover. That is what caused the difference 6 in the receipts and payments total. 7 "This incident is related to 9 others all caused 8 by this same problem. All the offices affected were 9 migrated to Horizon on 20 July. All the offending 10 transactions took place on 21 July when there was not 11 reference data at the outlets. The correct reference 12 data was delivered for business on 22 July. 13 "I have provided with the final BIM report an 14 Excel spreadsheet (with the same file name as the BIM 15 report) listing the offending transactions which were 16 not mapped to the cash account." 17 Then it goes on to say: 18 "Caller has raised BIM BASED ON THE EVIDENCE 19 EXTRACTED AND so call has been closed." 20 So this is the reference data for -- non-core 21 reference data, which my understanding is there were 22 certain products that Post Office sold at every branch, 23 and there were certain products that were sold only at 24 some branches. Those products level into the non-core 25 reference data. 109 1 2 3 4 would seem like [a better description]. 5 "Is there evidence that this defect/root cause was 6 7 8 So yes. 9

"General-unknown". The root cause is clearly defined in the PEAK and, therefore, the root cause is more akin to 'Product reference data not delivered in time'. So that

addressed? The text references the fact that the branches had now received the required reference data."

"Observations on the management and closure of the issue. This specific issue was fixed in that the reference data was delivered to the branch.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"Other observations. There's evidence in the ticket that a fix was implemented in LHITS to remediate the identified issue. It's unclear to me why the reference data was not timely delivered to the branch and nine others."

So that would be kind of the next step up of, you know, what the root cause was. I mean, we know that the root cause of the specific transaction issue is because it wasn't reference data there. We know that to fix that in the future the reference data should be there. So that's one level of fixing, you know, of addressing the root cause.

But then the next level up of addressing the root cause is: why was that reference data late arriving? So Q. Over the page, please.

A. All right.

"Was the immediate issue fixed? Whilst the text states that the BIM was raised and the impacted transactions were identified and included with the BIM, it is not possible to determine from the PP [from the PinICL or PEAK] whether the transactions were updated to map them correctly. If I assume that the BIM process would rectify this issue, then it appears that the appropriate steps were taken ..."

So I'm going to correct myself here on rereading this. I believe that the BIM process was more of a process to actually give correct transactions, not the process to update the reference data.

Q. I understand.

A. All right.

"Was the defect/root cause identified? The text indicates that this was a known issue and a clear root cause is provided (i.e., the product reference data required to correctly map the transactions to the cash account had not been delivered to ... so the transactions were not mapped)."

> "Was the defect root cause correctly recorded?" It was recorded.

"The root cause recorded in this PEAK is 110

they fixed it. I don't know that they -- I don't know what was happening to fix the timeliness of all deliveries of reference data.

- Q. Thank you. Can we turn to the fourth of the seven examples?
- A. Certainly. This one is titled "Stock unit deletion".
- Q. On this one the text is relatively long.
 - - Q. Almost three pages. So could you pick out from the text -- I'm not sure whether that's possible, Mr Cipione, or not, the key entries?
 - A. Yes. Let me rest my voice while I look for the proper first citation. So the first entry just describing discrepancies.
 - Q. Just describe for us what the issue was.
 - A. So if we go, I think the meat of it is on page 147, the first entry for Steve Warwick. It's the bottom of the middle of the page:

"The £155 error reported by TIP at FAD is almost certainly related to the MVL transaction. A number of these transactions took place in the week, and there was also a loss declared the previous week for this value against cash. The value of £155 was also transferred between two stock units during the week and a gain of £155 was recorded when balancing the end of CAP 18

111

1		(offsetting the loss of £155 declared at the end of CAP	1
2		17).	2
3		"Since there was no failure of the office to	3
4		balance its cash account, it would seem that either one	4
5		of these transactions had not been sent to TIP or TIP	5
		having miscalculated the value of the transaction."	
6		•	6
7		So that's the beginning of the diagnosis.	7
8		Then at the bottom of that same page it says:	8
9		"The cause of this mis-balance was the	9
10		deletion of Stock Unit ZZ on 29 July before the [end of	10
11		day] marker for the outlet had been written. This meant	11
12		that the transaction carried out on the stock unit	12
13		totalling £155 in CAP 19 was not reported to TIP."	13
14	Q.	Then maybe the Steve Warwick entry	14
15	A.	Four up from the bottom?	15
16	Q.	Yes.	16
17	A.	"The original response given to TIP was based on the	17
18		fact that the symptom of the call appeared to be similar	18
19		to other calls which had been identified as being	19
20		a signing problem. This initial view was provided along	20
21		with the statement that the incident was still under	21
22		investigation and that once the evidence had been	22
23		examined, the root cause would be determined. The root	23
24		cause has now been determined and John Pope updated the	24
25		spreadsheet shared with POCL regarding Al376. Closure	25
		113	
1		didn't read someone wrote back and said that it was	1
1 2		able to be closed. They agreed to closing the call.	1 2
		able to be closed. They agreed to closing the call. That would have been the third line up from the bottom.	
2	Q.	able to be closed. They agreed to closing the call.	2
2	Q. A.	able to be closed. They agreed to closing the call. That would have been the third line up from the bottom.	2
2 3 4		able to be closed. They agreed to closing the call. That would have been the third line up from the bottom. Yes.	2 3 4
2 3 4 5	A.	able to be closed. They agreed to closing the call. That would have been the third line up from the bottom. Yes. "Root cause identified". Okay. So	2 3 4 5
2 3 4 5 6	A. Q.	able to be closed. They agreed to closing the call. That would have been the third line up from the bottom. Yes. "Root cause identified". Okay. So Over the page, please. Yes, the next page.	2 3 4 5 6
2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Q.	able to be closed. They agreed to closing the call. That would have been the third line up from the bottom. Yes. "Root cause identified". Okay. So Over the page, please. Yes, the next page. So for the first three there was an issue with mode	2 3 4 5 6 7
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Q. A.	able to be closed. They agreed to closing the call. That would have been the third line up from the bottom. Yes. "Root cause identified". Okay. So Over the page, please. Yes, the next page. So for the first three there was an issue with mode attributes being null.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Q. A.	able to be closed. They agreed to closing the call. That would have been the third line up from the bottom. Yes. "Root cause identified". Okay. So Over the page, please. Yes, the next page. So for the first three there was an issue with mode attributes being null. What are mode attributes?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Q. A.	able to be closed. They agreed to closing the call. That would have been the third line up from the bottom. Yes. "Root cause identified". Okay. So Over the page, please. Yes, the next page. So for the first three there was an issue with mode attributes being null. What are mode attributes? I believe that's part of the information in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Q. A.	able to be closed. They agreed to closing the call. That would have been the third line up from the bottom. Yes. "Root cause identified". Okay. So Over the page, please. Yes, the next page. So for the first three there was an issue with mode attributes being null. What are mode attributes? I believe that's part of the information in the reference information they are sending back. There was a piece of data missing when they were trying to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Q. A.	able to be closed. They agreed to closing the call. That would have been the third line up from the bottom. Yes. "Root cause identified". Okay. So Over the page, please. Yes, the next page. So for the first three there was an issue with mode attributes being null. What are mode attributes? I believe that's part of the information in the reference information they are sending back. There was a piece of data missing when they were trying to send the information up, so it couldn't be processed.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Q. A.	able to be closed. They agreed to closing the call. That would have been the third line up from the bottom. Yes. "Root cause identified". Okay. So Over the page, please. Yes, the next page. So for the first three there was an issue with mode attributes being null. What are mode attributes? I believe that's part of the information in the reference information they are sending back. There was a piece of data missing when they were trying to send the information up, so it couldn't be processed. On the second set, the root cause is because the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Q. A.	able to be closed. They agreed to closing the call. That would have been the third line up from the bottom. Yes. "Root cause identified". Okay. So Over the page, please. Yes, the next page. So for the first three there was an issue with mode attributes being null. What are mode attributes? I believe that's part of the information in the reference information they are sending back. There was a piece of data missing when they were trying to send the information up, so it couldn't be processed. On the second set, the root cause is because the stock unit was deleted before the end-of-the-day marker	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Q. A.	able to be closed. They agreed to closing the call. That would have been the third line up from the bottom. Yes. "Root cause identified". Okay. So Over the page, please. Yes, the next page. So for the first three there was an issue with mode attributes being null. What are mode attributes? I believe that's part of the information in the reference information they are sending back. There was a piece of data missing when they were trying to send the information up, so it couldn't be processed. On the second set, the root cause is because the stock unit was deleted before the end-of-the-day marker had been written. So it was an ordering of operations	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Q. A.	able to be closed. They agreed to closing the call. That would have been the third line up from the bottom. Yes. "Root cause identified". Okay. So Over the page, please. Yes, the next page. So for the first three there was an issue with mode attributes being null. What are mode attributes? I believe that's part of the information in the reference information they are sending back. There was a piece of data missing when they were trying to send the information up, so it couldn't be processed. On the second set, the root cause is because the stock unit was deleted before the end-of-the-day marker had been written. So it was an ordering of operations issue, where they deleted a stock unit internally. This	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Q. A.	able to be closed. They agreed to closing the call. That would have been the third line up from the bottom. Yes. "Root cause identified". Okay. So Over the page, please. Yes, the next page. So for the first three there was an issue with mode attributes being null. What are mode attributes? I believe that's part of the information in the reference information they are sending back. There was a piece of data missing when they were trying to send the information up, so it couldn't be processed. On the second set, the root cause is because the stock unit was deleted before the end-of-the-day marker had been written. So it was an ordering of operations issue, where they deleted a stock unit internally. This stock unit probably should have been deleted after the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Q. A.	able to be closed. They agreed to closing the call. That would have been the third line up from the bottom. Yes. "Root cause identified". Okay. So Over the page, please. Yes, the next page. So for the first three there was an issue with mode attributes being null. What are mode attributes? I believe that's part of the information in the reference information they are sending back. There was a piece of data missing when they were trying to send the information up, so it couldn't be processed. On the second set, the root cause is because the stock unit was deleted before the end-of-the-day marker had been written. So it was an ordering of operations issue, where they deleted a stock unit internally. This stock unit probably should have been deleted after the end of the day, or recognised there is an order of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Q. A.	able to be closed. They agreed to closing the call. That would have been the third line up from the bottom. Yes. "Root cause identified". Okay. So Over the page, please. Yes, the next page. So for the first three there was an issue with mode attributes being null. What are mode attributes? I believe that's part of the information in the reference information they are sending back. There was a piece of data missing when they were trying to send the information up, so it couldn't be processed. On the second set, the root cause is because the stock unit was deleted before the end-of-the-day marker had been written. So it was an ordering of operations issue, where they deleted a stock unit internally. This stock unit probably should have been deleted after the end of the day, or recognised there is an order of operation issue that created the problem.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Q. A.	able to be closed. They agreed to closing the call. That would have been the third line up from the bottom. Yes. "Root cause identified". Okay. So Over the page, please. Yes, the next page. So for the first three there was an issue with mode attributes being null. What are mode attributes? I believe that's part of the information in the reference information they are sending back. There was a piece of data missing when they were trying to send the information up, so it couldn't be processed. On the second set, the root cause is because the stock unit was deleted before the end-of-the-day marker had been written. So it was an ordering of operations issue, where they deleted a stock unit internally. This stock unit probably should have been deleted after the end of the day, or recognised there is an order of operation issue that created the problem. So was the defect/cause recorded correctly? The	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q. A.	able to be closed. They agreed to closing the call. That would have been the third line up from the bottom. Yes. "Root cause identified". Okay. So Over the page, please. Yes, the next page. So for the first three there was an issue with mode attributes being null. What are mode attributes? I believe that's part of the information in the reference information they are sending back. There was a piece of data missing when they were trying to send the information up, so it couldn't be processed. On the second set, the root cause is because the stock unit was deleted before the end-of-the-day marker had been written. So it was an ordering of operations issue, where they deleted a stock unit internally. This stock unit probably should have been deleted after the end of the day, or recognised there is an order of operation issue that created the problem. So was the defect/cause recorded correctly? The defect cause was recorded as development code, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Q. A.	able to be closed. They agreed to closing the call. That would have been the third line up from the bottom. Yes. "Root cause identified". Okay. So Over the page, please. Yes, the next page. So for the first three there was an issue with mode attributes being null. What are mode attributes? I believe that's part of the information in the reference information they are sending back. There was a piece of data missing when they were trying to send the information up, so it couldn't be processed. On the second set, the root cause is because the stock unit was deleted before the end-of-the-day marker had been written. So it was an ordering of operations issue, where they deleted a stock unit internally. This stock unit probably should have been deleted after the end of the day, or recognised there is an order of operation issue that created the problem. So was the defect/cause recorded correctly? The defect cause was recorded as development code, and I assume this applied really to the second item.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q. A.	able to be closed. They agreed to closing the call. That would have been the third line up from the bottom. Yes. "Root cause identified". Okay. So Over the page, please. Yes, the next page. So for the first three there was an issue with mode attributes being null. What are mode attributes? I believe that's part of the information in the reference information they are sending back. There was a piece of data missing when they were trying to send the information up, so it couldn't be processed. On the second set, the root cause is because the stock unit was deleted before the end-of-the-day marker had been written. So it was an ordering of operations issue, where they deleted a stock unit internally. This stock unit probably should have been deleted after the end of the day, or recognised there is an order of operation issue that created the problem. So was the defect/cause recorded correctly? The defect cause was recorded as development code, and I assume this applied really to the second item. So 523?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Q. A.	able to be closed. They agreed to closing the call. That would have been the third line up from the bottom. Yes. "Root cause identified". Okay. So Over the page, please. Yes, the next page. So for the first three there was an issue with mode attributes being null. What are mode attributes? I believe that's part of the information in the reference information they are sending back. There was a piece of data missing when they were trying to send the information up, so it couldn't be processed. On the second set, the root cause is because the stock unit was deleted before the end-of-the-day marker had been written. So it was an ordering of operations issue, where they deleted a stock unit internally. This stock unit probably should have been deleted after the end of the day, or recognised there is an order of operation issue that created the problem. So was the defect/cause recorded correctly? The defect cause was recorded as development code, and I assume this applied really to the second item.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23

will be agreed ..."

And the root cause to TIP was the development code. I'm just referring back to the header of this particular sheet, so ...

- Q. Okay. Then your observations. You split these according to FADs. The FAD code is, I think, a numeric code which uniquely identifies a Post Office; is that right?
- A. That is correct. That is my understanding anyway.
- Q. So you collect together the first three --
- A. Right. So this is a bit unusual, because it seems that there's -- I think this is one where there seems to be multiple -- there seem to be multiple discrepancies included on one particular log file, which in theory -- if they were all the same type of error, they could all be together. But, if there are different errors, they should have different PinICLs. But I think in this case there are two different issues going on here which we lost because I didn't read every particular line. But just keep that in mind.

So for three of the FADs there was an RED notice to resolve the issue. So we think that that was fixed. For the FAD that we really read the meat about, for what we read, the reason we think it was fixed is because we know that David Salt, which was one of the items we

114

an appropriate defect code, but it's unclear if this also applied to the other three which were a different issue. I'm not sure, besides the fact that they actually sent the RED in, which my understanding is that's helping to correct the transactions. I'm not sure if they addressed what the actual problem was at least in this particular PEAK.

Observations on closure, here I'm noting that we're talking about two different problems. So it's a little difficult to follow, but it does appear as though they have addressed both problems, one with the RED and one with the development code.

Then ultimately on the root causes or, sorry, observation on defect root cause, for the first three I don't have any information on what went on there. So I can't comment on that other than I don't have any information. I know that they are aware of it, and they sent corrections for the symptom, but I'm not sure what they did on the null mode.

The last one it's identified -- it seems appropriate to me based off of the reading of the text.

Q. Thank you. Can we turn to number 5, please, over the page, which concerns a brought forward balance multiplied by causing payments and receipt imbalance. This one is relatively long. I appreciate that asking

1		you to summarise from your perspective is not the most
2		helpful thing to do, because it means that you skip over
3		text that's important to explain or qualify your
4		observations. But do take your time, if you just need
5		to look or remind yourself of the problem or the report
6		of the problem from the customer call. (Pause)
7	A.	I think the first item that we should be looking at is
8		at the top of page 151.
9	Q.	Yes, "Initial investigations"?
10	A.	"Initial investigations have shown that the problem
11		arose at the time that the Office Trial Balance report
12		was produced. On the Office Trial Balance report the
13		brought forward value was £71,000 instead of £14,000.
14		This appears to have been caused by the creation of
15		a correctional stock unit which was additional to the
16		normal stock unit. Due to an error in the code, when
17		the stock unit balance records are read, the first stock
18		unit (22 first in alphabetical sequence) is correctly
19		identified as having no 'Brought Forward' value from the
20		previous week, the system then incorrectly assumes that
21		this must be a migration week and generates a brought
22		forward value for the stock unit which is incorrect.
23		The error is being investigated for urgent correction."
24	•	So this is a code error.
25	Q.	I think you can probably see the response to it over the 117
1 2		here, but it certainly doesn't mean that it wasn't fixed.
2		fixed.
2		fixed. All right.
2 3 4		fixed. All right. "Was the defect root cause identified? Yes, the
2 3 4 5		fixed. All right. "Was the defect root cause identified? Yes, the root cause was an unforeseen consequence of the current
2 3 4 5 6		fixed. All right. "Was the defect root cause identified? Yes, the root cause was an unforeseen consequence of the current LT1 fix", which is what I just described.
2 3 4 5 6 7		fixed. All right. "Was the defect root cause identified? Yes, the root cause was an unforeseen consequence of the current LT1 fix", which is what I just described. "Was the defect root cause correctly recorded?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8		fixed. All right. "Was the defect root cause identified? Yes, the root cause was an unforeseen consequence of the current LT1 fix", which is what I just described. "Was the defect root cause correctly recorded? [It] was recorded as development code. This seems
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		fixed. All right. "Was the defect root cause identified? Yes, the root cause was an unforeseen consequence of the current LT1 fix", which is what I just described. "Was the defect root cause correctly recorded? [It] was recorded as development code. This seems appropriate since a software fix was required to [fix
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11		fixed. All right. "Was the defect root cause identified? Yes, the root cause was an unforeseen consequence of the current LT1 fix", which is what I just described. "Was the defect root cause correctly recorded? [It] was recorded as development code. This seems appropriate since a software fix was required to [fix the problem]." "Is there evidence that this defect/root cause was addressed? Yes
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		fixed. All right. "Was the defect root cause identified? Yes, the root cause was an unforeseen consequence of the current LT1 fix", which is what I just described. "Was the defect root cause correctly recorded? [It] was recorded as development code. This seems appropriate since a software fix was required to [fix the problem]." "Is there evidence that this defect/root cause was addressed? Yes "Observations on the closure. The root cause was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		fixed. All right. "Was the defect root cause identified? Yes, the root cause was an unforeseen consequence of the current LT1 fix", which is what I just described. "Was the defect root cause correctly recorded? [It] was recorded as development code. This seems appropriate since a software fix was required to [fix the problem]." "Is there evidence that this defect/root cause was addressed? Yes "Observations on the closure. The root cause was identified, addressed in an upcoming LT2 release, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14		fixed. All right. "Was the defect root cause identified? Yes, the root cause was an unforeseen consequence of the current LT1 fix", which is what I just described. "Was the defect root cause correctly recorded? [It] was recorded as development code. This seems appropriate since a software fix was required to [fix the problem]." "Is there evidence that this defect/root cause was addressed? Yes "Observations on the closure. The root cause was identified, addressed in an upcoming LT2 release, and a workaround was communicated to the Helpdesk."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		fixed. All right. "Was the defect root cause identified? Yes, the root cause was an unforeseen consequence of the current LT1 fix", which is what I just described. "Was the defect root cause correctly recorded? [It] was recorded as development code. This seems appropriate since a software fix was required to [fix the problem]." "Is there evidence that this defect/root cause was addressed? Yes "Observations on the closure. The root cause was identified, addressed in an upcoming LT2 release, and a workaround was communicated to the Helpdesk." Then that's pretty much the same observation I had
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17		fixed. All right. "Was the defect root cause identified? Yes, the root cause was an unforeseen consequence of the current LT1 fix", which is what I just described. "Was the defect root cause correctly recorded? [It] was recorded as development code. This seems appropriate since a software fix was required to [fix the problem]." "Is there evidence that this defect/root cause was addressed? Yes "Observations on the closure. The root cause was identified, addressed in an upcoming LT2 release, and a workaround was communicated to the Helpdesk." Then that's pretty much the same observation I had for the overall observation on the root cause and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17		fixed. All right. "Was the defect root cause identified? Yes, the root cause was an unforeseen consequence of the current LT1 fix", which is what I just described. "Was the defect root cause correctly recorded? [It] was recorded as development code. This seems appropriate since a software fix was required to [fix the problem]." "Is there evidence that this defect/root cause was addressed? Yes "Observations on the closure. The root cause was identified, addressed in an upcoming LT2 release, and a workaround was communicated to the Helpdesk." Then that's pretty much the same observation I had for the overall observation on the root cause and defect.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q.	fixed. All right. "Was the defect root cause identified? Yes, the root cause was an unforeseen consequence of the current LT1 fix", which is what I just described. "Was the defect root cause correctly recorded? [It] was recorded as development code. This seems appropriate since a software fix was required to [fix the problem]." "Is there evidence that this defect/root cause was addressed? Yes "Observations on the closure. The root cause was identified, addressed in an upcoming LT2 release, and a workaround was communicated to the Helpdesk." Then that's pretty much the same observation I had for the overall observation on the root cause and defect. Thank you. Penultimately example 6 on page 154, please,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q.	fixed. All right. "Was the defect root cause identified? Yes, the root cause was an unforeseen consequence of the current LT1 fix", which is what I just described. "Was the defect root cause correctly recorded? [It] was recorded as development code. This seems appropriate since a software fix was required to [fix the problem]." "Is there evidence that this defect/root cause was addressed? Yes "Observations on the closure. The root cause was identified, addressed in an upcoming LT2 release, and a workaround was communicated to the Helpdesk." Then that's pretty much the same observation I had for the overall observation on the root cause and defect. Thank you. Penultimately example 6 on page 154, please, which is "Navigating to a different mode whilst
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q.	fixed. All right. "Was the defect root cause identified? Yes, the root cause was an unforeseen consequence of the current LT1 fix", which is what I just described. "Was the defect root cause correctly recorded? [It] was recorded as development code. This seems appropriate since a software fix was required to [fix the problem]." "Is there evidence that this defect/root cause was addressed? Yes "Observations on the closure. The root cause was identified, addressed in an upcoming LT2 release, and a workaround was communicated to the Helpdesk." Then that's pretty much the same observation I had for the overall observation on the root cause and defect. Thank you. Penultimately example 6 on page 154, please, which is "Navigating to a different mode whilst transactions are on the stack." Can you just explain
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		fixed. All right. "Was the defect root cause identified? Yes, the root cause was an unforeseen consequence of the current LT1 fix", which is what I just described. "Was the defect root cause correctly recorded? [It] was recorded as development code. This seems appropriate since a software fix was required to [fix the problem]." "Is there evidence that this defect/root cause was addressed? Yes "Observations on the closure. The root cause was identified, addressed in an upcoming LT2 release, and a workaround was communicated to the Helpdesk." Then that's pretty much the same observation I had for the overall observation on the root cause and defect. Thank you. Penultimately example 6 on page 154, please, which is "Navigating to a different mode whilst transactions are on the stack." Can you just explain what that means.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q.	fixed. All right. "Was the defect root cause identified? Yes, the root cause was an unforeseen consequence of the current LT1 fix", which is what I just described. "Was the defect root cause correctly recorded? [It] was recorded as development code. This seems appropriate since a software fix was required to [fix the problem]." "Is there evidence that this defect/root cause was addressed? Yes "Observations on the closure. The root cause was identified, addressed in an upcoming LT2 release, and a workaround was communicated to the Helpdesk." Then that's pretty much the same observation I had for the overall observation on the root cause and defect. Thank you. Penultimately example 6 on page 154, please, which is "Navigating to a different mode whilst transactions are on the stack." Can you just explain what that means. So what's happening here is, if you remember from my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		fixed. All right. "Was the defect root cause identified? Yes, the root cause was an unforeseen consequence of the current LT1 fix", which is what I just described. "Was the defect root cause correctly recorded? [It] was recorded as development code. This seems appropriate since a software fix was required to [fix the problem]." "Is there evidence that this defect/root cause was addressed? Yes "Observations on the closure. The root cause was identified, addressed in an upcoming LT2 release, and a workaround was communicated to the Helpdesk." Then that's pretty much the same observation I had for the overall observation on the root cause and defect. Thank you. Penultimately example 6 on page 154, please, which is "Navigating to a different mode whilst transactions are on the stack." Can you just explain what that means.

page on page 152, second entry from Steve Warwick.

A. "The doubling (or multiplying) of the brought roaring value on the cash account has been traced to an error in the changes which were delivered to correct the previous problem related to the previewing of the 'Final' cash account."

So what I'm reading from this is there was a different error. A code correction was made for the error, but now it's creating this particular error. So they have identified this error as being related to a previous code correction. So that's effectively what the cause of this particular error is.

I'm happy to read the rest of it, but I understand you trying to be efficient with time. So I could skip over the rest of that but that's the cause.

- Q. Then go to page 153 then, your observation.
- A. "Was the immediate issue fixed? I don't see evidence in the PinICL or PEAK that suggests that the issue at the FAD was fixed although the text does not that the FAD completed their roll-over, presumably with the imbalance still in place. Perhaps the alert to the Horizon Helpdesk indicates that the corrective procedures were communicated ..."

So what I'm saying here is I'm just not sure if it was actually fixed. It wasn't clearly indicated in 118

the customer list. I have got a whole bunch of things that I want to perform for a customer. So what it looks like here is that they're in the Serve Customer mode, they have started adding things to the stack, and then for some reason they're wanting to switch a mode. I believe that that's what is creating the issue; it's changing from a Serve Customer mode to some other type of mode while there are items on the stack.

- **Q.** You can see that this afflicted 28 offices from the first customer call on 5 November '99.
- A. Right. It would appear that way from the beginning part of the text of this PinICL. But they have identified that they know that 24 of them were already -- that these differences were already accepted at migration. So they were -- 24 of them are known migration issues and they have already actually addressed that. So we're left with four FADs that we need to deal with.

I will preview that I know that one of these FADs also is a migration issue. So it's really three FADs that have this actual mode-change issue related to their problems.

Q. Then, if you go over the page, I think you can see how, by reference to the FAD code there, what the response was in relation to each of those three. In fact, there's a fourth as well.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 A. Yes. So the first one it says it's a housekeeping 2 transaction. It was carried out for a value and it was 3 not settled in the user -- so the first FAD, the third 4 FAD and the fourth FAD in this list, they are all 5 indicating that they had transactions on the stack, they 6 weren't settled, and the user at the branch navigated to 7 a Revaluation Up menu, and that's what caused the 8 problem. For the second one it was just a discrepancy 9 at migration. That's the one I was indicating. 10 So those are the three that really had the issue. 11 Q. Can we go over the page then to your obligations. 12 A. Sure. 13 "Was the immediate issue fixed? For 25 of the 28 14 FADs the difference had been handled at the time of 15 migration. For the remaining three, I don't see 16 explicit evidence in the PinICL that suggests that the 17 issue with the FADs was fixed, but ..." 18 And there was more text in here about POCL's 19 response or non-response. 20 "... but POCL's exclusion of these FADs from their 21 'list of call to remain open' seems to indicate that the 22 issue was resolved to their, [meaning POCL's], 23 satisfaction." 24 So there must have been a mechanism between ICL 25 Pathway and POCL for indicating: we know there's an 121 1 ao: "The office had big problems with its receipts and 2 3 payments. CAP 5, 6 and 7 did not match." 4 Then it lists the differences. And so we get down 5 to the first substantial Steve Warwick entry: 6 "The cause of the problem in all 3 CAPs at this 7 outlet was the fact that Stock Unit DD's rollover 8 records from CAP 5 and 6 represented a 'nil balance' 9 (the total stock holding was nil, no receipts or 10 payments transactions were recorded) despite the fact 11 that that stock unit had been trading normally during 12 the period. [Said] This issue was raised [earlier] and 13 is still under investigation ..." 14 Then it says: 15 "The fact that the Stock Unit DD's transactions 16 and stock holdings were omitted from CAP 5 Cash Account 17 meant that the Brought Forward value for the office in 18 CAP 6 was incorrect. This caused CAP 6 Cash Account to 19 mis-balance. I am still investigating by CAP 7 cash 20 account mis-balanced, but I note that the office 21 returned to a balanced position in CAP 8." 22 Q. Then I think if you go over the page. 23 A. Yes. 24 And scroll down to John Moran's last entry --

Which one would you like me to start at?

123

25

issue and then having an open-issues chart at the end, and these weren't on it.

"Was the defect root cause identified? The root cause for 25 of the 28 related to initial migration.

For the remainder, the root cause was identified, namely that Horizon allowed a user to navigate to a different mode while transactions were on the stack, which would then be subsequently settled incorrectly.

"The defect ... was recorded as reference data.

I have here "Without a comprehensive understanding of which component of the system contained the error, it's not possible for me to say whether this is an appropriate code". I would say it seems like it could be an appropriate code, especially since I know or since I believe that the modes are governed by the reference data. So they put the reference data there.

"The PinICL states that the error was corrected in live software." That's for "Was it addressed?"

"This one was closed without positive confirmation that the imbalances were addressed", but "the root cause appears to have been identified and remedied."

- Q. Lastly number, 7 please, page 157, PinICL concerned with a data tree build failure. I think you can see Customer Call, first entry, what the issue is.
- A. Yes. So the cash account line comparisons -- so let's 122
- Q. I mean, this is more for you than me, but the12 July 2000 entry.
- A. Okay. So John Moran is asking:

"I need to know what correct Cash Account figures should have been were it not for the Dataserver failure."

So in between our things they've identified a data server failure.

- Q. Yes.
- **A.** "Can these be derived from the transactions in the message store ..."

So John is asking questions in order to help remedy this situation.

"2. The diagnostic code which was delivered before this incident happened was promised to aid in investigating the cause of the problem. Has this code helped? How?"

"At some point a work package was delivered would alert the user that there was a problem with the [stock unit] rollover and the user would be prompted with a message to redo the rollover. Has this been delivered? If so, why did the rollover process not cease and prompt the user to try again?"

 $\label{eq:Sojust} \mbox{So just a little colour. This indicates to me} \\ \mbox{that they have seen this type of issue before, and} \\$

1 they've tried to put in some safety procedures at the 1 that's what's suspected to have actually caused this 2 actual Horizon level to help the user make sure that 2 problem. 3 3 they can't generate this problem. If we go to the middle of the page, they are 4 So I'm going to go down to the first entry on 4 describing here essentially that they are having 5 5 page 159. problems trying to actually tease out the actual details 6 Q. Thank you. 6 to walk forward and everything. I don't think we need 7 A. Just at the end, the final paragraph, not the three 7 to read it all, but they are saying that they are having 8 numbered: 8 problems looking at the actual detail to resurrect --9 "I don't think I'm being premature in revealing 9 because remember this a CAP 5, 6, 7 issue. They are 10 that we think we know why these failures with the 10 having trouble figuring out how they could actually see 11 Dataserver or occurring. Steve Warwick experienced such 11 everything that was going on in each one of those CAPs. 12 a failure on a rig he was testing against and found the 12 Then at the bottom it savs: 13 root cause was that the Archiving was active during 13 "I'm not sure it's worth spending time trying to resurrect the other CAPs. The method I have derived 14 a riposte query; this only occurs 'out-of-hours' at end 14 15 of each working day. Archiving will occur 'in-hours' 15 assumes that the CashAccLines for the previous CAP" --16 should the counter have been switched off overnight for 16 The cash lines, cash access lines. Cash account lines 17 seven consecutive days and hence the sporadic nature of 17 probably. 18 these incidents." 18 "... for the previous CAP. I see from Steve 19 So what this is saying is there's an unexpected 19 Warwick's analysis that CAP 6 was not correct as well. 20 issue when archiving is occurring, and there's Riposte 20 Now, if I rerun the tool I have developed on CAP 6 it 21 activity, and Riposte is the part of the system that's 21 will use the base line in the Cash Account Line figures 22 22 helping manage the message store, which is where they from CAP 5 which we know are wrong and I have just 23 are keeping all the transactions. It's not -- it seems 23 recalculated. I think therefore enough time has been 24 to be that there's some sort of conflict going on when 24 spent on this problem and it's not cost effective to 25 archiving starts up, and they are trying to do this. So 25 proceed further. However, in future where the problem 125 126 1 is just one CAP, we should be able to resurrect the 1 are working towards finding at least an answer for this 2 figures more easily." 2 particular situation, and they think that this next 3 3 release should take care of it. So that's my So what they are saying is, since this was 4 4 a multi-CAP problem, there's no way for them to go back observations. 5 and resurrect information from CAPs that are more 5 Q. Thank you. That can come down from the screen now. So 6 than -- that are further than the most recent CAP. 6 stepping back, having looked at those seven examples 7 Q. Your observations, please. 7 are, they evidence that payment and receipt imbalances 8 8 "Was the immediate issue fixed? It appears that CAP 5 were commonly reported? account was reconstructed, but that CAP 6 and CAP 7 9 9 A. Yes. 10 accounts weren't." 10 Q. Taken together with the wider dataset, the non-examples, 11 I don't know if that's really a problem or not, 11 things that we've not looked at, at examples, but which 12 12 you found through your search methodology? but it's something that they acknowledged. 13 "Was the defect root cause identified? A root 13 A. Yes. 14 cause was identified, namely that the archiving process 14 Q. Are they evidence that the payment and receipt 15 was active during the Riposte query. 15 imbalances that were commonly reported had a variety of 16 "Was the defect cause correctly recorded?" 16 causes? 17 It said the development code was recorded, which 17 A. Oh, yes. 18 I think is appropriate. 18 Q. Are they evidence that there was an earnest attempt by 19 "Is there evidence that this defect root cause was 19 the SSC, in particular, to seek to resolve the issues 20 addressed? The reference" -- there was a release 20 raised? 21 a reference to a release that suggested that it would 21 A. Yes. 22 address this particular issue, and something that we 22 Q. But do they also evidence that the majority of PinICLs, 23 didn't read, and then it appears that the investigation 23 six out of seven on the examples, all had as a root 24 was carried out with -- there was a lot of discussion. 24 cause a problem with Horizon? 25 So this would have been an example of: look, they 25 Some were within Horizon, yes.

127

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Have you got any overall observations to make in relation to this section of your report? What does your work on this tell you, if anything?
- A. When I see evidence of issues where there seems to be a lot of different reasons for causing the same problem, number 1, that that obviously makes it harder for the people that are trying to track down to fix it because all they are working off of is: Here's the symptom, you know. "Doctor, I'm tired. What's wrong with me?" You know, that type of thing. Well, it could be a lot of things wrong with you. Let's investigate a little bit more. But it takes that investigation process. So that's one issue.

Second is, there seems to be a lot of different -at least at some level of separation, a lot of potential different causes for these problems, which is troubling, because you wouldn't -- especially for this type of issue, it just looks like the system has a lot of instability in it, and it's not apparent to me looking at these PinICLs that it's isolated in one place. Therefore it would probably be difficult to just focus on one little place to fix those instability issues.

Q. Thank you. Can we go back to sections 11 and 12 of your report which I skipped over. 11 is on page 89. Thank you. This section of your report is given over to the 129

roll-out coverage, adherence to service level agreements requirements, were topics of discussion as they were directly connected to revenue, cost, and profit realisation."

Is that always the case in an IT project?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"The balancing act between operational and technical activities and their financial ramifications was highlighted in the April 98 report: 'Should the pressures mount, the temptation to hold to NR2 dates at all costs is immense. If we were to (purely theoretically) compromise NR2 quality in order to hold timescales, we would almost certainly be worse off in the long run."

"Acceptance was received achieve on 24 September '99, triggering the first invoice ... roll-out coverage incentive for 1,800 outlets was achieved on 5 November 99. The first payment (£105 million) was received in early December '99."

You say in 11.1.5:

"The items [you then include in the following table] illustrate some financial wins and some financial losses from ICL Pathway's perspective. Financial concerns were weighed against the resource allocations to deliver the Horizon IT system. It's my opinion that

observation by you that financial concerns were considered by ICL Pathway throughout the time period reviewed. You observed that ICL Pathway is a profit-seeking entity and you therefore assume that it was motivated to deliver the system and to make a profit.

In the light of that observation, which is, if I may say so, a statement of the obvious, why have you included this in your report? What stood out for you, if anything?

- A. So the materials I relied on for this analysis included the monthly reports, and there seemed to be a lot of discussion about financial issues, you know, costs, timelines, and how it might affect, or acceptance and how might affect payments. It seemed to be prevalent in the monthly reports, and I agree, it's obvious. But those were the documents I was given, and I felt as though, if I didn't say something to that effect, it might look like I'm not discharging my responsibility correctly.
- Q. You say at 11.1.3 that:

"The financial success of Horizon relied on Pathway orchestrating many different constituencies: Sponsors, suppliers and their many internal groups. Benchmarks relating to acceptance, the timing of 130

the financial aspects of delivering the Horizon IT System affected the decision-making process."

Can you explain or expand upon that last sentence, please.

- A. Yes. Since ICL is a profit-seeking entity, obviously they are wanting to make sure that this is a profitable endeavour. When they are discussing concepts such as making sure that they get acceptance, and how that relates to timelines, and then how time slippages are creating concerns with their particular partners, their vendors, all of that together just tells me that that's obviously on their mind, which it should be of course and, like I said, this is stating the obvious, but I think I would be remiss not to comment on it, since there was quite bit of text in the monthly reports concerning it.
- Q. To what extent, if any, did you ascribe Fujitsu's financial concerns to the fact that, initially at least, the programme was being run and financed under a private finance initiative, and then one of the significant partners from which much revenue would be obtained withdrew?
- A. Could you repeat that question, please.
- Q. To what extent did you ascribe Fujitsu's financial concerns to the fact that, at least initially, the 132

(33) Pages 129 - 132

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3 withdrew? 4 A. I will say that, you know, as far as the private finance 5 initiative is concerned, this is my first exposure to 6 what even a private finance initiative is, and it 7 probably didn't really resonate with me that much, 8 because I'm not sure that I actually really took that 9 into account. 10 I think, now that I maybe understand that a little 11 bit better, I think that, you know, that would explain 12 why they didn't get paid up until a particular 13 acceptance point, just from the witness statements that 14 I read after I submitted the paper. So that has helped 15 contextualise the acceptance period. 16 I think I was more focusing on their not getting 17 paid until they get acceptance, without really 18 understanding the linkage between that particular event 19 and the fact that it was originally a private finance 20 initiative that they went into with another partner. 21 I also don't know that I had much understanding of 22 the impact of the BA's withdrawal either. It wasn't 23 really on my radar. I didn't understand the 24 implications of it as much as I do now. So I would say 25 it didn't really impact it a lot. 133 1 overall what this section of your report is addressing. 2 A. Certainly. 3 Q. What was the issue for you? 4 A. The issue is this is a very complex system that ICL 5 Pathway is trying to create and, notwithstanding what 6 you just said, they're not trying to create this in 7 a vacuum. They need to have a good working relationship 8 with their sponsors as well as with all of their 9 co-suppliers of this system. 10 So, if there are problems in communications, 11 either because of expectations being misaligned or for 12 whatever reason, that's going to cause problems. It's 13 just not a healthy working environment. Everyone needs 14 to basically be rowing in the same direction in order to 15 get something like this done on time and efficiently. 16 So overall my commentary around here is that it 17 doesn't -- it seems that there were times where there's 18 a lot of tension between ICL Pathway and either its 19 sponsors or potential tension between ICL Pathway and 20 some of their partners in delivering the system, and the 21 reason I know that is because, you know, it was talked 22 about in the monthly reports. That just struck me as 23 something that I'm sure everyone wishes wouldn't have 24 happened, but certainly couldn't have provided the most 25 healthy environment in making sure that the Horizon 135

programme was being run and financed under a private

finance initiative from which one of the major partners

1

2

Q. Thank you. That's very fair. In which case I won't ask you any further questions about that.

Some witnesses to the Inquiry have suggested in the course of their evidence that a consequences of this being initially a private finance initiative run-and-financed programme, and then it being a legacy of a private finance initiative was that the Post Office was not allowed to see the high or low-level design of the Horizon System, and was required instead to trust Pathway to produce acceptable outcomes.

In the design delivery of IT projects, have you encountered what has been described as a black-box approach such as that before?

- A. Have I experienced it personally, no. I mean, I understand what it is, and I certainly can appreciate that sometimes that's okay, but personally I've never engaged in a project where it's been a completely black hox
- **Q.** Thank you, in which case I won't ask you questions about that either.

Can we turn to section 12 of your report, please.

You refer to "The tenuous relationship between ICL Pathway, their sponsors (initially the Benefits Agency and POCL) and suppliers were often topics of concern for ICL Pathway's management team." Can you describe

134

System was the sole focus on getting produced.

- **Q.** So far as you could see, was this a consistent problem over the entirety of the period that you looked at, even after the Benefits Agency withdrew?
- A. I don't think it was a consistent problem over the entire period, but I think that there were points in time, based off of the information in the monthly reports where it was a concern.
- Q. Thank you. Can we turn back, please, to page 4 of your report. This is your Executive Summary on pages 4 and 5 and I would like, if you would, for you to speak to these nine or so statements that you make. So the first:

"Horizon IT envisaged modernising the UK's Post Offices branches. This was an ambitious goal placing hardware and software in about 18,000 branches to allow subpostmasters and mistresses the convenience reliably to store and transmit electronic records of their daily business activities."

The words "this was an ambitious goal", what did you intend to convey by that?

A. I wanted to employ language that would indicate the complexity of this roll-out of this system. It is ambitious. There are a lot of moving parts in this system, a lot of moving parts. In any system,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

24

25

1 especially a technology system, the more moving parts 2 you have, the more opportunities there are for problems. 3 There are a lot of managerial tasks both strategically 4 and tactically that need to be tackled. There are a lot 5 of logistical tasks. There are a lot of training tasks, and there's a lot of technology tasks. The technology 6 7 can be spread across infrastructure, hardware, software, 8 any number of things. 9 The issue with computer systems is that, like I 10 said, there are a lot of moving parts, and any one of 11 those can cause a problem. So it's ambitious to say 12 that I'm going to make sure that all of these parts are 13 co-ordinated in a way that it's going to deliver exactly what you want me to deliver. So that's why I think it's 14 15 ambitious. 16 Q. Thank you. Second: 17 "The technical aspects of the Horizon IT System 18 were significant but did not account for all of the 19 complexities of a successful implementation."

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

What did you mean by that, please? **A.** So the technology certainly is the focus and is there. But even aspects such as training -- do my end users know how to use the system -- that's not necessarily a technical issue but it's a very important part of the system with a capital S. You know, are we going to make

137

I've delivered to you the perfect system today. That doesn't mean that you will be satisfied with it in six months, because perhaps you might want some changes to it, you might want to either expand the volume of activity that can be done on it, or you want some more functionality or features. So there's going to be some sort of feedback loop process which will most likely require me to continue augmenting the system.

That's another dimension that continues to complicate making sure that this system with a capital S works right because now, not only have I delivered you a system right now, we're talking about evolving the system and having it mature over time, but making sure that it's stable throughout that whole period.

That's the living system and, like I said in my discussion before, it's not just the technology. It's the technology and the people and the processes that that technology support, all being co-ordinated and making sure that they are in concert with each other as opposed to being at odds with each other, and that's difficult.

It just is. It's difficult to have that many different items working together correctly. So does that answer your question?

Yes. Could you help: does the level of care, attention

139

this system work correctly?

Training, you know, even delivery of replacement hardware, not what I would think of as a technical issue, but logistically very important to the process. Are we making sure that this systems up all the time? Do we have good management communications amongst all of the different players that are here to either provide the requirements, implement those requirements through our design and development process, or support those requirements, like an infrastructure backbone such like BT or Energis making sure that everyone understands what's going on?

So those are kind of the issues that are orbiting the fact that even just the technology itself is complex, there's a lot more to this system than just sitting down and coding it. I would say that was the system with a small S, and making sure the whole thing works is the system with the capital S.

Q. You say a sentence on:

"Both the technical and organisational dimensions of the Horizon IT System also required vigilance. An IT system is a 'living' entity. It needs care and attention beyond its initial roll-out."

Can you expand on that.

A. Yes, this is not a point in time thing. Let's say that 138

1 and ongoing vigilance that one is required to give to an 2 IT system, in part, depend on what has occurred in 3 design, development, testing and roll-out, i.e. how easy 4 a birth the product had? 5 A. Can you roll back and ask me the question again, please. 6 7

Q. To what extent does the level of care, attention or ongoing vigilance that one has to give over to an IT system depend in any way on what occurred in design, development, testing and roll-out?

A. I will say that, in a perfect world, the design phase of any system is very much a partnership between a sponsor of the system and the team that's delivering the system, and they need to be very closely aligned and in very good communication, in order to produce a final product that satisfies the sponsor's needs.

Having said that, oftentimes, you know, we can all of a sudden start seeing an introduction of new requirements in the design phase if we're not disciplined about it. You know, there's got to be a point in time when you say, "This is what we're going to do and this is what we're not going to do", because we could be on an endless cycle of, "This also would be nice, this also would be nice, this also would be nice."

So it's very important, I believe, for the sponsors to have a really good idea of, hopefully, 140

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 generally what they want and then be committed to 2 specifically talk in detail of whether it's okay to 3 deliver it in this manner, or whether it's okay to be 4 delivered in this other manner. 5 That's a discussion to be had. Unless the 6 sponsors know exactly what they want at a very detailed 7 level at the beginning, in which case they can say, 8 "Here's exactly what we want, go do it and you don't 9 need to talk to us until it's done." That's quite rare, 10 but -- I mean, as a programmer, I would love that. You 11 tell me -- you know exactly what you want and don't make 12 a change to that, I would love that because then I just 13 have to go and actually execute against that. 14 But that's not typically what happens in the real 15 world because usually discussion -- you know, you didn't 16 know what was possible. I had a couple of comments about 17 what you asked for, and it might change your mind about 18 what you want. So there's obviously a dialectic going 19 on there, but that should be very short in time to the 20 point where you say, "Okay, I know that there's a wide range of possibilities available, but for right now 21 22 let's focus on what I want immediately. Let's get that 23 done, make sure it works right, and then we can move on 24 to the next stage." 25 Q. Thank you. Paragraph 1.1.3. You say: 141 1 processes are and how we're going to first architect a solution and then actually build and deliver that 2 3 solution. 4 Q. Thank you. You say in point 4: 5 "The systems design and implementation needed to 6 account for real-world contingencies. Many designs are 7 very elegant, but they only maintain their elegance if 8 the implementation withstands practical realities and 9 concerns." 10 I think that probably speaks for itself unless you 11 need to expand on it. 12 A. No, I think that speaks for itself. 13 Q. Thank you. 14 15 "The user support mechanism needed to assist the 16 users as they migrated from a paper-based process to 17 computer-based process or switch from using one system 18 for another." 19 That latter is a reference to --20 A. ECCO. Q. To the new EPOSS system: 21 22 "Continuous training for all versions of the 23 system needed to be available. The support structure 24 needed to cater for end-to-end users who might struggle

to adapt to changes from the manual processes they had

143

25

"The Horizon IT System had multiple constituencies that needed to be both strategically and tactically aligned."

What do you mean by that strategic and tactical alignment?

A. I think I was actually just referring to what I just said. So strategically that's a very high level, right? We know we want -- you know, in this case we know we want a network system that can do our point of sale and interface with our accounting system. Okay, great. That's a good strategy, and there's a business reason behind that strategy, and I'm more than happy to supply that to you if I was the supplier.

The tactical part though is where a lot of the heavy work is. We need to know specifically how to do things. We need to specifically understand what you're expectations are.

For instance, you know, if we go back to my first testimony when I said, "Do you have a set of business processes that are mature and you want this system to support?" Okay, let's talk about those, let's make sure that the system that we're developing supports those, and then we need to go back to our team, to my team and all of my partners, and I need to make sure that we all understand exactly what the needs of those business

undertaken for many years. The support structure needed to be able to service a high volume of users. The support structure needed to be designed to adapt to the needs of the users as the IT system evolved through its different versions."

I think you have spoken to that already and therefore we needn't expand upon it.

You say, sixthly:

"The internal error resolution mechanism needed to be able to quickly resolve reported errors through identifying root causes, methodically correcting these errors and distributing the remedies in a timely and efficient manner."

Was there any particular reason why that was necessary in Horizon as opposed to any IT system?

A. This is for certainly for any IT system. This is, you

know, some of the material I was asked to review, so it definitely needed to have a mention.

Q. Seventh:

"The system's functionality needed to maintain accounting integrity. It was the origin of sales and inventory information that flowed into the financial systems of POCL. Consequently, it was intended to be a 'source of truth' for these fundamental accounting facts. Any errors deriving from the IT system would, if

1		not the otherwise rectified, be reflected in all	1
2		downstream processes and systems."	2
3		I think that probably speaks for itself.	3
4		You say in your paragraph 8 that:	4
5		"Throughout [your review you] identified	5
6		shortcomings in each one of these key areas."	6
7		The first of them is "constituency alignment".	7
8		Can you speak to each of these six points, please, the	8
9		first, constituency alignment.	9
10	A.	Yes. You know, through the reading of the documents, it	10
11		was obvious to me, at least through my reading of the	11
12		information, that there were some strained relationships	12
13		between ICL Pathway and POCL. It was actually fairly	13
14		straightforward, and that's just not an ideal situation	14
15		when you are trying to work together to develop	15
16		a system.	16
17	Q.	You say:	17
18		"The Helpdesk was often the root of Service Level	18
19		Agreement issues with POCL; Als were a gating issue to	19
20		the financial success of Pathway."	20
21		I think you have spoken to those already, haven't	21
22		you?	22
23	A.	Yes.	23
24	Q.	On design and implementation you say:	24
25		"Hardware issues were prevalent during national 145	25
1		they're personally you know, if it's within their	1
2		particular enterprise responsibility, or if it's more of	2
3		an umbrella partnership with other enterprises, they are	3
4		going to be the one that get the focus if it doesn't	4
5	•	work.	5
6	Q.	"User support. Postmaster training experienced	6
7		difficulties during national roll-out; Helpdesk was	7
8		often the root of SLA issues with POCL."	8
9 10		You have explained that already I think. "Error resolution: The SMC was frequently cited	9 10
10		for not properly filtering calls to the SSC. The SSC	11
11		,	
11 12			
12		was overwhelmed with escalated issues but were	12
12 13		earnest in their efforts to perform their duties."	13
12 13 14		earnest in their efforts to perform their duties." You have explained all that.	13 14
12 13 14 15		earnest in their efforts to perform their duties." You have explained all that. "Accounting integrity: the persistence of	13 14 15
12 13 14 15 16		earnest in their efforts to perform their duties." You have explained all that. "Accounting integrity: the persistence of reference data mismanagement degraded the integrity of	13 14 15 16
12 13 14 15 16		earnest in their efforts to perform their duties." You have explained all that. "Accounting integrity: the persistence of reference data mismanagement degraded the integrity of the Horizon System."	13 14 15 16 17
12 13 14 15 16 17		earnest in their efforts to perform their duties." You have explained all that. "Accounting integrity: the persistence of reference data mismanagement degraded the integrity of the Horizon System." Was that a view that you formed as being	13 14 15 16 17 18
12 13 14 15 16 17 18		earnest in their efforts to perform their duties." You have explained all that. "Accounting integrity: the persistence of reference data mismanagement degraded the integrity of the Horizon System." Was that a view that you formed as being applicable even at the end of the period that you looked	13 14 15 16 17 18 19
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Λ	earnest in their efforts to perform their duties." You have explained all that. "Accounting integrity: the persistence of reference data mismanagement degraded the integrity of the Horizon System." Was that a view that you formed as being applicable even at the end of the period that you looked at, i.e. as at after roll-out?	13 14 15 16 17 18 19
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Q.	earnest in their efforts to perform their duties." You have explained all that. "Accounting integrity: the persistence of reference data mismanagement degraded the integrity of the Horizon System." Was that a view that you formed as being applicable even at the end of the period that you looked at, i.e. as at after roll-out? Yes.	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q.	earnest in their efforts to perform their duties." You have explained all that. "Accounting integrity: the persistence of reference data mismanagement degraded the integrity of the Horizon System." Was that a view that you formed as being applicable even at the end of the period that you looked at, i.e. as at after roll-out? Yes. "A persisting issue related to Al376 (accounting	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23		earnest in their efforts to perform their duties." You have explained all that. "Accounting integrity: the persistence of reference data mismanagement degraded the integrity of the Horizon System." Was that a view that you formed as being applicable even at the end of the period that you looked at, i.e. as at after roll-out? Yes. "A persisting issue related to Al376 (accounting integrity); payment and receipt imbalances were common	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		earnest in their efforts to perform their duties." You have explained all that. "Accounting integrity: the persistence of reference data mismanagement degraded the integrity of the Horizon System." Was that a view that you formed as being applicable even at the end of the period that you looked at, i.e. as at after roll-out? Yes. "A persisting issue related to Al376 (accounting	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

roll-out. Many post offices were disconnected for extended periods of time; the persistence of reference data management (*sic*) degraded the integrity of the Horizon system."

Collecting those three things together, how serious a concern were they?

A. I think that they impacted the actual performance in the real world of the system. We saw in the examples that we just went through, you know, some of it was reference data information that were creating the situation where there were some receipts and payments mis-balance information.

At a more understandable layman's term level, if your hardware's not working, if you have just delivered a new system to me and even if the software is perfect but the hardware's not working, that doesn't matter to me. I really want is something that works. I want something that works correctly, reliably, consistently and, from an end user's point of view, I don't know that it a matters if it was a hardware issue or a software issue or a service provider issue. If the system in my perception is not working correctly, it's not working.

It doesn't really matter why it's not working, and ICL Pathway, you know, at this point is in charge of delivering of that system. So regardless of whether 146

report.

You came to those conclusions without, I think, seeing any or all of the reports created at the time by developers, programmers, coders, engineers, within either ICL Pathway or POCL, and went instead off the PinICLs, PEAKs and KELs, and the monthly reports and other management reports.

I think since then you have seen, through looking at the witness statements and reviewing some of there was transcripts of the evidence, a range of other reports or documents referred to; is that right?

- A. That is correct.
- Q. Amongst that material that you have reviewed, was there anything you would, in particular, wish to highlight as being relevant to as undermining or supporting the conclusions that you have set out in those six sub-paragraphs?
- **A.** There is nothing that I read that does anything but support my confidence in the conclusions that I've written in my report.
- **Q.** Amongst the material that you examined, did anything stand out in particular to you?
- A. I will say that there was a lot of discussion in many of the witness statements and the transcripts that I read that was talking about the design and development

1 process that went on at Pathway for the Horizon 2 programme. Much of it was talking about what appeared 3 to be a lack of design process and more of a "Let's just 4 go develop it", without necessarily having a good set of 5 requirements or a proper design facility to help inform 6 that development process. 7 Now, that's what I've read. I will say that that 8 certainly could substantiate the amount of PinICLs and 9 PEAKs, the volume of PinICLs and PEAKs that were there. 10 It certainly could substantiate the fact that there 11 are -- when I was looking at the payments and receipts 12 imbalance and noticing that there were a lot of 13 different causes for that, if in fact there was not 14 really a good design -- and I have no reason to not 15 believe what I read in the witness statements -- that 16 certainly would explain why there were so many errors in 17 the system as represented by the PEAKs and PinICLs, and 18 why, even when you fixed one, something else popped up, 19 and then you fixed one and something else popped up. 20 That certainly is a consequence of not having an 21 extremely disciplined design-to-development process. 22 Q. We've heard evidence, in particular, concerning what was 23 called the reverse engineering or reverse documentation 24 of the part of the EPOSS system. Have you read about 25 that evidence? 149 1 properly. 2 So, if there's no design and that design -- first 3 of all, there has to be a good design process. Second, 4 that design process has to be aligned with a very well 5 known set of requirements from the sponsors. Only when 6 those two things are done can you start thinking about 7 the construction and development of the software. 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Otherwise, it's very difficult to make sure that the system does what your customers want it to do. Q. Can we look at a couple of aspects please, page 6 of the document. Scroll down, please, to EPOSS Documentation. "The document suite supporting EPOSS consists of three main elements: "EPOSS functional specification. "High-level design ... "Several low-level design documents." And the authors wrote that:

"All of these were developed by reverse

engineering the EPOSS product code at that time."

was reverse engineered, but the documentation was

written. Is that what you were just speaking about as

151

being ill-advised?

6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 We've been told that that means, not that the code 20 21 reverse documented to fit the code that had already been 22 23 24 A. Yes, because what that implies is you didn't know how to 25

1 A. Yes. 2 Q. Sorry, have you read that evidence? 3 4 Q. Did you read the evidence concerning the EPOSS PinICL 5 Task Force? 6 Α. Yes. 7 Did you read the EPOSS PinICL Task Force report? 8 A. 9 Q. I wonder whether we could look at that then, please. 10 That's FUJ00080690. Is that the same document that we 11 are both talking about? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. Rather than take you through individual parts of it at 14 the moment, I am going to ask you to look at a couple of 15 bits in a moment, what if any was your reaction to 16 reading it? 17 A. It was disturbing to read that. If everything in here 18 is as represented, it would indicate to me that, number 19 1, there was no design at least for the EPOSS section of 20 the Horizon System and, considering that's what a lot of the PinICLs and PEAKs errors were that we just went 21 22 through that specifically led to the imbalance issues, 23 it's -- that's fait accompli. If you're not going to 24 design something properly, it's not going to -- if you 25 don't have a good design, it's not going to work 150 1 construct the system from the beginning to make sure 2 that it aligned with the customer specifications. If 3 you need to do this, that means there was not the proper 4 design process for a system of this ambition, because 5

you can't -- this is not like sending three people into a room and asking them to code a system. This is many teams, many teams of coders, and then many other teams, you know, for infrastructure and for telecommunications and for training and for all of that.

If you are doing that by basically putting people in a room and coming in and saying, "I think this is what they want. Why don't you code for three months and show me what you have." It's just ... it's undisciplined.

Q. Can we go forward to page 15, please. Paragraph 7.1.1 the authors record:

"The EPOSS product was originally developed using RAD techniques."

You told us about RAD on the last occasion. When you wrote your report, did you know whether or not the EPOSS product had been developed using RAD?

Q. Do you have any view or comment on the appropriateness of using RAD techniques to develop which EPOSS product?

A. RAD is very good for developing something small, for

developing something quickly that is just for illustrative purposes. But for a heavy-duty enterprise system that needs to be viable over the long-term, it's terrible, because you're not planning it. RAD almost means no plan other than, I think I know what the goal is, and I'm going to get to that goal as fast as I possibly can, and there's good situations where that's needed. But this is not the right situation for that.

You need to do everything in a very methodical almost militaristic way to make sure that everything works properly together, because I think I mentioned before: there are so many moving pieces. This has to be highly co-ordinated. RAD is the other end of the spectrum. It's not highly co-ordinated or it doesn't support co-ordination amongst big teams because that's not the purpose of RAD. RAD is: get it done quick, get a little bit done quick. If you have 80 teams doing their own little part independently, you can't put that back together and think that it will work as well as if they were all very co-ordinated from the beginning.

Then lastly on this document page 17, please, foot of the page, from paragraph 7.3 onwards there are four examples of some code that the authors have cut into the document. I think, when you prepared your report, you 153

- Q. Then very shortly then, if we go over to page 19, two more examples of code there. An example of some unreachable code and what's described by the authors as bad practice. Did you form a view on either of these two codes?
- A. Yes. So the second one is bad practice. It's just not the right structure, and it indicates to me that they don't understand what those particular structures are, and just take my word for it. They don't understand what the structures are.

The first one, I think, is probably a little easier for everyone to understand why this is bad, if you actually can scroll back up, please. So the first one, the highlighted items, when I read this and looked at it, essentially what's happening here is they are saying, "if" -- what they are showing here is, first, they are checking to see if a particular variable was either 3013 or 3016. So that has to evaluate to true.

So, in order to get into that code, you already know that "Istockrootnode" is either 3013 or 3016. Further in the codes then they are checking that same stock root node to see if it's 2493. It's not going to have changed -- let me make sure.

There's nothing in here that has changed the value of that particular variable. So there's no way that it 155

were not asked to look at any of the underlying code, if it's still available for Legacy Horizon, were you?

- A. That's correct.
- Q. Here you see some example code that the authors have included in their report. Did you read this section of the report?
- A. I did.
 - Q. You read the code?
 - A. I did.
 - Q. What did you make of it?
 - A. This is terrible code. This is terrible code. So even the one you have up here -- so it does what it's supposed to do, but it's like, you may think building -- I can't remember the term -- the overly engineered mousetrap. This has to be a joke. I mean, this has to be a joke, because this is a ridiculous set of code to change the sign on something. I'm sure that, even if you don't code, you would understand that, if I want to make something a negative, I just times it by negative 1. You don't need an "iff" statement. You don't need to do anything but that. This is, you know 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 -- six lines in what could be one line.

So it's inefficient, at the very least it's inefficient or it's actually a joke, because it's terrible.

will ever get inside this particular condition.

So I don't know if this is an artifact of something old where perhaps the first condition was changed and now it's changed it again. But, even if that was the case, there's no comment on this. I don't know what they are checking for in this first condition. So either this is written by someone not so smart in here, or there's been multiple updates to this code, and perhaps the first statement used to be: if it's 3013 or if it's 2493, because I could see that as being a possibility.

But then further on someone went and changed the condition. But then they didn't account for what happens with 2493. So now am I ignoring what should have happened correctly to 2493, or am I just completely divorced from mathematical logic and put this in here? Either way it's a bad example.

- Q. And the third example at the foot of the page.
- A. So this is a little bit more --
- Q. Niche.
 - -- art, art-ish. It just has to do with the
 construction of, am I going to do -- why would I put an
 "if" statement in a "do" statement? I'm not sure that
 this would resonate so well with anyone that's not
 a programmer, but this is a poor construction.

s а рооі

1	Q. Over the page, please.
2	A. Okay. So, if we remember my first testimony, I was
3	talking about hard coding information into code, and
4	then let's instead use a data-driven logic. In this
5	code, this is an example of some hard coding, and what
6	this is, this has a whole bunch of values in here that
7	have been hard coded. Why have they been hard coded
8	that way? I have no idea because I don't see any
9	comments around here.
10	I believe that the author of this document
11	speculated that there was a particular, very specific
12	condition that was trying to be resolved by doing this
13	hard coding, which means essentially they are looking
14	for any one of these numbers, and then they are doing
15	something, if they find any one of those numbers.
16	Why are they looking for those numbers? I have no
17	idea. I don't think anyone that would come after them,
18	after this particular author was there, would have any
19	idea why those numbers are hard coded in there. Can I
20	take them out? What will happen if I take them out?
21	No-one knows.
22	It's just very odd that you wouldn't put this into
23	a variable to at least allow some flexibility. First of
24	all, there's no flexibility here at all. It's just
25	these numbers other than, if I wanted to start typing
	157
1	A. No, no. Everything I've read has been no, I'm
2	confident in this statement.
3	Q. Thank you very much. Might that be an appropriate
4	moment for the break? I wonder whether we could take
5	ten minutes, in which case I think there will be a good
6	chance of completing Mr Cipione's evidence today.
7	SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Certainly. I certainly have no wish to
8	bring Mr Cipione back for a very short period of time
9	tomorrow, so I will sit as reasonably long as is
10	necessary to finish him.
11	MR BEER: I don't think that's going to be necessary, sir,
12	but thank you anyway.
13	(3.34 pm)
14	(A short break)
15	(3.45 pm)
16	MR BEER: Sir, can you see and hear us?
17	SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes, I can.
18	MR BEER: Mr Stein first.
19	Questioned by MR STEIN
20	MR STEIN: Mr Cipione, I ask questions on behalf of a large
21	group of ex-subpostmasters and mistresses and managers,
22	a group of 156. Mr Cipione, you have given evidence
23	over now some days, your time when you came to the
24	Inquiry before and obviously today. Virtually all the
25	questions I was going to ask you have now been asked.

some more numbers into the case statement, but more importantly that's really it. It's not very often that you see hard coding in somewhere unless they are trying to quickly fix an issue.

Q. Thank you. That can come down off the screen. Then my last question just before the break, please, can we go back your report at page 5. And your overall conclusion at 1.1.9, you say:

"In my opinion, the stability of the Horizon IT system was affected by these shortcomings. The sometimes conflicting expectations between ICL Pathway and POCL introduced a disruptive element at the management level. The effects of these disruptions manifested itself throughout the implementation of the Horizon IT System. Other ICL Pathway self-inflicted wounds included the suboptimal support from ICL Pathway's program for training of SPMs, the Helpdesk support of SPMs and the Helpdesk of ICL Pathway's error resolution function. A noticeable symptom of these issues was a recurrent balancing problem experienced by the SPMs which directly degraded the accounting integrity of the Horizon IT System."

Given everything that you've read since you made that statement, is there anything that you wish to say to change or to qualify it?

So I can really cut this down.

You were asked number of questions today by Mr Beer about the fact that the system should have been designed to be able to support court proceedings. Do you remember the questions I think earlier this morning?

A. Yes.

Q. The way that my learned friend Mr Beer put that was that he was talking about cases that are being prosecuted; in other words, prosecuted in the criminal courts.

A. Yes.

Q. Your evidence was that you're familiar with such structures because, in fact, you're engaged in the design of those types of systems yourself; is that right?

A. Yes.

Q. Now, just so that we can round this particular question out, you're aware, obviously, that there are both criminal courts and civil courts.

A. Yes.

Q. The criminal courts work to what the lawyers call the higher standard of proof, which is beyond reasonable doubt, and the civil courts work to a different standard of proof, which is, in the UK, on the balance of probabilities. I think in the States it's the preponderance of evidence; is that right?

1 A. Yes. 2 Q. Okay. Now, so that we make sure we're all understanding 3 your evidence about this, when you're designing a system 4 that should be capable of supporting cases in the 5 courts, essentially do you agree it should be designed 6 to fulfil a high quality product, making sure that 7 material or evidence from it comes from a system which 8 is capable of holding high data integrity? 9 A. Yes. 10 Q. Now, a number of different issues have been highlighted 11 with you as to the problems that were existing within 12 the Horizon System. Now, in the last part of your 13 evidence you were discussing the coding and the 14 difficulties that you were seeing in relation to 15 particular examples. You will be pleased to hear --16 probably everyone will -- I am not going to try and go 17 near those. 18 Let's just think about other issues other than 19 software. So let's start with hardware. So hardware 20 issues -- is this correct -- such as connection issues 21 or de-connection issues, going offline, power cuts, 22 environmental issues that relate to the weather, all of 23 those issues can also affect the operation of the system 24 in different ways; is that correct? 25 A. Yes. 161 1 need to -- that there were scripts which were 2 inaccurate; in other words, scripts being provided to 3 the Helpdesk advisers that were inaccurate, in other 4 words, that the Helpdesk people were using scripts that 5 were inaccurate and then giving inaccurate advice; okay? 6 A. So you are saying that Helpdesk scripts were inaccurate 7 or the help was not correct? 8 Q. Right. So that can lead to yet another level of issues, because the subpostmaster may accept such inaccurate 9 10 advice and operate the system badly based on that advice; do you agree? 11 12 A. Certainly. 13 Q. It also will lead to confusion no doubt within the 14 subpostmasters and their ability to operate the system 15 more generally? 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. The final part of that is: it will probably lead for 18 more contacts back to the Helpdesk? 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. Now, you mentioned that we are looking at a system that 21 was designed and started in operation at the end of the 22 last millennium. That was part of your evidence today. 23 If the system was designed so that a customer 24 going into a post office branch was able to have their 25 transaction completed and verified back to the Horizon,

163

17 November 2022 1 Q. So using just one example, if there's a power outage, an 2 unexpected power outage, that could cause issues, if 3 there is mis-communication within the system, with 4 balancing; is that right? 5 A. Certainly it's a possibility. 6 Q. There are also issues that you mention within your 7 report about training. Now, do you accept that this 8 goes in perhaps two different ways: First of all, if 9 you get your training wrong, you're training people 10 badly, then people, users of the system, in this case 11 subpostmasters, may themselves get it wrong when they 12 are using it; is that right? 13 A. They could operate the system wrong; that's correct. Q. Then there's the other side of it, which is that the 14 15 training itself has not been sufficient, or incomplete, 16 or not sufficient for the people that are operating the 17 system so they've not been able to get it or understand 18 it or use the system adequately, yes? 19 A. Yes. Q. So that's another level of issues that come up within 20 the system, all of that entirely innocently from the 21 22 perspective of subpostmasters; do you agree? 23 A. Yes. 24 Q. Now, one final thing regarding Helpdesk issues. We've 25 seen some evidence -- and I can take you to it if we 162 1 if you like, mainframe, back to the main servers, and 2 then confirmed to the subpostmaster so that all of that 3 was done in one basic transaction, so confirmed from 4 both ends that the information is correct, that there 5 has been receipt of that information at the branch, 6 confirmed back to the office, if you like, and confirmed 7 yet again back within that same transaction to the 8 9 design a system at that time, so that everyone knew 10 everyone's checking going, "Yes, you got it right, it's 11

subpostmaster, would that be the only way that you could all clear"?

- A. I think your goal of the confirmation is a good goal. How you would effectuate that in the late '90s, I think, would require some thought on my process, but I appreciate what your goal is, and I think that would be a good goal.
- Q. Was the system designed to do that?

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A. I really can't comment on exactly what the mechanics of the system are. I could say that I don't think it was designed to do that, based on my limited understanding of the technical specifications underneath.
- Q. Can I point you in one direction that may help. We know that the system was designed so that, even if there was connectivity lost, the branch could continue to operate, working on the basis that the branch, when reconnected

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 there would then be a conversation. 3 A. Yes, I'm aware of that functionality. 4 Q. So that tells us that, in my example of a customer 5 coming into a branch that, if the system happens to be 6 operating offline at that particular time, there 7 wouldn't be a capable way of actually communicating 8 confirmation and acceptance, would there? 9 A. No, no, there would not. 10 Q. Now, you've been referred by Mr Beer at 11 paragraph 7.4.5 -- if we need to go to it, it's the 12 reference to correspondence that some KELs may have been 13 deleted. You were asked a couple of questions about 14 that 15 Just so we understand the detail about this, what 16 you say in your report is that some KELs may have been 17 deleted. That's your understanding from the 18 correspondence. 19 Were you given any information about whether they 20 were or were not deleted? The word "may" is rather 21 loose. 22 A. I think I'd have to refer you to Mr Beer. I didn't have 23 any direct correspondence with Fujitsu. 24 Q. So we need get back to the Inquiry and ask them the 25 detail about that conversation. 165 1 A. Yes, I have those PinICLs and perhaps an explanation 2 might clarify that. So we received the 50,000 or 60,000 3 PinICLs and PEAKs in PDF or HTML format. Subsequent to 4 that, we were delivered this database which was, for the 5 same PinICLs and PEAKs, just in a structured format. It 6 wasn't -- it wasn't new PinICLs and PEAKs; it was just 7 in a different format. 8 Q. So in the end the confusion about this was cleared up? 9 A. Yes. 10 Q. You were able to look at the PinICLs fully? A. Yes. 11 12 MR STEIN: Thank you, sir. 13 Questioned by MS PAGE 14 MS PAGE: Laura Page, I represent a number of postmasters as 15 well, and just a few questions to see if there are 16 a couple of areas you may be able to help me with; you 17 may not. 18 One of your 7 examples that you talked through 19 with Mr Beer earlier is dated from June 1999, and it was 20 an experience that's common to a number of the 21 postmasters. It involved the bringing forward of 22 balances and, when you brought them forward, they 23 doubled up. Then you might bring them forward again and 24 they'd double up again, and that's something that we 25 have heard a lot of from the subpostmasters.

167

to the main system, would then catch up; in other words,

1

A. Yes.

Q. Lastly, this happens to be at 6.2.20, you discuss the failure by Fujitsu to provide PinICLs in a timely fashion. Can you help any further with that and how that happened?

A. What specifically are you referring to?

Q. In your report at 6.2.20 -- if you can have the report up on the screen, please -- so reference EXPG, thank you, and then pagination Relativity pagination page 60. We're looking for 6.2.20. If you can highlight 6.2.20 just further down. Thank you.

To this is the point I am referring to:

"The PinICL archive databases were received late in my review. I therefore decided, in consultation with the Inquiry team, not to fully investigate the databases as this would have unduly delayed the completion of this Report, and could have had knock-on consequences for the Inquiry's timetable. In addition, I noted that Fujitsu had not produced these PinICL archive databases in response to the original Rule 9 request submitted by the Inquiry in December 2021. Therefore, I deduce the incremental information not to be responsive to the original request from the Inquiry."

If we just quickly take this into bits, first of all did you ever receive those PinICLs?

166

Your example was from June 1999. One of the subpostmasters that I represent, she had that problem in 2000 -- Nichola Arch. So not very long afterwards but nonetheless perhaps a year or so afterwards. Then we see it happening again and again.

In the example that you looked at, it appeared that a fix had been applied, but we see the problem coming back.

Now is that something that we can relate to this terminology that we've heard "code decay", where you fix on fix on fix, and you end up sometimes making the problem worse, or it comes back in a different form?

Does that make any sense to you?

A. It's a possibility. Of course I can't tell you exactly why, because even in the examples I reviewed, I didn't see the code that -- if that example was code related.

If some of the witness statements and transcripts I've read indicate that, you know, regression testing wasn't done properly. It is possible that the issue that I was describing in the PinICL was corrected and then for some reason someone went back in and did another correction to that code but actually reverted it back; that's a possibility. I have no idea if it happened but it's certainly a possibility.

Q. Thank you.

We also heard from a witness. Mr Andrew Simpkins. I don't know if you read his testimony, but he talked about an element of the design which he saw as a pretty fundamental design flaw in which the problem was that subpostmasters couldn't see where or when discrepancies occurred in their accounts. It was completely opaque to them. So numbers just kind of came out, but they didn't know the calculation behind that or where those numbers had been put in. He said that that would have compounded a problem because, when they called for assistance, they wouldn't be able to identify exactly when the problem occurred. leaving the Helpdesk unable to -- I think the word he said, was that "replication" of the fault is so important to try to get to the bottom of the fault that this may well have compounded the problems that the Helpdesk were having because subpostmasters weren't able

to say, "Well, it happened exactly like this".

was a kind of a design flaw, if you like?

A. I would say that that was a usability design flaw certainly. I don't know that that is necessarily a technical design flaw. I mean, I'm kind of picking at nits here but, from a user perspective, absolutely. If I could have seen a register of everything that the

Is that something that you would agree with, that

"Is there a need for the Audit Server to harvest and store information from the NBE? If so, then the NBE will need to support either NFS or NT file shares.

"Audit Server sizing will need to be examined. There will be a significant increase in the data that needs to be audited."

If we could go over, please:

"Will there be an increase in the number of requests to retrieve audit information? The current Audit solution is designed to service a limited number of requests (a maximum of 50 per year). Any dramatic increase in requests will require the current solution design to be re-examined."

Then:

"A fraud management system was developed for the PAS/BES system, but removed from the Horizon solution when these applications were dropped. It is necessary to consider whether there will be a requirement for fraud investigations and control with Network Banking. Although the current Audit solution will hold the data that may form the basis for detailed fraud investigations, it is not designed for, nor can it cope with, an ongoing fraud management approach based upon statistical analysis of transaction patterns over a wide variety of Outlets."

system was recording that I had entered, even if I hadn't entered it, it certainly couldn't have hurt the investigation process. It absolutely most likely probably would have helped the investigation process.

Q. Thank you. Just a couple more. Again, I hope this isn't a lengthy one but it may assist if we bring up a document, please. The reference is POL00043744.

SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Ms Page, could I ask you to speak a little more into the microphone, please.

MS PAGE: Certainly. Is that better?

SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes, it is. Thanks.

MS PAGE: So the document that I've just brought up if we go to page 30 and we go down, please, towards the bottom of the page and going over to the next page, we see a section that is headed "audit and Fraud Management" and this deals with -- this is a document dated in 2001 and it deals with the fact that when the Benefits Agency came out of the tripartite agreement, one of the things that happened was that a fraud management system that they had wanted and had sort of put in for benefits fraud was removed.

If we could just perhaps read about one of the possible implications of that, it says:

"There are a number of possible implications on the Horizon audit solution.

Now, I don't pretend to understand all of that and I don't know whether you would be able to help us with all of it either, but what it appears to be getting at, as far as I can tell, is that with the removal of the fraud management system, there's then set up a sort of an arrangement we've heard about where up to around 50 requests a year for audit were done in a sort of a much less organised fashion, and those 50-odd requests could be used by Post Office for all sorts of things. It might be just an investigation, it might be what's been told, a fishing expedition, or it might involve actually going forward to a criminal prosecution.

What I am trying to understand from this set of paragraphs is, is there something about the maximum of 50 a year? Is there something magic about that? Does data degrade in some fashion if there's going to be more than 50 requests a year?

- A. Who was the author of this document?
- Q. This appears to be an ICL Pathway document trying to deal with the Network Banking, the next phase. So it's a later phase and they're talking about moving forward into that phase but one of the things they are dealing with is this issue around how do we support prosecutions and investigations, how do we provide audit trails?
- A. Okay. So, I'm sorry, what was your question again?

Q. So I'm trying to understand whether this 50 -- in the bullet point that's still on the screen: "The current audit solution is designed to service a limited number of requests (a maximum of 50 per year) any dramatic increase in requests will require a current solution design to be re-examined", can you sort of see any reason why there was -- is there going to be a problem with extracting data if you don't have a proper solution for it and is there some magic around the number of 50? A. First, I don't even know what this system is, so I don't know that any of my commentary is helpful or not. Q. No. A. I don't know why that 50 is in there but I also don't know what the system is, so anything I say would just be speculation. Q. All right. Then just finally this also might be a bit speculative, but it's just in case you are able to assist. On the non-polling issue, when I put that section of your report to a witness who was a network witness (that was his specialty), Mark Jarosz -- I don't know, again, if you were able to hear much or read much of his -- but he explained that when non-polling occurred there was a procedure which ought to have been in place Then, secondly, I'm very grateful for you keeping your eye on the Inquiry and reading evidence as it's unfolded so that you could verify or alter or amend in any way you thought appropriate evidence contained within your report; and then, lastly, for coming twice to answer a great many questions for which you, again, have my considerable thanks. Thank you very much. A. Thank you, sir. MR BEER: Sir, I think we return next Wednesday at 11.00 am. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: That is correct. Thank you very much, Mr Beer. MR BEER: Thank you. (4.08 pm) (Adjourned until 11.00 am on Wednesday, 23 November 2022)

which ought to have involved a special laptop being brought to the branch in question and he said that there was a solution, a Day D solution.

I should say that the subpostmasters we've heard from, I don't believe any of them have experienced this occurring but is there something that -- does that ring any bells? Is that something that would have worked if a special laptop has arrived that would have helped with the data reconciliation?

A. I'm not familiar with that. I suppose they could have brought another piece of hardware and connected it up, but I'm not familiar with what you're discussing.

MS PAGE: All right. Thank you. Those are my questions.

MR BEER: Sir, I believe that's all of the questions for

Mr Cipione. Can I thank him on behalf of the Inquiry
for his report and the two occasions when he's come to
this country to give evidence. Thank you.

SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Well, you can do it, Mr Beer, but I think I'm not just duty-bound but it's my pleasure to give Mr Cipione my considerable thanks, and I break it down in this way, Mr Cipione. First of all, for the production of a comprehensive report in a very tight timescale, so my thanks for that, and I dare say thanks are due not just to you personally but to the team that you had helping you in that regard.

INDEX

CHARLES CIPIONE (sworn)	1
Questioned by Mr Beer	1
Questioned by MR STEIN	159
Questioned by MS PAGE	167

		129 [1] 70/16	18.1 [2] 73/5 75/16	24 hours [1] 38/2
MD DEED, 1201 1/2	FOI 22/7 22/46 27/5	13 [4] 41/17 46/10	18.1.18 [1] 76/22	24 September [1]
MR BEER: [20] 1/3	[9] 22/7 33/16 37/5	47/2 54/11	18.1.2 [1] 72/21	131/15
1/5 1/9 46/14 46/17	37/5 106/1 106/2	13.1 [1] 46/3	18.1.4 [1] 80/6	24 September 1999
46/20 46/24 47/1 62/7	110/21 131/16 152/13	13.1.1 [2] 47/3 47/4	18.1.5 [1] 74/21	[1] 64/1
96/7 96/11 96/13	currently [1] 22/7	13.1.3 [1] 42/21	18.1.9 [1] 77/10	2493 [4] 155/22
96/17 96/19 159/11	1	13.1.7 [1] 44/5	18.16 [1] 80/20	156/10 156/14 156/1
159/16 159/18 174/14		13.1.8 [1] 45/13	18.2.2 [1] 84/12	25 [2] 121/13 122/4
175/9 175/12	1 million [1] 23/19	13.2 [1] 44/9	18.2.4 [1] 85/18	25 years [2] 31/25
MR STEIN: [2]	1 November [1]	137 [2] 80/8 85/18	18.3 [3] 81/13 81/14	32/24
159/20 167/12	39/14		82/1	26 [3] 83/20 99/19
MS PAGE: [4]	1,000 [1] 61/11	138 [1] 90/10		99/20
167/14 170/10 170/12	1,281 [1] 30/1	139 [1] 90/10	18.5 [1] 83/19	
174/13	1,800 [1] 131/17	14 [3] 47/2 48/3	180 [1] 60/15	27 May [1] 54/9
SIR WYN WILLIAMS:	1,863 [1] 44/15	72/21	185 [1] 109/2	28 [4] 39/19 120/9
[17] 1/4 1/6 46/13	1.1.3 [1] 141/25	14,000 [1] 117/13	19 [2] 113/13 155/1	121/13 122/4
46/15 46/19 46/25	1.1.9 [1] 158/8	14.1 [1] 49/22	19 per cent [1] 81/12	
61/20 62/6 96/10	1.12 [1] 80/1	14.1.1 [1] 48/20	1996 [5] 8/4 29/19	29 July [1] 113/10
96/12 96/18 159/7	1.13 [1] 80/1	140 [2] 96/20 96/21	57/1 58/1 58/15	29 per cent [1] 83/22
159/17 170/8 170/11	1.4 [1] 43/3	143.22 [3] 98/5 99/20	1997 [1] 43/4	3
174/18 175/10	1.5 [2] 43/3 143/14	100/3	1998 [1] 44/17	ļ
1/10/10	1.55 [1] 96/11	144 [1] 108/4	1999 [11] 18/4 18/16	3,000 [1] 60/19
•	1.55 pm [1] 96/16	147 [1] 112/16	18/23 19/19 22/6	3.34 pm [1] 159/13
'90s [2] 32/3 164/13	1.6 [1] 43/24	15 [7] 50/7 54/21	28/19 28/23 64/1	3.45 pm [1] 159/15
'96 [2] 30/5 58/20	10 [1] 33/5	72/22 78/25 79/4 79/4	106/12 167/19 168/1	30 [2] 64/3 170/13
'97 [1] 43/6	10 [1] 33/3 10 per cent [1] 31/6	152/15		30 December [1]
		15.1.1 [1] 50/17	2	106/15
'98 [2] 35/21 48/24	10.00 [1] 1/2	15.1.4 [1] 53/20	2,000 [1] 21/17	30 December 1999
'99 [17] 21/15 22/22	10.1.1 [1] 33/8	151 [1] 117/8	20 [2] 60/10 60/12	[1] 106/12
22/23 27/11 27/15	10.1.3 [1] 35/20	152 [1] 118/1	20 August [2] 98/17	30,000 [1] 60/10
27/17 27/18 35/22	10.1.4 [1] 36/5	153 [1] 118/16	106/12	300 [1] 21/19
54/9 54/10 54/11	10.1.5 [1] 37/24	154 [1] 119/19	20 July [1] 109/9	3013 [3] 155/18
58/23 59/14 64/5	10.1.8 [1] 40/15	155 [5] 112/19	20 per cent [1] 83/20	
120/10 131/16 131/19		112/23 112/25 113/1	20,000 [3] 40/3 40/4	3016 [2] 155/18
'Acceptance [1]	100 [2] 12/14 78/24	113/13	40/5	155/20
70/21	102 [1] 44/3	156 [1] 159/22	2000 [36] 8/4 21/20	332 [1] 31/5
'Al' [1] 70/21	105 [1] 44/11	157 [1] 122/22	22/24 23/13 27/11	358 [1] 70/24
'Brought [1] 117/19	105 million [1]	159 [1] 125/5	27/11 27/11 27/15	37 [2] 83/22 84/4
'business [1] 65/2	131/18	16 [3] 17/4 54/18	27/18 27/18 27/19	376 [3] 63/8 63/22
'business-as-usual'	1052 [2] 90/21 91/1		28/24 29/6 29/14	97/14
[1] 65/2	106 [1] 48/4	72/22	29/14 29/19 30/5	38,803 [1] 76/5
'data' [1] 42/23	108 [1] 50/8	16,530 [1] 3/20		
'dented' [1] 49/7	11 [4] 41/16 79/5	16.1 [4] 57/4 57/4	38/23 39/2 45/4 45/16	
'EnteredBBF' [1]	129/23 129/24	57/8 61/21	49/14 49/20 54/13	399 [1] 80/8
77/16	11,000 [3] 73/13	16.1.2 [1] 55/8	57/1 58/4 58/10 58/15	4
'ER' [1] 101/25	73/18 74/3	16.1.5 [1] 57/6	58/20 59/2 61/24	ļ -
'Existing [1] 100/24	11.00 [2] 175/9	16.2 [1] 60/3	64/24 71/6 71/9 124/2	
'Final' [1] 118/5	175/14	160 [2] 1/13 1/18	168/3	4.08 pm [1] 175/13
'General [2] 24/21	11.1.3 [1] 130/21	17 [4] 61/19 62/7	2001 [6] 58/8 58/9	40 [1] 60/12
24/22	11.1.5 [1] 131/20	113/2 153/22	58/12 58/21 62/1	43 per cent [1] 83/21
'in [1] 125/15	11.17 [1] 46/21	17 June [1] 54/10	170/16	435 [1] 26/3
	11.31 [1] 46/23	17 November 2022	2002 [1] 62/3	45 [1] 82/17
'ISDN' [1] 40/18	11/15 [1] 79/4	[1] 1/1	2021 [1] 166/21	45 per cent [2] 82/17
'list [1] 121/21	110 [3] 12/15 12/17	17,181.05 [1] 97/7	2022 [2] 1/1 175/14	82/12
'living' [1] 138/22	53/23	17,642.31 [1] 97/9	2050 [1] 97/6	46 [1] 91/13
'nil [1] 123/8	114 [1] 57/7	17.1.2 [1] 62/22	21 [4] 97/6 101/3	461.22 [1] 99/18
out [1] 125/14	118 [1] 62/8	17.1.7 [1] 64/23	101/7 101/8	461.26 [1] 97/10
'own [1] 49/14	116 [1] 02/0 12 [4] 1/12 41/17	17.9.1 [1] 66/6	21 July [1] 109/10	48 [1] 61/12
	129/23 134/21	174 pages [1] 1/10	22 [1] 117/18	5
'POCL [2] 45/15 64/7		174.82 [1] 100/4	22 July [1] 109/12	-
'Product [1] 111/3	12 August [1] 98/1	177 [1] 109/2	223 [1] 70/25	5 November [1]
'receipts [1] 77/1	12 July 2000 [1]	18 [4] 71/21 108/9	23 November 2022	120/10
'SAN' [1] 101/18	124/2	112/25 147/25	[1] 175/14	5 November 99 [1]
'Should [1] 131/9	12.56 pm [1] 96/14	18 August [2] 98/2	230.63 [4] 100/13	131/18
'source [1] 144/24	12609 [1] 97/3	100/14	100/18 101/15 103/4	5,000 [3] 17/5 17/12
'VPN' [1] 40/19	127 [1] 80/9	18,000 [1] 136/16	24 [2] 120/13 120/15	27/4
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(40) 110 0000 000

	0.440		0-/00	
5	31/3	62/23 62/24 63/2 63/3		adjustment [4]
50 [8] 171/11 172/6	91008 [1] 108/8	63/5 63/5 64/1 64/6	38/25 40/9 80/14	100/14 100/17 101/21
172/15 172/17 173/1	97.39 [1] 92/2	64/25 65/10 65/16	88/17 137/7	102/2
173/4 173/10 173/14	98 [3] 41/18 47/3	70/14 70/15 70/23	act [1] 131/7	adjustments [1]
50,000 [1] 167/2	131/9	70/25 71/11 97/13	actions [1] 65/1	101/14
50-odd [1] 172/8	99 [1] 131/18	105/23 130/14 130/25		administrative [3]
523 [1] 115/24	Α	131/15 132/8 133/13	127/15	81/13 81/22 82/2
55 [1] 83/21		133/15 133/17 165/8	activities [3] 24/11	admitted [1] 28/2
56,489 [1] 3/20	AA [1] 100/14	accepted [2] 70/8	131/8 136/19	adopted [3] 2/11 2/17
5766 [1] 105/17	abilities [1] 17/19	120/14	activity [4] 21/22	16/9
	ability [6] 17/20	access [3] 8/6 8/10	88/15 125/21 139/5	advantages [1] 42/22
6	35/22 36/16 37/16	126/16	actual [15] 18/17	advice [3] 163/5
6.2.20 [4] 166/2	119/24 163/14	accommodate [1]	27/1 42/15 53/4 53/17	163/10 163/11
166/7 166/10 166/10	able [27] 5/6 8/15 9/1	17/24	63/11 67/22 78/9 81/9	
6.4 [1] 6/1	12/5 12/24 13/22 37/9		116/6 120/20 125/2	advisers [1] 163/3
60 [1] 166/9	37/11 38/25 52/21	accomplish [2] 33/16		affect [8] 11/17 14/5
60,000 [1] 167/2	52/22 90/11 99/16 115/2 127/1 144/2	34/25	actually [33] 4/9	14/9 31/17 70/14
 63,000 [2] 17/3 17/12		according [3] 28/21	16/19 26/24 34/10	130/14 130/15 161/23
64 [1] 1/12	144/10 160/4 162/17 163/24 167/10 167/16	101/2 114/6	37/20 61/5 61/8 62/2	affected [5] 70/15
65 [3] 1/12 1/16 1/18	169/12 169/17 172/2		62/19 65/16 67/1 69/6	93/14 109/8 132/2
656 [1] 4/25	173/18 173/23	106/3	78/3 88/13 89/14 99/2	158/10
67 [2] 77/7 78/3		account [30] 73/10	110/13 116/4 118/25	affects [1] 14/5
68 million [1] 64/3	about [81] 1/14 11/6 16/21 19/7 21/6 24/13	91/12 91/14 91/18	120/16 126/1 126/5	affirmative [1] 37/20
69 [1] 5/12	25/1 25/15 26/13		126/10 133/8 141/13	afflicted [1] 120/9
	27/17 27/23 28/1	93/18 93/20 101/3	142/6 143/2 145/13	after [11] 3/8 58/21
7		103/7 108/15 109/4	154/24 155/13 165/7	64/6 71/6 84/5 115/18
7.1 [1] 4/21	29/13 31/6 31/25 36/16 41/11 42/14	109/16 110/21 113/4	168/22 172/11	133/14 136/4 147/20
7.1.1 [1] 152/15	51/22 51/25 52/19	118/3 118/6 122/25	adapt [2] 143/25	157/17 157/18
7.2 [2] 2/20 3/4	55/6 59/12 59/24	123/16 123/18 123/20		afternoon [1] 96/17
7.3 [1] 153/23	64/19 65/12 67/14	124/4 126/16 126/21	adaptor [2] 30/16	afterwards [2] 168/3
7.4.4 [1] 5/12	71/10 71/18 78/12	127/9 133/9 137/18	30/23	168/4
7.4.5 [2] 5/12 165/11	79/19 81/19 83/14	143/6 156/13	adaptor/driver [1]	again [28] 29/10
71,000 [1] 117/13	84/2 84/3 87/3 87/8	accounted [3] 16/2	30/23	30/10 30/13 30/17
72 [1] 14/13	87/10 88/11 95/19	75/4 99/20	adding [2] 119/25	40/12 41/9 44/10 48/7
76 [1] 24/17	95/21 103/24 104/10	accounting [28] 9/24	120/4	62/8 62/12 79/7 79/22
78 [1] 28/15	104/13 114/23 116/9	11/21 63/9 63/12	addition [1] 166/18	79/22 80/18 101/10
8	121/18 130/13 134/2	63/14 63/15 63/19	additional [5] 79/14 79/16 79/18 103/22	108/6 124/23 140/5
	134/19 135/22 136/16	64/13 65/20 66/7		156/4 164/7 167/23
8.1.1 [2] 14/21 16/6	139/12 140/19 141/16		117/15	167/24 168/5 168/5 170/5 172/25 173/23
8.1.2 [1] 16/17	141/17 142/21 148/25		Additionally [2] 24/12 37/25	
8.1.3 [1] 18/1	149/2 149/24 150/11	88/6 91/2 142/10		175/6
8.1.4 [2] 19/4 21/3	151/6 151/23 152/19	144/21 144/24 147/15	address [5] 2/10 14/21 33/6 80/1	against [10] 23/15 23/18 29/10 100/19
8.1.5 [2] 24/4 24/7	157/3 160/3 160/8	147/22 158/21	127/22	100/19 101/22 112/23
8.1.6 [2] 24/18 27/6	161/3 161/18 162/7		addressed [23]	125/12 131/24 141/13
8.1.7 [1] 27/9	165/13 165/15 165/19	accounts [2] 127/10 169/6	14/13 88/13 88/16	age [3] 16/20 60/6
8.2 [1] 24/16	165/25 167/8 169/3	accrues [1] 12/23	88/23 88/25 89/7	60/6
80 [2] 29/4 153/17	170/22 172/6 172/14	accumulate [1] 34/20		
81 [1] 29/23	172/15 172/21	accumulator [1] 91/2		Agency [3] 134/23
83 [2] 33/5 39/17	above [2] 99/21	accuracy [4] 10/22	108/2 111/6 116/6	136/4 170/17
86 [4] 38/19 39/2	100/2	11/22 11/22 12/19	116/11 119/12 119/14	
39/2 39/14 87 [3] 17/4 40/15	absolutely [20] 2/19	accurate [8] 10/21	120/16 122/18 122/20	
	2/19 16/22 28/4 30/12		127/20	aggravating [1] 55/3
40/15	31/14 31/21 33/4	,,,	addressing [6] 14/23	ago [1] 32/24
89 [1] 129/24	41/12 42/20 47/11	accurately [1] 42/11	50/14 62/13 111/22	agree [6] 79/5 130/16
9	47/19 58/19 59/22	achievable [1] 65/24	111/24 135/1	161/5 162/22 163/11
9 January 2001 [2]	70/9 70/15 83/13	achieve [2] 23/17	adds [2] 12/14 16/1	169/19
58/9 62/1	89/15 169/24 170/3	131/15	adequately [1]	agreed [3] 23/16
9.1 [2] 29/2 30/5	accept [3] 47/12	achieved [3] 56/20	162/18	114/1 115/2
9.1.2 [1] 28/17	162/7 163/9	62/25 131/17	adhere [1] 14/11	agreement [3] 48/6
9.1.5 [1] 29/24	acceptable [3] 28/24	achievement [1]	adherence [1] 131/1	145/19 170/18
9.1.6 [1] 30/13	29/13 134/10	21/19	Adjourned [1] 175/14	
9.1.7 [1] 31/5	acceptance [29]	acknowledged [3]	Adjournment [1]	20/13 23/18 48/13
9.2 [3] 29/8 30/25	28/21 62/9 62/16	43/8 82/21 127/12	96/15	48/14 131/1

A	122/6 134/8	102/5 102/17 149/8	74/19 75/25 89/18	47/21
AI [2] 97/14 97/17	allowing [2] 78/18	analyse [2] 2/11	90/2 129/3 130/10	arose [2] 55/21
Al376 [15] 62/11 63/9	92/22	83/17	148/14 148/18 148/21	117/11
63/21 64/8 65/4 66/24	allows [5] 4/2 13/8	analysed [1] 61/10	154/21 158/24 173/15	
67/19 70/6 70/13	35/14 59/8 92/7	analyses [1] 10/24	anyway [2] 114/9 159/12	67/11 68/13 95/13 135/16 157/9 172/6
70/18 71/1 71/3 71/11	almost [6] 81/22 112/9 112/19 131/13	analysis [17] 2/24 12/8 12/11 12/12	apologise [1] 18/17	172/23 173/9
113/25 147/22	153/4 153/10	12/14 12/15 25/12	apparent [6] 11/4	arrangement [1]
aid [1] 124/15 Als [4] 62/9 63/7	alone [1] 20/6	25/22 59/4 60/1 60/24		172/6
63/22 145/19	alone-issued [1] 20/6		129/19	arrive [2] 7/14 26/20
akin [1] 111/2	along [3] 67/13 99/11		appear [7] 18/13	arrived [2] 91/20
albeit [1] 107/9	113/20	analytics [8] 2/20 3/8	18/18 18/24 28/23	174/8
alert [2] 118/21	alphabetical [1] 117/18	3/10 4/4 4/11 5/3 25/1 25/3	45/16 116/10 120/11 appeared [4] 56/9	arriving [1] 111/25 art [2] 156/21 156/21
124/19	already [13] 80/17	Andrew [1] 169/1	113/18 149/2 168/6	art-ish [1] 156/21
align [1] 79/11	88/18 91/20 91/23	another [14] 16/1	appears [10] 60/25	artifact [1] 156/2
aligned [7] 76/14 76/15 76/20 140/13	106/8 120/13 120/14	76/21 77/11 84/6	100/12 101/8 110/9	as [199]
142/3 151/4 152/2	120/16 144/6 145/21	88/10 88/11 90/23	117/14 122/21 127/8	ascertain [1] 74/5
alignment [3] 142/5	147/9 151/22 155/19	133/20 139/9 143/18	127/23 172/3 172/19	ascribe [2] 132/17
145/7 145/9	also [40] 3/11 4/25 15/21 20/10 23/2	162/20 163/8 168/22 174/11	applicable [1] 147/19 applications [1]	132/24 ascribed [1] 30/1
AlixPartners [1]	24/13 32/23 33/13	Anscomb [2] 98/3	171/17	ask [11] 9/4 71/16
10/15	33/21 35/11 37/5 39/7		applied [7] 26/5	105/24 134/1 134/19
all [105] 3/16 6/11 6/12 6/24 11/6 11/7	44/25 49/6 53/23	answer [11] 14/4	103/12 105/17 105/20	140/5 150/14 159/20
12/7 13/11 13/16 15/7	59/23 61/10 71/7 72/9		115/23 116/2 168/7	159/25 165/24 170/8
19/22 21/10 23/4 29/1	77/12 77/21 79/9	48/1 78/19 78/19	apply [1] 21/10	asked [8] 65/18
30/23 31/10 31/14	83/10 88/5 112/22 112/23 116/2 120/19	78/21 128/1 139/24 175/6	appreciate [3] 116/25 134/15 164/15	85/11 141/17 144/17 154/1 159/25 160/2
34/20 34/22 35/7 35/9	128/22 133/21 138/21		approach [6] 6/4 6/6	165/13
35/16 37/13 38/22	140/22 140/23 140/23		6/7 6/8 134/13 171/23	asking [6] 9/25 92/3
43/17 58/17 59/16 61/10 63/13 69/8	161/23 162/6 163/13	51/10	approaching [1]	116/25 124/3 124/12
72/16 75/9 78/1 81/23	169/1 173/14 173/17	any [73] 8/16 8/19	59/15	152/6
83/13 85/2 88/13	alter [1] 175/3	8/25 9/8 9/20 10/23	appropriate [15]	aspect [2] 37/4 83/3
88/16 88/17 88/22	Alternatively [1] 13/13	15/23 17/13 17/20 20/21 24/21 24/24	46/11 88/15 95/2 96/2 96/7 107/21 110/10	aspects [5] 38/15 132/1 137/17 137/22
90/11 90/17 90/20	altamatives [4]	28/5 30/21 31/11	116/1 116/21 119/9	151/10
91/2 91/10 91/23 92/5	90/24	36/21 37/17 38/1	122/13 122/14 127/18	
94/7 97/11 98/1 98/13 99/12 100/10 105/10	although [6] 21/16	41/10 44/13 50/4 51/3		assignment [1] 99/5
109/7 109/8 109/9	22/12 76/16 93/15	52/6 57/20 57/22	appropriately [2]	assist [5] 6/19 15/22
110/2 110/16 112/2	118/19 171/20	60/23 63/14 65/23	95/17 108/2	143/15 170/6 173/19
114/15 114/15 119/3	always [9] 34/8 34/10 65/23 68/14 69/13	65/25 68/13 68/23 71/14 71/18 76/18	appropriateness [1]	assistance [1] 169/11
121/4 123/6 125/23	69/20 69/21 105/25	81/14 83/25 86/10	152/23 approval [1] 107/20	assisted [1] 22/2
126/7 128/23 129/8	131/5	89/9 97/25 98/2	approximately [2]	associate [1] 30/23
131/11 132/11 135/8 137/12 137/18 138/5	am [20] 1/2 2/21 32/5		17/5 73/12	associated [8] 4/10
138/6 139/18 140/16	37/9 37/11 46/21	132/17 134/2 136/25	APR [1] 98/7	10/24 63/21 71/1
142/24 142/24 143/22	46/23 71/7 74/23	137/8 137/10 140/8	April [3] 18/4 19/19	77/12 80/15 81/8
145/1 147/14 148/3	90/17 123/19 150/14 156/14 156/15 156/22	140/11 144/14 144/15 144/16 144/25 148/3	131/9 April 1999 [2] 18/4	104/22 assume [5] 94/11
151/3 151/18 152/9	161/16 166/12 172/13		19/19	98/18 110/8 115/23
153/20 157/24 157/24	175/9 175/14	157/8 157/14 157/15	April 98 [1] 131/9	130/4
159/24 161/2 161/22 162/8 162/21 164/2	ambition [2] 33/9	157/18 165/19 165/23		assumes [2] 117/20
164/11 166/25 172/1	152/4	166/4 168/13 171/11	Arch [1] 168/3	126/15
172/3 172/9 173/17	ambitious [5] 136/15		architect [1] 143/1	assuming [1] 74/7
174/13 174/14 174/21	136/20 136/24 137/11 137/15	174/5 174/7 175/4 anybody [1] 100/4	archive [2] 166/13 166/19	assumption [3] 94/13 94/18 115/25
alleviate [1] 18/24	amend [2] 99/6 175/3		archiving [5] 125/13	at [218]
allocations [1]	amongst [5] 61/16	13/8 32/7 36/21 51/4	125/15 125/20 125/25	
131/24 allow [7] 33/9 51/18	138/6 148/13 148/21	98/16 100/8 156/24	127/14	attached [1] 103/8
65/16 70/1 93/10	153/15	157/17	are [204]	attacking [1] 87/25
136/16 157/23	amount [13] 12/24	anything [23] 7/19	areas [2] 145/6	attempt [3] 55/20
allowed [6] 33/13	13/24 36/13 36/19 48/23 53/13 61/1	8/14 8/18 15/10 20/17 20/24 27/2 32/10	167/16 arises [1] 46/1	56/14 128/18 attended [1] 15/18
34/15 39/11 92/22	92/19 100/15 101/17	41/11 65/15 71/10	arising [2] 23/15	attention [12] 15/16
				(47) Al - attentio

attention... [11] 53/16 55/9 56/16 63/1 63/8 63/10 63/20 64/11 138/23 139/25 140/6 attitude [1] 23/3 attribute [5] 7/17 103/21 104/2 104/17 105/13 attributed [1] 63/3 attributes [3] 106/25 115/8 115/9 attributing [1] 46/1 attribution [1] 45/25 audit [10] 170/15 170/25 171/1 171/4 171/9 171/10 171/20 172/7 172/24 173/3 auditability [3] 10/25 11/3 13/18 auditable [1] 13/8 audited [1] 171/6 augmenting [1] 139/8 August [8] 28/19 59/14 59/16 98/1 98/2 98/17 100/14 106/12 August 1999 [1] 28/19 author [3] 157/10 157/18 172/18 authored [5] 7/24 19/20 19/20 20/4 20/18 authors [6] 19/9 151/17 152/16 153/24 154/4 155/3 automated [1] 18/6 automatic [1] 99/4 availability [1] 36/11 available [14] 5/4 5/14 13/17 33/22 33/25 34/3 36/24 60/7 74/12 74/13 75/22 141/21 143/23 154/2 await [1] 106/4 aware [7] 18/1 32/5 32/14 93/8 116/17 160/17 165/3 away [3] 69/1 69/2 87/9 **BA's [1]** 133/22 back [50] 8/22 11/19 12/16 21/3 21/13

24/12 27/1 37/21 45/13 47/1 47/3 61/21 64/12 73/24 78/8 78/20 78/25 79/6 79/21 79/22 79/23 85/18 87/1 94/2

101/10 105/18 114/3 115/1 115/11 127/4 128/6 129/23 136/9 140/5 142/18 142/23 153/19 155/13 158/7 159/8 163/18 163/25 164/1 164/6 164/7 165/24 168/8 168/12 168/21 168/23 **backbone [3]** 33/20 34/14 138/10 background [1] 10/8 bad [4] 155/4 155/6 155/12 156/17 badly [2] 162/10 163/10 balance [21] 57/9 57/20 57/21 58/11 58/19 59/5 59/14 72/20 73/3 73/8 75/1 92/24 113/4 113/9 116/23 117/11 117/12 117/17 123/19 146/11 160/23 **balance' [1]** 123/8 balanced [2] 123/20 123/21 balances [2] 93/16 167/22 balancing [16] 18/6 18/7 18/9 18/15 18/16 18/20 18/22 18/23 76/25 91/21 100/13 103/5 112/25 131/7 158/20 162/4 **Banking [2]** 171/19 172/20 Bankruptcy [1] 11/14 bar [2] 60/9 60/12 **Barbara** [2] 61/16 97/12 base [2] 28/10 126/21 based [17] 10/4 15/25 16/1 17/16 18/6 36/24 49/18 66/4 109/18 113/17 116/21 136/7 143/16 143/17 163/10 164/20 171/23 basic [2] 68/22 164/3 basically [19] 10/19 12/9 12/21 26/18 30/21 33/24 34/19 35/7 55/22 60/6 61/1 75/9 75/19 77/13 79/10 80/24 119/25 135/14 152/10 basis [5] 16/10 17/8 37/15 164/25 171/21 be [226] be certain [1] 15/15

be very [1] 141/19

became [1] 55/25

because [52] 13/22

27/2 37/3 40/20 46/5 51/17 59/20 62/24 64/21 68/21 75/1 78/11 83/1 83/1 88/17 89/17 95/1 96/8 100/3 58/7 58/12 78/23 102/10 108/12 111/19 114/11 114/19 114/24 115/14 117/2 126/9 129/7 129/17 133/8 135/11 135/21 139/3 139/11 140/21 141/12 141/15 151/25 152/4 153/4 153/11 153/15 154/16 154/24 156/10 157/8 160/12 163/9 168/15 169/11 169/17 become [1] 50/20 becoming [1] 40/2 been [101] 4/22 5/9 5/10 5/16 8/6 8/10 8/15 8/15 9/1 15/4 20/3 20/14 22/10 23/16 26/4 26/17 32/10 32/16 39/3 39/9 46/8 51/11 52/21 52/22 53/15 53/16 53/18 54/12 54/15 56/17 58/16 66/9 66/20 67/13 67/21 68/1 68/18 70/8 75/3 77/25 84/7 85/22 85/23 89/4 89/7 89/15 91/11 95/12 96/1 99/20 100/23 101/12 104/9 105/2 105/17 106/15 107/19 108/18 109/19 110/21 113/5 113/11 113/19 113/22 113/24 115/3 115/16 115/18 117/14 118/3 121/14 121/24 122/21 123/11 124/5 124/21 125/16 126/23 127/25 134/12 134/17 151/20 believed [1] 45/25 151/22 152/21 156/8 157/7 157/7 159/1 159/25 160/3 161/10 162/15 162/17 164/5 165/10 165/12 165/16 168/7 169/9 172/10 173/25 **Beer [13]** 1/8 18/17 46/16 61/20 90/17 160/3 160/7 165/10 165/22 167/19 174/18 best [6] 55/17 67/22 175/11 176/3 **before [29]** 8/21 19/3 27/18 36/1 36/4 36/16 41/21 46/5 46/7 47/1 61/20 68/8 69/6 81/6 85/23 93/25 96/8 96/23 96/24 98/15

113/10 115/15 124/15

124/25 134/13 139/16

153/12 158/6 159/24 began [1] 44/17 begin [1] 88/9 beginning [9] 24/25 113/7 120/11 141/7 152/1 153/20 begins [1] 58/21 behalf [2] 159/20 174/15 behind [4] 26/11 106/9 142/12 169/8 being [64] 6/6 12/10 12/12 12/15 14/16 17/9 19/9 20/9 21/23 24/21 25/18 26/4 28/2 28/20 29/7 29/15 30/11 33/19 33/24 45/24 54/25 55/4 55/6 55/18 56/16 59/10 59/24 64/13 68/17 68/20 69/4 71/15 71/19 75/25 77/1 82/10 86/17 88/22 88/24 91/21 91/22 99/2 101/22 106/17 106/24 113/19 115/8 117/23 118/10 125/9 132/19 133/1 134/5 134/6 135/11 139/18 139/20 147/18 148/15 151/24 156/10 160/8 163/2 174/1 believe [30] 8/4 8/6 9/10 13/6 13/6 15/14 20/21 22/1 23/12 38/5 43/20 45/20 52/8 62/20 73/20 74/19 77/7 79/24 90/23 92/9 106/15 110/12 115/10 120/6 122/15 140/24 149/15 157/10 174/5 174/14 bells [1] 174/7 below [1] 40/23 **benchmark** [1] 67/8 Benchmarks [1] 130/25 benefit [1] 47/6 benefits [4] 134/23 136/4 170/17 170/20 **BES [1]** 171/16 **besides [1]** 116/3 78/19 84/2 84/4 84/8 better [3] 111/4 133/11 170/10 between [36] 1/12 1/12 1/18 27/15 29/18 30/4 34/15 37/11 37/17 37/21 39/19 43/14 62/2 73/11 80/4 87/1 91/13 92/13

92/17 93/5 93/19 98/1 100/12 102/25 106/1 112/24 121/24 124/7 131/7 133/18 134/22 135/18 135/19 140/11 145/13 158/11 beyond [6] 9/22 52/3 71/14 78/13 138/23 160/21 big [8] 31/23 45/7 45/10 65/11 65/11 65/12 123/2 153/15 BIM [7] 109/13 109/14 109/18 110/4 110/5 110/8 110/12 birth [1] 140/4 bit [16] 4/18 6/15 51/25 54/24 58/7 58/10 74/10 81/5 81/19 114/11 129/11 132/15 133/11 153/17 156/19 173/17 bits [3] 4/16 150/15 166/24 black [2] 134/12 134/17 blanket [2] 15/12 56/6 **blissfully [1]** 96/1 blow [1] 27/13 blue [5] 41/4 44/20 44/22 46/3 76/3 **body [1]** 76/1 **bold [1]** 19/8 **Bootle [1]** 34/17 **both [16]** 9/7 13/7 13/20 32/20 40/20 101/17 101/24 104/20 106/8 116/11 137/3 138/20 142/2 150/11 160/17 164/4 **bothering [1]** 92/14 **bottom [11]** 24/18 44/20 44/22 53/24 112/17 113/8 113/15 115/3 126/12 169/15 170/13 **bound [2]** 70/7 174/19 **box [4]** 60/14 106/2 134/12 134/18 boxes [1] 97/25 **Brainspace** [17] 3/13 3/24 4/8 5/5 6/17 6/18 75/13 75/20 75/23 76/11 78/17 78/18 78/24 79/2 79/4 79/7 79/20 branch [20] 33/19 34/16 34/19 36/18 38/1 39/13 91/21 91/25 93/2 97/5 109/22 111/11 111/15 121/6 163/24 164/5

В branch... [4] 164/24 164/25 165/5 174/2 branches [20] 33/6 33/9 33/15 33/21 36/14 36/20 37/6 39/5 40/4 40/5 40/7 42/11 48/11 71/15 74/14 92/10 109/24 111/7 136/15 136/16 break [8] 21/24 46/12 46/22 96/8 158/6 159/4 159/14 174/20 breaking [1] 37/12 briefly [1] 21/14 bring [4] 95/9 159/8 167/23 170/6 bringing [1] 167/21 **brings** [1] 46/10 broad [2] 9/2 57/11 brought [11] 30/11 73/3 116/23 117/13 117/21 118/2 123/17 167/22 170/12 174/2 174/11 brought-forward [1] 73/3 browser [1] 34/9 brute [4] 6/4 6/6 6/7 6/22 **brute-force [2]** 6/6 6/7 BT [3] 33/19 34/14 138/11 **BT's [1]** 35/24 bug [2] 37/16 73/2 bugs [1] 82/21 build [3] 14/6 122/23 143/2 **building [2]** 15/5 154/13 bullet [1] 173/2 **bunch [3]** 75/21 120/1 157/6 business [11] 14/1 15/4 23/3 24/11 34/22 91/11 109/12 136/19 142/11 142/19 142/25 but [149] 4/9 5/19 7/13 7/17 12/8 13/6 13/21 14/10 15/6 15/25 17/16 17/18 19/10 20/10 24/7 25/20 26/14 26/20 28/23 32/23 34/11 36/24 37/5 39/10 42/8 caller [2] 51/20 43/8 43/17 44/24 46/8 109/18 51/13 52/11 53/9 callers [1] 51/20 53/10 54/20 56/6 58/6 calling [1] 86/1 58/13 58/18 59/9 calls [18] 17/5 17/12 59/15 59/23 60/13 27/4 48/15 50/11 52/3 61/7 64/9 66/17 66/20 52/12 52/18 52/20

69/23 71/7 71/16 72/9

74/1 74/18 78/9 78/13 75/23 105/25 106/3 80/17 81/7 83/10 85/6 113/19 147/11 86/21 87/9 87/10 88/7 89/9 89/16 90/25 93/9 24/1 29/1 48/15 77/14 94/4 94/13 95/14 98/23 98/25 100/1 104/12 106/15 108/15 campuses [2] 33/12 108/18 111/24 114/16 114/17 114/19 116/1 116/10 116/18 117/4 118/9 118/13 118/15 119/1 120/12 121/17 121/20 122/20 123/20 124/1 126/7 127/9 127/12 128/11 128/22 129/12 130/16 132/13 134/16 135/24 136/6 137/18 137/22 137/24 138/4 139/13 141/10 141/14 141/19 141/21 143/7 146/16 147/12 148/18 151/21 153/2 153/8 154/13 154/21 156/4 156/12 156/13 156/25 158/1 159/12 164/14 168/3 168/7 168/22 168/24 169/2 169/7 169/24 170/6 171/16 172/3 172/22 173/14 173/18 173/24 174/6 174/12 174/18 174/19 174/24

CA [3] 97/2 97/2 97/6 **calculate** [1] 73/7 calculated [2] 73/3 97/7 calculating [2] 12/19 102/17 calculation [3] 12/4 12/24 169/8 **calculus [1]** 17/25 call [28] 52/7 54/10 54/12 55/9 90/21 90/22 91/11 92/22 93/10 94/8 94/9 95/21 99/22 105/17 105/18 105/22 105/23 106/4 108/9 108/11 109/19 113/18 115/2 117/6 120/10 121/21 122/24 160/20 called [5] 30/19 80/24 92/23 149/23 169/11

53/8 53/9 53/10 55/1

78/25 148/2 159/23 169/7 170/18 33/14 can [135] 1/3 1/5 1/15 1/18 2/8 6/5 6/15 9/8 10/12 11/5 11/22 12/15 13/5 13/6 14/12 14/22 19/1 20/8 21/13 21/25 22/6 22/20 23/13 24/17 24/23 27/13 27/18 28/14 33/5 33/23 34/5 35/8 37/21 38/19 41/21 44/19 46/17 46/24 47/1 48/3 48/7 50/7 54/8 54/18 57/10 57/24 57/25 58/3 60/3 61/19 61/20 62/7 62/12 70/16 71/21 73/5 75/5 77/10 79/10 80/5 81/6 83/18 84/11 84/23 85/13 85/19 87/9 87/14 89/12 90/9 90/15 92/13 95/7 96/17 96/18 96/20 96/22 100/4 106/3 106/10 108/3 108/6 108/20 108/22 112/4 116/22 117/25 119/21 120/9 120/22 121/11 122/23 124/10 128/5 129/23 132/3 134/15 134/21 134/25 136/9 137/7 137/11 138/24 139/5 140/5 140/16 141/7 141/23 142/9 145/8 151/6 151/10 152/15 153/7 155/13 157/19 158/5 158/6 159/16 159/17 160/1 160/16 161/23 162/25 163/8 164/22 166/4 166/7 166/10 168/9 171/22 172/4 173/6 174/15 174/18 can't [9] 94/3 98/22 116/16 125/3 152/5 153/18 154/14 164/18 168/14 cannot [2] 66/9 109/4 **CAP [28]** 93/15 93/17 101/3 101/7 101/8 108/9 112/25 113/1 113/13 123/3 123/8 123/19 123/21 126/9 126/15 126/18 126/19 126/20 126/22 127/1 127/4 127/6 127/8

came [11] 4/3 7/5

127/9 127/9 capable [5] 82/22 83/11 161/4 161/8 165/7 capital [3] 137/25 138/18 139/10 **CAPs [4]** 123/6 126/11 126/14 127/5 care [4] 128/3 138/22 139/25 140/6 carried [9] 48/24 75/1 101/14 101/18 101/24 102/3 113/12 121/2 127/24 case [22] 15/10 51/8 84/2 84/4 84/8 85/2 92/17 95/17 98/16 100/8 107/13 114/17 131/5 134/1 134/19 141/7 142/8 156/5 158/1 159/5 162/10 173/18 cases [3] 19/10 160/8 161/4 cash [51] 72/21 73/8 75/2 75/3 75/18 76/2 76/25 91/12 91/14 91/18 92/13 92/17 92/23 92/25 93/5 93/15 93/16 93/16 93/18 93/20 98/5 100/16 100/19 100/20 101/3 101/17 101/20 101/22 101/23 101/23 102/5 103/22 104/17 108/14 108/15 109/4 109/16 110/20 112/23 113/4 118/3 118/5 122/25 123/16 123/18 123/19 124/4 126/16 126/16 126/16 126/21 CashAccLines [1] 126/15 cast [1] 22/25 catastrophic [1] 32/18 catch [5] 63/9 64/11 68/8 81/23 165/1 catch-all [1] 81/23 catching [1] 69/9 categories [3] 4/17 38/24 60/7 categorisation [2] 81/2 97/19 category [7] 80/16 80/20 80/24 81/1 81/11 83/18 97/21 Category A [1] 97/21 cater [1] 143/24 123/16 123/18 123/18 caught [3] 63/8 63/20 69/6 cause [84] 8/16 22/23 24/21 24/24 25/6 25/7 25/10 25/15

25/17 25/20 25/23 27/21 44/7 47/22 56/1 80/16 81/5 81/8 82/7 82/14 83/1 87/5 87/14 88/8 88/21 89/6 89/12 89/13 89/15 89/21 94/20 94/24 95/3 95/24 96/4 96/4 106/23 106/24 107/2 107/6 107/23 110/17 110/19 110/23 110/25 111/1 111/2 111/5 111/18 111/19 111/23 111/25 113/9 113/23 113/24 114/2 115/5 115/14 115/21 115/22 116/14 118/12 118/15 119/4 119/5 119/7 119/11 119/13 119/17 122/3 122/4 122/5 122/20 123/6 124/16 125/13 127/13 127/14 127/16 127/19 128/24 135/12 137/11 162/2 caused [12] 32/12 33/1 46/8 101/2 108/12 108/25 109/5 109/7 117/14 121/7 123/18 126/1 causes [21] 4/17 21/22 47/23 55/4 69/9 71/24 72/16 73/2 82/9 84/14 84/18 84/19 87/10 87/13 89/9 116/13 128/16 129/16 144/11 147/24 149/13 causing [5] 72/14 83/9 90/5 116/24 129/5 cease [1] 124/23 cent [7] 31/6 81/12 82/11 82/12 83/20 83/21 83/22 central [9] 33/7 33/10 33/25 34/1 34/19 35/3 35/11 37/17 40/8 centralise [1] 37/5 centrally [1] 34/23 centre [3] 34/25 37/22 37/23 centres [3] 34/24 37/12 99/13 century [1] 16/3 certain [4] 15/15 25/4 109/22 109/23 certainly [37] 24/25 31/16 32/2 32/23 33/24 34/7 38/22 52/23 57/25 65/21 73/6 74/18 75/7 80/7 82/13 85/21 112/6 112/20 119/1 131/13 134/15 135/2 135/24 137/21 144/16 149/8

C 118/11 119/8 120/23 75/18 75/24 76/14 Cipione's [1] 159/6 communicate [6] 122/13 122/14 124/14 76/20 77/2 77/9 78/22 circumstances [2] 33/10 33/25 34/3 certainly... [11] 124/16 127/17 151/19 15/15 104/22 34/19 35/1 37/11 79/1 79/5 79/11 79/12 149/10 149/16 149/20 citation [1] 112/13 151/20 151/22 152/6 communicated [2] 80/10 83/16 159/7 159/7 162/5 152/12 153/24 154/1 cite [1] 7/19 118/23 119/15 concepts [9] 11/19 163/12 168/24 169/22 cited [2] 50/10 154/4 154/8 154/11 communicating [2] 74/16 75/13 75/25 170/2 170/10 154/11 154/16 154/18 76/9 76/11 76/13 147/10 40/8 165/7 chain [1] 87/14 civil [2] 160/18 155/2 155/3 155/19 76/19 132/7 communication [6] **chair [2]** 73/16 86/12 156/8 157/3 157/5 32/19 37/17 37/18 160/22 concern [6] 16/15 challenge [3] 15/24 43/19 140/14 162/3 168/10 168/16 168/16 **clarify [1]** 167/2 36/1 36/15 134/24 16/18 16/19 class [2] 42/2 103/18 168/22 communications [6] 136/8 146/6 chance [1] 159/6 **classified** [1] 97/13 coded [5] 31/6 42/3 32/15 34/12 35/2 concerned [11] change [12] 8/16 clean [1] 74/15 157/7 157/7 157/19 39/11 135/10 138/6 10/20 31/7 32/5 35/22 15/21 15/23 25/17 35/23 48/8 48/9 63/9 clear [12] 1/20 19/14 coders [2] 148/4 community [3] 15/15 25/21 67/9 81/6 19/18 52/7 55/25 65/9 152/7 15/18 51/11 72/15 122/22 133/5 120/20 141/12 141/17 88/14 88/21 92/16 concerning [9] 7/21 codes [2] 155/5 **comparing [1]** 91/24 154/17 158/25 106/18 110/18 164/11 8/19 43/4 70/18 90/16 155/21 comparison [1] changed [6] 107/4 108/13 132/16 149/22 cleared [1] 167/8 coding [8] 11/8 27/20 91/13 155/23 155/24 156/4 150/4 clearly [3] 45/21 138/16 157/3 157/5 comparisons [1] 156/4 156/12 157/13 158/3 161/13 concerns [13] 7/10 111/1 118/25 122/25 changes [7] 75/3 clerk [1] 92/13 **cohort [2]** 2/17 17/12 **compelled** [1] 37/25 24/13 48/23 49/1 49/6 95/22 103/11 105/20 close [10] 16/3 60/2 collate [1] 37/4 complete [11] 2/4 64/8 116/23 130/1 118/4 139/3 143/25 61/8 62/3 65/4 67/2 collect [2] 35/7 10/21 11/20 12/6 131/24 132/10 132/18 changing [6] 17/15 74/19 83/22 83/23 114/10 12/16 13/1 13/3 13/5 132/25 143/9 103/13 103/14 103/16 **Collecting [1]** 146/5 13/16 42/24 101/5 94/8 concert [1] 139/19 103/17 120/7 closed [15] 57/17 colour [7] 27/19 41/7 completed [2] concessions [1] 23/6 characterised [1] 63/23 65/10 65/13 46/3 58/7 71/3 92/5 118/20 163/25 concluded [1] 81/11 43/6 65/17 83/20 94/9 124/24 completely [5] 38/9 conclusion [11] **charge [3]** 26/14 52/6| 106/3 106/6 106/10 colours [2] 41/2 71/7 42/10 134/17 156/15 28/13 39/24 41/10 146/24 106/12 106/14 109/19 column [1] 19/14 44/16 46/2 50/8 56/24 169/6 Charles [3] 1/5 1/7 115/2 122/19 combined [1] 36/12 completeness [5] 66/23 83/25 89/20 176/2 come [13] 7/14 7/23 10/22 11/21 11/24 **closely [2]** 76/14 158/7 chart [19] 45/17 46/3 140/13 8/21 14/4 51/16 64/7 12/13 12/18 conclusions [10] 57/18 57/21 57/23 closing [4] 60/11 75/7 80/3 128/5 **completing** [1] 159/6 8/23 9/16 28/5 49/24 57/24 57/25 58/2 61/6 67/9 115/2 157/17 158/5 162/20 57/5 59/4 60/23 148/2 completion [1] 58/14 58/17 58/21 **closure** [11] 81/12 174/16 166/16 148/16 148/19 59/12 60/9 60/14 61/7 105/18 105/25 106/5 comes [3] 101/10 complex [2] 135/4 concur [1] 59/20 61/25 81/25 82/11 106/21 107/18 107/19 161/7 168/12 138/15 **condition [6]** 55/3 122/1 111/9 113/25 116/8 comfort [3] 13/20 complexities [1] 156/1 156/3 156/6 check [5] 12/13 13/21 32/7 156/13 157/12 119/13 137/19 13/18 67/12 73/24 conduct [1] 29/18 clsEPOSS [1] 103/11 comfortable [2] 15/8 **complexity [3]** 55/12 99/7 clsTransaction [1] 61/5 55/14 136/23 conducted [2] 34/21 checked [2] 97/25 60/1 103/11 coming [9] 7/17 complicate [1] 105/13 75/21 91/5 91/6 91/7 **CMML [1]** 78/6 139/10 confidence [4] 49/7 checking [6] 29/9 co [9] 7/24 20/4 152/11 165/5 168/8 complicated [1] 42/7 49/11 49/11 148/19 104/16 155/17 155/21 135/9 137/13 139/18 175/5 component [4] 41/24 confident [1] 159/2 156/6 164/10 153/13 153/14 153/15 commas [1] 95/22 43/11 45/7 122/11 confirm [7] 1/19 1/25 cheque [4] 92/23 153/20 comment [8] 56/3 compounded [2] 12/16 13/9 61/21 100/21 101/21 102/2 **co-authored** [2] 7/24 59/12 93/10 116/16 169/10 169/16 62/17 66/17 cheques [12] 92/13 132/14 152/23 156/5 20/4 comprehensive [2] confirmation [3] 92/17 92/18 92/25 164/18 122/10 174/22 122/19 164/12 165/8 co-ordinated [5] 93/4 93/5 100/15 137/13 139/18 153/13 commentary [5] compromise [1] **confirmed [4]** 164/2 100/19 101/15 101/16 153/14 153/20 23/12 65/13 92/5 131/12 164/3 164/6 164/6 102/4 102/15 135/16 173/12 computer [12] 16/1 confirms [1] 9/11 co-ordination [1] Chesterfield [1] 17/6 17/16 17/18 conflict [1] 125/24 153/15 comments [2] 108/22 33/24 33/25 34/2 34/2 co-suppliers [1] 141/16 157/9 conflicting [1] chronology [4] 63/25 135/9 commercial [3] 14/1 38/12 65/24 137/9 158/11 85/1 85/7 106/11 code [50] 13/11 23/3 23/5 143/17 **confusion [2]** 163/13 chunk [1] 60/18 72/15 82/15 103/14 **committed** [2] 9/23 computer-based [1] 167/8 Cipione [15] 1/5 1/7 103/14 103/16 103/17 141/1 143/17 **connected [4]** 35/2 1/9 47/1 61/22 61/24 103/19 107/3 107/4 **common [4]** 71/23 **computers [4]** 16/19 71/17 131/3 174/11 96/20 112/11 159/8 114/3 114/6 114/7 76/25 147/23 167/20 17/19 17/21 17/22 **connection [3]** 41/13 159/20 159/22 174/15 115/22 116/1 116/12 161/20 161/21 **commonly [3]** 77/16 concept [17] 15/22 174/20 174/21 176/2 28/9 64/14 74/18 117/16 117/24 118/8 128/8 128/15 connectivity [2]

(50) certainly... - connectivity

C 86/3 131/11 34/16 34/24 34/25 crashed [1] 22/18 could [67] 2/23 3/2 35/13 37/12 37/22 convenience [1] create [5] 36/12 connectivity... [2] 37/22 40/8 41/19 136/17 5/17 8/24 9/4 15/6 47/19 51/3 135/5 40/21 164/24 conversation [5] 15/23 19/4 26/25 135/6 41/24 41/25 42/5 42/7 consecutive [1] 31/17 31/18 31/20 created [8] 52/4 53/7 42/15 42/18 42/22 24/25 26/14 87/1 125/17 32/10 32/16 32/20 55/19 59/9 72/17 78/6 42/23 43/5 43/7 43/25 165/2 165/25 consequence [3] converted [1] 3/1 32/22 38/9 38/20 42/1 44/7 44/13 44/16 115/20 148/3 83/6 119/5 149/20 convey [2] 26/23 46/8 47/19 47/22 51/6 creating [8] 38/23 44/23 45/1 45/22 consequences [5] 51/10 55/18 55/23 67/17 68/16 88/22 45/25 47/5 47/12 136/21 53/1 53/2 69/10 134/4 59/20 61/4 67/1 72/20 47/17 47/18 63/19 cope [1] 171/22 118/9 120/6 132/10 166/17 64/13 64/21 67/24 74/5 74/22 76/1 84/7 copied [1] 91/11 146/10 Consequently [1] copies [1] 20/20 86/20 89/15 90/10 creation [2] 10/9 68/24 76/5 80/14 144/23 **copy [1]** 1/16 108/17 112/9 114/15 117/14 81/18 82/16 88/2 88/3 consider [1] 171/18 core [6] 70/2 70/4 118/14 122/13 126/10 88/4 88/8 88/9 92/15 **credit [1]** 98/5 considerable [2] 98/21 108/25 109/20 129/10 132/23 136/2 **creditors** [1] 11/13 93/1 93/3 94/8 95/16 174/20 175/7 139/25 140/22 149/8 95/22 98/11 99/13 109/24 cresting [1] 59/1 considered [3] 76/20 criminal [7] 9/22 correct [51] 2/13 5/2 149/10 150/9 154/22 101/3 101/5 102/20 77/14 130/2 7/7 7/11 7/19 8/9 156/10 159/4 162/2 11/17 14/3 160/9 103/23 104/4 108/4 considering [1] 160/18 160/20 172/12 162/13 164/8 164/19 108/13 108/25 109/1 10/11 11/23 11/24 150/20 11/24 19/12 19/23 109/3 109/11 109/12 164/24 166/17 169/25 critical [1] 92/18 consistent [4] 16/11 20/7 26/6 29/11 29/17 170/8 170/22 171/7 critically [1] 15/8 109/20 109/21 109/25 49/3 136/2 136/5 31/9 36/9 45/5 49/17 172/8 174/10 175/3 cross [4] 40/12 84/19 110/14 110/19 111/3 consistently [3] 40/9 50/16 54/17 58/25 couldn't [5] 75/4 111/7 111/11 111/15 101/1 103/24 42/10 146/18 61/15 63/24 69/16 115/13 135/24 169/5 111/20 111/21 111/25 cross-refer [1] 40/12 **consists** [1] 151/12 71/4 81/16 87/23 88/5 112/3 115/12 122/9 170/2 cross-reference [2] **constant** [1] 34/8 90/24 90/24 96/5 122/16 122/16 122/23 counter [21] 17/6 101/1 103/24 constituencies [2] 102/9 109/11 110/11 32/20 34/16 35/1 124/7 146/3 146/10 cross-section [1] 130/23 142/1 110/13 114/9 115/25 37/10 37/10 37/12 84/19 147/16 157/4 161/8 constituency [2] 116/5 118/4 124/4 37/15 37/15 37/22 171/5 171/20 172/16 CrossReference.OM 145/7 145/9 126/19 148/12 154/3 37/23 38/11 39/10 173/8 174/9 ode [1] 105/14 construct [1] 152/1 161/20 161/24 162/13 39/13 98/21 99/12 crucial [1] 43/9 **Data' [1]** 45/15 constructing [1] 163/7 164/4 175/10 104/24 105/7 108/16 CSR [2] 104/24 105/7 data-driven [5] 41/25 62/21 **corrected** [3] 87/22 119/24 125/16 42/18 42/22 42/23 **cured [1]** 89/4 construction [4] 122/17 168/20 counter's [1] 38/12 **current [6]** 119/5 47/5 52/15 151/7 156/22 counters [18] 34/18 171/9 171/12 171/20 correcting [3] 70/4 **database [2]** 94/3 156/25 88/5 144/11 35/5 35/12 35/17 173/3 173/5 167/4 consultation [1] **correction [6]** 87/19 35/19 36/23 37/1 currently [1] 22/7 databases [3] 166/13 166/14 95/25 117/23 118/8 37/18 38/8 38/24 39/2 custodianship [2] 166/15 166/19 contacts [1] 163/18 118/11 168/22 39/4 39/5 39/5 39/9 14/2 42/23 **Dataserver [2]** 124/5 **contained** [5] 25/4 correctional [1] 43/15 59/22 74/20 customer [15] 94/8 125/11 40/18 100/25 122/11 117/15 country [1] 174/17 94/12 99/5 100/2 dataset [1] 128/10 175/4 couple [8] 3/11 69/22 105/10 117/6 120/1 datasets [1] 11/1 corrections [1] contains [1] 97/6 141/16 150/14 151/10 120/2 120/3 120/7 116/18 date [5] 8/7 8/11 content [1] 20/17 165/13 167/16 170/5 120/10 122/23 152/2 57/15 57/20 101/13 corrective [2] 83/1 contention [1] 44/7 course [16] 15/1 163/23 165/4 dated [2] 167/19 118/22 contents [1] 8/17 correctly [29] 13/23 23/16 46/19 55/15 **customers** [1] 151/9 170/16 contextualise [1] 35/15 42/9 45/11 55/16 55/22 55/24 **cut [2]** 153/24 160/1 dates [1] 131/10 133/15 45/12 52/4 53/8 67/20 61/3 66/13 74/9 89/23 **cuts [1]** 161/21 **Dave [1]** 23/4 contingencies [1] 67/23 67/24 75/2 93/9 96/10 132/12 cycle [1] 140/22 **David [1]** 114/25 143/6 94/23 94/24 95/17 cycling [1] 47/23 134/4 168/14 **David Salt [1]** 114/25 contingency [1] 105/1 107/2 110/8 day [14] 21/18 35/8 course-occupancy 36/21 110/20 110/23 115/21 **[1]** 23/16 39/3 39/15 57/10 continue [3] 70/1 daily [1] 136/18 117/18 119/7 127/16 courses [1] 23/15 57/22 59/5 64/1 139/8 164/24 130/20 138/1 139/23 court [8] 10/18 10/20 dare [1] 174/23 101/10 113/11 115/15 continued [1] 67/9 dark [3] 44/20 44/22 146/18 146/22 156/15 115/19 125/15 174/3 11/12 11/14 14/1 14/1 continues [3] 22/23 58/9 14/3 160/4 days [10] 38/22 39/1 correspond [1] 26/14 139/9 darker [1] 58/10 100/13 courts [6] 160/9 39/17 39/20 57/16 Continuous [1] data [117] 9/21 10/1 correspondence [3] 160/18 160/18 160/20 60/10 60/15 64/3 143/22 165/12 165/18 165/23 160/22 161/5 10/19 10/21 10/23 125/17 159/23 contracted [1] 23/15 10/24 11/5 11/15 12/6 db [1] 98/7 corresponding [3] cover [1] 70/2 contractual [1] 43/7 12/7 12/9 12/10 12/11 100/16 105/15 108/15 coverage [3] 35/24 **DD's [2]** 123/7 control [4] 38/10 12/17 13/16 14/7 **corruption [1]** 22/19 131/1 131/16 123/15 38/16 61/5 171/19 22/19 22/19 25/4 25/6 de [1] 161/21 cost [2] 126/24 131/3 covering [2] 8/5 controls [2] 69/13 31/17 33/13 34/15 costs [3] 42/2 130/13 22/22 de-connection [1]

35/23 36/17 37/4 18/23 22/24 28/7 D degree [4] 62/25 development/code 42/18 47/5 47/8 47/13 147/7 161/14 89/12 89/14 89/16 **[1]** 82/15 de-connection... [1] degrees [1] 89/13 82/10 82/14 82/14 development/low-lev difficulty [1] 68/2 161/21 delayed [2] 50/12 82/14 82/15 83/3 el [1] 82/15 digitised [1] 3/2 deal [6] 53/8 65/11 134/8 134/11 138/9 development/referen 166/16 dimension [3] 16/1 65/11 65/12 120/17 140/3 140/8 140/10 delays [1] 98/7 ce [1] 82/16 81/4 139/9 172/20 140/18 143/5 145/24 deleted [11] 5/9 5/10 diagnose [1] 51/19 dimensions [1] dealing [3] 64/8 5/13 5/16 5/17 115/15 148/25 149/3 149/5 138/20 diagnosis [1] 113/7 96/21 172/22 115/17 115/18 165/13 149/14 149/21 150/19 diagnostic [1] 124/14 direct [1] 165/23 deals [2] 170/16 165/17 165/20 150/24 150/25 151/2 dialectic [1] 141/18 directed [2] 50/18 170/17 151/2 151/3 151/4 deletion [2] 112/6 did [75] 2/25 5/2 5/15 72/1 dealt [1] 70/23 151/15 151/16 152/4 5/15 6/10 6/23 6/24 113/10 direction [3] 34/21 debtors [1] 11/12 delineate [1] 45/21 160/13 164/9 169/3 17/12 18/13 18/18 135/14 164/22 decay [1] 168/10 169/4 169/20 169/21 23/7 23/10 23/11 deliver [8] 43/23 directly [2] 131/3 **December [8]** 23/13 64/17 130/5 131/25 169/23 171/13 173/6 23/24 26/11 27/25 158/21 27/15 54/13 62/3 137/13 137/14 141/3 28/5 29/18 29/24 30/4 design/high-level [1] disagree [1] 79/6 106/12 106/15 131/19 143/2 30/21 31/11 37/16 82/14 discharging [1] 166/21 39/15 39/24 41/10 delivered [16] 42/11 designed [12] 36/22 130/19 December 2000 [3] 45/18 49/1 49/8 52/17 109/1 109/12 110/21 144/3 160/4 161/5 disciplined [2] 23/13 27/15 54/13 111/3 111/11 111/15 163/21 163/23 164/17 52/19 55/13 56/17 140/19 149/21 December 2002 [1] 118/4 124/14 124/18 164/20 164/23 171/10 56/22 56/23 59/4 **disclosed [2]** 14/18 62/3 124/22 139/1 139/11 171/22 173/3 60/23 63/9 64/11 65/8 62/8 December 2021 [1] 65/14 66/13 68/7 70/6 disconnected [1] 141/4 146/14 167/4 designing [1] 161/3 166/21 146/1 **deliveries** [1] 112/3 74/11 74/12 75/6 designs [1] 143/6 decided [1] 166/14 75/10 77/2 79/3 82/25 disconnection [1] delivering [5] 91/3 desktop [1] 29/20 decision [1] 132/2 132/1 135/20 140/12 83/25 84/15 86/10 despite [3] 29/12 33/6 decision-making [1] 146/25 54/15 123/10 88/20 98/2 116/19 discovery [1] 3/14 132/2 delivery [3] 108/4 detail [9] 8/21 13/1 123/3 124/22 132/17 discrepancies [3] declared [5] 49/14 132/24 136/20 137/18 112/14 114/13 169/5 134/11 138/2 26/13 34/6 41/21 64/24 75/2 112/22 126/8 141/2 165/15 137/20 148/21 150/4 demarcation [1] discrepancy [12] 113/1 92/17 165/25 150/7 152/20 154/5 73/13 91/12 92/1 deduce [1] 166/21 dented [1] 49/10 154/7 154/9 154/10 92/19 93/19 95/24 detailed [2] 141/6 deemed [1] 50/21 155/4 166/25 168/21 95/24 95/25 99/18 depend [2] 140/2 171/21 deeply [1] 55/23 140/8 details [2] 101/1 didn't [20] 18/24 100/12 102/17 121/8 defect [42] 4/17 depended [1] 33/18 126/5 26/24 28/9 50/1 61/5 discrete [1] 28/19 24/21 24/24 25/6 25/7 depending [1] 86/23 detect [1] 85/25 81/14 114/19 115/1 discuss [3] 80/18 25/11 25/14 25/17 depends [1] 89/11 detective [1] 86/3 127/23 130/18 133/7 83/15 166/2 25/20 25/23 27/21 133/12 133/23 133/25 discussed [7] 48/21 depict [1] 27/14 determination [1] 80/16 81/5 81/8 81/9 deploy [1] 47/8 25/10 141/15 151/25 156/13 52/18 54/23 71/25 82/6 82/9 82/14 94/20 deployed [1] 62/18 **determine [1]** 110/6 165/22 168/15 169/7 72/9 80/17 88/18 94/23 95/3 96/3 96/4 determined [2] **difference** [7] 73/13 deployment [1] discussing [4] 84/17 106/23 107/2 107/6 47/14 113/23 113/24 97/10 102/23 108/8 132/7 161/13 174/12 110/17 110/23 111/5 108/24 109/5 121/14 derive [1] 65/14 determining [1] **discussion [9]** 49/3 115/21 115/22 116/1 differences [5] 91/16 50/4 127/24 130/13 derived [4] 71/20 50/20 116/14 119/4 119/7 91/14 124/10 126/14 dev [2] 104/24 105/7 91/17 103/1 120/14 131/2 139/16 141/5 119/11 119/18 122/3 141/15 148/23 deriving [1] 144/25 develop [5] 43/23 123/4 122/9 127/13 127/16 47/7 145/15 149/4 different [35] 5/23 describe [9] 2/16 discussions [1] 127/19 59/1 63/6 72/5 75/5 152/24 38/8 38/25 52/9 58/7 106/1 defect/cause [1] developed [5] 126/20 80/13 88/12 112/15 59/7 76/12 80/23 dispel [1] 61/22 115/21 151/18 152/17 152/21 86/14 86/16 86/18 134/25 display [3] 12/2 defect/root [3] described [9] 6/4 171/15 87/13 91/19 114/16 90/10 90/11 110/17 111/5 119/11 14/6 34/1 75/14 75/16 developers [1] 148/4 114/17 114/18 116/2 displayed [2] 4/22 defects [2] 82/21 81/13 119/6 134/12 developing [3] 116/9 118/8 119/20 76/9 142/22 152/25 153/1 122/6 129/5 129/14 155/3 disruptions [1] defensible [2] 10/19 129/16 130/23 138/7 describing [13] 2/21 development [25] 158/13 10/20 3/4 38/22 78/14 80/5 47/13 72/15 82/10 139/23 144/5 149/13 disruptive [1] 158/12 define [1] 89/11 81/1 83/4 85/23 86/25 160/22 161/10 161/24 82/15 82/15 82/16 distributed [1] 33/14 defined [2] 43/8 87/17 112/13 126/4 83/2 86/16 86/18 162/8 167/7 168/12 distributing [2] 47/18 111/1 86/22 86/22 86/23 168/20 difficult [10] 53/5 144/12 definitely [4] 32/8 107/4 114/2 115/22 description [2] 20/9 56/1 58/6 71/5 74/10 distribution [2] 60/16 32/15 38/15 144/18 111/4 116/12 119/8 127/17 116/10 129/21 139/21 76/23 degrade [1] 172/16 139/22 151/8 descriptions [1] 80/2 138/9 140/3 140/9 disturbing [1] 150/17 degraded [4] 41/20 148/25 149/6 149/21 difficulties [9] 14/19 design [50] 10/9 dive [1] 55/23 146/3 147/16 158/21 11/15 11/17 14/6 18/5 18/16 18/19 151/7 diverted [1] 53/16

drives [2] 32/20 doing [15] 4/1 12/22 engineered [2] D efficacy [2] 59/24 13/3 13/22 13/23 32/22 69/16 151/21 154/14 divided [2] 1/11 80/3 15/21 30/17 36/22 dropped [1] 171/17 efficiency [2] 51/5 engineering [2] dividing [1] 93/5 55/15 79/20 104/21 **dropping [1]** 59/15 71/15 149/23 151/19 divorced [1] 156/16 152/10 153/17 157/12 due [5] 17/6 98/20 efficient [5] 37/5 51/3 engineers [1] 148/4 do [69] 2/23 3/2 4/20 157/14 99/8 117/16 174/24 51/13 118/14 144/13 enough [6] 6/23 36/8 5/9 6/23 11/2 12/3 12/3 12/7 13/11 17/16 don't [57] 7/8 15/6 51/22 62/17 62/18 durability [1] 32/4 efficiently [2] 47/6 17/20 17/22 20/21 135/15 126/23 **duration [2]** 38/2 19/3 19/13 30/25 35/8 43/17 43/19 43/21 effort [13] 54/20 56/3 ensure [1] 36/17 39/25 36/18 43/10 61/20 46/5 51/21 53/10 during [27] 14/19 56/10 68/18 68/18 entered [7] 25/8 79/7 79/22 79/22 80/1 54/12 66/17 79/25 22/11 22/18 27/12 69/2 69/8 69/8 86/8 57/15 57/19 84/5 80/13 83/16 86/11 89/18 98/8 99/1 100/7 28/7 28/16 30/7 31/1 87/4 87/6 87/7 87/7 87/19 170/1 170/2 87/11 88/18 89/22 103/19 112/1 112/1 31/13 31/15 32/8 efforts [3] 47/24 63/7 entering [1] 77/22 90/2 90/2 90/13 94/1 116/15 116/16 118/17 32/22 33/2 33/7 36/6 147/13 **enterprise** [2] 147/2 96/22 97/19 99/16 121/15 125/9 126/6 40/25 44/18 67/20 either [23] 13/6 30/22 153/2 105/1 117/2 117/4 127/11 133/21 136/5 69/1 74/9 100/13 32/19 34/13 37/22 enterprises [1] 147/3 125/25 128/22 133/24 141/8 141/11 146/19 112/24 123/11 125/13 51/20 61/21 102/19 entire [3] 40/10 57/2 137/22 138/6 140/21 113/4 133/22 134/20 150/25 152/12 154/18 127/15 145/25 147/7 136/6 140/21 141/8 142/4 duties [2] 54/20 154/20 154/20 155/8 135/11 135/18 138/7 entirely [1] 162/21 142/9 142/15 142/19 155/9 156/2 156/5 139/4 148/5 155/4 147/13 **entirety [2]** 75/20 151/9 152/3 152/23 157/8 157/17 159/11 duty [2] 153/2 174/19 155/18 155/20 156/7 136/3 153/9 154/13 154/21 164/19 169/2 169/22 duty-bound [1] 156/17 171/3 172/3 entity [3] 130/4 132/5 156/21 156/22 156/23 172/1 172/2 173/8 174/19 electronic [1] 136/18 138/22 160/4 161/5 162/7 173/11 173/11 173/14 **elegance** [1] 143/7 entries [7] 22/25 162/22 163/11 164/17 Ε 173/14 173/22 174/5 elegant [1] 143/7 40/18 40/22 65/6 164/20 172/23 172/24 each [28] 2/14 4/12 element [3] 82/6 done [21] 32/10 103/5 103/7 112/11 174/18 17/5 33/19 33/21 35/4 45/11 56/18 66/20 158/12 169/3 entry [21] 20/1 20/2 **Doctor [1]** 129/9 35/16 39/10 42/11 66/24 67/2 67/6 67/7 elements [6] 25/4 21/15 22/6 23/13 54/9 document [17] 19/15 76/9 76/13 76/19 67/8 68/20 91/21 25/6 80/15 81/18 57/15 58/2 58/11 71/8 19/16 19/21 77/22 135/15 139/5 141/9 80/25 86/14 88/24 82/12 151/13 88/6 97/6 112/13 78/24 79/24 150/10 90/18 104/8 104/14 141/23 151/6 153/16 **eliminate [1]** 87/15 112/17 113/14 118/1 151/11 151/12 153/22 104/16 105/8 105/12 153/17 164/3 168/19 else [4] 71/10 98/16 122/24 123/5 123/24 153/25 157/10 170/7 120/24 125/15 126/11 124/2 125/4 172/7 149/18 149/19 170/12 170/16 172/18 139/19 139/20 145/6 double [1] 167/24 **embolden [1]** 24/15 environment [2] 172/19 145/8 doubled [1] 167/23 emboldened [1] 54/9 135/13 135/25 documentation [8] doubling [1] 118/2 earlier [5] 33/11 **emphasis** [3] 18/8 environmental [2] 20/23 56/13 74/12 72/22 123/12 160/5 doubt [6] 9/23 13/2 18/14 18/20 90/4 161/22 94/5 107/15 149/23 167/19 71/18 95/6 160/22 **employ [1]** 136/22 envisaged [1] 136/14 151/11 151/21 early [5] 18/3 22/11 163/13 **employee [1]** 61/16 epitomised [1] 23/4 documented [4] 43/4 43/4 131/19 down [26] 21/15 encountered [1] **EPOSS [16]** 86/22 26/22 93/12 94/14 earnest [10] 54/20 29/24 35/12 35/17 134/12 97/16 98/21 105/3 151/22 56/10 56/14 86/8 87/4 35/19 41/7 61/6 61/9 143/21 149/24 150/4 encouragement [1] documents [22] 3/15 87/6 87/7 88/13 64/23 73/4 79/25 82/5 99/23 150/7 150/19 151/11 4/5 4/5 4/9 4/24 6/23 128/18 147/13 85/11 91/7 123/4 151/12 151/14 151/19 end [38] 8/22 21/2 19/10 19/11 20/5 20/6 easier [1] 155/12 123/24 125/4 128/5 22/23 29/19 30/5 152/17 152/21 152/24 23/11 50/6 76/1 76/13 easily [1] 127/2 129/7 138/16 151/11 32/10 40/6 45/4 46/10 **EPOSSCore** [1] 77/6 77/7 78/3 78/25 easy [1] 140/3 158/5 160/1 166/11 46/14 51/21 58/3 103/12 130/17 145/10 148/11 ECCO [12] 90/16 170/13 174/20 58/10 58/20 58/20 equal [2] 101/4 101/9 151/16 downstream [3] 3/10| 92/7 92/8 92/21 92/22 59/16 60/13 60/18 equally [1] 21/10 does [34] 3/20 10/16 93/3 93/4 93/8 93/21 61/23 79/23 106/17 equals [1] 92/19 35/8 145/2 11/15 14/4 14/5 21/10 94/1 94/21 143/20 downward [1] 58/22 112/25 113/1 113/10 equate [1] 60/19 21/12 26/21 27/20 ECCO-generated [1] dramatic [2] 171/11 115/15 115/19 122/1 **equipment [1]** 33/21 30/7 30/9 34/5 40/9 94/21 ER [2] 103/22 104/10 173/5 125/7 125/14 137/22 48/1 48/2 60/19 64/20 71/5 75/24 78/6 78/16 drastically [1] 32/2 **EDSC [3]** 90/22 143/24 143/24 146/19 erroneous [1] 98/14 107/11 116/10 118/19 draw [7] 17/12 28/5 90/23 99/7 147/19 153/13 163/21 erroneously [2] 28/13 39/24 41/10 effect [3] 47/22 93/13 167/8 168/11 74/24 74/25 129/2 139/23 139/25 130/18 59/4 60/23 **endeavour** [1] 132/7 error [41] 25/7 25/11 140/6 148/18 151/9 effective [1] 126/24 drawn [1] 46/2 endless [1] 140/22 25/18 26/15 50/17 154/12 168/13 172/15 dream [1] 91/8 effectively [9] 2/25 69/5 75/18 76/2 77/17 ends [1] 164/4 174/6 3/4 4/2 4/6 34/11 35/9 78/22 79/1 79/4 79/9 driven [6] 41/25 **Energis [2]** 33/19 doesn't [11] 51/7 37/10 75/10 118/11 42/18 42/22 42/23 138/11 79/10 79/12 79/13 61/9 64/21 90/1 119/1 **effects [1]** 158/13 47/5 157/4 83/8 83/10 84/5 84/7 Energis' [1] 34/14 135/17 139/2 146/16 **effectuate [3]** 35/23 88/22 93/21 94/8 driver [2] 30/16 engaged [2] 134/17 146/23 147/4 153/14 36/17 164/13 103/7 104/22 112/19 30/23 160/12

F	12/10 60/14 68/19	167/18 168/15	expressed [8] 2/3	174/10 174/12
<u>E</u>	83/14 83/15 93/4 98/8		8/23 9/2 9/9 9/10 9/11	
error [15] 114/15	08/23 101/7 126/6	except [2] 79/19 98/9	9/14 19/9	9/13 10/19 32/4 37/1
117/16 117/23 117/24	126/11 150/17 153/9	Exceptions [1]	extended [1] 146/2	51/13 58/1 72/14
118/3 118/8 118/9 118/9 118/10 118/12	153/10 158/23 159/1	108/17	extent [8] 7/19 30/19	105/2 133/4 136/2
122/11 122/17 144/9	169/25	excerpts [1] 84/22	32/14 39/24 74/5	172/4
147/10 158/18	everything's [2] 59/7	exclusion [1] 121/20	132/17 132/24 140/6	fashion [3] 166/4
error-escalation [1]	94/7	execute [1] 141/13	extra [1] 56/17	172/8 172/16
50/17	evidence [45] 1/13	execution [1] 24/11	extract [6] 16/23 23/5	
errors [26] 26/19	8/8 8/11 9/1 9/6 9/9 9/19 20/8 20/22 67/3	executive [2] 8/23 136/10	43/24 57/4 103/7 108/21	fault [19] 29/20 29/25 30/2 30/15 30/19
47/20 47/21 55/6	89/9 95/3 107/6	exemplar [1] 96/22	extracted [2] 20/10	30/21 40/18 40/23
55/21 59/10 59/18	107/2/ 100/18 111/5	exercise [2] 7/2 8/2	109/19	41/9 44/13 44/24
65/23 66/3 67/12 68/3		exist [4] 50/5 59/22	extracting [1] 173/8	44/25 45/15 46/6
68/8 68/16 69/3 82/21 84/3 85/25 87/11	119/11 121/16 127/19	75/8 76/1	extracts [9] 19/2 19/7	1
87/11 88/24 114/16	128/7 128/14 128/18	existed [3] 30/20	21/7 24/6 38/17 49/23	1
144/10 144/12 144/25	128/22 129/4 134/4	69/5 92/9	53/24 53/25 70/17	feature [6] 35/23
149/16 150/21	148/10 149/22 149/25		extreme [1] 37/9	36/17 47/5 64/16
escalated [1] 147/12	150/2 150/4 159/6	58/2 63/7 88/9 99/3	extremely [3] 32/5	66/18 68/23
escalation [3] 50/17	159/22 160/11 160/25 161/3 161/7 161/13	99/9 99/14 100/2 105/8 105/12 161/11	64/14 149/21 eye [2] 22/25 175/2	features [3] 64/10 68/22 139/6
50/22 51/1		exists [2] 85/24		February [2] 45/16
especially [7] 4/3	175/2 175/4	104/17	<u>F</u>	64/24
4/15 13/25 40/1	evident [1] 89/1	expand [5] 132/3	face [1] 70/13	February 2000 [2]
122/14 129/17 137/1 essential [1] 42/8	evolved [1] 144/4	138/24 139/4 143/11	facility [1] 149/5	45/16 64/24
essentially [11] 22/3	evolving [2] 56/17	144/7	facing [2] 18/5 18/19	fed [1] 67/18
25/7 29/9 38/18 40/10	139/12	expect [7] 14/2 17/17		feed [2] 3/3 79/6
50/8 78/9 126/4	ex [1] 159/21	17/24 36/23 50/4 95/4	24/9 30/10 64/18 66/7 108/25 111/6 113/18	feedback [1] 139/7
155/15 157/13 161/5	ex-subpostmasters [1] 159/21	107/12 expectations [3]	116/3 120/24 123/7	feeding [2] 75/13 78/20
establish [1] 38/18	exactly [33] 3/7 4/13	135/11 142/17 158/11	123/10 123/15 132/18	feel [1] 61/5
established [1] 1/9	11/8 26/2 27/5 27/24	expected [4] 36/13	132/25 133/19 138/14	felt [1] 130/17
evaluate [2] 26/20 155/18	39/8 39/16 39/23	36/19 37/1 76/18		fence [2] 68/6 95/12
evaluated [1] 25/9	52/10 65/3 65/10	expecting [1] 66/16	160/12 170/17	few [7] 7/11 19/6
evaluating [1] 25/2	65/13 66/24 68/3	expedition [1] 172/11		21/4 38/18 55/16
evaluation [3] 25/9	68/21 75/24 80/12	experience [5] 17/7	facts [3] 1/20 1/24	66/16 167/15
25/20 26/16	81/19 92/19 94/4 94/17 100/7 106/18	18/9 18/15 18/21 167/20	144/25 FAD [10] 97/4 112/19	fifth [1] 89/20
even [23] 14/3 14/10	137/13 141/6 141/8	experienced [7]	114/6 114/23 118/19	figure [12] 4/21
34/9 35/5 36/4 40/3	141/11 142/25 164/18		118/19 120/23 121/3	27/10 39/1 40/23 57/8
69/22 78/8 133/6 136/3 137/22 138/2	168/14 169/12 169/18		121/4 121/4	60/3 67/1 70/24 75/16
138/14 146/15 147/19	examination [1]	174/5	FADs [8] 114/6	81/13 81/25 100/6
149/18 154/11 154/17	85/16	experiencing [1]	114/21 120/17 120/18	figure's [1] 30/15
156/4 164/23 168/15	examine [4] 5/6 5/15	32/7	120/19 121/14 121/17	figures [6] 57/9
170/1 173/11	50/1 84/13 examined [7] 53/23	EXPG [1] 166/8 EXPG0000001 [2]	121/20 fail [3] 32/22 75/18	93/17 100/12 124/4 126/21 127/2
event [2] 98/1 133/18	113/23 147/25 148/21		76/3	figuring [2] 68/3
events [2] 22/8	171/4 171/13 173/6	explain [27] 24/23	failed [2] 32/21	126/10
101/12	examining [1] 7/5	30/17 34/5 38/4 38/20	107/12	file [6] 97/2 103/3
eventually [3] 75/8 99/13 106/2	example [27] 7/23	41/21 48/7 50/3 57/10		103/8 109/14 114/14
ever [4] 20/21 88/16	32/13 72/22 72/23	60/3 62/12 67/15 75/5		171/3
156/1 166/25	73/8 73/17 74/7 87/10		48/22 failure [8] 32/18	files [2] 3/1 91/14
every [6] 34/18 51/15	89/25 90/9 90/15 91/5 92/11 96/8 108/3	96/24 98/22 100/3	101/2 113/3 122/23	filter [1] 55/1 filtering [5] 50/11
61/3 78/13 109/22	119/19 127/25 154/4	117/3 119/21 132/3	124/6 124/8 125/12	50/12 52/18 53/8
114/19	155/2 156/17 156/18	133/11 149/16	166/3	147/11
everyone [8] 13/20 41/23 135/13 135/23	157/5 162/1 165/4	explained [4] 6/3	failures [2] 21/19	final [14] 12/8 24/21
138/11 155/12 161/16	168/1 168/6 168/16	147/9 147/14 173/24	125/10	24/24 25/14 25/22
164/9	examples [19] 19/1	explanation [2] 90/19	tair [4] 9/15 16/11	27/21 30/2 63/22 81/7
everyone's [2] 92/8	72/1 72/3 72/11 75/7	167/1	84/19 134/1 fairly [1] 145/13	109/13 125/7 140/14 162/24 163/17
164/10	84/25 85/13 85/16 112/5 128/6 128/10	explicit [1] 121/16 explicitly [1] 44/23	fait [1] 150/23	finally [1] 173/17
everything [20]	128/11 128/23 146/8	explore [1] 72/3	false [1] 9/24	finance [7] 132/20
11/23 11/23 11/25	153/24 155/2 161/15	exposure [1] 133/5	familiar [3] 160/11	133/2 133/4 133/6
				(54) error finance

168/10 168/11 168/11 100/20 113/15 120/17 generated [7] 32/12 153/5 153/6 164/12 F 69/4 90/1 93/24 94/21 fixed [22] 65/14 87/8 153/23 164/12 164/15 164/16 finance... [3] 133/19 87/8 87/18 94/16 fourth [5] 41/7 87/3 95/10 95/14 goal' [1] 49/14 134/5 134/7 105/19 106/20 106/21 112/4 120/25 121/4 generates [1] 117/21 goes [7] 39/22 54/13 financed [3] 132/19 110/3 111/10 112/1 fraction [1] 32/17 generating [3] 68/7 60/12 67/3 93/7 133/1 134/6 114/22 114/24 118/17 fraud [8] 170/15 109/17 162/8 100/18 102/3 financial [24] 31/19 118/19 118/25 119/2 170/19 170/21 171/15 going [71] 2/14 6/1 genesis [2] 69/15 62/10 63/3 63/12 121/13 121/17 127/8 171/19 171/21 171/23 88/16 8/21 11/19 13/14 63/13 66/4 67/18 68/9 149/18 149/19 14/14 15/25 24/6 172/5 get [36] 6/15 8/21 68/12 68/13 68/23 24/17 38/12 38/14 fixes [1] 37/16 **frequently [2]** 50/10 26/21 26/24 27/3 69/7 130/1 130/13 41/16 43/24 44/2 fixing [1] 111/22 147/10 37/20 41/21 49/8 52/3 130/22 131/8 131/22 flaw [4] 169/4 169/20 friend [1] 160/7 58/12 72/11 79/21 45/13 64/12 69/1 69/2 131/22 131/23 132/1 169/21 169/23 front [3] 48/9 50/4 79/22 79/22 79/23 72/12 73/22 78/8 132/18 132/24 144/22 87/14 88/15 89/25 78/10 78/14 80/22 flexibility [2] 157/23 52/10 145/20 157/24 front-line [2] 48/9 103/21 123/4 132/8 80/23 83/15 87/15 financially [1] 62/23 flowed [1] 144/22 133/12 133/17 135/15 87/23 88/5 89/25 50/4 financials [1] 71/18 141/22 147/4 153/6 90/17 96/24 99/15 **focus [6]** 66/20 FUJ00080690 [1] find [5] 29/25 45/18 153/16 153/16 155/19 99/16 101/6 101/13 129/21 136/1 137/21 150/10 53/14 98/2 157/15 156/1 162/9 162/11 106/9 107/16 110/11 141/22 147/4 Fujitsu [7] 5/19 7/6 finding [4] 27/6 41/22 114/18 125/4 125/24 focused [2] 77/5 77/6 7/24 16/24 165/23 162/17 165/24 169/15 41/23 128/1 gets [3] 52/7 92/4 126/11 135/12 137/12 focusing [3] 69/9 166/3 166/18 fine [7] 46/16 61/6 72/5 133/16 Fujitsu's [2] 132/17 108/11 137/13 137/25 138/12 62/6 68/13 95/11 132/24 getting [12] 3/5 53/11 139/6 140/20 140/21 **follow [1]** 116/10 96/12 101/8 53/18 59/15 61/7 62/3 141/18 143/1 147/4 followed [4] 70/21 fulfil [1] 161/6 finish [1] 159/10 92/25 99/12 102/10 150/14 150/23 150/24 70/22 105/8 105/14 full [2] 64/5 64/6 firm [1] 10/15 fully [6] 60/1 83/20 133/16 136/1 172/3 150/25 153/6 155/22 **following [9]** 1/19 first [78] 2/20 3/5 156/22 159/11 159/25 3/10 57/9 70/23 85/19 83/22 83/23 166/15 giant [1] 37/8 14/18 15/19 19/18 give [8] 21/4 84/19 91/11 91/15 105/9 167/10 161/16 161/21 163/24 20/1 20/2 21/15 23/1 90/19 110/13 140/1 164/10 170/14 172/12 131/21 function [7] 17/14 23/19 26/18 26/24 28/1 28/4 30/10 64/22 140/7 174/17 174/19 172/16 173/7 **follows [1]** 101/12 31/14 39/1 41/25 42/2 **food [1]** 87/14 68/21 158/19 given [20] 2/18 8/6 gone [1] 71/8 48/18 48/19 48/23 foot [11] 19/5 21/3 8/7 8/10 8/11 8/15 good [35] 1/3 25/15 **functional** [1] 151/14 50/18 51/9 51/12 24/4 40/15 44/2 44/3 8/16 18/10 18/15 32/2 32/3 42/19 46/24 functionality [6] 51/17 52/5 53/15 54/9 49/25 57/7 70/19 37/13 47/7 70/2 139/6 18/22 27/25 36/15 47/18 51/8 52/15 59/6 60/9 61/16 62/20 153/22 156/18 144/20 165/3 56/16 100/23 113/17 53/13 56/3 61/9 62/17 76/5 78/12 80/12 129/25 130/17 158/23 footer [1] 5/12 functioned [1] 68/20 62/18 68/14 69/14 84/24 89/25 90/9 footnote [1] 82/13 fundamental [3] 159/22 165/19 69/21 88/20 95/13 90/15 90/20 97/1 64/14 144/24 169/4 96/17 102/23 135/7 force [6] 6/4 6/6 6/7 gives [1] 8/19 103/4 104/3 112/13 6/22 150/5 150/7 further [15] 2/23 3/3 giving [6] 1/13 78/17 138/6 140/14 140/25 112/13 112/17 114/10 form [8] 3/2 10/12 3/10 25/21 40/1 87/14 82/22 83/10 83/11 142/11 149/4 149/14 115/7 116/14 117/7 31/11 60/23 70/6 100/18 101/11 126/25 150/25 151/3 152/25 163/5 117/17 117/18 120/10 153/7 159/5 164/12 155/4 168/12 171/21 127/6 134/2 155/21 global [1] 51/14 121/1 121/3 122/24 format [5] 3/6 104/3 156/12 166/4 166/11 164/16 **go [61]** 6/1 11/19 123/5 125/4 131/16 future [4] 87/5 87/15 12/15 14/10 14/14 167/3 167/5 167/7 got [8] 62/4 69/6 131/18 133/5 136/13 formed [3] 9/19 111/21 126/25 19/13 21/3 21/13 88/18 100/5 120/1 142/18 143/1 145/7 32/25 147/18 22/21 24/6 24/17 129/1 140/19 164/10 145/9 151/2 155/11 G former [1] 80/5 24/17 27/13 28/10 governed [1] 122/15 155/13 155/16 156/3 gain [2] 55/17 112/24 formula [1] 16/2 29/4 30/25 38/19 grab [1] 35/6 156/6 156/9 157/2 formulation [2] 21/2 gating [2] 62/9 41/17 42/8 44/1 44/9 grade [2] 79/2 79/21 157/23 159/18 162/8 145/19 47/1 47/3 53/23 57/6 grading [3] 76/14 21/4 166/24 173/11 174/21 gave [4] 5/22 72/22 forth [1] 87/1 57/12 61/20 67/22 78/19 78/20 firstly [2] 1/19 7/5 76/5 84/23 71/2 73/24 74/21 forward [15] 24/17 grammar [5] 103/21 fishing [1] 172/11 general [10] 14/22 76/21 77/4 84/24 45/17 73/3 75/1 104/2 104/17 105/13 fit [1] 151/22 116/23 117/13 117/22 16/20 26/1 26/1 28/9 85/18 88/5 88/10 106/25 five [3] 16/25 83/21 52/1 85/19 95/7 96/4 123/17 126/6 152/15 107/15 112/16 118/16 granted [1] 64/2 85/20 111/1 167/21 167/22 167/23 120/22 121/11 123/1 granularity [1] 59/9 fix [**26**] 77/23 86/9 General-unknown [1] graph [2] 41/1 58/22 172/12 172/21 123/22 125/4 126/3 87/23 88/10 88/19 Forward' [1] 117/19 111/1 127/4 129/23 141/8 grateful [2] 2/15 95/19 98/17 98/24 forwards [1] 29/4 General-user [2] 141/13 142/18 142/23 175/1 98/25 103/12 105/21 107/19 107/24 111/13 **found [10]** 23/25 26/1 26/1 149/4 152/15 155/1 great [4] 13/24 15/6 generally [5] 15/12 142/10 175/6 44/15 45/20 53/20 158/6 161/16 165/11 111/20 112/2 119/6 16/11 52/15 141/1 54/5 77/17 82/7 170/12 170/13 171/7 greater [8] 18/8 119/9 119/9 129/7 102/24 125/12 128/12 163/15 goal [11] 65/24 66/10 18/14 18/20 26/13 129/22 158/4 168/7 generate [1] 125/3 **four [5]** 100/18 66/12 136/15 136/20 26/25 32/24 73/19

greater... [1] 73/20 green [1] 71/3 group [7] 4/3 17/9 52/9 53/2 86/18 159/21 159/22 groups [4] 4/5 30/14 87/2 130/24 guard [2] 69/22 69/23 guess [4] 67/14 74/17 89/11 107/14 had [57] 4/20 5/9 5/10 9/1 9/9 17/10 34/12 39/3 40/13 49/24 54/4 55/22 67/24 74/11 80/8 82/8 harvester [2] 98/6 86/3 86/14 105/2 107/16 107/19 110/21 111/7 113/5 113/11 113/19 113/22 115/16 103/25 119/16 121/5 121/10 121/14 123/2 123/11 128/15 128/23 133/21 140/4 141/5 141/16 142/1 143/25 151/22 152/21 166/17 166/19 168/2 168/7 169/9 170/1 170/20 170/20 174/25 hadn't [4] 39/17 39/19 58/16 170/2 half [2] 46/17 53/24 hand [4] 27/20 41/2 41/3 41/7 handle [3] 51/3 52/21 52/22 handled [2] 53/15 121/14 happen [5] 31/21 34/18 35/3 77/23 157/20 happened [16] 11/4 13/10 32/22 34/20 65/16 77/13 77/25 102/6 102/25 124/15 135/24 156/15 166/5 168/24 169/18 170/19 happening [9] 11/9 16/3 38/11 75/20 80/22 112/2 119/23 155/15 168/5 happens [6] 59/20 73/1 141/14 156/14 165/5 166/2 happy [3] 80/18 118/13 142/12 hard [11] 1/16 32/20 42/3 71/7 157/3 157/5 heading [8] 14/18 157/7 157/7 157/13

G

157/19 158/3 harder [1] 129/6 **hardware [34]** 28/15 28/20 29/7 29/15 29/21 30/16 30/22 30/24 31/8 31/12 31/14 31/15 31/22 32/1 32/3 32/8 32/12 32/12 33/1 33/21 36/11 36/18 52/6 52/8 75/15 52/9 52/13 136/16 137/7 138/3 145/25 146/20 161/19 161/19 heightened [2] 15/11 174/11 hardware's [2] 146/14 146/16 $25/14\ 26/4\ 28/10\ 30/1 \big|\, \textbf{hardware-generated}$ **[1]** 32/12 harvest [1] 171/1 99/11 harvester's [1] 99/4 harvesters [1] has [50] 8/14 10/21 22/10 23/16 25/17 25/21 32/1 53/16 54/12 57/17 64/25 66/20 69/16 76/13 79/8 79/9 83/16 88/17 91/11 91/23 97/7 97/8 99/20 101/1 105/17 109/18 109/19 113/24 helped [5] 52/9 118/3 124/16 124/21 126/23 129/18 133/14 174/8 134/12 140/2 140/7 151/3 151/4 153/12 154/15 154/15 155/18 helping [3] 116/5 155/24 156/21 157/6 159/1 162/15 164/5 174/8 hasn't [1] 98/25 have [217] haven't [1] 145/21 having [22] 13/16 33/24 51/6 53/8 54/15 59/9 68/13 69/13 71/7 95/12 113/6 117/19 122/1 126/4 126/7 126/10 128/6 139/13 140/16 149/4 149/20 169/17 he [11] 61/23 95/18 108/11 125/12 160/8 169/2 169/3 169/10 169/13 173/24 174/2 he's [4] 102/6 102/10 102/18 174/16 head [1] 61/22 headed [1] 170/15 header [1] 114/3 28/15 41/18 48/4 50/9 53/25 62/8 71/22

healthy [2] 135/13 135/25 hear [6] 1/3 46/24 96/17 159/16 161/15 173/23 heard [7] 67/3 149/22 167/25 168/10 169/1 172/6 174/4 hearing [2] 41/25 heavy [2] 142/15 153/2 15/16 held [1] 31/17 help [21] 3/23 3/25 4/4 6/17 14/22 21/25 25/3 26/19 51/10 51/20 95/7 100/4 124/12 125/2 139/25 149/5 163/7 164/22 166/4 167/16 172/2 helpdesk [27] 17/6 26/17 27/1 27/2 48/5 48/15 48/18 49/18 51/13 51/17 52/4 52/16 52/22 52/25 118/22 119/15 145/18 147/7 158/17 158/18 162/24 163/3 163/4 163/6 163/18 169/13 169/17 124/17 133/14 170/4 helpful [3] 73/16 117/2 173/12 125/22 174/25 hence [1] 125/17 here [57] 2/21 9/14 25/22 26/23 32/17 32/18 42/13 43/19 43/21 48/16 48/17 50/14 51/5 73/6 74/1 74/2 74/6 76/4 76/16 77/12 79/23 87/17 88/4 88/12 89/17 92/5 93/9 95/1 95/9 96/21 101/6 103/13 107/15 110/11 114/18 116/8 118/24 119/1 119/23 120/3 121/18 122/10 126/4 135/16 138/7 150/17 154/4 154/12 155/15 155/16 155/24 156/8 156/16 157/6 157/9 157/24 169/24 here's [6] 12/9 12/9 12/10 12/11 129/8 141/8 high [19] 8/24 10/1 10/25 11/2 17/14 40/2 62/25 67/24 76/18

36/19 36/25 160/21 highest [3] 13/2 68/7 97/22 **highlight [4]** 16/5 84/14 148/14 166/10 highlighted [5] 19/8 76/4 131/9 155/14 161/10 highly [3] 76/9 153/13 153/14 him [4] 93/10 93/10 159/10 174/15 his [5] 102/6 169/2 173/22 173/24 174/16 hit [2] 20/12 68/9 hold [3] 131/10 131/12 171/20 holding [3] 106/4 123/9 161/8 holdings [1] 123/16 holistic [1] 32/18 honed [1] 98/9 hooked [1] 39/11 hope [1] 170/5 hopefully [1] 140/25 **Horizon [105]** 9/21 14/23 15/10 15/16 15/20 16/9 22/14 28/18 33/9 33/12 33/17 37/3 37/14 39/8 **HV [1]** 98/1 42/9 42/12 42/16 44/8 46/7 47/6 48/5 48/12 48/17 49/18 50/10 51/4 52/14 53/5 55/7 59/11 59/25 63/11 63/16 63/18 64/13 64/16 66/9 67/12 67/12 67/17 67/23 68/4 68/6 69/25 71/12 71/13 72/13 73/7 74/24 74/25 75/1 82/10 82/21 83/3 83/8 83/10 86/4 86/18 89/21 89/23 90/1 90/2 90/6 91/3 92/10 92/12 92/16 92/25 93/3 93/23 93/24 94/1 94/6 | l ask [2] 159/20 95/14 109/9 118/21 122/6 125/2 128/24 128/25 130/22 131/25 132/1 134/9 135/25 136/14 137/17 138/21 142/1 144/15 146/4 147/17 149/1 150/20 154/2 158/9 158/15 158/22 161/12 163/25 170/25 171/16

82/14 84/21 99/22

106/7 134/8 142/7

higher [4] 36/13

161/8

16/9 28/18 44/8 47/6 144/2 151/15 161/6 48/5 132/1 136/14 137/17 138/21 142/1 High-level [1] 151/15 158/15 158/22 hours [1] 38/2 hours' [2] 125/14 125/15 house [1] 7/9 housekeeping [1] 121/1 how [49] 4/22 11/15 14/23 15/2 15/7 15/14 22/15 27/7 28/11 34/5 34/6 35/16 38/24 38/24 39/8 45/6 51/7 60/4 60/16 61/2 65/10 66/4 72/10 72/19 72/23 75/24 76/14 76/15 80/24 81/19 84/15 87/5 120/22 124/17 126/10 130/14 130/15 132/8 132/9 137/23 140/3 142/15 143/1 146/5 151/25 164/13 166/4 172/23 172/24 however [7] 6/10 66/1 68/15 97/7 103/6 107/10 126/25 **HSH [5]** 48/17 48/22 50/18 51/11 51/14 **HTML [3]** 3/1 4/9 167/3 hurt [1] 170/2 41/14 41/20 42/3 42/4 Hypothetically [1] I actually [1] 133/8 I agree [2] 79/5 130/16 I also [4] 71/7 72/9 133/21 173/14 I am [9] 2/21 32/5 37/11 90/17 123/19 150/14 161/16 166/12 172/13 I apologise [1] 18/17 I appreciate [2] 116/25 164/15 I arrive [1] 7/14 170/8 l assume [3] 94/11 110/8 115/23 **I believe [2]** 45/20 90/23 I break [1] 174/20 I can [7] 12/15 13/5 35/8 108/22 160/1 162/25 172/4 I can't [5] 94/3 98/22

Horizon IT [13] 14/23

116/17 120/18 122/14 17/14 19/22 20/5 132/5 134/22 134/25 I wouldn't [1] 50/4 135/4 135/18 135/19 135/21 153/5 27/15 29/1 32/17 **I'd [3]** 70/9 80/17 I can't... [3] 116/16 I look [2] 19/4 112/12 32/25 41/3 42/17 43/1 165/22 145/13 146/24 148/5 154/14 168/14 I looked [1] 55/16 45/3 47/9 49/14 54/1 **I'II [2]** 91/12 107/7 158/11 158/15 158/16 I certainly [3] 65/21 54/5 54/15 61/10 I maybe [1] 133/10 **I'm [81]** 6/1 11/19 158/18 172/19 134/15 159/7 I mean [12] 10/21 61/10 61/13 67/21 12/19 12/22 13/3 13/9 **ICL Pathway [1]** 7/13 I cite [1] 7/19 11/4 11/6 32/22 63/16 68/17 68/25 69/13 13/22 13/23 14/10 ICL's [1] 49/13 I could [8] 55/18 65/22 111/18 124/1 70/3 71/2 71/12 72/11 24/6 24/16 26/13 idea [8] 79/8 79/9 55/23 67/1 108/17 134/14 141/10 154/15 72/23 73/25 78/25 26/23 32/17 32/17 80/10 140/25 157/8 118/14 156/10 164/19 169/23 79/8 79/9 79/11 80/17 35/13 37/19 41/16 157/17 157/19 168/23 169/25 **I mentioned [2]** 30/5 81/3 81/11 82/7 85/12 42/5 42/13 43/18 ideal [1] 145/14 I dare [1] 174/23 ideas [1] 100/6 153/11 86/6 86/7 86/11 87/7 48/16 51/5 54/10 I deduce [1] 166/21 58/17 61/7 63/5 66/13 identification [1] I might [2] 73/25 90/24 94/2 94/25 95/2 I delivered [1] 139/11 95/4 103/18 106/13 66/14 73/6 76/15 78/8 78/21 95/2 I described [1] 75/14 I missed [1] 45/9 108/1 112/16 114/6 78/14 87/17 87/23 identified [39] 5/7 I did [8] 6/10 52/19 I muted [1] 46/15 114/12 114/17 117/7 88/4 88/12 88/14 14/15 25/24 26/4 65/14 74/11 74/12 26/17 30/20 42/17 120/22 122/23 123/22 88/21 89/16 89/17 I need [5] 35/6 35/7 86/10 154/7 154/9 90/24 91/20 96/24 126/23 127/18 132/14 44/24 80/8 83/2 84/5 51/22 124/4 142/24 I disagree [1] 79/6 133/11 133/16 136/6 101/13 103/19 105/4 89/1 91/15 94/20 I never [1] 37/9 I do [2] 4/20 133/24 I note [2] 107/11 137/14 142/6 143/10 107/14 107/16 110/11 94/22 100/7 102/22 I don't [37] 20/21 143/12 144/6 145/3 112/10 114/3 116/3 105/2 105/20 106/23 123/20 43/17 43/19 51/21 I observed [1] 56/8 145/21 146/7 148/2 116/5 116/8 116/18 106/24 110/5 110/17 54/12 66/17 79/25 I performed [1] 36/25 148/8 152/11 153/5 118/7 118/13 118/24 111/14 113/19 115/5 89/18 98/8 99/1 100/7 153/11 153/25 155/11 118/24 125/4 125/9 116/20 117/19 118/10 I picked [2] 84/16 103/19 112/1 112/1 159/5 160/5 160/24 126/13 129/9 130/19 119/4 119/14 120/12 84/17 116/15 116/16 118/17 122/3 122/5 122/21 l possibly [1] 153/7 164/12 164/13 164/15 133/8 135/23 137/12 121/15 125/9 126/6 I produce [1] 13/25 165/22 169/13 174/18 142/12 153/6 154/17 124/7 127/13 127/14 127/11 136/5 146/19 I put [2] 156/22 175/9 156/23 159/1 165/3 145/5 156/2 157/8 157/17 169/23 172/25 173/1 173/20 I thought [6] 25/24 identifier [1] 97/5 159/11 164/19 169/2 174/10 174/12 174/19 I read [12] 6/12 6/12 65/21 72/7 72/18 identifies [1] 114/7 169/22 172/1 172/2 42/14 49/4 56/4 64/19 73/16 74/16 175/1 identify [11] 4/4 4/24 173/11 173/11 173/14 67/14 133/14 148/18 I've [20] 9/11 9/13 6/17 25/3 44/19 56/1 I understand [7] 173/22 174/5 148/24 149/15 155/14 67/25 82/3 97/4 97/21 9/14 12/6 34/21 43/12 70/22 72/1 80/22 87/4 I doubt [1] 95/6 I really [2] 146/17 110/15 118/13 134/15 46/2 58/12 61/24 169/12 l ever [1] 20/21 164/18 I used [1] 13/11 68/25 69/24 72/11 identifying [3] 6/19 I experienced [1] I received [1] 30/19 I want [12] 12/20 98/9 134/16 139/1 69/9 144/11 134/14 I referred [1] 41/25 12/24 12/25 13/1 148/19 149/7 159/1 if [187] I felt [1] 130/17 13/22 13/23 34/22 168/18 170/12 I relied [1] 130/11 ignorant [1] 96/1 I found [1] 45/20 I represent [2] 78/21 120/2 141/22 i.e [9] 9/22 18/13 ignoring [1] 156/14 I got [1] 62/4 167/14 168/2 146/17 154/18 31/6 36/17 57/10 ill [1] 151/24 I guess [4] 67/14 I wanted [4] 58/13 83/22 110/19 140/3 I rerun [1] 126/20 ill-advised [1] 151/24 74/17 89/11 107/14 I reviewed [3] 6/11 95/9 136/22 157/25 147/20 **illustrate [4]** 72/10 I had [6] 55/22 74/11 **ICL [87]** 5/24 7/13 56/7 168/15 I was [19] 23/21 72/19 84/17 131/22 119/16 133/21 141/16 I said [7] 46/15 81/6 7/15 7/16 7/18 7/21 56/25 65/9 65/15 illustration [2] 73/15 170/1 86/6 94/25 107/7 66/25 73/22 79/18 16/7 16/14 18/7 18/14 92/6 I hadn't [1] 170/2 132/13 142/19 85/23 87/7 121/9 19/2 19/10 19/10 illustrative [2] 84/19 I have [17] 8/18 9/25 130/17 133/16 142/6 19/15 19/16 19/18 153/2 I saw [2] 63/20 13/7 13/19 13/20 68/1 19/19 19/20 20/2 20/4 imagine [1] 36/21 107/14 142/13 144/17 149/11 86/2 97/12 97/25 20/6 20/9 20/14 20/15 imagining [1] 91/20 I say [4] 10/20 11/5 157/2 159/25 168/20 109/13 126/20 126/22 I will [8] 74/9 79/5 20/18 20/24 21/15 imbalance [14] 72/4 24/16 173/15 149/14 157/8 157/16 I see [3] 45/24 81/21 106/13 133/4 140/10 22/1 22/3 23/8 25/9 73/11 73/18 74/1 167/1 168/23 28/21 29/1 29/12 126/18 148/23 149/7 159/9 74/23 80/11 82/23 I hope [1] 170/5 I shall [1] 106/4 I won't [3] 42/8 134/1 32/14 36/1 36/8 36/12 83/12 87/20 90/5 I identified [1] 25/24 I should [1] 174/4 36/16 37/25 38/6 116/24 118/20 149/12 134/19 **I ignoring [1]** 156/14 I skipped [1] 129/24 38/10 38/13 43/3 43/6 150/22 I wonder [2] 150/9 I interrupted [1] 3/22 imbalances [9] 31/19 43/14 43/20 43/22 I submitted [1] 159/4 l just [8] 56/2 66/17 I would [22] 2/15 45/20 48/14 49/10 133/14 71/23 72/17 83/9 94/13 119/6 141/12 I suppose [4] 27/19 14/2 14/9 15/12 17/17 49/14 55/9 61/11 84/15 122/20 128/7 142/6 154/19 156/15 45/14 54/8 174/10 17/24 18/24 28/13 61/17 62/10 62/16 128/15 147/23 I keep [3] 64/12 30/23 31/25 69/17 I surveyed [1] 40/17 62/20 62/23 62/24 immediate [7] 38/16 65/11 65/12 I suspect [1] 32/16 63/1 63/7 64/6 64/24 74/17 107/12 122/13 94/16 106/20 110/3 I know [13] 65/10 132/14 133/24 136/11 66/10 66/13 68/17 118/17 121/13 127/8 I take [1] 157/20 87/17 87/18 88/1 88/2 I think [95] 3/19 4/25 138/3 138/16 141/10 82/9 94/6 121/24 immediately [2] 88/7 91/1 108/12 130/2 130/3 131/23 5/9 5/22 10/5 16/23 141/12 169/21 51/20 141/22

147/16 147/23 158/22 investigations [5] 33/17 67/16 67/18 68/7 68/16 69/15 71/19 117/9 117/10 171/19 incorporation [1] 161/8 immense [1] 131/11 43/5 74/6 74/11 77/21 intend [1] 136/21 171/22 172/24 imminent [1] 22/13 incorrect [5] 75/1 77/24 78/1 81/19 intended [3] 42/25 invoice [2] 64/2 impact [4] 8/25 9/8 97/2 102/20 117/22 89/18 98/17 99/12 66/18 144/23 131/16 133/22 133/25 123/18 101/11 102/11 102/12 intending [1] 103/9 invoice ... roll-out [1] impacted [2] 110/4 incorrectly [5] 73/3 107/1 108/18 115/10 intention [2] 66/9 131/16 146/7 involve [1] 172/11 93/4 93/14 117/20 115/11 115/13 116/15 66/14 impeded [1] 31/16 116/17 127/5 136/7 122/8 interchangeably [1] involved [6] 10/8 **implement** [1] 138/8 144/22 145/12 146/10 increase [10] 59/18 39/4 50/21 61/11 86/15 implementation [8] 100/16 101/17 101/23 146/12 157/3 164/4 interest [3] 12/20 167/21 174/1 20/3 22/8 41/13 102/4 102/16 171/5 164/5 165/19 166/22 12/23 100/11 is [546] 137/19 143/5 143/8 171/8 171/12 173/5 171/2 171/9 interested [2] 4/14 ISDN [9] 30/16 30/16 145/24 158/14 increased [1] 47/15 30/22 30/22 32/19 information ... but ... 6/18 implemented [3] increases [1] 13/21 also [1] 37/5 interesting [3] 4/13 33/18 34/13 41/5 41/9 107/19 107/24 111/13 increasing [1] 28/2 informative [1] 81/23 ish [1] 156/21 45/19 45/20 implementing [1] isn't [4] 22/14 85/2 Interestingly [1] incremental [1] infrastructure [4] 62/21 33/20 137/7 138/10 115/25 170/6 166/22 45/14 implications [3] isolated [1] 129/20 152/8 incrementally [1] interface [1] 142/10 133/24 170/23 170/24 internal [4] 85/22 79/10 initial [11] 4/24 25/19 issue [114] 22/7 implies [1] 151/25 incumbent [1] 79/1 52/3 84/5 93/1 93/2 95/10 130/24 144/9 29/13 30/24 31/8 importance [4] 14/21 indeed [1] 85/3 113/20 117/9 117/10 **internally [1]** 115/17 31/14 31/15 38/1 40/1 18/2 43/22 51/1 122/4 138/23 41/12 41/18 43/9 independently [1] interpretation [1] important [24] 10/23 153/18 initially [4] 132/18 65/7 43/22 45/3 45/22 14/3 14/11 14/16 132/25 134/5 134/23 45/22 45/23 46/1 indicate [7] 35/21 interrupted [1] 3/22 14/24 15/1 15/8 64/15 50/21 51/4 51/15 52/8 82/25 107/11 121/21 initiative [7] 132/20 into [58] 1/11 3/1 3/3 64/16 68/23 71/13 136/22 150/18 168/18 133/2 133/5 133/6 3/6 3/16 4/7 4/7 4/7 52/9 52/13 53/9 53/10 71/16 72/7 72/9 72/18 indicated [3] 44/14 133/20 134/5 134/7 6/15 8/21 17/25 25/8 53/11 53/12 54/15 74/1 74/16 85/6 86/12 innocently [1] 162/21 27/3 33/17 40/1 41/21 61/4 62/9 62/11 64/18 94/25 118/25 117/3 137/24 138/4 42/3 42/8 43/5 55/23 65/10 66/8 66/24 67/4 indicates [16] 27/10 input [1] 59/22 140/24 169/15 41/12 59/23 60/9 Inquiry [10] 8/8 8/12 57/15 57/16 58/2 69/25 69/25 75/6 importantly [1] 158/2 82/20 91/6 98/25 134/3 159/24 165/24 58/11 59/17 62/18 75/18 76/2 76/24 improve [1] 79/10 99/20 104/1 107/8 166/15 166/21 166/23 66/3 67/18 69/6 69/8 81/24 84/10 88/2 88/3 improved [1] 32/2 107/9 108/11 110/18 174/15 175/2 69/8 71/6 72/22 73/2 88/9 88/19 89/2 90/1 improvements [1] 118/22 124/24 155/7 Inquiry's [1] 166/18 75/13 79/7 81/15 84/5 92/11 92/12 93/6 93/6 49/19 inside [1] 156/1 93/12 93/23 93/24 indicating [8] 90/25 87/19 93/1 93/4 98/18 inaccurate [6] 163/2 92/21 99/22 99/23 insight [1] 81/14 103/6 109/24 133/9 93/24 94/2 94/16 163/3 163/5 163/5 94/22 95/14 95/15 101/4 121/5 121/9 133/20 144/22 152/5 insists [1] 92/16 163/6 163/9 121/25 insofar [1] 7/9 153/24 155/19 157/3 106/7 106/20 106/21 inaccurately [1] 73/7 indication [3] 20/21 **instability [2]** 129/19 157/22 158/1 163/24 106/24 108/4 110/3 incentive [1] 131/17 165/5 166/24 170/9 110/9 110/18 111/10 86/10 101/7 129/22 inception [1] 60/5 individual [3] 80/25 installation [1] 21/18 172/22 111/10 111/14 111/19 incident [10] 65/17 installed [2] 33/19 112/15 114/22 115/7 84/13 150/13 introduce [5] 21/6 67/2 70/23 91/12 36/18 57/8 62/12 65/23 73/2 115/17 115/20 116/3 individually [1] 97/14 97/15 105/23 instance [10] 12/1 **introduced [2]** 14/25 118/17 118/18 120/6 100/17 109/7 113/21 124/15 ineffectual [1] 24/14 19/18 39/1 73/12 158/12 120/19 120/20 121/10 Incident' [2] 28/21 **inefficient [2]** 154/23 75/17 78/21 81/25 121/13 121/17 121/22 introducing [4] 15/22 70/21 87/19 87/24 142/18 154/24 21/21 66/3 68/3 122/1 122/24 123/12 incidents [5] 62/9 inexplicable [1] instances [1] 88/22 introduction [2] 124/25 125/20 126/9 70/25 71/11 93/13 127/8 127/22 129/13 31/19 instead [7] 66/20 68/10 140/17 125/18 inventory [1] 144/22 **inference [1]** 106/6 93/4 100/2 117/13 129/18 135/3 135/4 include [4] 24/5 **inflicted [1]** 158/15 inverted [1] 95/22 137/9 137/24 138/4 134/9 148/5 157/4 104/7 104/14 131/21 **inform [2]** 32/10 instruction [1] investigate [4] 87/4 145/19 146/20 146/21 included [8] 77/25 149/5 106/22 92/3 129/11 166/15 146/21 147/22 158/4 109/3 110/5 114/14 168/19 172/23 173/20 information [72] 2/22 integrity [33] 10/1 investigated [2] 130/9 130/11 154/5 3/15 4/3 4/7 4/14 4/16 10/6 13/24 41/20 25/18 117/23 issued [5] 7/12 7/16 158/16 4/18 4/21 5/4 7/13 19/16 20/6 94/7 42/10 42/14 47/17 investigating [4] includes [1] 76/12 9/13 10/4 10/6 12/4 63/9 63/15 63/19 6/21 53/13 123/19 issues [75] 7/10 including [2] 11/17 25/2 30/18 33/10 64/12 64/21 65/20 21/17 21/23 24/2 26/9 124/16 19/2 27/10 28/15 28/20 34/16 35/6 35/9 35/10 66/7 66/19 67/24 68/8 investigation [10] incomplete [1] 28/22 29/7 29/15 35/12 35/17 37/5 68/12 68/12 68/24 25/21 81/15 102/7 162/15 37/21 42/3 43/16 49/8 70/9 70/11 71/12 113/22 123/13 127/23 31/12 31/17 31/18 incorporate [1] 3/11 51/19 56/18 56/19 71/19 72/14 95/15 129/12 170/3 170/4 31/22 32/8 32/11 33/1 incorporated [1] 56/25 58/1 63/13 144/21 146/3 147/15 172/10 35/25 36/11 42/13

156/17 157/22 157/24 42/1 44/1 44/19 47/3 174/8 knew [3] 69/3 71/17 48/7 50/2 52/1 54/21 164/9 158/2 160/24 162/5 large [3] 2/17 76/16 issues... [54] 43/3 164/10 165/11 168/14 55/15 56/2 56/22 **knock [1]** 166/17 159/20 48/6 49/6 49/10 52/1 168/24 172/20 173/18 57/10 57/12 58/21 knock-on [1] 166/17 last [26] 1/10 3/19 52/6 53/4 53/17 53/17 174/19 175/2 59/11 59/21 60/16 know [129] 1/13 4/13 5/23 6/3 7/3 10/7 16/3 55/12 55/18 55/25 item [3] 64/15 115/23 61/20 61/21 65/18 5/10 6/16 9/20 12/21 16/4 16/5 16/25 19/6 56/10 59/24 63/15 66/17 69/3 71/14 72/5 14/7 15/2 15/7 15/13 31/25 38/18 42/17 117/7 70/6 70/13 70/23 72/1 72/8 72/18 73/4 73/8 15/14 32/21 38/9 40/3 60/18 71/8 72/8 items [5] 114/25 72/4 72/5 72/10 72/14 73/15 73/17 73/24 40/6 42/2 43/19 51/7 120/8 131/21 139/23 102/18 116/20 119/24 74/22 83/14 87/4 90/5 74/16 75/25 76/9 155/14 51/22 52/10 53/10 123/24 132/3 152/19 100/23 114/18 120/15 iterations [1] 75/11 77/11 79/16 79/19 55/15 56/17 56/19 158/6 161/12 163/22 122/1 128/19 129/4 iterative [1] 78/10 80/2 80/9 80/13 80/19 59/7 59/8 59/13 59/16 lastly [5] 96/3 122/22 129/22 130/13 138/13 its [18] 15/7 16/24 81/17 81/23 84/16 60/6 60/9 60/13 60/13 153/22 166/2 175/5 145/19 145/25 147/8 86/25 87/10 87/25 63/17 64/12 65/10 42/22 43/6 54/20 late [5] 32/3 43/6 147/12 150/22 158/20 54/20 60/5 60/6 67/13 88/23 89/14 89/16 65/11 67/19 68/15 111/25 164/13 166/13 161/10 161/18 161/20 79/11 91/2 91/3 108/8 92/8 94/13 97/12 70/4 71/14 72/8 73/12 later [3] 23/11 100/17 161/20 161/21 161/22 113/4 123/2 135/18 97/17 99/11 99/22 75/18 75/23 78/22 172/21 161/23 162/2 162/6 100/1 100/8 104/11 138/23 144/4 80/23 81/18 81/20 latter [2] 58/1 143/19 162/20 162/24 163/8 104/19 105/1 106/11 81/25 85/24 86/12 itself [13] 7/18 18/4 **Laura [1]** 167/14 issuing [1] 94/11 22/14 43/1 49/15 106/18 107/7 112/13 86/17 86/24 87/17 Laura Page [1] it [429] 49/16 84/7 138/14 112/15 114/3 114/20 87/18 88/1 88/2 88/7 167/14 **it's [152]** 1/16 3/5 143/10 143/12 145/3 117/4 118/24 119/6 89/13 89/18 91/1 lawyers [1] 160/20 5/12 7/20 7/22 7/24 158/14 162/15 119/21 121/8 124/24 95/13 95/19 103/19 layers [1] 69/22 10/22 11/4 11/7 11/24 108/12 111/18 111/18 layman's [1] 146/13 125/7 126/22 127/1 12/25 13/1 13/13 111/20 111/22 112/1 129/18 129/21 132/11 lead [4] 31/18 163/8 13/25 14/10 15/1 15/7 January [4] 21/24 112/1 114/25 116/17 163/13 163/17 133/13 135/6 135/13 17/14 19/18 33/24 28/24 58/9 62/1 135/22 138/14 138/15 120/13 120/18 121/25 leading [1] 58/22 34/3 35/1 35/14 37/8 January 2000 [1] 139/16 139/22 141/12 122/14 124/4 125/10 league [1] 61/13 38/12 38/14 43/12 28/24 126/22 127/11 129/9 142/6 142/6 145/14 learned [1] 160/7 44/20 46/5 47/6 51/13 Jarosz [1] 173/22 146/9 146/14 147/25 129/10 130/13 133/4 learning [4] 4/2 78/11 51/21 52/2 52/7 53/12 Jim [2] 98/3 99/17 133/11 133/21 135/21 149/3 150/21 151/23 78/13 78/15 56/22 57/15 57/16 58/10 58/21 60/5 61/9 **job [4]** 53/5 86/11 152/13 153/1 154/19 135/21 137/23 137/25 least [16] 64/5 67/20 155/6 155/9 156/15 138/2 140/16 140/19 69/1 82/2 86/9 90/12 64/22 65/11 65/11 John [13] 90/22 156/21 157/22 157/24 141/6 141/11 141/15 102/24 116/7 128/1 65/12 65/13 66/1 91/10 97/12 97/15 158/6 160/16 161/18 141/16 141/20 142/8 129/15 132/18 132/25 66/11 68/14 68/22 97/24 99/25 106/1 162/1 165/15 166/11 142/8 142/8 142/15 145/11 150/19 154/23 69/21 71/5 72/12 108/10 108/11 113/24 166/24 167/5 167/6 142/18 144/17 145/10 157/23 77/13 77/13 77/16 123/24 124/3 124/12 167/15 169/7 170/5 146/9 146/19 146/24 leaves [2] 65/6 97/9 79/1 81/23 82/13 joint [5] 7/21 7/23 170/12 170/22 172/10 147/1 151/25 152/8 leaving [1] 169/13 82/13 83/4 84/12 19/10 20/3 22/21 173/15 173/17 173/18 152/20 153/5 154/21 led [3] 44/16 47/15 88/23 89/1 89/23 90/5 jointly [2] 7/12 19/16 155/20 156/2 156/6 174/19 174/24 150/22 90/7 90/17 91/5 91/17 91/21 99/1 99/2 99/15 joke [3] 154/15 just ... it's [1] 152/13 164/22 168/18 169/2 left [2] 64/25 120/17 154/16 154/24 169/8 169/22 172/2 justice [1] 9/22 legacy [2] 134/6 99/22 99/22 103/24 July [10] 27/19 29/19 173/11 173/12 173/14 154/2 30/5 58/23 71/9 109/9 **K** 104/5 106/6 106/15 173/15 173/22 Legacy Horizon [1] 111/14 112/17 116/1 109/10 109/12 113/10 keep [6] 26/8 44/2 knowledge [4] 1/21 154/2 116/9 116/20 118/9 124/2 64/12 65/11 65/12 1/25 26/1 27/7 legal [5] 10/10 10/17 120/6 120/19 121/1 July 1996 [1] 29/19 114/20 Knowledge' [1] 11/16 11/17 14/8 122/12 125/23 126/13 June [7] 27/11 27/18 keeping [2] 125/23 24/22 legend [2] 30/15 126/24 127/12 129/19 49/20 54/10 71/6 175/1 40/24 knowledgeable [1] 129/20 130/16 131/25 167/19 168/1 **KEL [1]** 31/7 51/25 length [1] 1/10 134/17 135/12 137/11 June 1999 [2] 167/19 KELs [16] 3/17 4/25 Knowledgepool [4] lengthy [1] 170/6 137/13 137/14 137/24 168/1 5/3 5/7 5/9 5/10 5/13 21/21 21/25 22/1 less [2] 61/25 172/8 139/14 139/16 139/16 June 2000 [4] 27/11 5/16 6/24 20/23 21/7 22/10 let [5] 5/11 67/15 139/22 140/24 141/2 27/18 49/20 71/6 31/5 31/11 148/6 known [5] 68/6 95/23 79/7 112/12 155/23 141/3 141/9 146/22 just [155] 3/19 3/23 165/12 165/16 110/18 120/15 151/5 let's [20] 37/8 37/9 146/23 147/1 147/2 kept [2] 79/20 79/20 4/18 6/5 7/4 10/12 **knows [1]** 157/21 40/4 69/4 73/24 78/23 150/23 150/24 150/25 12/17 13/21 16/1 key [3] 41/2 112/11 84/2 84/3 92/22 151/8 152/13 152/13 16/18 17/22 19/3 145/6 122/25 129/11 138/25 153/3 153/14 154/2 19/24 21/3 21/10 **keystrokes** [1] 32/13 lack [5] 17/6 24/10 141/22 141/22 142/21 154/12 154/13 154/23 21/14 22/25 24/9 kind [9] 11/20 76/17 50/11 68/11 149/3 142/21 149/3 157/4 154/23 154/24 154/24 24/23 27/23 28/9 87/9 94/5 111/17 language [2] 39/4 161/18 161/19 155/6 155/22 155/22 29/23 30/17 32/21 138/13 169/7 169/20 136/22 letter [1] 23/5 156/4 156/9 156/10 35/18 38/4 38/6 41/23 169/23 laptop [2] 174/1 level [62] 8/24 10/1

level... [60] 10/25 11/2 11/23 13/1 13/2 14/2 14/22 16/15 17/10 20/12 21/17 21/18 23/18 26/25 28/20 28/24 29/13 37/7 37/8 39/24 46/9 48/6 48/12 48/14 49/2 50/15 50/18 50/19 51/23 51/24 56/20 59/9 68/8 82/14 82/15 84/21 87/11 88/10 88/11 97/14 97/17 104/12 109/24 111/22 111/24 125/2 129/15 131/1 134/8 139/25 140/6 141/7 142/7 145/18 146/13 151/15 little [21] 6/15 32/7 151/16 158/13 162/20 163/8 levels [3] 23/16 26/18 87/13 **LFS [1]** 86/23 **LHITS [2]** 107/25 111/13 life [2] 57/12 74/7 lifted [1] 11/21 light [3] 9/18 76/3 130/7 lighter [1] 71/3 like [46] 5/25 13/13 17/15 34/8 36/22 59/17 63/16 69/1 69/24 71/8 71/8 72/14 78/22 81/21 81/22 91/18 91/24 98/19 98/23 99/10 99/14 99/15 103/14 103/19 106/6 106/13 111/4 115/25 120/3 122/13 123/25 129/18 130/19 132/13 135/15 136/11 137/9 138/10 138/10 139/15 152/5 154/13 164/1 164/6 169/18 169/20 likely [4] 87/15 99/5 139/7 170/3 **limitation [1]** 8/1 **limitations [2]** 7/2 7/4 limited [4] 43/15 164/20 171/10 173/4 line [37] 19/18 32/19 34/13 48/9 48/18 48/19 48/20 50/4 51/12 51/17 52/10 52/23 53/3 53/16 54/23 57/9 58/9 90/18 90/20 92/4 97/1 97/6 97/9 98/13 99/21 100/10 103/2 103/10 104/6 104/23 105/16 13/11 16/10 16/12

114/19 115/3 122/25 126/21 126/21 154/22 line 2050 [1] 97/6 lines [8] 16/25 33/18 50/15 66/16 126/16 126/16 126/16 154/22 link [4] 34/12 35/2 104/24 105/7 linkage [1] 133/18 links [1] 33/18 list [6] 42/2 61/12 105/10 106/3 120/1 121/4 listed [1] 30/14 listing [1] 109/15 lists [1] 123/4 **literature** [1] 16/25 litigation [1] 14/1 34/5 51/25 54/24 58/6 164/24 58/7 74/10 81/5 81/19 lot [45] 10/16 10/18 96/9 116/10 124/24 129/11 129/22 133/10 153/17 153/18 155/11 156/19 170/9 live [6] 40/5 97/2 98/25 105/17 107/15 122/18 living [1] 139/15 **load [1]** 93/1 loaded [2] 4/6 4/9 log [1] 114/14 logger [1] 105/18 logic [7] 41/25 42/19 42/22 42/23 47/5 156/16 157/4 logistical [1] 137/5 logistically [1] 138/4 long [11] 60/4 60/16 60/25 61/2 85/3 112/7 116/25 131/14 153/3 159/9 168/3 long-term [1] 153/3 **Longley [1]** 61/16 look [40] 4/21 7/23 11/5 11/11 13/8 19/1 19/4 19/7 20/1 20/11 21/14 24/4 24/18 29/8 30/13 36/4 38/19 40/1 40/15 57/24 60/16 61/9 61/25 73/4 74/21 75/12 78/21 84/24 91/24 96/20 97/15 112/12 117/5 127/25 130/19 150/9 150/14 151/10 154/1 167/10 looked [14] 25/14 27/1 31/5 54/22 55/16 magic [2] 172/15 61/23 74/15 77/14 128/6 128/11 136/3 147/19 155/14 168/6 looking [29] 4/23 5/8 6/18 8/22 9/6 13/9

25/13 25/22 26/3 31/10 56/25 75/11 75/17 75/19 89/17 103/3 106/11 117/7 126/8 129/19 148/8 149/11 157/13 157/16 163/20 166/10 looks [13] 59/13 59/17 71/8 71/8 77/11 77/15 91/18 99/21 103/19 106/6 106/13 120/2 129/18 **loop [1]** 139/7 loose [1] 165/21 loses [1] 15/7 loss [3] 22/19 112/22 113/1 losses [1] 131/23 lost [3] 46/14 114/19 27/7 28/10 42/6 42/13 makes [1] 129/6 43/16 43/17 47/20 55/6 59/6 59/10 61/8 65/13 67/10 68/2 68/4 68/18 78/1 82/2 86/14 86/25 88/18 127/24 129/5 129/10 129/14 129/15 129/18 130/12 133/25 135/18 136/24 management [22] 136/25 137/3 137/4 137/5 137/6 137/10 138/15 142/14 148/23 149/12 150/20 167/25 lots [2] 22/24 87/12 **love [2]** 141/10 141/12 low [4] 23/16 82/15 134/8 151/16 low-level [2] 134/8 151/16 lowered [1] 47/13 Istockrootnode [1] 155/20 **LT1 [1]** 119/6 **LT2 [1]** 119/14 **Luncheon [1]** 96/15 machine [4] 4/2 78/11 78/13 78/15 machine-learning [2] 78/13 78/15 made [11] 1/20 5/4 32/16 95/1 98/25 100/14 103/11 105/20 107/9 118/8 158/23 173/9 main [6] 45/7 63/17 69/19 151/13 164/1 165/1 mainframe [1] 164/1 maintain [4] 10/25

35/15 143/7 144/20 maintenance [2] 10/9 50/24 major [2] 22/23 133/2 **majority [3]** 7/13 89/20 128/22 make [44] 5/11 13/22 13/23 16/17 17/8 19/14 25/10 34/22 38/7 43/12 47/24 52/3 | Mark [1] 173/22 63/18 67/22 68/20 69/3 69/5 69/15 83/25 85/18 92/14 95/15 102/25 104/19 104/20 Martin [1] 106/2 125/2 129/1 130/5 132/6 136/12 137/12 137/25 141/11 141/23 142/21 142/24 151/8 152/1 153/10 154/10 154/19 155/23 161/2 168/13 making [13] 15/17 68/19 132/2 132/8 135/25 138/5 138/11 138/17 139/10 139/13 139/19 161/6 168/11 manage [1] 125/22 managed [1] 34/23 5/22 5/24 6/10 6/12 19/2 23/25 41/19 49/19 51/14 88/7 107/18 111/9 134/25 138/6 146/3 148/7 158/13 170/15 170/19 171/15 171/23 172/5 Manager [1] 64/25 managerial [1] 137/3 managers [1] 159/21 manifested [2] 40/24 158/14 manifesting [3] 44/17 72/10 84/7 manipulation [2] 12/3 12/22 manipulations [3] 11/7 11/9 13/12 manner [3] 141/3 141/4 144/13 manual [3] 15/20 77/18 143/25 manually [1] 75/11 manuals [2] 74/12 74/13 many [24] 6/22 33/6 38/24 38/24 39/9 48/13 55/23 57/16 85/4 85/4 85/21 130/23 130/24 139/22 143/6 144/1 146/1 148/23 149/16 152/6 152/7 152/7 153/12 175/6

map [2] 110/8 110/20 mapped [5] 108/14 108/20 109/4 109/16 110/22 mappings [1] 109/3 March [2] 27/11 27/18 March 2000 [2] 27/11 27/18 marked [1] 64/1 marker [2] 113/11 115/15 Martin Box ... **Eventually [1]** 106/2 **match [2]** 92/15 123/3 material [19] 2/12 2/17 3/6 5/15 7/5 8/4 16/23 17/1 28/25 49/1 49/4 58/13 62/15 65/15 84/1 144/17 148/13 148/21 161/7 materials [7] 43/13 65/9 66/25 67/25 68/25 69/24 130/11 mathematical [1] 156/16 matter [3] 93/9 146/16 146/23 matters [4] 1/21 2/5 19/8 146/20 **mature [2]** 139/13 142/20 maximum [3] 171/11 172/14 173/4 may [29] 5/16 11/16 14/7 20/11 22/24 22/24 27/15 29/6 29/14 47/15 49/14 54/9 59/2 90/11 100/3 100/11 130/8 154/13 162/11 163/9 164/22 165/12 165/16 165/20 167/16 167/17 169/16 170/6 171/21 May 2000 [5] 22/24 29/6 29/14 49/14 59/2 maybe [4] 39/7 73/21 113/14 133/10 me [57] 1/3 4/24 5/11 5/14 8/19 9/4 9/25 13/6 13/6 13/21 25/15 43/13 55/25 59/23 60/8 60/25 64/18 65/10 67/15 74/12 79/2 81/1 81/23 82/2 88/23 90/25 91/6 92/21 98/10 102/22 103/14 104/1 107/21 111/14 112/12 116/21 122/12 123/25 124/1 124/24 129/9 129/19

M me... [15] 132/11 133/7 135/22 137/14 139/8 140/5 141/11 145/11 146/15 146/17 150/18 152/13 155/7 155/23 167/16 mean [29] 10/21 11/2 11/4 11/6 24/23 26/11 32/22 36/18 43/10 55/13 63/16 65/8 65/22 76/10 78/7 78/16 89/13 89/22 97/5 111/18 119/1 124/1 134/14 137/20 139/2 141/10 142/4 154/15 169/23 meaning [3] 55/18 99/1 121/22 means [14] 11/24 33/23 38/4 77/10 78/10 78/16 98/18 99/1 117/2 119/22 151/20 152/3 153/5 157/13 meant [3] 6/5 113/11 123/17 meat [2] 112/16 114/23 mechanics [1] 164/18 mechanism [8] 34/11 37/18 47/17 78/11 106/9 121/24 143/15 144/9 mechanisms [1] 67/11 medium [1] 64/9 members [1] 17/3 memory [3] 39/8 78/9 92/8 mention [2] 144/18 162/6 mentioned [5] 30/5 76/24 100/1 153/11 163/20 menu [1] 121/7 message [18] 77/18 77/21 77/24 98/14 99/3 99/4 99/9 99/10 101/3 101/5 102/8 103/8 104/15 105/12 108/21 124/11 124/21 125/22 messages [1] 77/17 method [2] 94/5 126/14 methodical [1] 153/9 methodically [1] 144/11 methodologies [1] methodology [4] 2/9 mitigate [1] 87/5

2/10 75/5 128/12 metrics [1] 48/13 microphone [1] 170/9 middle [3] 59/14 112/18 126/3 might [49] 4/13 13/11 15/14 15/17 17/18 17/20 17/21 25/15 25/18 25/19 27/3 30/10 32/11 35/13 39/9 46/11 47/20 51/15 51/15 58/6 66/11 67/13 73/25 74/14 78/21 79/3 86/21 88/1 88/10 88/11 89/4 89/6 89/22 96/7 96/11 130/14 130/15 130/19 139/3 139/4 141/17 143/24 159/3 167/2 167/23 172/10 172/10 172/11 173/17 migrated [4] 92/16 93/3 109/9 143/16 migrating [1] 93/25 migration [13] 77/18 90/16 93/15 93/17 93/21 94/21 117/21 120/14 120/15 120/19 121/9 121/15 122/4 militaristic [1] 153/10 millennium [2] 16/4 163/22 Miller [1] 23/4 million [3] 23/19 64/3 131/18 mind [4] 26/8 114/20 132/12 141/17 minimum [1] 58/22 minus [1] 103/5 minutes [1] 159/5 **mis [5]** 113/9 123/19 123/20 146/11 162/3 mis-balance [3] 113/9 123/19 146/11 mis-balanced [1] 123/20 mis-communication **[1]** 162/3 misaligned [1] 135/11 miscalculated [1] 113/6 misinterpreted [1] 102/20 mismanagement [1] 147/16 missed [1] 45/9 missing [1] 115/12 mistresses [2] 136/17 159/21

156/19 158/1 158/1 mitigated [1] 84/10 163/15 163/18 170/5 mode [21] 98/4 98/10 101/18 101/24 103/24 104/8 104/10 104/10 104/14 104/16 105/15 46/12 46/24 160/5 115/7 115/9 116/19 119/20 120/3 120/5 120/7 120/8 120/20 122/7 mode-change [1] 120/20 model [1] 78/6 moderate [1] 17/19 modernising [1] 136/14 modes [7] 104/10 104/12 104/20 105/9 105/10 105/11 122/15 **module [1]** 103/18 modules [3] 103/15 103/16 103/17 moment [7] 7/25 14/23 41/16 96/7 150/14 150/15 159/4 money [1] 23/8 month [4] 38/23 40/10 64/5 93/2 monthly [42] 3/17 3/18 5/24 6/24 7/11 7/16 16/7 19/3 19/19 20/2 20/10 20/19 20/25 21/7 28/6 28/22 29/1 29/9 29/12 31/10 35/21 38/17 40/12 43/25 48/21 49/9 49/23 50/2 52/17 52/19 54/1 54/4 55/9 57/5 63/6 70/17 130/12 130/16 132/15 135/22 136/7 148/6 **months** [5] 60/19 60/20 64/6 139/3 152/12 Moran [5] 90/22 91/10 108/10 108/11 124/3 Moran's [1] 123/24 more [**62**] 3/1 3/6 6/14 6/15 9/4 14/3 14/10 26/15 30/11 32/18 34/5 42/6 44/3 45/24 47/22 47/22 47/23 51/25 60/9 60/15 60/18 60/20 61/12 61/25 62/19 79/19 81/5 82/2 83/21 83/23 84/3 86/2 87/15 89/19 95/7 101/6 104/11 110/12 111/2 121/18 124/1 127/2 127/5 129/12 133/16 137/1 137/2 138/15 139/5 142/12 146/13 147/2 149/3 155/2

170/9 172/16 morning [4] 1/3 most [12] 9/11 56/5 56/7 58/19 64/15 64/15 71/13 117/1 127/6 135/24 139/7 170/3 motivated [1] 130/5 mount [1] 131/10 mousetrap [1] 154/15 move [4] 37/21 47/1 96/8 141/23 moved [1] 97/11 **movement [1]** 34/15 moving [6] 136/24 136/25 137/1 137/10 153/12 172/21 Mr [30] 1/8 1/9 18/17 46/16 47/1 61/20 61/22 61/24 90/17 96/20 112/11 159/6 159/8 159/18 159/19 159/20 159/22 160/3 160/7 165/10 165/22 167/19 169/1 174/15 174/18 174/20 174/21 175/11 176/3 176/4 Mr Andrew [1] 169/1 Mr Beer [13] 1/8 18/17 46/16 61/20 90/17 160/3 160/7 165/10 165/22 167/19 174/18 175/11 176/3 Mr Cipione [12] 1/9 47/1 61/22 61/24 96/20 112/11 159/8 159/20 159/22 174/15 174/20 174/21 Mr Cipione's [1] 159/6 Mr Stein [1] 159/18 **MS [3]** 167/13 170/8 176/5 Ms Page [1] 170/8 MSU [2] 91/10 94/2 much [28] 1/4 5/21 7/1 26/25 32/24 36/25 42/2 45/18 46/17 65/6 81/14 96/13 96/19 108/3 108/7 119/16 132/21 133/7 133/21 133/24 140/11 149/2 159/3 172/7 173/23 173/23 175/7 175/10 multi [2] 13/13 127/4 multiple [5] 53/5 114/13 114/13 142/1 156/8 multiplied [1] 116/24 multiplying [2] 73/9

my [72] 5/13 5/19 8/19 9/10 9/11 14/4 15/12 15/19 34/21 41/23 41/25 42/6 52/5 55/15 56/16 56/22 56/23 58/13 61/2 61/18 61/22 62/15 63/20 67/8 68/1 72/16 74/9 77/19 78/8 81/2 85/10 86/6 88/19 94/4 94/13 106/6 108/2 109/21 112/12 114/9 116/4 119/23 128/3 130/19 131/25 133/5 133/23 135/16 137/22 139/15 142/18 142/23 142/24 145/11 146/21 148/19 148/20 155/9 157/2 158/5 158/9 160/7 164/14 164/20 165/4 166/14 173/12 174/13 174/19 174/20 174/23 175/7 myself [3] 46/15 108/18 110/11 name [1] 109/14 namely [5] 18/20 76/5 76/25 122/5 127/14 names [2] 103/18 103/18 narrative [1] 82/19 narratives [2] 56/2 56/8 narrow [1] 4/4 national [29] 14/20 21/16 21/24 22/11 27/12 27/25 28/8 28/12 28/16 28/18 30/7 31/1 31/13 31/15 32/9 33/3 33/7 36/1 36/4 36/6 36/16 40/25 44/18 48/24 53/21 59/21 66/8 145/25 147/7 **naturally [1]** 17/9 **nature [3]** 24/14 31/7 125/17 navigate [1] 122/6 navigated [1] 121/6 Navigating [1] 119/20 **NBE [2]** 171/2 171/2 near [1] 161/17 nearly [1] 22/22 necessarily [7] 34/10 39/12 53/10 86/1

118/2

must [5] 16/2 18/14

muted [1] 46/15

MVL [1] 112/20

18/21 117/21 121/24

170/14 172/20 175/9 94/11 114/21 134/7 163/24 164/6 N observations [20] 84/12 84/23 85/10 **NFS [1]** 171/3 noticeable [1] 158/19 172/9 necessarily... [3] Office's [4] 7/22 68/9 nice [3] 140/23 **noticing [1]** 149/12 85/19 85/20 94/10 137/23 149/4 169/22 140/23 140/23 **noting [2]** 77/16 95/7 96/3 106/19 69/6 91/1 necessary [5] 50/21 107/18 107/23 111/9 Niche [1] 156/20 116/8 offices [13] 21/17 144/15 159/10 159/11 Nichola [1] 168/3 notwithstanding [2] 111/12 114/5 116/8 21/19 38/25 39/5 39/6 171/17 night [1] 34/18 117/4 119/13 127/7 39/7 39/15 39/25 40/3 32/6 135/5 need [45] 15/11 November [13] 1/1 128/4 129/1 109/8 120/9 136/15 nil [1] 123/9 15/13 15/13 15/17 21/23 27/11 27/19 nine [5] 83/22 84/3 observed [2] 56/8 146/1 31/24 35/4 35/6 35/7 38/23 39/2 39/14 40/2 84/6 111/16 136/12 130/3 official [1] 63/11 35/18 47/7 47/13 40/6 64/5 120/10 **nits** [1] 169/24 obtained [2] 107/20 officially [2] 61/6 47/15 51/16 51/22 no [42] 13/2 21/1 131/18 175/14 132/21 106/14 59/19 69/18 79/25 56/14 64/9 65/22 66/2 **November 1 [1]** 39/2 **obvious [5]** 66/11 offline [2] 161/21 86/21 88/10 95/21 71/16 80/2 80/2 82/1 November 2000 [2] 130/8 130/16 132/13 165/6 99/6 103/25 117/4 offsetting [1] 113/1 94/8 95/18 95/21 98/6 27/11 27/19 145/11 120/17 124/4 126/6 100/25 105/24 113/3 now [52] 2/14 8/6 **obviously [6]** 129/6 often [6] 48/5 55/9 135/7 137/4 140/13 117/19 123/9 127/4 9/20 21/2 28/25 29/12 134/24 145/18 147/8 132/5 132/12 141/18 141/9 142/15 142/16 134/14 143/12 149/14 32/4 32/23 34/8 34/22 159/24 160/17 158/2 142/23 142/24 143/11 150/19 151/2 152/22 42/6 42/8 51/22 53/2 occasion [7] 1/10 oftentimes [3] 77/20 152/3 153/9 154/20 153/5 155/25 156/5 53/8 56/5 59/20 65/14 5/23 6/3 7/3 10/7 86/15 140/16 154/20 163/1 165/11 157/8 157/16 157/21 65/19 79/1 79/8 83/14 42/17 152/19 Oh [1] 128/17 165/24 171/1 171/3 157/24 159/1 159/1 92/3 98/6 99/2 102/23 occasions [3] 7/16 **OK [1]** 105/7 171/4 159/1 159/7 163/13 102/24 111/7 113/24 35/11 174/16 okay [24] 13/9 51/21 needed [20] 3/17 4/5 165/9 165/9 168/23 118/9 126/20 128/5 77/11 90/3 91/8 92/12 occupancy [1] 23/16 34/19 38/7 43/16 133/10 133/24 139/11 99/9 101/6 104/6 173/13 occupied [1] 36/13 55/17 56/18 68/21 139/12 141/21 149/7 104/24 105/6 106/20 occupies [1] 45/17 no-one [2] 71/16 76/21 142/2 143/5 157/21 156/4 156/14 159/23 occur [2] 35/3 125/15 114/5 115/5 124/3 143/15 143/23 143/24 occurred [6] 107/10 node [1] 155/22 159/25 160/16 161/2 134/16 141/2 141/3 144/1 144/3 144/9 161/10 161/12 162/7 140/2 140/8 169/6 142/10 142/21 157/2 non [16] 36/14 36/19 144/18 144/20 153/8 37/1 38/1 39/25 52/13 162/24 163/20 165/10 169/12 173/24 161/2 163/5 172/25 needn't [1] 144/7 53/9 53/17 108/20 168/9 172/1 occurrences [1] Okay, [1] 141/20 needs [11] 35/1 108/25 109/20 109/24 **NR2 [2]** 131/10 87/16 Okay, I know [1] 35/12 35/17 87/22 121/19 128/10 173/20 131/12 141/20 occurring [5] 21/18 135/13 138/22 140/15 173/24 **NT [1]** 171/3 84/9 125/11 125/20 old [1] 156/3 142/25 144/4 153/3 non-core [3] 108/25 null [4] 98/4 98/9 174/6 omitted [1] 123/16 171/6 109/20 109/24 115/8 116/19 occurs [1] 125/14 on [236] negative [5] 23/4 once [5] 37/20 62/24 number [30] 2/25 October [5] 22/23 non-examples [1] 100/21 100/22 154/19 12/5 23/15 28/2 35/5 27/18 28/23 54/11 79/19 107/19 113/22 128/10 154/19 non-mapped [1] 51/18 58/23 70/10 106/17 one [107] 9/4 13/19 net [4] 68/13 69/20 108/20 72/7 75/10 76/8 97/5 October 1999 [1] 14/15 14/15 15/19 69/22 95/16 98/5 98/14 98/15 25/6 25/20 35/4 39/10 non-polled [2] 37/1 28/23 nets [5] 69/17 69/20 112/20 116/22 122/22 38/1 odd [2] 157/22 172/8 39/15 42/11 48/12 69/23 70/1 85/24 non-polling [5] 36/14 129/6 137/8 150/18 57/12 57/16 61/3 61/7 **odds [1]** 139/20 network [8] 34/7 36/19 39/25 173/20 off [10] 10/4 36/24 160/2 161/10 167/14 63/17 63/22 64/5 36/11 37/11 40/5 167/20 170/24 171/8 66/4 116/21 125/16 67/21 68/22 69/18 173/24 142/9 171/19 172/20 71/16 75/11 76/1 76/2 non-response [1] 171/10 173/4 173/10 129/8 131/13 136/7 173/21 numbered [1] 125/8 148/5 158/5 76/2 76/3 76/18 76/19 121/19 never [5] 37/9 38/12 non-technical [2] **numbers [13]** 5/23 offence [1] 9/24 80/15 80/16 81/4 82/1 38/12 38/14 134/16 53/9 53/17 17/25 40/2 76/12 offending [3] 108/20 85/24 86/6 86/14 new [18] 14/24 16/18 91/19 157/14 157/15 87/22 88/3 88/19 **None [1]** 74/13 109/9 109/15 21/21 23/3 46/5 47/8 157/16 157/19 157/25 office [50] 7/6 7/8 88/24 89/12 89/14 nonetheless [1] 47/14 58/1 58/11 7/12 7/17 7/18 7/20 90/1 95/12 100/19 168/4 158/1 169/7 169/8 64/10 77/2 77/5 **nor [2]** 66/10 171/22 numeric [3] 70/21 7/22 7/24 19/11 19/17 100/21 100/22 101/16 104/16 105/13 140/17 normal [2] 31/22 70/22 114/6 20/2 20/4 23/17 33/6 101/16 101/22 101/23 143/21 146/15 167/6 39/10 39/12 39/13 102/4 102/5 104/10 117/16 next [31] 2/8 2/10 3/9 43/15 43/21 45/22 104/10 108/6 111/22 normally [1] 123/11 30/14 49/23 49/25 **OBCS [1]** 109/2 112/6 112/7 113/4 not [194] 45/23 63/12 63/14 51/23 51/24 59/17 obligations [2] 43/7 **note [4]** 18/3 94/13 63/19 66/15 87/22 114/12 114/14 114/25 60/12 89/6 92/4 93/18 107/11 123/20 88/6 91/4 91/22 91/22 116/11 116/12 116/20 95/3 98/13 99/21 observation [11] 92/24 93/22 94/6 98/1 116/25 120/18 121/1 **noted [5]** 18/4 29/6 100/10 101/10 103/2 83/25 86/5 86/13 87/3 121/8 121/9 122/19 49/20 92/20 166/18 99/14 106/8 108/8 103/10 104/6 104/23 105/16 111/17 111/24 **nothing [2]** 148/18 108/2 116/14 118/16 109/1 109/22 113/3 123/25 126/11 127/1 119/16 119/17 130/1 114/7 117/11 117/12 129/13 129/20 129/22 155/24 115/6 128/2 141/24 130/7 123/2 123/17 123/20 132/20 133/2 137/10 **notice [3]** 59/13

155/11 155/14 157/14 157/15 157/21 162/1 162/24 164/3 164/22	35/15 42/12 75/7 115/19 124/12 131/12 135/14 140/14 155/19 ordering [1] 115/16 ordinated [5] 137/13 139/18 153/13 153/14 153/20 ordination [1] 153/15 org [1] 97/3 organisational [1] 138/20 organise [1] 3/25 organised [1] 172/8 origin [1] 144/21 original [4] 107/20 113/17 166/20 166/23 originally [2] 133/19	126/10 127/24 128/23 130/9 131/1 131/16 136/23 138/23 140/3 140/9 146/1 147/7 147/20 148/16 148/22	82/5 83/19 84/11 84/24 85/9 85/18 90/10 90/13 90/13 96/20 108/4 110/1	1/18 pagination [2] 166/9 166/9
140/7 143/17 145/6 147/4 149/18 149/19 154/12 154/22 155/6 155/11 155/14 157/14 157/15 157/21 162/1 162/24 164/3 164/22 167/18 168/1 170/6 170/18 170/22 172/22	135/14 140/14 155/19 ordering [1] 115/16 ordinated [5] 137/13 139/18 153/13 153/14 153/20 ordination [1] 153/15 org [1] 97/3 organisational [1] 138/20 organise [1] 3/25 organised [1] 172/8 origin [1] 144/21 original [4] 107/20 113/17 166/20 166/23	126/10 127/24 128/23 130/9 131/1 131/16 136/23 138/23 140/3 140/9 146/1 147/7 147/20 148/16 148/22 157/20 157/20 160/17 169/7 170/18 outage [2] 162/1 162/2 outcomes [1] 134/10 outlet [3] 93/19 113/11 123/7	41/18 44/1 44/2 44/3 44/10 44/10 44/11 47/3 48/4 49/22 49/25 50/8 53/23 53/24 57/6 57/7 57/7 60/3 62/8 70/16 70/19 71/2 73/22 74/6 74/21 77/4 82/5 83/19 84/11 84/24 85/9 85/18 90/10 90/13 90/13 96/20 108/4 110/1	pages 12 [1] 1/12 pages 4 [1] 136/10 pages 65 [2] 1/12 1/18 pagination [2] 166/9 166/9 paid [4] 62/24 64/3 133/12 133/17 paired [1] 4/11 paper [5] 15/25 17/16
147/4 149/18 149/19 154/12 154/22 155/6 155/11 155/14 157/14 157/15 157/21 162/1 162/24 164/3 164/22 167/18 168/1 170/6 170/18 170/22 172/22	ordering [1] 115/16 ordinated [5] 137/13 139/18 153/13 153/14 153/20 ordination [1] 153/15 org [1] 97/3 organisational [1] 138/20 organise [1] 3/25 organised [1] 172/8 origin [1] 144/21 original [4] 107/20 113/17 166/20 166/23	130/9 131/1 131/16 136/23 138/23 140/3 140/9 146/1 147/7 147/20 148/16 148/22 157/20 157/20 160/17 169/7 170/18 outage [2] 162/1 162/2 outcomes [1] 134/10 outlet [3] 93/19 113/11 123/7	44/10 44/10 44/11 47/3 48/4 49/22 49/25 50/8 53/23 53/24 57/6 57/7 57/7 60/3 62/8 70/16 70/19 71/2 73/22 74/6 74/21 77/4 82/5 83/19 84/11 84/24 85/9 85/18 90/10 90/13 90/13 96/20 108/4 110/1	pages 4 [1] 136/10 pages 65 [2] 1/12 1/18 pagination [2] 166/9 166/9 paid [4] 62/24 64/3 133/12 133/17 paired [1] 4/11 paper [5] 15/25 17/16
154/12 154/22 155/6 155/11 155/14 157/14 157/15 157/21 162/1 162/24 164/3 164/22 167/18 168/1 170/6 170/18 170/22 172/22	ordinated [5] 137/13 139/18 153/13 153/14 153/20 ordination [1] 153/15 org [1] 97/3 organisational [1] 138/20 organise [1] 3/25 organised [1] 172/8 origin [1] 144/21 original [4] 107/20 113/17 166/20 166/23	136/23 138/23 140/3 140/9 146/1 147/7 147/20 148/16 148/22 157/20 157/20 160/17 169/7 170/18 outage [2] 162/1 162/2 outcomes [1] 134/10 outlet [3] 93/19 113/11 123/7	47/3 48/4 49/22 49/25 50/8 53/23 53/24 57/6 57/7 57/7 60/3 62/8 70/16 70/19 71/2 73/22 74/6 74/21 77/4 82/5 83/19 84/11 84/24 85/9 85/18 90/10 90/13 90/13 96/20 108/4 110/1	pages 65 [2] 1/12 1/18 pagination [2] 166/9 166/9 paid [4] 62/24 64/3 133/12 133/17 paired [1] 4/11 paper [5] 15/25 17/16
154/12 154/22 155/6 155/11 155/14 157/14 157/15 157/21 162/1 162/24 164/3 164/22 167/18 168/1 170/6 170/18 170/22 172/22	139/18 153/13 153/14 153/20 ordination [1] 153/15 org [1] 97/3 organisational [1] 138/20 organise [1] 3/25 organised [1] 172/8 origin [1] 144/21 original [4] 107/20 113/17 166/20 166/23	140/9 146/1 147/7 147/20 148/16 148/22 157/20 157/20 160/17 169/7 170/18 outage [2] 162/1 162/2 outcomes [1] 134/10 outlet [3] 93/19 113/11 123/7	50/8 53/23 53/24 57/6 57/7 57/7 60/3 62/8 70/16 70/19 71/2 73/22 74/6 74/21 77/4 82/5 83/19 84/11 84/24 85/9 85/18 90/10 90/13 90/13 96/20 108/4 110/1	1/18 pagination [2] 166/9 166/9 paid [4] 62/24 64/3 133/12 133/17 paired [1] 4/11 paper [5] 15/25 17/16
157/15 157/21 162/1 162/24 164/3 164/22 167/18 168/1 170/6 170/18 170/22 172/22	153/20 ordination [1] 153/15 org [1] 97/3 organisational [1] 138/20 organise [1] 3/25 organised [1] 172/8 origin [1] 144/21 original [4] 107/20 113/17 166/20 166/23	147/20 148/16 148/22 157/20 157/20 160/17 169/7 170/18 outage [2] 162/1 162/2 outcomes [1] 134/10 outlet [3] 93/19 113/11 123/7	57/7 57/7 60/3 62/8 70/16 70/19 71/2 73/22 74/6 74/21 77/4 82/5 83/19 84/11 84/24 85/9 85/18 90/10 90/13 90/13 96/20 108/4 110/1	pagination [2] 166/9 166/9 paid [4] 62/24 64/3 133/12 133/17 paired [1] 4/11 paper [5] 15/25 17/16
162/24 164/3 164/22 167/18 168/1 170/6 170/18 170/22 172/22	ordination [1] 153/15 org [1] 97/3 organisational [1] 138/20 organise [1] 3/25 organised [1] 172/8 origin [1] 144/21 original [4] 107/20 113/17 166/20 166/23	157/20 157/20 160/17 169/7 170/18 outage [2] 162/1 162/2 outcomes [1] 134/10 outlet [3] 93/19 113/11 123/7	70/16 70/19 71/2 73/22 74/6 74/21 77/4 82/5 83/19 84/11 84/24 85/9 85/18 90/10 90/13 90/13 96/20 108/4 110/1	166/9 paid [4] 62/24 64/3 133/12 133/17 paired [1] 4/11 paper [5] 15/25 17/16
167/18 168/1 170/6 170/18 170/22 172/22	org [1] 97/3 organisational [1] 138/20 organise [1] 3/25 organised [1] 172/8 origin [1] 144/21 original [4] 107/20 113/17 166/20 166/23	169/7 170/18 outage [2] 162/1 162/2 outcomes [1] 134/10 outlet [3] 93/19 113/11 123/7	73/22 74/6 74/21 77/4 82/5 83/19 84/11 84/24 85/9 85/18 90/10 90/13 90/13 96/20 108/4 110/1	paid [4] 62/24 64/3 133/12 133/17 paired [1] 4/11 paper [5] 15/25 17/16
170/18 170/22 172/22	organisational [1] 138/20 organise [1] 3/25 organised [1] 172/8 origin [1] 144/21 original [4] 107/20 113/17 166/20 166/23	outage [2] 162/1 162/2 outcomes [1] 134/10 outlet [3] 93/19 113/11 123/7	82/5 83/19 84/11 84/24 85/9 85/18 90/10 90/13 90/13 96/20 108/4 110/1	133/12 133/17 paired [1] 4/11 paper [5] 15/25 17/16
	138/20 organise [1] 3/25 organised [1] 172/8 origin [1] 144/21 original [4] 107/20 113/17 166/20 166/23	162/2 outcomes [1] 134/10 outlet [3] 93/19 113/11 123/7	90/10 90/13 90/13 96/20 108/4 110/1	paper [5] 15/25 17/16
	organised [1] 172/8 origin [1] 144/21 original [4] 107/20 113/17 166/20 166/23	outlet [3] 93/19 113/11 123/7	96/20 108/4 110/1	
ongoing [3] 1/0/1	origin [1] 144/21 original [4] 107/20 113/17 166/20 166/23	113/11 123/7		18/6 133/14 143/16
140/7 171/23	original [4] 107/20 113/17 166/20 166/23			
online [1] 30/11	113/17 166/20 166/23	outlets 141 108/13	112/16 112/18 113/8	paper-based [2]
only [21] 5/6 13/21			115/6 115/6 116/23 117/8 118/1 118/1	15/25 17/16
15/21 17/15 20/8		outset [2] 75/14	118/16 119/19 120/22	paragraph [14] 14/21 16/6 16/17 18/1 19/4
20/19 3/14 39/10	152/17	76/17	121/11 122/22 123/22	21/3 24/16 29/5 125/7
52/12 53/9 76/8 79/8		outside [3] 38/15	125/5 126/3 129/24	141/25 145/4 152/15
83/20 89/17 107/9 109/23 125/14 139/11	other [37] 4/12 5/17	61/4 84/9	136/9 151/10 152/15	153/23 165/11
143/7 151/5 164/8	20/22 20/23 21/23	outstanding [1] 63/7	153/22 153/23 155/1	Paragraph 1.1.3 [1]
onwards [1] 153/23	34/14 35/24 44/24	over [55] 2/10 12/23	156/18 157/1 158/7	141/25
opaque [1] 169/6	55/4 74/22 81/13	19/1 19/13 19/24	166/9 167/13 167/14	Paragraph 7.1.1 [1]
open [12] 1/15 57/9	81/22 89/23 111/12	21/14 22/21 22/25	170/8 170/13 170/14	152/15
57/12 57/20 57/21	113/19 116/2 116/16	27/13 29/23 30/25	170/14 176/5	paragraph 7.3 [1] 153/23
58/11 58/14 58/18	120/7 126/14 139/19 139/20 141/4 147/3	31/25 41/1 41/16 44/1 44/9 44/10 56/23 57/6	page 105 [1] 44/11	paragraph 7.4.5 [1]
59/5 59/18 62/1 122/1	148/7 148/10 152/7	60/2 70/17 71/2 72/16		165/11
open' [1] 121/21	153/5 153/13 157/25	73/22 74/21 77/4	page 110 [1] 53/23	paragraph 8 [1]
open-issues [1]	158/15 160/9 161/18	79/20 82/5 83/18	page 114 [1] 57/7	145/4
122/1 opened [1] 106/11	161/18 162/14 163/2	84/11 84/24 85/9	page 118 [1] 62/8	paragraph 8.1.1 [2]
opening [3] 60/11	163/3 165/1	110/1 115/6 116/22	page 137 [1] 85/18	14/21 16/6
61/23 73/7	others [3] 75/19	117/2 117/25 118/15	page 138 [1] 90/10	paragraph 8.1.2 [1]
operate [7] 42/9	109/7 111/16		page 140 [1] 96/20	16/17
42/12 42/25 162/13	otherwise [2] 145/1 151/8	123/22 129/24 129/25 136/3 136/5 139/13	page 144 [1] 106/4 page 147 [1] 112/16	paragraph 8.1.3 [1] 18/1
163/10 163/14 164/24	OTT [1] 98/19	140/7 153/3 155/1	page 15 [1] 152/15	paragraph 8.1.4 [2]
operating [4] 13/9	ought [2] 173/25		page 151 [1] 117/8	19/4 21/3
07/20 102/10 103/0	174/1	171/7 171/24	page 152 [1] 118/1	paragraph 8.2 [1]
operation [4] 42/16 115/20 161/23 163/21	our [12] 4/20 23/3		page 153 [1] 118/16	24/16
operational [2] 71/15	24/25 25/14 39/7		page 154 [1] 119/19	paragraphs [3] 2/14
131/7	104/3 106/4 124/7	32/25 41/22 41/23	page 157 [1] 122/22	148/17 172/14
operations [1]	138/9 142/9 142/10	59/4 59/11 119/17	page 159 [1] 125/5	parallel [1] 9/14
115/16	142/23 out [92] 4/16 5/17	129/1 135/1 135/16 158/7	page 17 [1] 153/22 page 19 [1] 155/1	parameters [2] 56/11 56/16
opinion [5] 9/25 61/2	5/25 14/20 21/16	overly [1] 154/14	page 30 [1] 170/13	part [40] 1/11 1/12
72/16 131/25 158/9	21/20 21/24 22/12	overnight [1] 125/16	page 4 [1] 136/9	1/14 1/15 1/20 1/24
opinions [6] 2/3 2/5 7/14 8/17 8/20 9/14	23/6 24/15 27/12	overview [1] 48/7	page 5 [1] 158/7	2/4 4/8 5/20 8/17 10/7
opportunities [1]	27/25 28/8 28/12	overwhelmed [4]	page 6 [1] 151/10	10/8 15/4 16/24 17/25
137/2	28/16 28/18 29/2 30/4		page 60 [1] 166/9	22/11 23/17 25/2 25/9
opportunity [3] 25/17	30/7 30/21 31/1 31/13		page 65 [1] 1/16	28/4 35/14 38/6 56/5
25/21 65/23	31/15 31/16 31/23 32/9 33/3 33/7 34/2	own [4] 1/21 1/25 68/16 153/18	page 72 [1] 14/13	56/7 58/1 71/13 72/2 74/1 88/3 115/10
opposed [3] 79/12	35/4 36/2 36/4 36/6		page 76 [1] 24/17 page 78 [1] 28/15	120/11 125/21 137/24
139/20 144/15	36/8 36/16 37/10	P	page 80 [1] 29/4	140/2 142/14 149/24
opposite [1] 61/25	37/15 38/9 38/13	package [7] 23/17	page 81 [1] 29/23	153/18 161/12 163/17
option [2] 67/21 67/22	38/17 40/25 43/3 44/9		page 83 [1] 33/5	163/22
or [219]	44/18 48/25 49/22	99/8 107/16 124/18	page 86 [1] 38/19	Part 1 [1] 1/11
oral [2] 8/25 9/19	53/14 53/21 58/13	page [99] 1/16 2/8	page 87 [1] 40/15	part 2 [7] 1/12 1/14
orbiting [1] 138/13	58/17 58/21 59/21	14/13 19/1 19/5 19/13 19/22 19/24 20/5 21/4	page 89 [1] 129/24	1/15 1/20 1/24 2/4
orchestrating [1]	63/22 66/3 66/6 66/8 67/1 68/3 72/20 73/10		page 98 [2] 41/18 47/3	8/17
130/23	74/10 79/15 86/21	24/17 24/19 27/13	pages [10] 1/10 1/12	particular [66] 6/16 6/20 12/23 15/11
order [10] 34/25	92/23 100/6 101/14	28/15 29/4 29/5 29/23		25/10 25/19 39/9
				(63) one particular

P particular... [59] 57/20 57/20 57/22 60/17 61/4 65/16 69/2 70/18 71/11 72/13 73/9 73/13 74/10 75/8 77/24 78/17 78/22 81/3 81/4 81/10 82/4 84/18 87/10 87/16 87/23 88/14 93/2 93/6 95/16 97/4 98/5 98/14 103/17 114/4 114/14 114/19 116/7 118/9 118/12 127/22 128/2 128/19 132/10 133/12 133/18 144/14 147/2 148/14 148/22 149/22 pay [1] 23/19 155/8 155/17 155/25 156/1 157/11 157/18 160/16 161/15 165/6 partitions [1] 42/7 partner [2] 22/1 133/20 partners [5] 132/10 132/21 133/2 135/20 142/24 partnership [2] 140/11 147/3 parts [10] 1/11 1/11 57/4 85/6 136/24 136/25 137/1 137/10 137/12 150/13 **PAS [1]** 171/16 **PAS/BES [1]** 171/16 pass [1] 51/22 passage [1] 33/11 passed [3] 35/17 35/19 42/4 passing [2] 67/13 94/2 past [2] 5/19 46/17 paste [1] 77/23 pasting [1] 77/17 path [1] 99/14 pathway [70] 5/24 7/13 7/15 7/16 7/18 18/1 18/4 18/7 19/10 19/16 19/19 19/20 19/20 20/6 20/9 20/11 20/15 20/18 20/25 22/3 23/8 23/19 29/1 29/12 32/14 35/22 36/1 36/12 37/25 38/6 38/13 43/4 43/6 43/14 43/20 43/23 45/20 48/14 61/17 62/10 62/16 62/20 62/24 62/24 63/1 64/24 66/10 66/13 82/9 93/13 94/6 100/12 106/8 121/25 130/2 130/3 130/23 134/10 134/23 135/5 135/18

135/19 145/13 145/20 149/17 150/21 167/3 146/24 148/5 149/1 158/11 158/15 172/19 Penultimately [1] Pathway's [13] 7/21 19/3 20/14 22/9 28/21 36/8 36/16 38/10 63/7 131/23 134/25 158/17 158/18 Pathway-authored **[2]** 19/20 19/20 Pathway-issued [1] 7/16 pattern [2] 31/1 70/20 patterns [1] 171/24 pause [2] 8/19 117/6 payment [15] 62/20 64/2 71/23 72/4 72/17 80/10 82/22 83/11 83/13 84/14 87/20 128/7 128/14 131/18 147/23 payments [19] 73/10 73/11 73/18 73/20 73/25 74/23 74/24 77/8 101/4 101/9 108/9 108/24 109/6 116/24 123/3 123/10 130/15 146/11 149/11 payments' [1] 77/1 **PDF [2]** 3/1 167/3 **PEAK [33]** 24/20 25/8 104/15 25/23 27/3 29/20 31/1 perhaps [22] 4/13 40/17 44/12 50/5 55/19 57/12 57/17 59/8 60/2 60/5 60/17 61/6 76/15 80/21 80/25 81/4 81/10 82/4 84/6 84/21 84/22 94/12 107/3 110/7 110/25 111/2 116/7 118/18 PEAKs [77] 2/22 3/16 27/12 28/12 28/19 3/20 4/15 4/16 4/19 6/8 6/13 6/19 6/22 20/23 21/7 24/8 25/2 25/5 25/13 26/9 26/15 28/1 29/18 30/1 30/14 67/10 67/21 69/2 31/11 40/13 44/6 44/15 50/1 54/2 54/19 55/5 55/11 55/17 55/24 56/4 56/9 56/21 57/10 57/18 57/21 58/2 58/14 58/18 59/5 60/7 60/20 61/2 61/11 62/1 70/20 70/22 70/24 70/25 72/2 75/9 75/20 76/6 76/24 77/20 80/14 81/20 82/8 83/18 84/13 85/21 85/25 86/14 88/14 89/18 89/21 148/6 149/9 149/9

167/5 167/6 119/19 people [22] 10/23 15/13 15/24 17/9 17/17 17/19 17/20 17/21 53/3 56/4 56/5 61/10 74/19 87/1 129/7 139/17 152/5 152/10 162/9 162/10 162/16 163/4 per [13] 21/17 21/20 31/6 39/13 39/13 81/12 82/11 82/12 83/20 83/21 83/22 171/11 173/4 percentage [1] 76/18 perception [3] 23/2 49/11 146/22 perfect [9] 65/14 65/19 65/22 65/22 65/24 66/2 139/1 140/10 146/15 perform [3] 54/20 120/2 147/13 performance [2] 49/18 146/7 **performed** [6] 4/10 35/8 36/25 55/20 104/25 105/7 **performing [2]** 64/22 5/18 12/3 12/7 12/19 12/22 25/15 52/10 66/16 85/24 86/3 87/9 87/18 87/22 118/21 139/3 156/3 156/9 162/8 167/1 168/4 170/22 period [36] 5/7 8/2 8/5 16/12 22/22 26/3 30/4 30/8 40/25 44/18 48/23 49/2 49/4 51/9 56/12 56/13 56/23 57/2 57/22 59/21 72/21 86/24 123/12 130/2 133/15 136/3 136/6 139/14 147/19 159/8 periods [2] 36/24 146/2 persist [2] 49/2 70/5 **persisted** [6] 18/16 18/23 28/22 53/21 54/16 71/6 persistence [4] 41/19 45/3 146/2 147/15 persistent [2] 35/2 66/8

persisting [2] 62/10 147/22 person [1] 98/15 personally [4] 134/14 134/16 147/1 174/24 perspective [4] 117/1 131/23 162/22 169/24 pertained [1] 42/15 pertinent [2] 25/25 58/19 **phantom** [1] 32/13 phase [7] 8/8 8/11 140/10 140/18 172/20 172/21 172/22 **Phase 2 [2]** 8/8 8/11 **phrase [3]** 76/25 77/11 77/25 phrases [1] 75/22 physical [1] 41/13 **pick [1]** 112/9 picked [2] 84/16 84/17 picking [1] 169/23 pie [1] 82/11 piece [2] 115/12 174/11 pieces [1] 153/12 PinICL [45] 24/20 25/8 25/23 26/21 27/3 29/20 40/17 44/12 50/5 50/22 55/19 57/13 57/17 59/8 60/2 60/5 60/17 61/6 76/15 80/21 80/25 81/4 81/10 82/4 84/6 84/21 84/22 85/2 89/20 94/12 94/24 107/3 107/19 108/21 110/7 118/18 120/12 121/16 122/17 122/22 150/4 150/7 166/13 166/19 168/20 **PinICLs [95]** 2/23 3/16 3/20 4/15 4/16 4/19 6/8 6/14 6/19 6/22 20/22 21/7 24/1 24/5 24/8 24/9 24/16 25/2 25/5 25/13 26/4 26/9 26/15 28/6 29/7 29/10 29/15 29/18 30/1 30/14 31/11 40/13 44/6 44/15 50/1 50/25 53/24 54/1 54/19 54/25 55/5 55/11 55/16 55/24 56/4 56/9 56/21 57/10 pm [5] 96/14 96/16 57/18 57/22 58/2 58/15 58/18 59/5 60/7 POCL [24] 20/12 60/20 61/1 61/12 67/19 70/20 70/22 70/24 70/25 72/2 75/9 75/21 76/6 76/24 77/20 80/14 81/20

82/8 83/18 84/13 85/21 85/25 86/14 88/14 89/18 96/22 114/17 128/22 129/20 148/6 149/8 149/9 149/17 150/21 166/3 166/25 167/1 167/3 167/5 167/6 167/10 place [10] 21/23 65/3 72/16 101/21 109/10 112/21 118/21 129/20 129/22 173/25 placed [3] 18/8 18/14 18/21 placing [1] 136/15 plainly [1] 66/11 plan [6] 21/22 68/4 69/4 69/4 69/4 153/5 planned [1] 22/12 planning [2] 36/21 153/4 **platform** [1] 3/14 players [1] 138/7 please [97] 1/5 1/9 1/15 1/19 2/8 9/5 19/1 19/13 21/14 21/15 22/21 22/25 24/18 26/8 27/13 28/14 29/4 29/23 33/5 33/23 38/19 38/21 41/1 41/18 44/1 44/2 44/4 44/10 46/18 48/3 50/7 53/23 54/18 57/6 60/3 61/19 62/7 62/14 64/23 65/8 70/16 71/2 71/21 72/6 73/4 73/5 74/21 75/6 77/4 77/10 79/25 80/6 80/20 82/5 84/11 84/24 85/9 85/11 85/18 85/20 90/9 90/11 90/16 90/21 94/10 96/20 96/23 97/14 97/15 97/17 108/9 110/1 115/6 116/22 119/19 122/22 127/7 132/4 132/23 134/21 136/9 137/20 140/5 145/8 150/9 151/10 151/11 152/15 153/22 155/13 157/1 158/6 166/8 170/7 170/9 170/13 171/7 pleased [1] 161/15 pleasure [1] 174/19 **plus [1]** 103/5 159/13 159/15 175/13 20/19 20/25 23/2 23/5 23/8 43/17 43/18 46/1 46/8 48/6 49/7 62/16 64/25 108/17 113/25 121/25 134/24 144/23

P	possible [11] 6/5 6/6		24/11 28/16 33/2 37/3	1
POCL [5] 145/13	84/18 101/1 110/6	118/20	45/6	product-at-fault [9]
145/19 147/8 148/5	112/10 122/12 141/16		problems [31] 16/8	29/25 30/15 30/21
158/12	168/19 170/23 170/24		18/18 18/25 27/7	40/23 41/9 44/13
POCL's [6] 43/4	possibly [2] 64/6	pretty [3] 66/1 119/16		44/24 44/25 46/6
49/10 49/11 121/18	153/7	169/3	36/6 41/4 44/7 44/16	production [2] 99/1
121/20 121/22	post [43] 7/6 7/8 7/12		50/13 56/15 66/19	174/22
point [26] 16/17 17/8	7/17 7/18 7/20 7/22	prevalent [3] 44/17	86/9 88/16 99/6 99/7	products [8] 93/14
17/18 45/16 51/21	7/22 7/24 19/11 19/17		116/9 116/11 120/21	108/14 109/2 109/3
57/17 62/1 63/22	20/2 20/4 21/17 23/17		123/2 126/5 126/8	109/4 109/22 109/23
71/17 82/3 92/9 93/18	33/6 43/14 43/21	previewing [1] 118/5	129/16 135/10 135/12	
100/5 105/24 124/18	45/22 45/23 63/12	previous [10] 5/25	137/2 161/11 169/16	professional [1] 2/5
133/13 138/25 140/20	63/14 63/19 66/15	19/5 93/12 102/14	procedural [1] 99/7	profit [4] 130/4 130/6
141/20 142/9 143/4	68/9 69/6 87/22 88/6	112/22 117/20 118/4	procedure [1] 173/25	
146/19 146/24 164/22	91/1 91/4 91/22 91/22			profitable [1] 132/6
166/12 173/2	92/24 94/6 99/14	previously [2] 71/25	118/22 125/1	program [1] 158/17
points [2] 136/6	106/8 109/22 114/7 134/7 136/14 146/1	100/23	proceed [1] 126/25	programme [5] 22/9 132/19 133/1 134/6
145/8	I	price [1] 42/1	proceeding [1] 10/17	1
POL00043744 [1]	163/24 172/9	primary [3] 2/11 3/5 109/3	proceedings [5] 10/10 11/16 11/17	149/2
170/7	Post Office [32] 7/6 7/8 7/12 7/17 7/18		14/8 160/4	programmer [2] 141/10 156/25
poll [3] 37/9 37/16	7/20 7/22 7/24 19/11	principally [2] 7/6 19/9		1
39/15	19/17 20/2 20/4 23/17		process [67] 2/16 3/10 3/24 4/8 5/2 6/11	programmers [1]
polled [7] 37/1 38/1	33/6 43/21 63/12	principle [1] 42/19 principles [1] 14/11	6/14 13/7 13/10 13/13	
38/13 38/25 39/3	63/14 63/19 66/15	principles [1] 14/11 prior [7] 15/19 53/18	13/23 15/5 15/20	progress [2] 27/25 99/23
39/17 39/19	87/22 88/6 91/4 91/22		15/21 15/23 15/25	project [4] 3/12
polling [17] 33/22	91/22 92/24 94/6	92/10	16/1 18/6 18/7 27/25	64/25 131/5 134/17
33/23 34/1 34/4 35/3	99/14 106/8 109/22	priority [3] 97/22	38/6 50/17 51/2 51/3	projected [1] 23/14
35/10 36/14 36/17	114/7 134/7 172/9	99/22 106/7	51/14 52/16 55/20	projects [1] 134/11
36/19 37/19 37/19	Post Office's [4] 7/22		56/8 69/12 76/4 76/18	promised [1] 124/15
38/7 38/10 39/12	68/9 69/6 91/1	133/1 133/4 133/6	77/22 78/11 78/13	promoted [1] 26/10
39/25 173/20 173/24	Post Offices [1]	133/19 134/5 134/7	78/15 78/23 79/7	promotes [1] 53/11
poor [3] 69/18 69/19	21/17	probabilities [1]	79/18 79/23 80/7 81/7	promotional [2]
156/25				
	postmaster [2] 91/7	160/24	81/15 84/9 99/10	16/24 17/1
poorly [1] 43/8	postmaster [2] 91/7		81/15 84/9 99/10 110/8 110/12 110/13	16/24 17/1 prompt [1] 124/23
Pope [4] 97/12 99/25	147/6	160/24 probably [27] 13/19 14/3 15/16 17/24 32/6	110/8 110/12 110/13	prompt [1] 124/23
Pope [4] 97/12 99/25 106/1 113/24		probably [27] 13/19	110/8 110/12 110/13	prompt [1] 124/23 prompted [1] 124/20
Pope [4] 97/12 99/25 106/1 113/24 Pope and [1]	147/6 postmasters [2]	probably [27] 13/19 14/3 15/16 17/24 32/6	110/8 110/12 110/13 110/14 124/22 127/14	prompt [1] 124/23
Pope [4] 97/12 99/25 106/1 113/24 Pope and [1] 106/1	147/6 postmasters [2] 167/14 167/21	probably [27] 13/19 14/3 15/16 17/24 32/6 48/13 53/13 58/19	110/8 110/12 110/13 110/14 124/22 127/14 129/12 132/2 138/4	prompt [1] 124/23 prompted [1] 124/20 proof [2] 160/21
Pope [4] 97/12 99/25 106/1 113/24 Pope and [1] 106/1 popped [2] 149/18	147/6 postmasters [2] 167/14 167/21 potential [2] 129/15	probably [27] 13/19 14/3 15/16 17/24 32/6 48/13 53/13 58/19 64/15 70/10 87/12 87/24 93/1 93/2 102/10 104/1 115/18	110/8 110/12 110/13 110/14 124/22 127/14 129/12 132/2 138/4 138/9 139/7 143/16 143/17 149/1 149/3 149/6 149/21 151/3	prompt [1] 124/23 prompted [1] 124/20 proof [2] 160/21 160/23 proper [6] 64/22 106/21 112/12 149/5
Pope [4] 97/12 99/25 106/1 113/24 Pope and [1] 106/1 popped [2] 149/18 149/19	147/6 postmasters [2] 167/14 167/21 potential [2] 129/15 135/19 pounds' [1] 12/17 power [3] 161/21	probably [27] 13/19 14/3 15/16 17/24 32/6 48/13 53/13 58/19 64/15 70/10 87/12 87/24 93/1 93/2 102/10 104/1 115/18 117/25 126/17 129/21	110/8 110/12 110/13 110/14 124/22 127/14 129/12 132/2 138/4 138/9 139/7 143/16 143/17 149/1 149/3 149/6 149/21 151/3 151/4 152/4 164/14	prompt [1] 124/23 prompted [1] 124/20 proof [2] 160/21 160/23 proper [6] 64/22 106/21 112/12 149/5 152/3 173/9
Pope [4] 97/12 99/25 106/1 113/24 Pope and [1] 106/1 popped [2] 149/18 149/19 populate [1] 3/15	147/6 postmasters [2] 167/14 167/21 potential [2] 129/15 135/19 pounds' [1] 12/17 power [3] 161/21 162/1 162/2	probably [27] 13/19 14/3 15/16 17/24 32/6 48/13 53/13 58/19 64/15 70/10 87/12 87/24 93/1 93/2 102/10 104/1 115/18 117/25 126/17 129/21 133/7 143/10 145/3	110/8 110/12 110/13 110/14 124/22 127/14 129/12 132/2 138/4 138/9 139/7 143/16 143/17 149/1 149/3 149/6 149/21 151/3 151/4 152/4 164/14 170/3 170/4	prompt [1] 124/23 prompted [1] 124/20 proof [2] 160/21 160/23 proper [6] 64/22 106/21 112/12 149/5 152/3 173/9 properly [9] 15/18
Pope [4] 97/12 99/25 106/1 113/24 Pope and [1] 106/1 popped [2] 149/18 149/19 populate [1] 3/15 population [13]	147/6 postmasters [2] 167/14 167/21 potential [2] 129/15 135/19 pounds' [1] 12/17 power [3] 161/21 162/1 162/2 PP [1] 110/6	probably [27] 13/19 14/3 15/16 17/24 32/6 48/13 53/13 58/19 64/15 70/10 87/12 87/24 93/1 93/2 102/10 104/1 115/18 117/25 126/17 129/21 133/7 143/10 145/3 155/11 161/16 163/17	110/8 110/12 110/13 110/14 124/22 127/14 129/12 132/2 138/4 138/9 139/7 143/16 143/17 149/1 149/3 149/6 149/21 151/3 151/4 152/4 164/14 170/3 170/4 processed [2] 80/21	prompt [1] 124/23 prompted [1] 124/20 proof [2] 160/21 160/23 proper [6] 64/22 106/21 112/12 149/5 152/3 173/9 properly [9] 15/18 47/25 50/11 55/1
Pope [4] 97/12 99/25 106/1 113/24 Pope and [1] 106/1 popped [2] 149/18 149/19 populate [1] 3/15 population [13] 24/20 24/24 25/13	147/6 postmasters [2] 167/14 167/21 potential [2] 129/15 135/19 pounds' [1] 12/17 power [3] 161/21 162/1 162/2 PP [1] 110/6 PPs [5] 57/9 61/23	probably [27] 13/19 14/3 15/16 17/24 32/6 48/13 53/13 58/19 64/15 70/10 87/12 87/24 93/1 93/2 102/10 104/1 115/18 117/25 126/17 129/21 133/7 143/10 145/3 155/11 161/16 163/17 170/4	110/8 110/12 110/13 110/14 124/22 127/14 129/12 132/2 138/4 138/9 139/7 143/16 143/17 149/1 149/3 149/6 149/21 151/3 151/4 152/4 164/14 170/3 170/4 processed [2] 80/21 115/13	prompt [1] 124/23 prompted [1] 124/20 proof [2] 160/21 160/23 proper [6] 64/22 106/21 112/12 149/5 152/3 173/9 properly [9] 15/18 47/25 50/11 55/1 147/11 150/24 151/1
Pope [4] 97/12 99/25 106/1 113/24 Pope and [1] 106/1 popped [2] 149/18 149/19 populate [1] 3/15 population [13] 24/20 24/24 25/13 25/24 26/25 40/17	147/6 postmasters [2] 167/14 167/21 potential [2] 129/15 135/19 pounds' [1] 12/17 power [3] 161/21 162/1 162/2 PP [1] 110/6 PPs [5] 57/9 61/23 77/17 80/8 80/14	probably [27] 13/19 14/3 15/16 17/24 32/6 48/13 53/13 58/19 64/15 70/10 87/12 87/24 93/1 93/2 102/10 104/1 115/18 117/25 126/17 129/21 133/7 143/10 145/3 155/11 161/16 163/17 170/4 problem [60] 32/9	110/8 110/12 110/13 110/14 124/22 127/14 129/12 132/2 138/4 138/9 139/7 143/16 143/17 149/1 149/3 149/6 149/21 151/3 151/4 152/4 164/14 170/3 170/4 processed [2] 80/21 115/13 processes [10] 3/3	prompt [1] 124/23 prompted [1] 124/20 proof [2] 160/21 160/23 proper [6] 64/22 106/21 112/12 149/5 152/3 173/9 properly [9] 15/18 47/25 50/11 55/1 147/11 150/24 151/1 153/11 168/19
Pope [4] 97/12 99/25 106/1 113/24 Pope and [1] 106/1 popped [2] 149/18 149/19 populate [1] 3/15 population [13] 24/20 24/24 25/13 25/24 26/25 40/17 44/12 59/18 76/17	147/6 postmasters [2] 167/14 167/21 potential [2] 129/15 135/19 pounds' [1] 12/17 power [3] 161/21 162/1 162/2 PP [1] 110/6 PPs [5] 57/9 61/23 77/17 80/8 80/14 practical [5] 6/7 18/9	probably [27] 13/19 14/3 15/16 17/24 32/6 48/13 53/13 58/19 64/15 70/10 87/12 87/24 93/1 93/2 102/10 104/1 115/18 117/25 126/17 129/21 133/7 143/10 145/3 155/11 161/16 163/17 170/4 problem [60] 32/9 37/7 37/8 40/9 40/24	110/8 110/12 110/13 110/14 124/22 127/14 129/12 132/2 138/4 138/9 139/7 143/16 143/17 149/1 149/3 149/6 149/21 151/3 151/4 152/4 164/14 170/3 170/4 processed [2] 80/21 115/13 processes [10] 3/3 11/7 15/4 64/9 68/5	prompt [1] 124/23 prompted [1] 124/20 proof [2] 160/21 160/23 proper [6] 64/22 106/21 112/12 149/5 152/3 173/9 properly [9] 15/18 47/25 50/11 55/1 147/11 150/24 151/1 153/11 168/19 proportion [2] 5/6
Pope [4] 97/12 99/25 106/1 113/24 Pope and [1] 106/1 popped [2] 149/18 149/19 populate [1] 3/15 population [13] 24/20 24/24 25/13 25/24 26/25 40/17 44/12 59/18 76/17 77/8 78/24 80/12	147/6 postmasters [2] 167/14 167/21 potential [2] 129/15 135/19 pounds' [1] 12/17 power [3] 161/21 162/1 162/2 PP [1] 110/6 PPs [5] 57/9 61/23 77/17 80/8 80/14 practical [5] 6/7 18/9 18/15 18/21 143/8	probably [27] 13/19 14/3 15/16 17/24 32/6 48/13 53/13 58/19 64/15 70/10 87/12 87/24 93/1 93/2 102/10 104/1 115/18 117/25 126/17 129/21 133/7 143/10 145/3 155/11 161/16 163/17 170/4 problem [60] 32/9 37/7 37/8 40/9 40/24 41/11 45/7 45/10	110/8 110/12 110/13 110/14 124/22 127/14 129/12 132/2 138/4 138/9 139/7 143/16 143/17 149/1 149/3 149/6 149/21 151/3 151/4 152/4 164/14 170/3 170/4 processed [2] 80/21 115/13 processes [10] 3/3 11/7 15/4 64/9 68/5 139/17 142/20 143/1	prompt [1] 124/23 prompted [1] 124/20 proof [2] 160/21 160/23 proper [6] 64/22 106/21 112/12 149/5 152/3 173/9 properly [9] 15/18 47/25 50/11 55/1 147/11 150/24 151/1 153/11 168/19 proportion [2] 5/6 82/8
Pope [4] 97/12 99/25 106/1 113/24 Pope and [1] 106/1 popped [2] 149/18 149/19 populate [1] 3/15 population [13] 24/20 24/24 25/13 25/24 26/25 40/17 44/12 59/18 76/17 77/8 78/24 80/12 84/16	147/6 postmasters [2] 167/14 167/21 potential [2] 129/15 135/19 pounds' [1] 12/17 power [3] 161/21 162/1 162/2 PP [1] 110/6 PPs [5] 57/9 61/23 77/17 80/8 80/14 practical [5] 6/7 18/9 18/15 18/21 143/8 practice [10] 47/15	probably [27] 13/19 14/3 15/16 17/24 32/6 48/13 53/13 58/19 64/15 70/10 87/12 87/24 93/1 93/2 102/10 104/1 115/18 117/25 126/17 129/21 133/7 143/10 145/3 155/11 161/16 163/17 170/4 problem [60] 32/9 37/7 37/8 40/9 40/24 41/11 45/7 45/10 45/10 50/20 51/6 51/6	110/8 110/12 110/13 110/14 124/22 127/14 129/12 132/2 138/4 138/9 139/7 143/16 143/17 149/1 149/3 149/6 149/21 151/3 151/4 152/4 164/14 170/3 170/4 processed [2] 80/21 115/13 processes [10] 3/3 11/7 15/4 64/9 68/5 139/17 142/20 143/1 143/25 145/2	prompt [1] 124/23 prompted [1] 124/20 proof [2] 160/21 160/23 proper [6] 64/22 106/21 112/12 149/5 152/3 173/9 properly [9] 15/18 47/25 50/11 55/1 147/11 150/24 151/1 153/11 168/19 proportion [2] 5/6 82/8 prosecuted [2] 160/8
Pope [4] 97/12 99/25 106/1 113/24 Pope and [1] 106/1 popped [2] 149/18 149/19 populate [1] 3/15 population [13] 24/20 24/24 25/13 25/24 26/25 40/17 44/12 59/18 76/17 77/8 78/24 80/12 84/16 populations [1]	147/6 postmasters [2] 167/14 167/21 potential [2] 129/15 135/19 pounds' [1] 12/17 power [3] 161/21 162/1 162/2 PP [1] 110/6 PPs [5] 57/9 61/23 77/17 80/8 80/14 practical [5] 6/7 18/9 18/15 18/21 143/8 practice [10] 47/15 62/18 68/14 69/14	probably [27] 13/19 14/3 15/16 17/24 32/6 48/13 53/13 58/19 64/15 70/10 87/12 87/24 93/1 93/2 102/10 104/1 115/18 117/25 126/17 129/21 133/7 143/10 145/3 155/11 161/16 163/17 170/4 problem [60] 32/9 37/7 37/8 40/9 40/24 41/11 45/7 45/10 45/10 50/20 51/6 51/6 53/21 78/17 86/2 91/8	110/8 110/12 110/13 110/14 124/22 127/14 129/12 132/2 138/4 138/9 139/7 143/16 143/17 149/1 149/3 149/6 149/21 151/3 151/4 152/4 164/14 170/3 170/4 processed [2] 80/21 115/13 processes [10] 3/3 11/7 15/4 64/9 68/5 139/17 142/20 143/1 143/25 145/2 processing [3] 35/9	prompt [1] 124/23 prompted [1] 124/20 proof [2] 160/21 160/23 proper [6] 64/22 106/21 112/12 149/5 152/3 173/9 properly [9] 15/18 47/25 50/11 55/1 147/11 150/24 151/1 153/11 168/19 proportion [2] 5/6 82/8 prosecuted [2] 160/8 160/9
Pope [4] 97/12 99/25 106/1 113/24 Pope and [1] 106/1 popped [2] 149/18 149/19 populate [1] 3/15 population [13] 24/20 24/24 25/13 25/24 26/25 40/17 44/12 59/18 76/17 77/8 78/24 80/12 84/16 populations [1] 76/19	147/6 postmasters [2] 167/14 167/21 potential [2] 129/15 135/19 pounds' [1] 12/17 power [3] 161/21 162/1 162/2 PP [1] 110/6 PPs [5] 57/9 61/23 77/17 80/8 80/14 practical [5] 6/7 18/9 18/15 18/21 143/8 practice [10] 47/15 62/18 68/14 69/14 69/18 69/19 70/3	probably [27] 13/19 14/3 15/16 17/24 32/6 48/13 53/13 58/19 64/15 70/10 87/12 87/24 93/1 93/2 102/10 104/1 115/18 117/25 126/17 129/21 133/7 143/10 145/3 155/11 161/16 163/17 170/4 problem [60] 32/9 37/7 37/8 40/9 40/24 41/11 45/7 45/10 45/10 50/20 51/6 51/6 53/21 78/17 86/2 91/8 93/9 97/11 98/6 98/10	110/8 110/12 110/13 110/14 124/22 127/14 129/12 132/2 138/4 138/9 139/7 143/16 143/17 149/1 149/3 149/6 149/21 151/3 151/4 152/4 164/14 170/3 170/4 processed [2] 80/21 115/13 processes [10] 3/3 11/7 15/4 64/9 68/5 139/17 142/20 143/1 143/25 145/2 processing [3] 35/9 67/14 67/23	prompt [1] 124/23 prompted [1] 124/20 proof [2] 160/21 160/23 proper [6] 64/22 106/21 112/12 149/5 152/3 173/9 properly [9] 15/18 47/25 50/11 55/1 147/11 150/24 151/1 153/11 168/19 proportion [2] 5/6 82/8 prosecuted [2] 160/8 160/9 prosecution [1]
Pope [4] 97/12 99/25 106/1 113/24 Pope and [1] 106/1 popped [2] 149/18 149/19 populate [1] 3/15 population [13] 24/20 24/24 25/13 25/24 26/25 40/17 44/12 59/18 76/17 77/8 78/24 80/12 84/16 populations [1]	147/6 postmasters [2] 167/14 167/21 potential [2] 129/15 135/19 pounds' [1] 12/17 power [3] 161/21 162/1 162/2 PP [1] 110/6 PPs [5] 57/9 61/23 77/17 80/8 80/14 practical [5] 6/7 18/9 18/15 18/21 143/8 practice [10] 47/15 62/18 68/14 69/14 69/18 69/19 70/3 84/23 155/4 155/6	probably [27] 13/19 14/3 15/16 17/24 32/6 48/13 53/13 58/19 64/15 70/10 87/12 87/24 93/1 93/2 102/10 104/1 115/18 117/25 126/17 129/21 133/7 143/10 145/3 155/11 161/16 163/17 170/4 problem [60] 32/9 37/7 37/8 40/9 40/24 41/11 45/7 45/10 45/10 50/20 51/6 51/6 53/21 78/17 86/2 91/8 93/9 97/11 98/6 98/10 99/3 99/9 99/15 100/6	110/8 110/12 110/13 110/14 124/22 127/14 129/12 132/2 138/4 138/9 139/7 143/16 143/17 149/1 149/3 149/6 149/21 151/3 151/4 152/4 164/14 170/3 170/4 processed [2] 80/21 115/13 processes [10] 3/3 11/7 15/4 64/9 68/5 139/17 142/20 143/1 143/25 145/2 processing [3] 35/9 67/14 67/23 produce [3] 13/25	prompt [1] 124/23 prompted [1] 124/20 proof [2] 160/21 160/23 proper [6] 64/22 106/21 112/12 149/5 152/3 173/9 properly [9] 15/18 47/25 50/11 55/1 147/11 150/24 151/1 153/11 168/19 proportion [2] 5/6 82/8 prosecuted [2] 160/8 160/9 prosecution [1] 172/12
Pope [4] 97/12 99/25 106/1 113/24 Pope and [1] 106/1 popped [2] 149/18 149/19 populate [1] 3/15 population [13] 24/20 24/24 25/13 25/24 26/25 40/17 44/12 59/18 76/17 77/8 78/24 80/12 84/16 populations [1] 76/19 portion [3] 40/7 45/17 52/24	147/6 postmasters [2] 167/14 167/21 potential [2] 129/15 135/19 pounds' [1] 12/17 power [3] 161/21 162/1 162/2 PP [1] 110/6 PPs [5] 57/9 61/23 77/17 80/8 80/14 practical [5] 6/7 18/9 18/15 18/21 143/8 practice [10] 47/15 62/18 68/14 69/14 69/18 69/19 70/3 84/23 155/4 155/6 pre [1] 93/21	probably [27] 13/19 14/3 15/16 17/24 32/6 48/13 53/13 58/19 64/15 70/10 87/12 87/24 93/1 93/2 102/10 104/1 115/18 117/25 126/17 129/21 133/7 143/10 145/3 155/11 161/16 163/17 170/4 problem [60] 32/9 37/7 37/8 40/9 40/24 41/11 45/7 45/10 45/10 50/20 51/6 51/6 53/21 78/17 86/2 91/8 93/9 97/11 98/6 98/10 99/3 99/9 99/15 100/6 100/7 105/2 105/20	110/8 110/12 110/13 110/14 124/22 127/14 129/12 132/2 138/4 138/9 139/7 143/16 143/17 149/1 149/3 149/6 149/21 151/3 151/4 152/4 164/14 170/3 170/4 processed [2] 80/21 115/13 processes [10] 3/3 11/7 15/4 64/9 68/5 139/17 142/20 143/1 143/25 145/2 processing [3] 35/9 67/14 67/23 produce [3] 13/25 134/10 140/14	prompt [1] 124/23 prompted [1] 124/20 proof [2] 160/21 160/23 proper [6] 64/22 106/21 112/12 149/5 152/3 173/9 properly [9] 15/18 47/25 50/11 55/1 147/11 150/24 151/1 153/11 168/19 proportion [2] 5/6 82/8 prosecuted [2] 160/8 160/9 prosecution [1] 172/12 prosecutions [1]
Pope [4] 97/12 99/25 106/1 113/24 Pope and [1] 106/1 popped [2] 149/18 149/19 populate [1] 3/15 population [13] 24/20 24/24 25/13 25/24 26/25 40/17 44/12 59/18 76/17 77/8 78/24 80/12 84/16 populations [1] 76/19 portion [3] 40/7 45/17 52/24 posed [2] 32/9 65/18	147/6 postmasters [2] 167/14 167/21 potential [2] 129/15 135/19 pounds' [1] 12/17 power [3] 161/21 162/1 162/2 PP [1] 110/6 PPs [5] 57/9 61/23 77/17 80/8 80/14 practical [5] 6/7 18/9 18/15 18/21 143/8 practice [10] 47/15 62/18 68/14 69/14 69/18 69/19 70/3 84/23 155/4 155/6 pre [1] 93/21 pre-migration [1]	probably [27] 13/19 14/3 15/16 17/24 32/6 48/13 53/13 58/19 64/15 70/10 87/12 87/24 93/1 93/2 102/10 104/1 115/18 117/25 126/17 129/21 133/7 143/10 145/3 155/11 161/16 163/17 170/4 problem [60] 32/9 37/7 37/8 40/9 40/24 41/11 45/7 45/10 45/10 50/20 51/6 51/6 53/21 78/17 86/2 91/8 93/9 97/11 98/6 98/10 99/3 99/9 99/15 100/6 100/7 105/2 105/20 108/12 109/8 113/20	110/8 110/12 110/13 110/14 124/22 127/14 129/12 132/2 138/4 138/9 139/7 143/16 143/17 149/1 149/3 149/6 149/21 151/3 151/4 152/4 164/14 170/3 170/4 processed [2] 80/21 115/13 processes [10] 3/3 11/7 15/4 64/9 68/5 139/17 142/20 143/1 143/25 145/2 processing [3] 35/9 67/14 67/23 produce [3] 13/25 134/10 140/14 produced [4] 9/21	prompt [1] 124/23 prompted [1] 124/20 proof [2] 160/21 160/23 proper [6] 64/22 106/21 112/12 149/5 152/3 173/9 properly [9] 15/18 47/25 50/11 55/1 147/11 150/24 151/1 153/11 168/19 proportion [2] 5/6 82/8 prosecuted [2] 160/8 160/9 prosecution [1] 172/12 prosecutions [1]
Pope [4] 97/12 99/25 106/1 113/24 Pope and [1] 106/1 popped [2] 149/18 149/19 populate [1] 3/15 population [13] 24/20 24/24 25/13 25/24 26/25 40/17 44/12 59/18 76/17 77/8 78/24 80/12 84/16 populations [1] 76/19 portion [3] 40/7 45/17 52/24 posed [2] 32/9 65/18 position [1] 123/21	147/6 postmasters [2] 167/14 167/21 potential [2] 129/15 135/19 pounds' [1] 12/17 power [3] 161/21 162/1 162/2 PP [1] 110/6 PPs [5] 57/9 61/23 77/17 80/8 80/14 practical [5] 6/7 18/9 18/15 18/21 143/8 practice [10] 47/15 62/18 68/14 69/14 69/18 69/19 70/3 84/23 155/4 155/6 pre [1] 93/21 pre-migration [1] 93/21	probably [27] 13/19 14/3 15/16 17/24 32/6 48/13 53/13 58/19 64/15 70/10 87/12 87/24 93/1 93/2 102/10 104/1 115/18 117/25 126/17 129/21 133/7 143/10 145/3 155/11 161/16 163/17 170/4 problem [60] 32/9 37/7 37/8 40/9 40/24 41/11 45/7 45/10 45/10 50/20 51/6 51/6 53/21 78/17 86/2 91/8 93/9 97/11 98/6 98/10 99/3 99/9 99/15 100/6 100/7 105/2 105/20 108/12 109/8 113/20 115/20 116/6 117/5	110/8 110/12 110/13 110/14 124/22 127/14 129/12 132/2 138/4 138/9 139/7 143/16 143/17 149/1 149/3 149/6 149/21 151/3 151/4 152/4 164/14 170/3 170/4 processed [2] 80/21 115/13 processes [10] 3/3 11/7 15/4 64/9 68/5 139/17 142/20 143/1 143/25 145/2 processing [3] 35/9 67/14 67/23 produce [3] 13/25 134/10 140/14 produced [4] 9/21 117/12 136/1 166/19	prompt [1] 124/23 prompted [1] 124/20 proof [2] 160/21 160/23 proper [6] 64/22 106/21 112/12 149/5 152/3 173/9 properly [9] 15/18 47/25 50/11 55/1 147/11 150/24 151/1 153/11 168/19 proportion [2] 5/6 82/8 prosecuted [2] 160/8 160/9 prosecution [1] 172/12 prosecutions [1] 172/23 protect [1] 69/17
Pope [4] 97/12 99/25 106/1 113/24 Pope and [1] 106/1 popped [2] 149/18 149/19 populate [1] 3/15 population [13] 24/20 24/24 25/13 25/24 26/25 40/17 44/12 59/18 76/17 77/8 78/24 80/12 84/16 populations [1] 76/19 portion [3] 40/7 45/17 52/24 posed [2] 32/9 65/18	147/6 postmasters [2] 167/14 167/21 potential [2] 129/15 135/19 pounds' [1] 12/17 power [3] 161/21 162/1 162/2 PP [1] 110/6 PPs [5] 57/9 61/23 77/17 80/8 80/14 practical [5] 6/7 18/9 18/15 18/21 143/8 practice [10] 47/15 62/18 68/14 69/14 69/18 69/19 70/3 84/23 155/4 155/6 pre [1] 93/21 pre-migration [1] 93/21 precedes [1] 27/2	probably [27] 13/19 14/3 15/16 17/24 32/6 48/13 53/13 58/19 64/15 70/10 87/12 87/24 93/1 93/2 102/10 104/1 115/18 117/25 126/17 129/21 133/7 143/10 145/3 155/11 161/16 163/17 170/4 problem [60] 32/9 37/7 37/8 40/9 40/24 41/11 45/7 45/10 45/10 50/20 51/6 51/6 53/21 78/17 86/2 91/8 93/9 97/11 98/6 98/10 99/3 99/9 99/15 100/6 100/7 105/2 105/20 108/12 109/8 113/20 115/20 116/6 117/5 117/6 117/10 118/5	110/8 110/12 110/13 110/14 124/22 127/14 129/12 132/2 138/4 138/9 139/7 143/16 143/17 149/1 149/3 149/6 149/21 151/3 151/4 152/4 164/14 170/3 170/4 processed [2] 80/21 115/13 processes [10] 3/3 11/7 15/4 64/9 68/5 139/17 142/20 143/1 143/25 145/2 processing [3] 35/9 67/14 67/23 produce [3] 13/25 134/10 140/14 produced [4] 9/21 117/12 136/1 166/19 produces [1] 11/15	prompt [1] 124/23 prompted [1] 124/20 proof [2] 160/21 160/23 proper [6] 64/22 106/21 112/12 149/5 152/3 173/9 properly [9] 15/18 47/25 50/11 55/1 147/11 150/24 151/1 153/11 168/19 proportion [2] 5/6 82/8 prosecuted [2] 160/8 160/9 prosecution [1] 172/12 prosecutions [1] 172/23 protect [1] 69/17 prove [2] 9/22 12/5
Pope [4] 97/12 99/25 106/1 113/24 Pope and [1] 106/1 popped [2] 149/18 149/19 populate [1] 3/15 population [13] 24/20 24/24 25/13 25/24 26/25 40/17 44/12 59/18 76/17 77/8 78/24 80/12 84/16 populations [1] 76/19 portion [3] 40/7 45/17 52/24 posed [2] 32/9 65/18 position [1] 123/21 positive [8] 47/9	147/6 postmasters [2] 167/14 167/21 potential [2] 129/15 135/19 pounds' [1] 12/17 power [3] 161/21 162/1 162/2 PP [1] 110/6 PPs [5] 57/9 61/23 77/17 80/8 80/14 practical [5] 6/7 18/9 18/15 18/21 143/8 practice [10] 47/15 62/18 68/14 69/14 69/18 69/19 70/3 84/23 155/4 155/6 pre [1] 93/21 pre-migration [1] 93/21 precedes [1] 27/2 precise [1] 45/24	probably [27] 13/19 14/3 15/16 17/24 32/6 48/13 53/13 58/19 64/15 70/10 87/12 87/24 93/1 93/2 102/10 104/1 115/18 117/25 126/17 129/21 133/7 143/10 145/3 155/11 161/16 163/17 170/4 problem [60] 32/9 37/7 37/8 40/9 40/24 41/11 45/7 45/10 45/10 50/20 51/6 51/6 53/21 78/17 86/2 91/8 93/9 97/11 98/6 98/10 99/3 99/9 99/15 100/6 100/7 105/2 105/20 108/12 109/8 113/20 115/20 116/6 117/5 117/6 117/10 118/5 119/10 121/8 123/6	110/8 110/12 110/13 110/14 124/22 127/14 129/12 132/2 138/4 138/9 139/7 143/16 143/17 149/1 149/3 149/6 149/21 151/3 151/4 152/4 164/14 170/3 170/4 processed [2] 80/21 115/13 processes [10] 3/3 11/7 15/4 64/9 68/5 139/17 142/20 143/1 143/25 145/2 processing [3] 35/9 67/14 67/23 produce [3] 13/25 134/10 140/14 produced [4] 9/21 117/12 136/1 166/19 producing [1] 10/23	prompt [1] 124/23 prompted [1] 124/20 proof [2] 160/21 160/23 proper [6] 64/22 106/21 112/12 149/5 152/3 173/9 properly [9] 15/18 47/25 50/11 55/1 147/11 150/24 151/1 153/11 168/19 proportion [2] 5/6 82/8 prosecuted [2] 160/8 160/9 prosecution [1] 172/12 prosecutions [1] 172/23 protect [1] 69/17 prove [2] 9/22 12/5 provide [9] 13/20
Pope [4] 97/12 99/25 106/1 113/24 Pope and [1] 106/1 popped [2] 149/18 149/19 populate [1] 3/15 population [13] 24/20 24/24 25/13 25/24 26/25 40/17 44/12 59/18 76/17 77/8 78/24 80/12 84/16 populations [1] 76/19 portion [3] 40/7 45/17 52/24 posed [2] 32/9 65/18 position [1] 123/21 positive [8] 47/9 66/13 66/14 90/24 100/20 100/21 103/6 122/19	147/6 postmasters [2] 167/14 167/21 potential [2] 129/15 135/19 pounds' [1] 12/17 power [3] 161/21 162/1 162/2 PP [1] 110/6 PPs [5] 57/9 61/23 77/17 80/8 80/14 practical [5] 6/7 18/9 18/15 18/21 143/8 practice [10] 47/15 62/18 68/14 69/14 69/18 69/19 70/3 84/23 155/4 155/6 pre [1] 93/21 pre-migration [1] 93/21 precedes [1] 27/2 precise [1] 45/24 precision [1] 46/9	probably [27] 13/19 14/3 15/16 17/24 32/6 48/13 53/13 58/19 64/15 70/10 87/12 87/24 93/1 93/2 102/10 104/1 115/18 117/25 126/17 129/21 133/7 143/10 145/3 155/11 161/16 163/17 170/4 problem [60] 32/9 37/7 37/8 40/9 40/24 41/11 45/7 45/10 45/10 50/20 51/6 51/6 53/21 78/17 86/2 91/8 93/9 97/11 98/6 98/10 99/3 99/9 99/15 100/6 100/7 105/2 105/20 108/12 109/8 113/20 115/20 116/6 117/5 117/6 117/10 118/5 119/10 121/8 123/6 124/16 124/19 125/3	110/8 110/12 110/13 110/14 124/22 127/14 129/12 132/2 138/4 138/9 139/7 143/16 143/17 149/1 149/3 149/6 149/21 151/3 151/4 152/4 164/14 170/3 170/4 processed [2] 80/21 115/13 processes [10] 3/3 11/7 15/4 64/9 68/5 139/17 142/20 143/1 143/25 145/2 processing [3] 35/9 67/14 67/23 produce [3] 13/25 134/10 140/14 produced [4] 9/21 117/12 136/1 166/19 producing [1] 10/23 product [24] 16/20	prompt [1] 124/23 prompted [1] 124/20 proof [2] 160/21 160/23 proper [6] 64/22 106/21 112/12 149/5 152/3 173/9 properly [9] 15/18 47/25 50/11 55/1 147/11 150/24 151/1 153/11 168/19 proportion [2] 5/6 82/8 prosecuted [2] 160/8 160/9 prosecution [1] 172/12 prosecutions [1] 172/23 protect [1] 69/17 prove [2] 9/22 12/5 provide [9] 13/20 78/18 81/14 81/18
Pope [4] 97/12 99/25 106/1 113/24 Pope and [1] 106/1 popped [2] 149/18 149/19 populate [1] 3/15 population [13] 24/20 24/24 25/13 25/24 26/25 40/17 44/12 59/18 76/17 77/8 78/24 80/12 84/16 populations [1] 76/19 portion [3] 40/7 45/17 52/24 posed [2] 32/9 65/18 position [1] 123/21 positive [8] 47/9 66/13 66/14 90/24 100/20 100/21 103/6	147/6 postmasters [2] 167/14 167/21 potential [2] 129/15 135/19 pounds' [1] 12/17 power [3] 161/21 162/1 162/2 PP [1] 110/6 PPs [5] 57/9 61/23 77/17 80/8 80/14 practical [5] 6/7 18/9 18/15 18/21 143/8 practice [10] 47/15 62/18 68/14 69/14 69/18 69/19 70/3 84/23 155/4 155/6 pre [1] 93/21 pre-migration [1] 93/21 precedes [1] 27/2 precise [1] 45/24 precision [1] 46/9 premature [1] 125/9	probably [27] 13/19 14/3 15/16 17/24 32/6 48/13 53/13 58/19 64/15 70/10 87/12 87/24 93/1 93/2 102/10 104/1 115/18 117/25 126/17 129/21 133/7 143/10 145/3 155/11 161/16 163/17 170/4 problem [60] 32/9 37/7 37/8 40/9 40/24 41/11 45/7 45/10 45/10 50/20 51/6 51/6 53/21 78/17 86/2 91/8 93/9 97/11 98/6 98/10 99/3 99/9 99/15 100/6 100/7 105/2 105/20 108/12 109/8 113/20 115/20 116/6 117/5 117/6 117/10 118/5 119/10 121/8 123/6 124/16 124/19 125/3 126/2 126/24 126/25	110/8 110/12 110/13 110/14 124/22 127/14 129/12 132/2 138/4 138/9 139/7 143/16 143/17 149/1 149/3 149/6 149/21 151/3 151/4 152/4 164/14 170/3 170/4 processed [2] 80/21 115/13 processes [10] 3/3 11/7 15/4 64/9 68/5 139/17 142/20 143/1 143/25 145/2 processing [3] 35/9 67/14 67/23 produce [3] 13/25 134/10 140/14 produced [4] 9/21 117/12 136/1 166/19 produces [1] 11/15 producing [1] 10/23 product [24] 16/20 29/19 29/25 30/14	prompt [1] 124/23 prompted [1] 124/20 proof [2] 160/21 160/23 proper [6] 64/22 106/21 112/12 149/5 152/3 173/9 properly [9] 15/18 47/25 50/11 55/1 147/11 150/24 151/1 153/11 168/19 proportion [2] 5/6 82/8 prosecuted [2] 160/8 160/9 prosecution [1] 172/12 prosecutions [1] 172/23 protect [1] 69/17 prove [2] 9/22 12/5 provide [9] 13/20 78/18 81/14 81/18 88/5 99/5 138/7 166/3
Pope [4] 97/12 99/25 106/1 113/24 Pope and [1] 106/1 popped [2] 149/18 149/19 populate [1] 3/15 population [13] 24/20 24/24 25/13 25/24 26/25 40/17 44/12 59/18 76/17 77/8 78/24 80/12 84/16 populations [1] 76/19 portion [3] 40/7 45/17 52/24 posed [2] 32/9 65/18 position [1] 123/21 positive [8] 47/9 66/13 66/14 90/24 100/20 100/21 103/6 122/19 possession [1] 25/14 possibilities [1]	147/6 postmasters [2] 167/14 167/21 potential [2] 129/15 135/19 pounds' [1] 12/17 power [3] 161/21 162/1 162/2 PP [1] 110/6 PPs [5] 57/9 61/23 77/17 80/8 80/14 practical [5] 6/7 18/9 18/15 18/21 143/8 practice [10] 47/15 62/18 68/14 69/14 69/18 69/19 70/3 84/23 155/4 155/6 pre [1] 93/21 precedes [1] 27/2 precise [1] 45/24 precision [1] 46/9 premature [1] 125/9 prepared [1] 153/25	probably [27] 13/19 14/3 15/16 17/24 32/6 48/13 53/13 58/19 64/15 70/10 87/12 87/24 93/1 93/2 102/10 104/1 115/18 117/25 126/17 129/21 133/7 143/10 145/3 155/11 161/16 163/17 170/4 problem [60] 32/9 37/7 37/8 40/9 40/24 41/11 45/7 45/10 45/10 50/20 51/6 51/6 53/21 78/17 86/2 91/8 93/9 97/11 98/6 98/10 99/3 99/9 99/15 100/6 100/7 105/2 105/20 108/12 109/8 113/20 115/20 116/6 117/5 117/6 117/10 118/5 119/10 121/8 123/6 124/16 124/19 125/3 126/2 126/24 126/25 127/4 127/11 128/24	110/8 110/12 110/13 110/14 124/22 127/14 129/12 132/2 138/4 138/9 139/7 143/16 143/17 149/1 149/3 149/6 149/21 151/3 151/4 152/4 164/14 170/3 170/4 processed [2] 80/21 115/13 processes [10] 3/3 11/7 15/4 64/9 68/5 139/17 142/20 143/1 143/25 145/2 processing [3] 35/9 67/14 67/23 produce [3] 13/25 134/10 140/14 produced [4] 9/21 117/12 136/1 166/19 produces [1] 11/15 producing [1] 10/23 product [24] 16/20 29/19 29/25 30/14 30/15 30/19 30/21	prompt [1] 124/23 prompted [1] 124/20 proof [2] 160/21 160/23 proper [6] 64/22 106/21 112/12 149/5 152/3 173/9 properly [9] 15/18 47/25 50/11 55/1 147/11 150/24 151/1 153/11 168/19 proportion [2] 5/6 82/8 prosecuted [2] 160/8 160/9 prosecutions [1] 172/12 prosecutions [1] 172/23 protect [1] 69/17 prove [2] 9/22 12/5 provide [9] 13/20 78/18 81/14 81/18 88/5 99/5 138/7 166/3 172/24
Pope [4] 97/12 99/25 106/1 113/24 Pope and [1] 106/1 popped [2] 149/18 149/19 populate [1] 3/15 population [13] 24/20 24/24 25/13 25/24 26/25 40/17 44/12 59/18 76/17 77/8 78/24 80/12 84/16 populations [1] 76/19 portion [3] 40/7 45/17 52/24 posed [2] 32/9 65/18 position [1] 123/21 positive [8] 47/9 66/13 66/14 90/24 100/20 100/21 103/6 122/19 possession [1] 25/14 possibilities [1] 141/21	147/6 postmasters [2] 167/14 167/21 potential [2] 129/15 135/19 pounds' [1] 12/17 power [3] 161/21 162/1 162/2 PP [1] 110/6 PPs [5] 57/9 61/23 77/17 80/8 80/14 practical [5] 6/7 18/9 18/15 18/21 143/8 practice [10] 47/15 62/18 68/14 69/14 69/18 69/19 70/3 84/23 155/4 155/6 pre [1] 93/21 pre-migration [1] 93/21 precedes [1] 27/2 precise [1] 45/24 precision [1] 46/9 premature [1] 125/9 prepared [1] 153/25 preponderance [3]	probably [27] 13/19 14/3 15/16 17/24 32/6 48/13 53/13 58/19 64/15 70/10 87/12 87/24 93/1 93/2 102/10 104/1 115/18 117/25 126/17 129/21 133/7 143/10 145/3 155/11 161/16 163/17 170/4 problem [60] 32/9 37/7 37/8 40/9 40/24 41/11 45/7 45/10 45/10 50/20 51/6 51/6 53/21 78/17 86/2 91/8 93/9 97/11 98/6 98/10 99/3 99/9 99/15 100/6 100/7 105/2 105/20 108/12 109/8 113/20 115/20 116/6 117/5 117/6 117/10 118/5 119/10 121/8 123/6 124/16 124/19 125/3 126/2 126/24 126/25 127/4 127/11 128/24 129/5 136/2 136/5	110/8 110/12 110/13 110/14 124/22 127/14 129/12 132/2 138/4 138/9 139/7 143/16 143/17 149/1 149/3 149/6 149/21 151/3 151/4 152/4 164/14 170/3 170/4 processed [2] 80/21 115/13 processes [10] 3/3 11/7 15/4 64/9 68/5 139/17 142/20 143/1 143/25 145/2 processing [3] 35/9 67/14 67/23 produce [3] 13/25 134/10 140/14 produced [4] 9/21 117/12 136/1 166/19 produces [1] 11/15 producing [1] 10/23 product [24] 16/20 29/19 29/25 30/14 30/15 30/19 30/21 40/18 40/23 41/9	prompt [1] 124/23 prompted [1] 124/20 proof [2] 160/21 160/23 proper [6] 64/22 106/21 112/12 149/5 152/3 173/9 properly [9] 15/18 47/25 50/11 55/1 147/11 150/24 151/1 153/11 168/19 proportion [2] 5/6 82/8 prosecuted [2] 160/8 160/9 prosecutions [1] 172/12 prosecutions [1] 172/23 protect [1] 69/17 prove [2] 9/22 12/5 provide [9] 13/20 78/18 81/14 81/18 88/5 99/5 138/7 166/3 172/24 provided [19] 2/12
Pope [4] 97/12 99/25 106/1 113/24 Pope and [1] 106/1 popped [2] 149/18 149/19 populate [1] 3/15 population [13] 24/20 24/24 25/13 25/24 26/25 40/17 44/12 59/18 76/17 77/8 78/24 80/12 84/16 populations [1] 76/19 portion [3] 40/7 45/17 52/24 posed [2] 32/9 65/18 position [1] 123/21 positive [8] 47/9 66/13 66/14 90/24 100/20 100/21 103/6 122/19 possession [1] 25/14 possibilities [1] 141/21 possibility [7] 31/20	147/6 postmasters [2] 167/14 167/21 potential [2] 129/15 135/19 pounds' [1] 12/17 power [3] 161/21 162/1 162/2 PP [1] 110/6 PPs [5] 57/9 61/23 77/17 80/8 80/14 practical [5] 6/7 18/9 18/15 18/21 143/8 practice [10] 47/15 62/18 68/14 69/14 69/18 69/19 70/3 84/23 155/4 155/6 pre [1] 93/21 pre-migration [1] 93/21 precedes [1] 27/2 precise [1] 45/24 precision [1] 46/9 premature [1] 125/9 prepared [1] 153/25 preponderance [3] 31/12 41/3 160/25	probably [27] 13/19 14/3 15/16 17/24 32/6 48/13 53/13 58/19 64/15 70/10 87/12 87/24 93/1 93/2 102/10 104/1 115/18 117/25 126/17 129/21 133/7 143/10 145/3 155/11 161/16 163/17 170/4 problem [60] 32/9 37/7 37/8 40/9 40/24 41/11 45/7 45/10 45/10 50/20 51/6 51/6 53/21 78/17 86/2 91/8 93/9 97/11 98/6 98/10 99/3 99/9 99/15 100/6 100/7 105/2 105/20 108/12 109/8 113/20 115/20 116/6 117/5 117/6 117/10 118/5 119/10 121/8 123/6 124/16 124/19 125/3 126/2 126/24 126/25 127/4 127/11 128/24 129/5 136/2 136/5 137/11 158/20 168/2	110/8 110/12 110/13 110/14 124/22 127/14 129/12 132/2 138/4 138/9 139/7 143/16 143/17 149/1 149/3 149/6 149/21 151/3 151/4 152/4 164/14 170/3 170/4 processed [2] 80/21 115/13 processes [10] 3/3 11/7 15/4 64/9 68/5 139/17 142/20 143/1 143/25 145/2 processing [3] 35/9 67/14 67/23 produce [3] 13/25 134/10 140/14 produced [4] 9/21 117/12 136/1 166/19 produces [1] 11/15 producing [1] 10/23 product [24] 16/20 29/19 29/25 30/14 30/15 30/19 30/21 40/18 40/23 41/9 44/13 44/24 44/25	prompt [1] 124/23 prompted [1] 124/20 proof [2] 160/21 160/23 proper [6] 64/22 106/21 112/12 149/5 152/3 173/9 properly [9] 15/18 47/25 50/11 55/1 147/11 150/24 151/1 153/11 168/19 proportion [2] 5/6 82/8 prosecuted [2] 160/8 160/9 prosecutions [1] 172/12 prosecutions [1] 172/23 protect [1] 69/17 prove [2] 9/22 12/5 provide [9] 13/20 78/18 81/14 81/18 88/5 99/5 138/7 166/3 172/24 provided [19] 2/12 17/3 20/20 20/25 22/3
Pope [4] 97/12 99/25 106/1 113/24 Pope and [1] 106/1 popped [2] 149/18 149/19 populate [1] 3/15 population [13] 24/20 24/24 25/13 25/24 26/25 40/17 44/12 59/18 76/17 77/8 78/24 80/12 84/16 populations [1] 76/19 portion [3] 40/7 45/17 52/24 posed [2] 32/9 65/18 position [1] 123/21 positive [8] 47/9 66/13 66/14 90/24 100/20 100/21 103/6 122/19 possession [1] 25/14 possibilities [1] 141/21 possibility [7] 31/20 58/11 156/11 162/5	147/6 postmasters [2] 167/14 167/21 potential [2] 129/15 135/19 pounds' [1] 12/17 power [3] 161/21 162/1 162/2 PP [1] 110/6 PPs [5] 57/9 61/23 77/17 80/8 80/14 practical [5] 6/7 18/9 18/15 18/21 143/8 practice [10] 47/15 62/18 68/14 69/14 69/18 69/19 70/3 84/23 155/4 155/6 pre [1] 93/21 pre-migration [1] 93/21 precedes [1] 27/2 precise [1] 45/24 precision [1] 46/9 premature [1] 125/9 prepared [1] 153/25 preponderance [3] 31/12 41/3 160/25 present [1] 70/2	probably [27] 13/19 14/3 15/16 17/24 32/6 48/13 53/13 58/19 64/15 70/10 87/12 87/24 93/1 93/2 102/10 104/1 115/18 117/25 126/17 129/21 133/7 143/10 145/3 155/11 161/16 163/17 170/4 problem [60] 32/9 37/7 37/8 40/9 40/24 41/11 45/7 45/10 45/10 50/20 51/6 51/6 53/21 78/17 86/2 91/8 93/9 97/11 98/6 98/10 99/3 99/9 99/15 100/6 100/7 105/2 105/20 108/12 109/8 113/20 115/20 116/6 117/5 117/6 117/10 118/5 119/10 121/8 123/6 124/16 124/19 125/3 126/2 126/24 126/25 127/4 127/11 128/24 129/5 136/2 136/5 137/11 158/20 168/2 168/7 168/12 169/4	110/8 110/12 110/13 110/14 124/22 127/14 129/12 132/2 138/4 138/9 139/7 143/16 143/17 149/1 149/3 149/6 149/21 151/3 151/4 152/4 164/14 170/3 170/4 processed [2] 80/21 115/13 processes [10] 3/3 11/7 15/4 64/9 68/5 139/17 142/20 143/1 143/25 145/2 processing [3] 35/9 67/14 67/23 produce [3] 13/25 134/10 140/14 produced [4] 9/21 117/12 136/1 166/19 produces [1] 11/15 producing [1] 10/23 product [24] 16/20 29/19 29/25 30/14 30/15 30/19 30/21 40/18 40/23 41/9 44/13 44/24 44/25 45/15 46/6 82/1	prompt [1] 124/23 prompted [1] 124/20 proof [2] 160/21 160/23 proper [6] 64/22 106/21 112/12 149/5 152/3 173/9 properly [9] 15/18 47/25 50/11 55/1 147/11 150/24 151/1 153/11 168/19 proportion [2] 5/6 82/8 prosecuted [2] 160/8 160/9 prosecutions [1] 172/12 prosecutions [1] 172/23 protect [1] 69/17 prove [2] 9/22 12/5 provide [9] 13/20 78/18 81/14 81/18 88/5 99/5 138/7 166/3 172/24 provided [19] 2/12 17/3 20/20 20/25 22/3 43/13 48/10 51/18
Pope [4] 97/12 99/25 106/1 113/24 Pope and [1] 106/1 popped [2] 149/18 149/19 populate [1] 3/15 population [13] 24/20 24/24 25/13 25/24 26/25 40/17 44/12 59/18 76/17 77/8 78/24 80/12 84/16 populations [1] 76/19 portion [3] 40/7 45/17 52/24 posed [2] 32/9 65/18 position [1] 123/21 positive [8] 47/9 66/13 66/14 90/24 100/20 100/21 103/6 122/19 possession [1] 25/14 possibilities [1] 141/21 possibility [7] 31/20	147/6 postmasters [2] 167/14 167/21 potential [2] 129/15 135/19 pounds' [1] 12/17 power [3] 161/21 162/1 162/2 PP [1] 110/6 PPs [5] 57/9 61/23 77/17 80/8 80/14 practical [5] 6/7 18/9 18/15 18/21 143/8 practice [10] 47/15 62/18 68/14 69/14 69/18 69/19 70/3 84/23 155/4 155/6 pre [1] 93/21 pre-migration [1] 93/21 precedes [1] 27/2 precise [1] 45/24 precision [1] 46/9 premature [1] 125/9 prepared [1] 153/25 preponderance [3] 31/12 41/3 160/25 present [1] 70/2 presenting [1] 10/19	probably [27] 13/19 14/3 15/16 17/24 32/6 48/13 53/13 58/19 64/15 70/10 87/12 87/24 93/1 93/2 102/10 104/1 115/18 117/25 126/17 129/21 133/7 143/10 145/3 155/11 161/16 163/17 170/4 problem [60] 32/9 37/7 37/8 40/9 40/24 41/11 45/7 45/10 45/10 50/20 51/6 51/6 53/21 78/17 86/2 91/8 93/9 97/11 98/6 98/10 99/3 99/9 99/15 100/6 100/7 105/2 105/20 108/12 109/8 113/20 115/20 116/6 117/5 117/6 117/10 118/5 119/10 121/8 123/6 124/16 124/19 125/3 126/2 126/24 126/25 127/4 127/11 128/24 129/5 136/2 136/5 137/11 158/20 168/2 168/7 168/12 169/4 169/10 169/12 173/8	110/8 110/12 110/13 110/14 124/22 127/14 129/12 132/2 138/4 138/9 139/7 143/16 143/17 149/1 149/3 149/6 149/21 151/3 151/4 152/4 164/14 170/3 170/4 processed [2] 80/21 115/13 processes [10] 3/3 11/7 15/4 64/9 68/5 139/17 142/20 143/1 143/25 145/2 processing [3] 35/9 67/14 67/23 produce [3] 13/25 134/10 140/14 produced [4] 9/21 117/12 136/1 166/19 produces [1] 11/15 producing [1] 10/23 product [24] 16/20 29/19 29/25 30/14 30/15 30/19 30/21 40/18 40/23 41/9 44/13 44/24 44/25 45/15 46/6 82/1 110/19 140/4 140/14	prompt [1] 124/23 prompted [1] 124/20 proof [2] 160/21 160/23 proper [6] 64/22 106/21 112/12 149/5 152/3 173/9 properly [9] 15/18 47/25 50/11 55/1 147/11 150/24 151/1 153/11 168/19 proportion [2] 5/6 82/8 prosecuted [2] 160/8 160/9 prosecution [1] 172/12 prosecutions [1] 172/23 protect [1] 69/17 prove [2] 9/22 12/5 provide [9] 13/20 78/18 81/14 81/18 88/5 99/5 138/7 166/3 172/24 provided [19] 2/12 17/3 20/20 20/25 22/3 43/13 48/10 51/18 56/18 65/9 66/25 68/1
Pope [4] 97/12 99/25 106/1 113/24 Pope and [1] 106/1 popped [2] 149/18 149/19 populate [1] 3/15 population [13] 24/20 24/24 25/13 25/24 26/25 40/17 44/12 59/18 76/17 77/8 78/24 80/12 84/16 populations [1] 76/19 portion [3] 40/7 45/17 52/24 posed [2] 32/9 65/18 position [1] 123/21 positive [8] 47/9 66/13 66/14 90/24 100/20 100/21 103/6 122/19 possession [1] 25/14 possibilities [1] 141/21 possibility [7] 31/20 58/11 156/11 162/5	147/6 postmasters [2] 167/14 167/21 potential [2] 129/15 135/19 pounds' [1] 12/17 power [3] 161/21 162/1 162/2 PP [1] 110/6 PPs [5] 57/9 61/23 77/17 80/8 80/14 practical [5] 6/7 18/9 18/15 18/21 143/8 practice [10] 47/15 62/18 68/14 69/14 69/18 69/19 70/3 84/23 155/4 155/6 pre [1] 93/21 pre-migration [1] 93/21 precedes [1] 27/2 precise [1] 45/24 precision [1] 46/9 premature [1] 125/9 prepared [1] 153/25 preponderance [3] 31/12 41/3 160/25 present [1] 70/2	probably [27] 13/19 14/3 15/16 17/24 32/6 48/13 53/13 58/19 64/15 70/10 87/12 87/24 93/1 93/2 102/10 104/1 115/18 117/25 126/17 129/21 133/7 143/10 145/3 155/11 161/16 163/17 170/4 problem [60] 32/9 37/7 37/8 40/9 40/24 41/11 45/7 45/10 45/10 50/20 51/6 51/6 53/21 78/17 86/2 91/8 93/9 97/11 98/6 98/10 99/3 99/9 99/15 100/6 100/7 105/2 105/20 108/12 109/8 113/20 115/20 116/6 117/5 117/6 117/10 118/5 119/10 121/8 123/6 124/16 124/19 125/3 126/2 126/24 126/25 127/4 127/11 128/24 129/5 136/2 136/5 137/11 158/20 168/2 168/7 168/12 169/4 169/10 169/12 173/8	110/8 110/12 110/13 110/14 124/22 127/14 129/12 132/2 138/4 138/9 139/7 143/16 143/17 149/1 149/3 149/6 149/21 151/3 151/4 152/4 164/14 170/3 170/4 processed [2] 80/21 115/13 processes [10] 3/3 11/7 15/4 64/9 68/5 139/17 142/20 143/1 143/25 145/2 processing [3] 35/9 67/14 67/23 produce [3] 13/25 134/10 140/14 produced [4] 9/21 117/12 136/1 166/19 produces [1] 11/15 producing [1] 10/23 product [24] 16/20 29/19 29/25 30/14 30/15 30/19 30/21 40/18 40/23 41/9 44/13 44/24 44/25 45/15 46/6 82/1	prompt [1] 124/23 prompted [1] 124/20 proof [2] 160/21 160/23 proper [6] 64/22 106/21 112/12 149/5 152/3 173/9 properly [9] 15/18 47/25 50/11 55/1 147/11 150/24 151/1 153/11 168/19 proportion [2] 5/6 82/8 prosecuted [2] 160/8 160/9 prosecutions [1] 172/12 prosecutions [1] 172/23 protect [1] 69/17 prove [2] 9/22 12/5 provide [9] 13/20 78/18 81/14 81/18 88/5 99/5 138/7 166/3 172/24 provided [19] 2/12 17/3 20/20 20/25 22/3 43/13 48/10 51/18

P 144/10 153/1 158/4 150/2 150/4 150/7 4/25 5/21 17/5 30/19 103/24 104/4 108/4 51/19 62/25 91/13 108/13 108/25 109/1 166/24 150/17 154/5 154/8 provided... [4] 109/2 109/11 109/11 quite [3] 55/16 155/14 158/23 159/1 93/18 93/20 97/8 110/19 113/20 135/24 132/15 141/9 168/18 169/2 170/22 101/11 102/13 103/9 109/20 109/21 109/25 163/2 106/21 111/7 131/15 110/14 110/19 111/3 **quotation** [2] 16/23 173/23 provider [1] 146/21 111/7 111/11 111/15 17/1 **readable [2]** 3/6 131/19 166/13 167/2 providers [1] 34/15 111/20 111/21 111/25 **quoting [1]** 19/15 received/treated [1] 90/12 providing [2] 33/20 readily [1] 47/21 102/13 112/3 115/11 120/23 63/18 recent [2] 23/4 127/6 122/9 122/15 122/16 reading [18] 16/7 **provision** [1] 23/9 **RAD [9]** 152/18 127/20 127/21 143/19 36/25 55/25 56/2 56/8 recognise [1] 68/11 **proximate** [1] 89/6 152/19 152/21 152/24 62/15 65/6 65/12 recognised [6] 18/3 146/2 146/9 147/16 published [1] 16/24 152/25 153/4 153/13 65/15 67/25 86/7 54/15 55/11 55/14 165/12 166/8 170/7 pull [1] 77/20 153/16 153/16 105/4 116/21 118/7 82/9 115/19 reference-data [5] pulled [1] 30/21 radar [1] 133/23 145/10 145/11 150/16 44/16 45/22 45/25 reconcile [1] 108/22 purely [1] 131/11 rails [2] 69/22 69/23 175/2 88/4 88/9 reconciliation [5] purported [1] 37/14 raise [4] 26/21 37/25 readings [1] 77/19 85/22 94/3 95/11 references [1] 111/6 purpose [3] 52/15 97/14 97/17 101/2 174/9 referencing [1] 98/16 ready [1] 107/15 63/17 153/16 raised [19] 25/8 real [5] 26/19 74/7 reconnected [1] referred [5] 1/21 4/17 purposes [10] 3/12 25/11 29/7 29/15 43/3 141/14 143/6 146/8 41/25 148/11 165/10 164/25 3/18 5/5 9/22 11/16 48/23 51/4 55/6 55/18 real-world [1] 143/6 reconstructed [1] referring [13] 23/23 13/10 14/8 63/17 59/10 59/24 85/22 realisation [1] 131/4 37/19 42/5 42/14 127/9 84/17 153/2 86/1 86/2 95/20 record [4] 29/14 55/8 realised [1] 36/7 48/16 48/17 51/5 63/2 push [1] 35/12 109/18 110/4 123/12 realising [1] 95/23 64/23 152/16 63/5 114/3 142/6 put [16] 21/23 62/18 128/20 166/6 166/12 realities [1] 143/8 recorded [26] 13/16 72/12 74/17 80/23 raiser's [1] 107/20 16/14 50/22 74/23 really [27] 34/10 52/6 refers [1] 7/20 93/4 122/16 125/1 raising [1] 24/13 74/24 74/25 74/25 69/14 87/24 88/8 reflect [1] 23/25 153/18 156/16 156/22 ramifications [1] 88/16 89/11 92/11 94/23 94/24 102/8 reflected [1] 145/1 157/22 160/7 169/9 131/8 95/14 104/11 114/23 107/2 107/3 108/16 refresh [3] 39/7 78/8 170/20 173/20 ran [1] 5/3 115/23 120/19 121/10 108/19 110/23 110/24 92/8 puts [1] 21/19 range [4] 17/23 31/24 127/11 133/7 133/8 110/25 112/25 115/21 regard [3] 48/22 putting [3] 9/15 69/8 141/21 148/10 133/17 133/23 133/25 115/22 119/7 119/8 52/20 174/25 152/10 rapid [1] 58/23 140/25 146/17 146/23 122/9 123/10 127/16 regarding [4] 43/7 rapidly [1] 99/24 149/14 158/2 160/1 127/17 59/11 113/25 162/24 rare [1] 141/9 164/18 recording [1] 170/1 regardless [4] 14/9 qualify [2] 117/3 rate [3] 12/20 17/14 reason [13] 5/8 66/2 38/8 66/7 146/25 records [7] 21/15 158/25 21/20 register [1] 169/25 69/21 71/14 114/24 34/23 69/7 100/17 qualitative [2] 80/4 120/5 135/12 135/21 rated [1] 76/8 117/17 123/8 136/18 regression [1] 84/11 rates [2] 21/16 50/5 142/11 144/14 149/14 168/18 recreate [1] 104/21 quality [2] 131/12 rather [8] 2/14 7/6 168/21 173/7 rectified [1] 145/1 regular [3] 99/10 161/6 7/18 69/8 70/3 102/16 reasonable [2] 9/23 rectify [1] 110/9 99/16 106/1 quantitative [1] 80/4 150/13 165/20 160/21 recurrences [1] 87/5 reinforces [1] 24/9 quantitatively [1] re [2] 171/13 173/6 recurrent [1] 158/20 rejection [1] 100/24 reasonably [1] 159/9 80/13 re-examined [2] **RED [6]** 94/2 94/4 reasons [5] 53/6 relate [5] 45/1 56/24 queries [1] 11/8 171/13 173/6 72/19 81/12 95/12 94/11 114/21 116/4 84/4 161/22 168/9 query [3] 40/22 reach [2] 35/4 86/21 129/5 116/12 related [26] 31/8 125/14 127/15 reached [2] 9/16 recalculated [1] redo [1] 124/21 35/25 38/5 40/20 41/4 question [16] 9/3 9/4 49/24 41/13 52/14 54/21 126/23 reduce [6] 21/22 14/4 18/17 48/1 56/23 reaches [1] 34/2 recall [5] 1/5 52/5 100/15 101/14 101/16 55/1 62/11 70/24 79/1 65/18 65/19 95/3 reaction [1] 150/15 94/3 104/3 104/9 101/22 102/5 82/10 88/2 89/21 132/23 139/24 140/5 read [62] 6/12 6/12 89/23 90/21 90/25 receipt [16] 71/23 reduction [2] 102/14 158/6 160/16 172/25 8/16 8/18 9/1 9/7 9/13 72/4 72/17 80/11 102/15 109/7 112/20 118/5 174/2 9/19 16/10 20/22 82/22 83/11 83/13 refer [7] 2/6 27/20 118/10 120/20 122/4 Questioned [6] 1/8 20/23 21/2 22/20 84/15 87/20 108/8 40/12 51/16 78/8 147/22 168/16 159/19 167/13 176/3 23/24 24/1 28/16 29/4 108/24 116/24 128/7 134/22 165/22 relates [4] 41/5 51/5 176/4 176/5 29/9 42/14 43/12 49/4 128/14 147/23 164/5 reference [68] 4/20 71/3 132/9 questions [15] 26/16 52/19 54/4 56/4 59/10 relating [4] 22/7 24/1 receipts [14] 73/10 4/20 33/13 35/13 85/12 85/14 124/12 64/19 67/3 67/14 73/11 73/19 73/20 41/19 41/24 42/5 42/7 70/6 130/25 134/2 134/19 159/20 68/25 69/24 78/4 73/25 74/25 77/8 42/15 43/5 43/7 44/7 relation [6] 23/8 159/25 160/2 160/5 90/17 96/24 101/13 101/4 101/9 109/6 44/13 44/16 44/23 60/23 75/6 120/24 165/13 167/15 174/13 107/7 114/19 114/23 123/2 123/9 146/11 45/1 45/8 45/15 45/22 129/2 161/14 174/14 175/6 114/24 115/1 117/17 relationship [2] 149/11 45/25 47/12 47/17 quick [4] 98/19 103/4 118/13 126/7 127/23 receive [3] 5/14 47/18 80/19 82/16 134/22 135/7 153/16 153/17 133/14 148/18 148/24 103/10 166/25 88/2 88/3 88/4 88/8 relationships [1] quickly [5] 46/15 149/7 149/15 149/24 88/9 98/11 101/1 received [20] 3/19 145/12

rest [7] 19/22 20/5 replaced [1] 49/19 166/23 41/5 41/7 43/12 44/21 R 22/20 90/2 112/12 45/3 45/4 46/7 49/16 replacement [2] requests [8] 171/9 relative [2] 45/14 22/12 138/2 171/11 171/12 172/7 118/13 118/15 50/15 51/22 54/13 59/7 replication [1] 172/8 172/17 173/4 restricted [1] 8/3 54/16 58/3 58/24 relatively [2] 112/7 169/14 61/14 61/17 62/4 62/5 173/5 restructuring [1] 116/25 71/3 81/15 85/7 90/17 report [82] 1/10 1/14 require [4] 139/8 10/16 Relativity [9] 3/13 1/15 1/20 1/24 2/4 2/9 164/14 171/12 173/5 result [6] 74/22 77/5 90/20 91/10 92/5 94/7 3/14 3/16 3/23 4/7 6/1 7/10 7/21 7/23 required [14] 21/20 77/7 80/7 85/22 92/15 95/9 95/16 95/20 96/1 4/23 5/4 6/14 166/9 8/17 9/2 9/9 9/10 9/12 47/24 48/14 50/20 97/11 97/24 98/13 resulted [2] 26/3 relaxations [1] 23/18 14/13 19/3 19/19 20/3 99/2 100/10 110/2 65/20 65/21 67/11 40/22 release [7] 98/19 20/9 20/10 20/11 21/6 95/18 110/20 111/7 resulting [2] 22/19 110/16 114/8 114/11 98/24 99/8 119/14 22/22 28/15 29/13 119/9 134/9 138/21 101/21 119/3 120/11 139/11 127/20 127/21 128/3 33/11 41/17 46/10 140/1 results [6] 11/19 13/3 139/12 141/21 141/23 released [2] 98/17 47/3 48/3 48/8 49/15 13/15 13/25 78/20 142/7 148/11 153/8 requirement [2] 38/5 98/20 49/16 50/7 54/18 59/1 171/18 107/10 155/7 160/14 160/25 releasing [1] 98/24 61/19 62/7 62/13 resurrect [4] 126/8 162/4 162/12 163/8 requirements [11] relevant [7] 5/18 6/20 164/10 173/17 174/13 66/25 67/1 68/2 70/16 15/5 38/6 43/20 97/13 126/14 127/1 127/5 14/16 76/9 80/9 80/10 71/21 72/3 72/23 131/2 138/8 138/8 **retention [1]** 5/18 right-hand [4] 27/20 148/15 73/14 93/19 96/21 138/10 140/18 149/5 retrieve [1] 171/9 41/2 41/3 41/7 reliability [4] 31/17 **return [1]** 175/9 108/17 109/13 109/15 151/5 ring [3] 68/6 95/12 31/18 32/1 32/4 117/5 117/11 117/12 174/6 requires [2] 10/18 returned [2] 78/3 reliably [2] 136/17 129/2 129/24 129/25 10/19 123/21 ring-fence [2] 68/6 146/18 130/9 131/9 134/21 95/12 requiring [1] 60/20 Revaluation [1] reliances [1] 69/19 135/1 136/10 148/1 rereading [1] 110/11 121/7 ringfenced [1] 86/3 relied [6] 28/25 33/21 rerun [1] 126/20 148/20 150/7 152/20 revealed [1] 76/24 ringing [1] 105/24 37/3 37/18 130/11 153/25 154/5 154/6 residual [2] 64/8 65/1 revealing [1] 125/9 rings [1] 106/2 130/22 158/7 162/7 165/16 resistant [1] 17/22 riposte [5] 89/22 **revenue [2]** 131/3 relieved [1] 57/19 166/7 166/7 166/17 **resolution [6]** 56/20 132/21 125/14 125/20 125/21 rely [4] 37/16 42/22 reversal [14] 99/4 173/21 174/16 174/22 60/5 94/14 144/9 127/15 64/9 69/20 99/10 100/1 100/3 175/5 rise [4] 58/24 82/22 147/10 158/19 relying [2] 69/16 resolve [20] 26/9 reported [9] 18/19 100/25 101/20 101/24 83/10 83/11 98/16 64/7 73/10 101/3 38/1 56/10 56/15 102/2 102/14 104/16 risen [1] 21/16 remain [1] 121/21 104/21 105/8 105/12 112/19 113/13 128/8 60/11 60/15 60/17 risk [3] 21/20 23/3 remainder [1] 122/5 128/15 144/10 60/19 60/21 60/25 105/15 remaining [1] 121/15 reporting [3] 10/18 61/3 63/8 66/21 66/24 **Reversal' [1]** 100/24 risk-taking [1] 23/3 remains [1] 23/5 11/8 24/10 83/17 86/8 88/13 reversals [2] 103/3 roaring [1] 118/2 remediate [4] 55/21 reports [56] 3/17 114/22 128/19 144/10 104/18 rock [1] 68/19 87/11 107/25 111/13 5/22 5/24 5/25 6/10 resolved [12] 26/24 reverse [5] 149/23 roll [41] 14/20 21/16 remediation [3] 6/12 6/24 7/11 7/16 53/18 58/16 58/18 149/23 151/18 151/21 21/20 21/24 22/12 26/16 47/24 55/20 10/24 13/3 16/8 16/14 59/19 62/4 81/20 89/2 151/22 27/12 27/25 28/8 remedied [1] 122/21 18/15 18/22 19/2 94/12 106/16 121/22 reversed [1] 100/17 28/12 28/16 28/18 remedies [1] 144/12 20/17 20/19 20/25 157/12 reverted [1] 168/22 30/7 31/1 31/13 31/15 remedy [1] 124/13 21/8 23/24 23/25 28/6 resolving [4] 50/12 review [28] 3/12 3/23 31/16 31/23 32/9 33/3 remember [7] 31/24 4/6 4/24 4/24 5/5 5/14 28/22 29/1 29/10 33/7 36/2 36/4 36/6 53/4 55/13 61/11 35/14 119/23 126/9 31/10 35/21 38/17 6/8 6/10 6/11 6/14 36/16 40/25 44/18 resonate [2] 133/7 154/14 157/2 160/5 48/25 53/21 59/21 40/12 43/25 48/21 156/24 6/24 13/18 24/8 44/6 remembering [1] 49/9 49/23 50/2 52/17 resource [1] 131/24 48/23 49/1 55/15 66/8 118/20 131/1 24/12 52/19 54/1 54/5 55/9 55/22 56/7 60/8 74/9 131/16 136/23 138/23 responded [1] 18/7 remind [8] 3/19 6/5 57/5 63/6 70/17 74/13 responds [1] 97/24 76/23 80/9 81/2 140/3 140/5 140/9 7/4 10/12 41/23 50/17 74/14 93/14 108/8 response [18] 4/17 144/17 145/5 166/14 146/1 147/7 147/20 72/21 117/5 130/12 130/16 132/15 reviewed [6] 6/11 roll-out [37] 14/20 18/18 50/5 80/16 **Reminding [1]** 33/11 80/19 80/24 81/2 135/22 136/8 148/3 55/11 56/7 130/3 21/16 21/20 21/24 remiss [1] 132/14 148/6 148/7 148/11 81/11 81/14 81/21 148/13 168/15 22/12 27/12 27/25 remote [2] 33/24 represent [6] 2/4 82/2 95/18 113/17 reviewer [1] 79/2 28/8 28/12 28/16 34/2 117/25 120/23 121/19 28/18 30/7 31/1 31/13 42/1 60/4 81/9 167/14 reviewing [2] 80/25 removal [1] 172/4 121/19 166/20 31/15 31/16 32/9 33/3 168/2 148/9 remove [1] 21/22 representation [1] responsibility [5] revise [1] 8/16 33/7 36/2 36/4 36/6 remove/reduce [1] 25/12 43/14 52/2 52/23 ridiculous [1] 154/16 36/16 40/25 44/18 21/22 130/19 147/2 rig [1] 125/12 48/25 53/21 59/21 representative [1] removed [2] 170/21 66/8 131/1 136/23 74/14 responsible [4] right [73] 2/12 3/20 171/16 50/19 50/24 53/4 represented [4] 72/4 3/21 5/1 6/7 18/11 138/23 140/3 140/9 **Rems [1]** 105/10 55/13 123/8 149/17 150/18 27/20 29/2 29/10 146/1 147/7 147/20 repeat [1] 132/23 29/16 31/8 32/23 represents [1] 60/14 responsive [3] 31/6 roll-over [1] 118/20 repeating [1] 85/13 34/20 40/13 41/2 41/3 rollover [5] 109/5 48/15 166/22 request [2] 166/20

(67) relative - rollover

R rollover... [4] 123/7 124/20 124/21 124/22 room [3] 65/6 152/6 152/11 root [55] 48/5 69/9 87/4 88/8 89/9 89/12 89/13 89/14 89/21 94/20 94/24 95/3 96/3 106/23 106/24 107/2 107/6 107/23 110/17 110/18 110/23 110/25 satisfaction [1] 111/1 111/2 111/5 111/18 111/19 111/23 satisfied [1] 139/2 111/24 113/23 113/23 114/2 115/5 115/14 116/13 116/14 119/4 119/5 119/7 119/11 119/13 119/17 122/3 122/3 122/5 122/20 125/13 127/13 127/13 127/19 128/23 144/11 145/18 147/8 155/22 rose [1] 28/20 round [2] 64/7 160/16 rounds [3] 79/14 79/16 79/18 route [2] 90/22 93/9 routed [1] 52/4 Routing [2] 99/6 105/17 rowing [1] 135/14 Rule [1] 166/20 Rule 9 [1] 166/20 run [8] 9/13 14/7 58/12 58/21 76/5 132/19 133/1 134/6 run-out [1] 58/21 run.' [1] 131/14 running [3] 23/12 58/17 85/3 runs [3] 1/18 58/3 76/12 S safety [10] 68/13 69/17 69/20 69/20 69/22 69/23 70/1

85/24 95/16 125/1 said [31] 17/15 19/4 21/10 29/12 36/15 38/18 44/1 46/15 47/2 50/2 78/25 81/6 86/6 94/25 95/4 107/7 107/12 107/15 115/1 135/6 137/10 139/15 140/16 142/7 142/19 169/10 169/14 174/2 **sale [1]** 142/9 sales [4] 16/25 71/17 92/9 144/21

Salt [1] 114/25 same [18] 19/22 21/4 49/2 49/6 85/12 101/17 102/5 109/8 109/14 113/8 114/15 119/16 129/5 135/14 150/10 155/21 164/7 167/5 **SAN [1]** 104/10 **satellite [2]** 33/18 34/13 121/23 **satisfies** [1] 140/15 savvy [1] 17/18 saw [8] 20/21 28/5 63/20 86/9 107/4 107/14 146/8 169/3 say [93] 1/18 10/20 11/5 13/5 14/9 15/12 16/11 17/1 17/2 18/20 second [21] 19/14 18/24 23/10 24/16 24/19 26/7 27/9 28/25 30/13 31/25 35/5 37/2 37/9 37/24 40/16 42/21 43/5 43/18 43/24 44/5 44/11 45/13 46/9 46/17 47/4 48/20 49/5 65/5 70/9 70/19 71/10 71/25 74/9 74/22 78/6 78/20 section [38] 2/9 2/19 78/21 79/3 79/3 79/5 79/14 82/19 84/12 89/1 93/7 96/4 96/11 105/25 106/13 107/16 109/17 122/12 122/13 130/8 130/18 130/21 131/20 133/4 133/24 137/11 138/16 138/19 75/8 83/16 84/19 138/25 140/10 140/20 141/7 141/20 141/25 143/4 144/8 145/4 145/17 145/24 148/23 section 10 [1] 33/5 149/7 158/8 158/24 164/19 165/16 169/18 169/21 173/15 174/4 174/23 saying [22] 18/8 18/14 42/13 43/21 47/9 49/10 76/16 78/2 section 16 [1] 54/18 86/1 91/8 91/18 99/17 section 17 [2] 61/19 103/13 104/19 107/14 62/7 118/24 125/19 126/7 127/3 152/11 155/16 163/6 123/12 127/17 132/13 **says [13]** 39/7 44/22 53/25 77/15 93/11 98/3 99/25 105/21 113/8 121/1 123/14 126/12 170/23 scenario [2] 84/2 84/8

scenes [1] 106/9

scheduling [6] 21/19 21/21 22/8 22/9 22/15 22/18 scope [1] 22/11 screen [5] 1/16 128/5 158/5 166/8 173/2 script [1] 51/21 scripts [5] 51/18 163/1 163/2 163/4 163/6 scroll [10] 21/15 29/24 64/23 73/4 79/25 82/5 85/11 123/24 151/11 155/13 search [7] 75/9 77/2 77/5 77/13 78/3 79/11 161/14 128/12 searchable [1] 3/6 128/19 searching [3] 3/2 3/25 75/22 132/5 seat [1] 1/9 20/11 22/6 26/18 26/25 44/20 44/22 50/14 53/15 82/6 86/5 96/22 103/6 115/14 115/23 118/1 121/8 129/14 137/16 151/3 155/6 secondly [3] 1/24 8/1 175/1 2/20 2/20 4/4 6/1 135/17 25/25 28/14 33/5 41/17 46/10 47/2 47/2 48/3 48/8 48/9 50/7 50/9 54/18 54/21 54/24 61/19 62/7 62/13 71/21 72/13 129/2 129/25 134/21 135/1 147/25 150/19 83/4 154/5 170/15 173/20 158/15 section 13 [3] 41/17 46/10 47/2 section 14 [2] 47/2 115/13 48/3 section 15 [2] 50/7 152/5 54/21 section 18 [2] 71/21 147/25 section 7.2 [1] 2/20 section 9 [1] 28/14 116/18 **sections** [5] 21/5 21/11 24/15 41/16 129/23 see [54] 1/3 13/14 20/8 22/6 23/11 23/13 26/12 27/18 30/25 34/2 39/1 40/2 45/24 46/5 46/24 separation [4] 89/12

52/17 54/4 54/8 57/25 89/14 89/17 129/15 58/3 58/6 74/15 81/21 September [10] 84/23 85/13 86/10 21/14 22/6 22/18 92/5 92/22 96/17 22/22 27/11 27/17 103/7 117/25 118/17 48/24 62/2 64/1 120/9 120/22 121/15 131/15 122/23 126/10 126/18 **September 1999 [1]** 129/4 134/8 136/2 22/6 154/4 155/17 155/22 sequence [2] 101/12 156/10 157/8 158/3 117/18 159/16 167/15 168/5 series [5] 5/21 12/23 168/7 168/16 169/5 14/14 24/16 85/12 170/14 173/6 serious [8] 22/7 28/20 40/9 45/6 45/10 seeing [6] 4/15 65/12 71/7 140/17 148/3 70/7 70/14 146/6 serve [5] 99/5 100/2 seek [3] 9/22 23/8 105/10 120/3 120/7 server [3] 124/8 seeking [2] 130/4 171/1 171/4 servers [4] 34/17 37/17 40/8 164/1 seem [7] 28/9 31/19 56/17 85/21 111/4 service [12] 20/12 23/18 34/14 48/6 113/4 114/13 seemed [4] 61/2 48/12 48/13 131/1 144/2 145/18 146/21 108/1 130/12 130/15 seems [20] 9/13 171/10 173/3 45/16 59/6 59/10 session [1] 104/3 set [32] 5/25 12/2 59/14 63/16 101/12 102/12 107/20 114/11 12/3 12/6 12/10 12/11 114/12 115/25 116/20 12/13 12/14 12/16 119/8 121/21 122/13 13/16 24/15 29/2 30/4 125/23 129/4 129/14 32/20 38/17 38/24 38/25 43/3 44/9 49/22 seen [8] 74/20 85/3 66/6 77/6 79/15 93/25 105/22 124/25 104/21 115/14 142/19 148/8 162/25 169/25 148/16 149/4 151/5 154/16 172/5 172/13 select [1] 84/15 **selected [3]** 72/3 set-up [1] 32/20 sets [1] 80/9 80/8 84/13 self [2] 83/4 158/15 settle [1] 101/24 self-describing [1] **settled [3]** 121/3 121/6 122/8 self-inflicted [1] **settlement** [5] 23/14 100/25 101/20 103/22 send [6] 52/11 52/12 108/14 97/16 106/4 108/9 settlements [1] 104/17 sending [5] 52/20 seven [9] 84/14 91/25 106/25 115/11 84/25 85/13 85/16 96/22 112/4 125/17 128/6 128/23 **sends [1]** 38/13 sense [1] 168/13 **Seventh [1]** 144/19 sent [12] 54/10 54/11 several [3] 6/13 54/12 91/22 94/14 76/24 151/16 100/2 102/19 102/21 severity [1] 41/11 108/13 113/5 116/4 shade [1] 41/4 **shall [1]** 106/4 **sentence** [6] 16/5 **shaping [1]** 20/12 37/2 46/14 102/18 **shared [1]** 113/25 132/3 138/19 **shares [1]** 171/3 sentences [2] 19/6 she [2] 95/18 168/2 sheet [1] 114/4 short [6] 29/5 46/22 separate [1] 22/14 64/8 141/19 159/8

	1			
S	97/24 169/1	solution [12] 143/2	sorts [1] 172/9	130/24 134/23 135/8
short [1] 159/14	simple [5] 3/24 12/18		sought [1] 68/11	135/19 140/25 141/6
shortcomings [2]	42/1 51/8 51/10	171/12 171/16 171/20		151/5
145/6 158/10	since [14] 35/1 67/3	173/3 173/6 173/9	sounds [11] 3/21	sporadic [1] 125/17
shorter [1] 108/7	76/17 91/5 106/7	174/3 174/3	68/25 69/24 75/24	spread [1] 137/7
shortfall [3] 23/14	113/3 119/9 122/14	solve [5] 78/17 86/11	81/22 98/10 98/23	spreadsheet [2]
23/20 92/2	122/14 127/3 132/5	86/19 86/20 99/7	99/10 99/14 99/15	109/14 113/25
shortly [1] 155/1	132/14 148/8 158/23	some [78] 4/1 4/14	103/14	 SSC [39] 24/12 26/10
should [41] 18/8	sir [14] 1/3 46/11	5/9 5/13 7/4 8/22 9/20	source [5] 58/13	26/14 26/20 26/21
26/17 29/6 39/7 52/21	46/17 46/24 62/5 96/7	12/3 12/20 15/24	63/13 67/16 68/15	50/11 50/12 50/20
52/22 53/15 53/18	96/13 96/17 159/11	17/17 17/19 17/20	93/6	50/24 52/5 52/13
54/1 64/16 66/1 66/2	159/16 167/12 174/14	17/21 19/1 19/8 19/10	sourced [4] 43/17	52/21 52/24 53/3
68/18 69/20 70/2 70/8	175/8 175/9	22/19 24/5 24/15	43/18 58/15 64/13	53/12 53/19 54/8
70/15 72/24 81/18	sit [1] 159/9	28/22 35/6 36/23	sources [1] 31/10	54/10 54/11 54/13
91/24 92/24 97/9	sits [1] 26/11	36/24 38/15 51/21	sourcing [1] 63/15	54/19 54/25 55/4
103/21 104/7 104/14	sitting [1] 138/16	54/8 55/12 55/25	space [1] 10/16	55/10 55/12 56/15
104/15 111/21 114/17	situation [8] 36/12	56/19 57/17 60/19	speak [6] 7/10 27/17	77/20 81/9 82/3 83/2
115/18 117/7 124/5	47/19 53/7 124/13	62/4 74/11 74/12	57/24 136/11 145/8	86/17 86/20 88/17
125/16 127/1 128/3	128/2 145/14 146/10	75/23 84/22 85/3 92/5	170/8	90/23 92/3 94/5
132/12 141/19 156/14	153/8	92/9 92/25 100/5	speaking [1] 151/23	128/19 147/11 147/11
	situations [1] 153/7	101/6 109/24 120/5	speaks [4] 43/1	stability [1] 158/9
160/3 161/4 161/5	six [10] 2/10 2/15	120/7 124/18 125/1	143/10 143/12 145/3	stable [1] 139/14
174/4	60/18 60/20 85/12	125/24 128/25 129/15		stack [7] 106/4
show [19] 12/7 12/8 12/21 12/24 12/25	128/23 139/2 145/8	131/22 131/22 134/3	174/8	119/21 119/25 120/4
	148/16 154/22	135/20 139/3 139/5	specialty [1] 173/22	120/8 121/5 122/7
13/1 30/7 40/9 45/3	sixthly [1] 144/8	139/6 144/17 145/12	species [1] 5/24	staff [2] 17/3 24/12
58/13 71/5 72/12 72/18 73/1 73/11 74/3	0:-0 [4] /1/11	146/9 146/11 148/9	specific [8] 4/5 32/15	
74/18 94/6 152/13	sizing [1] 171/4	153/24 154/4 155/2	40/23 88/3 88/24	stage [3] 3/5 81/3
	skills [2] 17/4 17/10	157/5 157/23 158/1	111/10 111/19 157/11	
showing [13] 12/16 32/17 32/18 57/18	skip [5] 19/24 41/16	159/23 162/25 164/14	specifically [7] 62/19	stakes [1] 14/10
	91/12 117/2 118/14	165/12 165/16 168/17	71/1 141/2 142/15	stamp [1] 42/2
57/21 60/6 60/16	skipped [1] 129/24	168/21 172/16 173/9	142/16 150/22 166/6	stand [1] 148/22
72/23 73/6 75/23 91/17 91/18 155/16	skipping [1] 70/17	somebody [1] 106/2	specification [1]	standard [3] 4/18
shown [9] 29/20	sky [1] 46/3	someone [11] 38/13	151/14	160/21 160/22
40/13 40/22 40/24	sky-blue [1] 46/3	51/9 51/16 86/21	specifications [2]	standards [1] 35/25
60/2 74/6 74/17 98/7	SLA [5] 38/5 48/22	87/20 92/22 99/6	152/2 164/21	stands [1] 94/4
117/10	49/6 50/4 147/8	115/1 156/7 156/12	spectrum [3] 17/17	start [14] 14/13 24/4
shows [10] 4/22	SLAs [1] 23/2	168/21	88/17 153/14	29/14 53/12 75/13
38/20 41/3 57/9 58/22	slightly [2] 27/14	something [36] 42/1		76/4 80/21 93/17
60/3 70/24 73/18 78/1	71/5	47/2 51/8 53/14 73/16	157/11	119/25 123/25 140/17
92/15		77/14 81/21 84/9	speculating [3]	151/6 157/25 161/19
sic [2] 21/17 146/3	small [4] 32/16 76/8		102/18 102/25 103/20	
side [7] 7/9 7/9 27/20	138/17 152/25		speculation [3] 5/19	106/14 120/4 163/21
41/2 41/3 41/7 162/14	smart [1] 156/7	130/18 135/15 135/23		starting [2] 80/4
sides [1] 11/13	SMC [16] 26/8 26/18	146/17 146/18 149/18		80/19
sign [3] 100/1 102/19	50/10 50/19 51/24	149/19 150/24 152/25		starts [3] 26/21 57/25
154/17	02/1 02/1 02/11 02/12		spend [1] 53/13	125/25
signage [1] 106/25	52/18 52/19 52/20	156/3 157/15 167/24	spending [1] 126/13	state [1] 66/23
significance [7] 27/6	53/7 53/11 55/1	168/9 169/19 172/14	spent [2] 15/17	statement [15] 8/7
43/8 63/3 83/6 83/8	147/10	172/15 174/6 174/7	126/24	15/12 15/13 32/6 56/6
90/3 90/4	so [357]	sometimes [5] 6/13	spike [1] 30/7	56/6 113/21 130/8
significant [15] 10/8	software [20] 21/21	88/1 134/16 158/11	spikes [1] 27/17	154/20 156/9 156/23
16/8 16/14 40/6 40/7	33/13 35/18 37/6 47/8		split [2] 43/14 114/5	156/23 158/1 158/24
45/17 48/22 59/18	47/14 64/10 92/7	somewhere [2] 91/7	SPM [5] 86/1 95/18	159/2
59/24 62/23 81/17	98/19 98/24 98/24	158/3	95/20 95/21 95/23	statements [12] 8/14
82/8 132/20 137/18	107/8 119/9 122/18 136/16 137/7 146/15	sorry [13] 3/22 31/4 40/4 44/2 56/25 69/18	SPMs [5] 16/9 22/2 158/17 158/18 158/21	8/18 9/7 9/12 9/18 10/5 133/13 136/12
171/5	146/20 151/7 161/19	73/23 101/8 103/16	SPMs' [1] 95/22	148/9 148/24 149/15
signified [1] 41/10	sold [2] 109/22	105/5 116/13 150/2	spoken [4] 27/23	168/17
signing [1] 113/20	109/23	172/25	97/12 144/6 145/21	states [5] 10/17
signs [1] 102/9	sole [1] 136/1	sort [9] 12/4 56/19	sponsor [2] 66/15	11/14 110/4 122/17
similar [3] 5/2 30/25	solely [2] 7/15 19/20	61/13 125/24 139/7	140/11	160/24
113/18	solid [1] 68/19	170/20 172/5 172/7	sponsor's [1] 140/15	stating [2] 66/11
Simpkins [3] 97/15	solidified [1] 9/15	173/6	sponsors [8] 66/9	132/13
	2311411134 [1] 0/10			. 32, 10
				(69) short stating

Task [2] 150/5 150/7 143/17 S struggle [1] 143/24 **suite [1]** 151/12 tasks [4] 137/3 137/5 summarise [6] 8/24 **struggling** [1] 22/11 switched [2] 73/25 statistical [1] 171/24 stupidities [1] 92/6 9/8 24/7 79/16 84/21 125/16 137/5 137/6 status [1] 38/2 sub [3] 12/3 97/2 117/1 **sworn [2]** 1/7 176/2 team [14] 2/11 2/17 Stein [3] 159/18 4/23 49/19 86/7 86/22 148/17 **summary [7]** 2/16 **symptom [11]** 84/18 159/19 176/4 8/24 10/12 12/4 24/5 86/9 87/24 87/25 89/4 86/23 86/23 134/25 sub-paragraphs [1] step [14] 13/13 13/14 140/12 142/23 142/23 89/6 102/24 113/18 85/1 136/10 148/17 13/15 13/15 13/17 **supervised** [1] 78/14 116/18 129/8 158/19 166/15 174/24 subcontractor [2] 13/17 13/17 13/17 22/3 22/10 **supplied [1]** 102/12 **symptoms** [5] 71/24 teams [8] 86/15 76/21 78/12 78/13 subject [3] 48/4 **supplier [1]** 142/13 87/12 87/13 87/16 86/16 86/18 152/7 87/9 99/11 111/17 105/22 106/1 **suppliers [3]** 130/24 147/24 152/7 152/7 153/15 stepping [1] 128/6 153/17 submitted [2] 133/14 134/24 135/9 synchronisation [1] steps [1] 110/10 **supply [3]** 108/17 tease [2] 74/10 126/5 166/20 35/16 Steve [10] 93/8 93/11 suboptimal [1] 108/20 142/12 teasing [1] 45/18 system [232] 100/10 101/9 112/17 158/16 **support [26]** 10/22 system's [2] 47/7 technical [26] 26/15 113/14 118/1 123/5 15/3 24/13 48/9 48/18 26/19 32/1 35/24 144/20 subpostmaster [7] 125/11 126/18 48/19 50/15 52/24 50/12 51/25 52/13 9/23 14/19 74/8 75/3 **svstems [13]** 10/9 still [16] 29/7 29/15 53/16 57/5 72/7 138/9 52/24 53/4 53/9 53/9 163/9 164/2 164/8 32/1 34/8 59/8 67/18 46/7 58/14 58/15 139/18 142/21 143/15 68/6 68/9 137/9 138/5 53/12 53/17 53/17 subpostmasters [17] 59/23 64/18 69/24 18/2 18/5 18/19 24/10 143/5 144/23 145/2 143/23 144/1 144/3 74/11 77/12 80/2 93/16 102/18 113/21 32/11 33/2 48/10 147/6 148/19 153/15 160/13 98/22 104/11 131/7 118/21 123/13 123/19 137/17 137/24 138/3 136/17 159/21 162/11 158/16 158/18 160/4 154/2 173/2 162/22 163/14 167/25 171/3 172/23 138/20 164/21 169/23 stock [29] 73/8 75/2 table [24] 19/14 21/6 168/2 169/5 169/17 **supported** [1] 54/5 technically [1] 52/14 75/3 76/25 92/14 29/2 29/8 29/24 30/4 174/4 supporting [3] techniques [3] 67/10 100/14 100/14 100/16 31/3 38/20 38/20 148/15 151/12 161/4 subpostmistresses 152/18 152/24 101/14 109/5 112/6 38/22 44/9 44/19 technologies [2] **[1]** 48/11 supports [4] 42/25 112/24 113/10 113/12 115/15 115/17 115/18 subsequent [2] 68/1 44/25 49/22 53/25 43/25 44/6 142/22 3/12 3/13 57/4 57/11 60/2 61/13 167/3 suppose [4] 27/19 technology [12] 117/15 117/16 117/17 61/21 73/24 79/15 subsequently [1] 45/14 54/8 174/10 15/22 66/4 75/14 117/17 117/22 123/7 80/20 131/22 122/8 supposed [6] 26/8 76/12 137/1 137/6 123/9 123/11 123/15 tackled [1] 137/4 26/20 52/12 67/17 137/6 137/21 138/14 subsided [1] 28/23 123/16 124/19 155/22 tactical [2] 142/4 81/8 154/13 139/16 139/17 139/18 **subsiding [1]** 29/13 **stood [1]** 130/9 142/14 **substance** [1] 21/13 sure [57] 5/11 13/22 telecommunications **stop [1]** 38/10 substantial [1] 123/5 tactically [2] 137/4 13/24 15/17 17/14 **[2]** 33/16 152/8 stopping [1] 105/1 142/2 substantiate [4] 26/13 34/22 38/7 tell [18] 18/1 19/6 store [12] 77/18 take [20] 1/9 1/15 49/24 50/1 149/8 43/12 52/3 63/18 31/5 33/8 33/23 35/20 77/24 101/3 101/5 2/19 21/4 37/8 46/11 67/22 68/19 68/20 49/25 53/20 62/22 149/10 102/9 103/8 105/12 60/25 82/12 96/7 96/9 succeeding [2] 21/5 69/3 69/5 69/15 71/16 63/6 63/25 71/5 82/11 108/21 124/11 125/22 97/15 117/4 128/3 21/11 87/18 89/16 89/19 83/19 129/3 141/11 136/18 171/2 150/13 155/9 157/20 92/14 95/15 104/20 168/14 172/4 success [3] 62/10 stores [1] 77/21 157/20 159/4 162/25 130/22 145/20 104/20 108/5 112/10 telling [5] 39/14 57/8 **story [1]** 7/9 166/24 116/3 116/6 116/18 79/20 102/6 102/22 **successful [4]** 18/13 straight [2] 35/18 taken [5] 60/1 61/24 tells [3] 82/1 132/11 118/24 121/12 125/2 18/22 87/5 137/19 41/17 85/6 110/10 128/10 succession [1] 103/4 126/13 132/6 132/8 165/4 straightforward [3] 133/8 135/23 135/25 takes [4] 61/8 62/2 such [17] 15/11 temptation [1] 74/15 81/6 145/14 82/17 129/12 31/17 31/18 32/15 137/12 138/5 138/11 131/10 strained [1] 145/12 taking [3] 23/3 37/13 38/11 44/15 51/2 138/17 139/10 139/13 ten [1] 159/5 strategic [1] 142/4 105/25 106/5 125/11 139/19 141/23 142/21 75/20 tension [2] 135/18 strategically [3] talk [13] 25/15 26/13 132/7 134/13 137/22 142/24 151/8 152/1 135/19 137/3 142/2 142/7 72/11 73/5 84/2 84/3 138/10 160/11 161/20 153/10 154/17 155/23 tenuous [1] 134/22 strategy [2] 142/11 85/19 87/10 90/15 163/9 156/23 161/2 161/6 term [8] 62/16 63/21 142/12 96/23 141/2 141/9 such' [1] 64/10 **surplus** [1] 74/3 64/9 77/12 90/23 stream [8] 2/21 3/8 sudden [1] 140/17 survey [1] 29/18 142/21 146/13 153/3 154/14 3/11 4/11 5/3 25/1 talked [5] 25/1 78/12 sufficient [2] 162/15 surveyed [5] 24/20 terminology [1] 25/3 91/15 135/21 167/18 169/2 30/13 40/17 44/12 162/16 168/10 struck [1] 135/22 talking [18] 11/6 terms [8] 2/16 7/2 8/1 sufficiently [2] 70/7 70/20 structure [5] 2/22 16/21 31/25 56/13 70/14 **surveying [1]** 24/23 54/25 56/11 57/11 143/23 144/1 144/3 79/19 83/14 87/8 suggest [1] 69/17 suspect [2] 10/6 63/25 81/11 155/7 103/24 104/9 104/12 **suggested [4]** 20/18 32/16 terrible [5] 70/3 **structured [1]** 167/5 116/9 139/12 148/25 suspected [1] 126/1 20/24 127/21 134/3 153/4 154/11 154/11 **structures [3]** 155/8 149/2 150/11 157/3 154/25 suggestion [1] 23/7 swimmingly [1] 155/10 160/12 160/8 172/21 suggests [2] 118/18 28/10 test [4] 47/8 104/19 structuring [1] 85/15 target [1] 6/16 switch [2] 120/5 105/7 107/11 121/16

67/18 68/16 68/17 167/23 169/7 174/5 114/12 119/24 120/25 5/6 5/9 5/22 10/5 Т 68/21 69/17 69/18 121/25 125/19 125/20 11/22 16/23 17/14 theme [4] 6/20 16/11 tested [2] 104/24 69/19 70/3 70/9 71/16 24/9 72/8 125/24 127/4 135/17 19/22 20/5 20/8 24/8 107/9 74/6 76/4 78/1 80/25 themes [4] 6/17 7/14 137/6 138/15 139/6 27/15 28/1 29/1 32/17 testimony [5] 52/5 81/4 81/5 83/9 84/8 140/19 141/18 141/20 86/6 88/19 32/25 41/3 42/17 43/1 119/24 142/19 157/2 43/17 43/21 45/3 47/9 86/7 86/12 88/22 89/1 themselves [6] 13/9 142/11 151/2 153/7 169/2 90/24 90/24 91/3 20/19 44/17 72/10 155/24 155/25 156/5 48/2 49/14 53/12 testing [11] 47/14 91/21 92/10 92/23 86/21 162/11 156/8 157/24 162/1 53/20 54/1 54/5 54/15 47/16 47/22 104/7 93/5 93/6 94/3 94/5 162/14 172/5 172/16 61/10 61/10 61/13 then [132] 2/23 3/3 104/14 107/10 107/14 94/13 95/20 96/1 98/8 12/4 19/13 19/24 20/5 thereby [1] 102/16 66/1 66/2 66/17 67/21 125/12 140/3 140/9 100/3 102/10 102/23 21/13 22/20 22/21 therefore [14] 7/8 68/17 68/25 69/13 168/18 104/3 104/11 108/2 22/24 23/13 24/15 56/2 70/12 80/3 90/12 70/3 71/2 71/12 72/11 text [18] 20/10 83/19 111/22 112/10 113/7 29/8 29/8 36/4 37/21 95/20 100/19 111/2 72/23 73/25 75/25 94/25 107/8 107/9 115/10 116/5 117/3 40/12 41/1 43/3 44/1 126/23 129/21 130/4 78/25 79/8 79/9 79/11 107/11 108/6 110/3 118/11 118/15 119/16 44/3 44/10 49/22 144/7 166/14 166/21 79/25 80/17 81/3 110/17 111/6 112/7 120/6 121/7 121/9 49/24 50/22 57/6 81/11 82/7 85/12 86/6 these [74] 20/19 112/10 116/21 117/3 122/18 125/21 126/1 57/11 58/23 64/4 23/24 23/24 24/8 26/9 86/7 86/11 87/7 90/24 118/19 120/12 121/18 127/11 128/3 129/13 65/12 66/6 67/3 72/22 28/1 36/6 55/21 55/24 91/24 93/8 94/2 94/25 132/15 132/11 134/1 134/16 55/25 58/18 60/25 95/2 95/4 99/1 99/15 75/5 75/6 75/12 77/4 than [30] 2/14 5/17 135/12 137/14 137/23 61/1 61/8 65/6 68/3 78/19 79/10 79/25 100/5 100/7 103/18 7/6 7/18 36/13 36/19 139/9 139/15 139/20 84/22 85/1 85/9 86/17 72/10 72/17 76/8 105/19 105/19 106/13 36/25 60/10 60/15 140/12 141/5 141/9 87/3 90/9 90/18 90/19 76/11 76/13 76/19 106/15 108/1 112/16 60/18 60/20 69/8 70/3 141/14 142/7 142/11 93/7 93/16 94/1 94/8 78/25 81/18 81/19 114/6 114/12 114/17 73/19 73/20 79/19 145/14 149/7 150/10 94/12 95/7 96/3 96/24 82/8 83/9 83/13 85/21 114/22 114/24 117/7 82/2 91/19 102/16 150/20 150/23 153/7 97/15 98/3 99/13 85/25 86/7 86/8 86/9 117/25 120/22 122/23 116/16 124/1 127/6 153/15 154/3 156/24 99/17 99/21 99/25 123/22 125/9 125/10 88/12 88/14 88/16 127/6 138/15 142/12 158/2 159/11 162/13 101/19 102/1 104/15 88/17 88/22 88/24 126/6 126/23 127/18 150/13 153/5 157/25 162/20 165/17 167/20 105/21 105/23 106/6 89/20 90/4 90/5 128/2 132/14 133/10 161/18 172/17 167/24 168/23 173/2 106/11 106/12 106/19 100/16 102/8 108/16 133/11 133/16 136/5 thank [60] 1/4 1/17 107/22 108/23 109/17 109/3 109/4 112/21 136/6 137/14 138/3 174/14 2/8 3/22 4/25 5/21 7/1 142/6 143/10 143/12 theft [1] 9/24 110/9 111/24 113/8 113/5 114/5 120/14 14/12 21/13 28/14 their [45] 4/23 17/1 113/14 114/5 116/13 120/18 121/20 122/2 144/6 145/3 145/21 41/15 43/24 44/19 146/7 147/9 148/2 33/10 35/22 35/25 117/20 118/16 118/16 124/10 125/10 125/18 46/17 46/20 46/25 38/16 40/19 40/23 119/16 120/4 120/22 129/16 129/20 136/12 148/8 152/11 153/5 62/6 71/10 71/21 73/5 49/11 49/19 51/17 121/11 122/1 122/8 137/12 144/11 144/24 153/11 153/19 153/25 79/14 82/5 86/5 90/14 52/2 52/15 53/16 61/4 123/4 123/14 123/22 145/6 145/8 151/18 154/13 155/11 157/17 96/13 96/19 98/12 66/14 86/8 86/11 126/12 127/23 131/21 155/4 157/14 157/25 159/5 159/11 160/5 99/20 105/5 108/3 88/19 94/2 118/20 132/9 132/20 134/6 158/10 158/13 158/19 160/24 161/18 164/12 112/4 116/22 119/19 120/20 121/20 121/22 141/1 141/12 141/23 166/19 171/17 164/13 164/15 164/19 125/6 128/5 129/23 130/24 131/8 132/10 142/23 143/2 148/8 they [184] 165/22 169/13 174/18 129/24 134/1 134/19 132/10 132/12 133/16 149/19 150/9 152/7 they'd [1] 167/24 175/9 136/9 137/16 141/25 134/4 134/23 135/8 153/22 155/1 155/1 they're [12] 53/11 thinking [2] 92/24 143/4 143/13 153/21 77/21 77/22 77/23 135/8 135/20 136/18 155/21 156/12 156/13 151/6 158/5 159/3 159/12 143/7 147/1 147/13 157/4 157/14 158/5 80/2 92/23 100/6 thinks [3] 78/18 166/8 166/11 167/12 147/13 153/18 154/5 162/10 162/14 163/5 120/3 120/5 135/6 79/12 100/8 168/25 170/5 174/13 163/14 163/24 169/6 164/2 165/1 165/2 147/1 172/21 third [12] 24/13 37/2 174/15 174/17 175/7 them [64] 3/1 3/17 166/9 167/23 168/4 48/20 50/14 52/23 they've [8] 93/25 175/8 175/10 175/12 4/10 6/12 6/13 7/5 168/21 171/2 171/14 100/5 102/22 105/19 53/3 54/23 86/13 thanks [5] 170/11 12/3 13/19 13/20 172/5 173/17 175/1 105/19 124/7 125/1 108/3 115/3 121/3 174/20 174/23 174/23 13/21 14/15 14/18 175/5 162/17 156/18 175/7 theoretically [2] 18/3 20/20 24/1 24/6 thing [15] 25/20 thirdly [1] 2/3 that [1245] 24/6 24/7 24/17 30/2 51/24 131/12 27/23 32/15 42/19 this [398] that's [131] 7/17 33/2 49/12 51/19 theory [2] 91/23 47/9 59/6 69/21 70/10 those [87] 1/24 2/15 11/20 12/14 17/14 54/23 59/7 60/10 61/3 114/14 80/12 99/16 117/2 5/23 6/10 6/11 6/25 17/23 19/19 19/23 61/12 61/16 62/4 63/8 there [210] 129/10 138/17 138/25 11/1 14/11 17/25 19/9 20/7 22/15 23/21 76/1 76/2 76/2 76/3 162/24 20/24 21/10 22/25 there's [54] 12/8 24/12 26/15 28/12 79/5 79/6 80/18 82/1 12/21 12/21 13/2 things [18] 32/21 25/6 26/4 26/11 30/4 32/21 35/10 37/12 83/20 84/15 84/16 30/18 31/20 40/4 38/9 54/8 72/20 89/15 31/10 34/23 40/7 44/9 37/13 38/8 40/5 40/7 84/17 85/3 86/11 90/2 41/12 57/15 57/19 119/25 120/1 120/4 47/21 49/1 49/10 40/20 45/14 46/5 46/7 90/12 90/13 99/7 58/10 65/22 65/22 124/7 128/11 129/11 49/13 56/20 57/5 46/16 47/5 48/16 137/8 142/16 146/5 104/11 110/8 120/13 66/2 73/12 74/1 86/16 61/10 62/1 68/8 69/13 48/18 49/15 53/3 53/5 86/17 86/17 87/9 91/8 120/15 127/4 145/7 151/6 170/18 172/9 70/1 71/9 73/9 74/13 59/11 61/6 61/18 152/6 157/17 157/20 91/25 92/2 97/11 76/23 78/4 79/3 80/13 172/22 63/16 63/17 64/14 80/14 80/15 80/17 101/6 106/8 111/12 think [136] 3/19 4/25 157/20 165/24 167/22

Т those... [45] 81/12 84/4 85/14 85/20 85/24 87/13 87/16 90/10 91/14 95/8 102/25 103/18 104/12 109/24 120/24 121/10 126/11 128/6 129/22 130/17 137/11 138/8 138/9 138/13 142/21 142/22 142/25 145/21 146/5 147/25 148/2 148/16 151/6 155/8 157/15 157/16 157/19 160/13 161/17 161/23 166/25 167/1 169/8 172/8 174/13 though [10] 59/13 77/15 98/6 98/10 99/21 102/13 102/15 116/11 130/18 142/14 thought [14] 25/19 25/24 45/21 65/21 72/7 72/9 72/18 73/16 74/16 79/4 81/9 100/1 164/14 175/4 three [18] 73/9 79/14 100/19 112/9 114/10 114/21 115/7 116/2 116/14 120/19 120/24 121/10 121/15 125/7 146/5 151/13 152/5 152/12 through [48] 2/14 4/23 5/3 7/3 8/4 11/7 14/15 23/7 23/10 24/6 24/17 25/13 28/22 34/13 34/13 48/24 55/24 56/8 57/1 57/12 58/3 58/20 59/16 67/13 67/19 67/19 72/11 73/5 75/10 78/10 85/13 85/20 90/15 95/10 96/23 99/13 108/6 128/12 138/8 144/4 144/10 145/10 145/11 146/9 148/8 150/13 150/22 167/18 throughout [17] 4/6 16/12 18/16 18/23 28/12 35/21 49/2 49/4 53/21 57/22 67/9 81/7 84/8 130/2 139/14 145/5 158/14 throwing [1] 37/14 **Thursday [1]** 1/1 ticket [2] 107/24 111/13 tickets [1] 27/1 Tied [1] 4/12 tier [1] 24/13 tiered [1] 51/1

tight [1] 174/22 tightly [1] 76/20 time [50] 3/19 6/23 8/1 8/5 9/4 12/22 12/23 15/23 18/10 31/24 32/8 32/22 36/24 39/9 41/14 46/11 53/14 56/23 58/12 58/23 60/1 60/25 61/8 71/7 83/17 86/24 101/13 109/1 117/4 117/11 118/14 121/14 126/13 126/23 total [9] 92/19 99/17 130/2 132/9 135/15 136/7 138/5 138/25 139/13 140/20 141/19 123/9 146/2 148/3 151/19 159/8 159/23 164/9 165/6 time' [1] 111/3 timed [1] 5/17 timelines [2] 130/14 132/9 |timeliness [1] 112/2 timely [4] 42/24 111/15 144/12 166/3 times [3] 6/13 135/17 154/19 timescale [1] 174/23 timescales [1] 131/13 timetable [1] 166/18 timing [1] 130/25 **TIP [27]** 90/21 90/25 91/1 91/6 91/20 93/13 93/16 97/7 100/2 100/12 101/11 102/12 102/20 102/20 103/3 103/5 103/8 103/9 103/9 106/2 107/1 112/19 113/5 113/5 113/13 113/17 114/2 TIP's [1] 100/24 tired [1] 129/9 titled [1] 112/6 **Tivoli [2]** 98/20 99/8 today [8] 1/13 25/1 80/17 139/1 159/6 159/24 160/2 163/22 together [12] 86/19 90/10 90/12 114/10 114/16 128/10 132/11 139/23 145/15 146/5 153/11 153/19 told [9] 5/9 10/7 32/25 42/17 75/25 87/3 151/20 152/19 172/11 tomorrow [1] 159/9 too [3] 6/22 45/18 46/15 took [13] 2/25 7/3 60/10 60/15 65/3

83/17 83/21 83/22

84/22 101/21 109/10 112/21 133/8 tool [5] 3/23 3/24 4/2 68/10 126/20 tools [4] 64/9 67/10 68/5 68/11 top [4] 29/5 76/23 85/1 117/8 topic [4] 49/3 52/17 62/8 62/12 topics [4] 14/12 14/14 131/2 134/24 100/20 101/4 101/4 101/8 101/9 109/6 totalling [1] 113/13 totals [2] 108/9 108/24 towards [4] 24/18 40/6 128/1 170/13 **TP [1]** 108/17 traced [1] 118/3 track [3] 23/7 23/10 129/7 tracking [2] 46/9 80/21 trading [1] 123/11 trails [1] 172/24 trained [1] 17/9 training [44] 14/19 14/22 14/24 15/11 16/8 16/18 16/19 17/3 triggered [2] 49/6 18/2 18/9 18/21 20/13 21/18 22/2 22/4 22/8 22/9 22/10 22/15 22/18 22/23 23/2 23/9 23/15 23/19 24/1 24/10 24/14 28/7 28/9 79/15 79/16 79/18 137/5 137/22 138/2 143/22 147/6 152/9 158/17 162/7 162/9 162/9 162/15 transacting [3] 67/23 104/7 104/14 transaction [21] 91/15 92/15 98/4 98/9 98/11 101/20 102/3 102/19 103/22 105/8 108/15 108/17 111/19 112/20 113/6 113/12 121/2 163/25 164/3 164/7 171/24 transactions [43] 12/2 12/20 32/13 34/20 34/23 35/7 91/2 91/3 91/19 91/23 92/18 93/20 97/8 100/18 100/20 100/21 100/24 101/16 101/18 101/22 101/24 102/4 102/8 102/14 108/16 108/21 109/10 109/15

113/5 116/5 119/21 121/5 122/7 123/10 123/15 124/10 125/23 transcript [1] 9/12 transcripts [9] 8/10 8/15 8/19 9/7 9/18 10/5 148/10 148/24 168/17 transfer [2] 92/13 95/1 transferred [2] 65/1 112/23 Transfers [1] 92/18 transitioning [1] 18/5 **Translate [1]** 96/25 **translated [2]** 103/5 103/6 transmit [1] 136/18 transparency [4] 10/25 11/2 11/6 13/10 11/11 11/12 11/12 11/13 13/7 treated [1] 102/13 tree [1] 122/23 trend [1] 58/22 triage [1] 51/9 trial [3] 97/2 117/11 117/12 tried [1] 125/1 62/19 triggering [2] 64/2 131/16 tripartite [1] 170/18 trouble [2] 64/20 126/10 troubles [1] 64/18 **troubling [1]** 129/16 true [5] 2/1 2/4 36/8 88/21 155/18 truly [1] 89/14 trust [1] 134/9 truth' [1] 144/24 try [12] 2/22 17/23 56/10 68/8 69/5 72/7 77/20 86/19 86/20 124/23 161/16 169/15 trying [34] 12/2 26/23 43/18 43/23 45/21 60/4 68/5 69/3 69/14 75/11 75/12 77/22 86/7 86/8 86/10 86/11 88/12 98/23 100/6 104/21 115/12 118/14 125/25 126/5 126/13 129/7 135/5 135/6 145/15 157/12 158/3 172/13 172/19 173/1 turn [21] 2/8 14/12 28/14 29/23 33/5 38/14 48/3 50/7 54/18

110/5 110/7 110/13

61/19 62/7 70/16 110/20 110/22 112/21 71/21 83/18 84/11 90/9 108/3 112/4 116/22 134/21 136/9 turnaround [1] 10/16 turned [3] 36/8 38/11 38/14 turning [1] 83/17 twice [2] 102/17 175/5 two [36] 1/11 3/13 5/22 11/5 11/13 22/24 25/24 26/4 26/11 44/3 61/7 62/3 64/6 75/11 80/14 89/13 92/18 100/16 100/20 100/20 100/21 101/15 101/21 102/3 103/3 103/6 104/10 104/12 112/24 114/18 116/9 151/6 155/1 155/5 162/8 174/16 transparent [6] 11/10 type [6] 69/13 114/15 120/7 124/25 129/10 129/17 types [1] 160/13 typically [1] 141/14 typing [1] 157/25

UK [2] 35/24 160/23 **UK's [1]** 136/14 ultimate [1] 88/15 ultimately [3] 43/16 81/7 116/13 umbrella [1] 147/3 **unable [1]** 169/13 uncertainty [1] 47/23 unclear [3] 65/3 111/14 116/1 under [5] 97/13 113/21 123/13 132/19 133/1 underlying [1] 154/1 **undermine** [1] 50/2 undermining [1] 148/15 underneath [5] 29/24 76/13 77/8 83/19 164/21 understand [26] 5/16 54/12 67/25 75/12 82/3 97/4 97/19 97/21 98/8 105/1 110/15 118/13 133/10 133/23 134/15 142/16 142/25 154/18 155/8 155/9 155/12 162/17 165/15 172/1 172/13 173/1 understandable [1] 146/13 understanding [24] 5/13 15/19 28/11 42/6 43/22 51/15 55/17

U understanding... [17] 55/23 61/18 62/15 64/7 67/6 67/8 77/19 94/4 109/21 114/9 116/4 122/10 133/18 133/21 161/2 164/20 165/17 understands [3] understood [1] 22/16 update [3] 47/6 107/8 using [15] 4/1 14/10 undertaken [2] 79/15 144/1 undertaking [1] 8/2 undertook [1] 7/3 undisciplined [1] 152/14 unduly [1] 166/16 unexpected [2] 125/19 162/2 unfolded [1] 175/3 unforeseen [1] 119/5 unfortunately [2] 32/21 99/3 unique [1] 61/3 uniquely [1] 114/7 unit [17] 100/14 109/5 112/6 113/10 113/12 115/15 115/17 115/18 117/15 117/16 117/17 117/18 117/22 123/7 123/11 123/15 124/20 United [2] 10/17 11/14 United States [2] 10/17 11/14 units [3] 92/14 97/3 112/24 unknown [2] 16/2 111/1 unless [6] 7/20 38/13 84/8 141/5 143/10 158/3 unreachable [1] 155/3 unsupervised [1] 78/12 unsure [2] 54/11 66/23 until [10] 45/3 54/13 58/17 61/23 62/2 79/23 133/12 133/17 141/9 175/14 unusual [1] 114/11 up [51] 4/11 12/14 14/10 20/12 27/14 32/20 39/11 51/16 54/13 55/2 58/10 61/23 70/7 75/7 75/21 77/14 78/1 86/1 87/14 91/22 92/15 92/15 95/9 99/12 100/5 User' [1] 24/21

105/24 111/17 111/24 user's [1] 146/19 113/15 115/3 115/13 121/7 125/25 133/12 138/5 149/18 149/19 154/12 155/13 162/20 165/1 166/8 167/8 167/23 167/24 168/11 170/6 170/12 172/5 172/6 174/11 upcoming [1] 119/14 110/14 **updated [3]** 73/1 110/7 113/24 updates [4] 33/14 35/18 37/6 156/8 updating [1] 35/13 **upon [6]** 25/20 42/22 79/2 132/3 144/7 171/23 urgent [1] 117/23 us [61] 3/19 4/2 4/4 5/22 6/5 6/17 6/19 7/3 42/18 7/4 8/25 9/8 10/7 10/12 14/22 18/1 19/6 20/12 20/15 21/25 23/6 31/5 32/25 33/8 33/11 33/23 35/20 39/14 42/18 44/19 46/10 46/24 49/25 50/17 53/20 57/4 57/8 62/22 63/6 63/25 72/5 72/21 73/5 82/11 83/19 85/19 87/3 90/15 92/4 95/7 96/17 96/23 102/6 105/24 106/2 108/6 112/15 141/9 152/19 159/16 165/4 172/2 usability [2] 52/2 169/21 use [19] 9/20 15/2 15/7 15/14 17/20 17/22 21/5 27/8 28/11 39/4 47/12 51/7 56/23 77/1 77/2 126/21 137/23 157/4 162/18 used [18] 7/14 10/2 10/6 10/10 11/16 12/7 12/10 12/12 12/14 12/15 13/11 14/8 22/15 32/1 62/17 99/2 156/9 172/9 useful [1] 84/12 user [28] 15/15 15/18 various [4] 17/4 24/22 26/1 26/1 26/9 26/16 27/7 27/10 28/10 36/11 51/6 51/7 119/24 121/3 121/6 122/6 124/19 124/20 124/23 125/2 143/15 147/6 169/24

users [19] 15/1 15/3 15/6 15/8 15/13 16/18 16/19 17/23 26/19 28/2 30/11 32/11 50/18 137/22 143/16 143/24 144/2 144/4 162/10 uses [3] 93/16 94/5 94/6 29/25 34/12 48/11 51/4 77/23 104/20 143/17 152/17 152/21 152/24 162/1 162/12 163/4 usual' [1] 65/2 usually [5] 34/1 51/17 51/18 52/20 141/15 utilise [1] 33/20 utilised [2] 34/14 vacuum [1] 135/7 validate [1] 106/9 validating [1] 93/18 value [40] 15/7 25/23 29/25 30/1 30/15 30/18 30/20 40/24 44/13 45/15 45/15 46/6 81/8 97/2 97/7 97/8 98/4 100/11 100/15 101/15 101/16 101/17 101/23 101/23 102/4 102/5 102/15 102/16 102/16 102/19 visible [1] 74/6 112/22 112/23 113/6 117/13 117/19 117/22 118/3 121/2 123/17 155/24 values [14] 25/18 25/24 26/4 30/22 40/19 41/9 44/24 44/25 56/22 73/9 80/23 91/13 93/14 157/6 variable [4] 17/10 155/17 155/25 157/23 varied [3] 71/24 84/14 147/24 variety [3] 72/19 128/15 171/25 74/22 84/18 87/2 vendors [1] 132/11 verbatim [6] 19/7 51/10 93/21 95/1 96/4 19/15 21/7 24/5 53/25 90/18 verified [1] 163/25 wanted [14] 2/22 verify [1] 175/3 66/17 75/9 79/21 versions [4] 47/8 47/14 143/22 144/5 80/13 94/13 95/9

versus [1] 77/8 very [63] 1/4 2/17 3/5 5/21 7/1 9/2 11/22 12/17 13/2 15/1 15/8 15/25 17/18 17/21 29/4 40/2 46/17 51/3 51/8 51/10 56/9 58/23 61/2 68/22 75/14 76/20 77/6 81/23 85/3 88/24 96/13 96/19 98/22 108/3 134/1 135/4 137/24 138/4 140/11 140/13 140/13 140/24 141/6 141/19 142/7 143/7 151/4 151/8 152/25 153/9 153/20 154/23 155/1 157/11 157/22 158/2 159/3 159/8 168/3 174/22 175/1 175/7 175/10 via [1] 42/4 viable [1] 153/3 view [23] 7/17 7/21 7/22 9/20 12/18 20/14 20/15 31/12 32/25 44/25 56/11 56/14 60/23 68/10 69/12 70/6 70/12 82/3 113/20 146/19 147/18 152/23 155/4 viewing [1] 3/14 9/11 17/13 19/8 vigilance [3] 138/21 140/1 140/7 Virtually [1] 159/24 vital [1] 43/11 voice [1] 112/12 volume [4] 59/21 139/4 144/2 149/9 volumes [1] 27/14 **VPN [1]** 41/9 vs [1] 77/1 waiting [1] 106/14 walk [2] 108/6 126/6 want [32] 6/15 12/1 12/5 12/20 12/24 12/25 13/1 13/14 13/22 13/23 17/22 34/22 78/21 120/2 137/14 139/3 139/4 139/5 141/1 141/6

136/22 157/25 170/20 wanting [2] 120/5 132/6 wants [2] 11/11 13/8 warn [1] 32/10 Warwick [9] 93/8 93/11 100/10 101/9 112/17 113/14 118/1 123/5 125/11 Warwick's [1] 126/19 was [498] wasn't [16] 32/2 32/3 32/3 38/11 47/18 72/12 89/9 95/13 95/20 111/20 118/25 119/1 133/22 167/6 167/6 168/19 wasted [1] 66/16 waterfall [2] 12/9 13/14 wave [1] 27/10 way [31] 2/23 9/15 12/6 14/5 14/6 47/13 59/16 68/21 70/4 74/17 75/12 85/15 88/13 90/13 96/2 99/11 99/12 105/4 120/11 127/4 137/13 140/8 153/10 155/25 156/17 157/8 160/7 164/8 165/7 174/21 175/4 views [6] 9/2 9/9 9/10 ways [3] 11/5 161/24 162/8 we [231] we'd [1] 79/21 we'll [4] 2/19 7/23 26/13 90/13 we're [31] 8/21 14/14 16/12 16/20 19/7 31/24 59/15 59/15 75/17 75/19 78/1 78/10 78/19 80/22 80/23 83/14 83/15 83/15 89/25 92/3 96/21 116/9 120/16 139/12 140/18 140/20 140/21 142/22 143/1 161/2 166/10 we've [11] 54/21 80/17 85/2 100/7 128/11 149/22 151/20 162/24 168/10 172/6 174/4 **weak [1]** 76/15 weather [1] 161/22 141/8 141/11 141/18 Wednesday [2] 175/9 141/22 142/8 142/9 175/14 142/20 146/17 146/17 week [15] 17/5 17/12 151/9 152/12 154/18 21/17 21/20 27/4 83/21 91/13 93/20 3/11 3/15 56/2 58/13 93/21 97/6 112/21 112/22 112/24 117/20 117/21

W weeks [4] 83/21 83/23 84/3 84/6 weighed [1] 131/24 well [27] 3/16 18/20 27/19 28/10 34/9 35/18 35/24 52/1 59/6 59/17 62/2 84/2 88/1 89/11 93/12 101/7 120/25 126/19 129/10 135/8 151/4 153/19 156/24 167/15 169/16 169/18 174/18 went [12] 17/25 27/1 75/10 98/19 116/15 133/20 146/9 148/5 149/1 150/21 156/12 168/21 were [195] weren't [10] 5/14 5/18 38/25 46/8 85/25 86/10 121/6 122/2 127/10 169/17 what [270] what's [18] 27/6 51/1 61/21 69/12 75/19 80/22 80/23 83/6 83/6 85/2 90/3 119/23 126/1 129/9 138/12 155/3 155/15 172/10 whatever [2] 56/20 135/12 whatnot [1] 3/3 when [62] 8/22 10/20 11/5 14/24 21/6 23/10 24/1 31/22 34/1 34/9 34/9 48/15 52/17 52/19 53/7 53/10 56/11 58/17 63/20 64/19 67/14 73/1 77/17 77/23 78/20 81/21 92/15 93/3 93/25 99/8 104/19 105/22 109/10 112/25 115/12 117/16 125/20 125/24 129/4 132/7 140/20 142/19 145/15 149/11 149/18 151/5 152/19 153/25 155/14 159/23 161/3 162/11 164/25 167/22 169/5 169/11 169/12 170/17 171/17 173/20 173/24 174/16 where [47] 4/20 7/24 20/18 26/4 28/19 29/19 30/15 33/5 35/11 36/12 40/18 41/18 44/13 45/25 47/19 47/21 49/8 50/8 50/18 52/10 58/21 68/17 73/18 84/7 91/3 92/23 97/21 100/25

102/10 102/23 114/12 115/17 125/22 126/25 129/4 134/17 135/17 136/8 141/20 142/14 146/10 153/7 156/3 168/10 169/5 169/8 172/6 whether [26] 9/25 19/14 28/1 41/10 45/21 54/4 70/6 70/7 70/12 74/5 88/15 88/21 106/10 110/7 112/10 122/12 141/2 141/3 146/25 150/9 152/20 159/4 165/19 171/18 172/2 173/1 which [103] 1/20 1/22 1/25 2/5 2/8 8/3 11/13 20/24 24/18 26/18 27/17 29/2 30/23 31/6 34/15 35/13 37/19 48/22 51/8 51/11 54/4 54/8 57/7 61/25 62/3 67/3 67/16 67/17 67/21 68/6 72/23 73/2 75/24 79/23 80/3 80/24 81/8 81/12 82/11 85/12 92/16 95/11 97/4 97/4 wish [4] 71/10 98/10 98/18 98/18 98/19 99/1 103/7 104/21 106/3 107/4 107/12 109/15 109/21 113/19 114/7 114/14 114/18 114/25 116/2 116/4 116/23 117/15 117/22 118/4 119/6 119/20 119/25 122/7 122/11 123/25 124/14 125/22 126/22 127/17 128/11 129/16 129/24 130/7 132/12 132/21 133/2 134/1 134/19 139/7 141/7 152/24 157/13 158/21 159/5 160/21 160/23 161/7 162/14 163/1 167/4 169/3 169/4 173/25 174/1 175/6 while [5] 63/11 96/9 112/12 120/8 122/7 whilst [3] 47/13 110/3 119/20 who [11] 8/7 8/11 11/10 21/25 26/14 51/24 53/3 61/11 143/24 172/18 173/21 whole [4] 120/1 138/17 139/14 157/6 whose [2] 38/1 92/19 why [37] 5/10 5/16 38/8 45/18 46/5 50/3 54/10 54/11 54/12 63/9 64/11 64/20 72/5 165/20 169/13

82/25 89/1 92/10 95/12 111/14 111/25 124/22 125/10 130/8 133/12 137/14 144/14 146/23 149/16 149/18 152/12 155/12 156/22 157/7 157/16 157/19 168/15 173/7 173/14 wide [4] 17/16 17/23 141/20 171/24 wider [1] 128/10 Wigan [2] 34/17 97/25 will [43] 23/5 23/19 42/25 59/13 59/22 64/9 66/3 70/4 74/9 77/20 79/5 93/9 96/9 99/6 104/9 106/13 114/1 120/18 125/15 126/21 133/4 139/2 139/7 140/10 148/23 149/7 153/19 156/1 157/20 159/5 159/9 161/15 161/16 163/13 163/17 171/3 171/4 171/5 171/8 171/12 171/18 171/20 173/5 wins [1] 131/22 148/14 158/24 159/7 wishes [1] 135/23 withdrawal [1] 133/22 withdrew [3] 132/22 133/3 136/4 within [29] 1/21 1/25 10/1 11/1 22/8 25/4 30/18 40/19 50/5 61/11 64/3 72/2 76/1 76/11 77/12 83/21 86/18 91/14 95/14 128/25 147/1 148/4 161/11 162/3 162/6 162/20 163/13 164/7 175/5 without [12] 14/22 45/18 47/7 65/13 75/25 92/14 95/23 122/10 122/19 133/17 148/2 149/4 withstands [1] 143/8 witness [16] 8/6 8/14 8/18 9/6 9/7 9/12 9/18 10/4 133/13 148/9 148/24 149/15 168/17 169/1 173/21 173/21 witnesses [3] 8/7 8/11 134/3 won't [4] 42/8 90/11 134/1 134/19 wonder [2] 150/9 159/4 word [4] 49/15 155/9

49/13 49/15 75/13 75/21 78/9 136/20 160/9 163/2 163/4 165/1 work [28] 2/20 3/8 3/11 4/1 4/4 4/10 4/11 5/3 10/15 10/16 25/1 25/3 34/5 35/15 47/24 72/24 88/18 98/18 124/18 129/3 138/1 142/15 145/15 147/5 150/25 153/19 160/20 160/22 workaround [1] 119/15 worked [5] 39/8 57/16 71/15 95/16 174/7 working [15] 45/11 66/5 86/19 125/15 128/1 129/8 135/7 135/13 139/23 146/14 **WP [1]** 105/17 146/16 146/22 146/22 **WP5406 [1]** 98/18 146/23 164/25 workload [1] 55/10 works [8] 34/6 35/16 138/18 139/11 141/23 146/17 146/18 153/11 world [5] 11/21 140/10 141/15 143/6 146/8 worries [1] 36/8 worse [2] 131/13 168/12 worth [3] 12/17 77/16 126/13 would [136] 2/15 2/15 4/22 5/19 6/16 6/17 9/15 10/6 12/13 12/17 12/19 13/18 13/19 14/2 14/9 14/9 15/12 17/17 17/24 18/24 20/3 20/14 23/8 25/9 28/13 30/23 31/19 31/20 31/25 34/3 34/18 34/21 35/2 yet [4] 98/25 100/8 35/3 35/4 35/18 36/21 36/23 38/10 39/12 39/13 47/12 50/22 51/3 51/8 51/11 52/10 52/11 65/20 65/21 67/21 69/17 71/16 72/19 73/10 73/16 73/20 74/3 74/17 74/20 75/12 79/21 79/21 80/18 80/19 85/23 86/15 87/23 87/24 91/5 95/11 95/23 96/1 97/14 97/15 97/17 97/21 99/11 103/7 107/12 110/9 111/4 111/17 113/4 113/23 115/3

120/11 122/7 122/13 words [12] 6/16 21/5 123/25 124/18 124/20 127/21 127/25 129/21 131/13 132/14 132/21 133/11 133/24 136/11 136/11 136/22 138/3 138/16 140/22 140/23 140/23 141/10 141/12 144/25 148/14 149/16 150/18 154/18 156/22 156/24 157/17 157/18 164/8 164/13 164/14 164/15 165/1 165/2 165/8 165/9 166/16 169/10 169/19 169/21 170/4 172/2 173/15 174/7 174/8 wouldn't [9] 36/23 50/4 95/4 95/19 129/17 135/23 157/22 165/7 169/11 wounds [1] 158/16 wrapped [1] 67/11 writing [3] 54/9 66/16 68/1 written [9] 8/25 100/22 101/22 104/5 113/11 115/16 148/20 151/23 156/7 wrong [8] 73/21 104/2 126/22 129/9 129/11 162/9 162/11 162/13 wrote [6] 20/11 73/21 101/15 115/1 151/17 152/20 year [7] 59/17 168/4

171/11 172/7 172/15 172/17 173/4 years [5] 8/3 31/25 32/24 62/3 144/1 yes [198] 163/8 164/7 you [664] you're [22] 1/13 11/6 21/6 29/8 34/9 34/9 34/10 48/17 62/13 66/23 69/14 69/16 80/5 142/16 150/23 153/4 160/11 160/12 160/17 161/3 162/9 174/12 you've [4] 9/19 27/23 158/23 165/10 your [129] 1/10 1/14 1/15 1/20 1/21 1/24 1/25 2/4 2/4 2/9 2/9 2/11 2/16 6/1 7/10 8/17 8/22 9/2 9/9 10/7

	I		
Υ			
your [109] 14/13			
20/8 21/5 22/25 28/14			
30/4 32/20 33/11 34/9			
38/18 41/17 41/18			
41/22 43/11 44/9			
44/25 46/10 46/14			
47/2 48/1 48/3 48/4			
48/8 49/1 49/22 50/7			
50/8 54/18 57/8 59/1			
62/7 62/13 63/8 63/10			
64/11 66/4 66/20			
66/23 67/6 68/10			
68/16 69/12 69/16			
69/19 69/20 70/12			
70/16 71/18 71/21			
72/2 72/23 76/5 79/15			
80/3 82/17 84/11			
85/15 87/3 89/20			
94/10 96/20 106/19			
114/5 117/1 117/3			
117/4 118/16 121/11			
127/7 128/12 129/2			
129/2 129/23 129/25			
130/9 134/21 135/1			
136/9 136/10 139/24			
141/17 145/4 145/5			
146/14 147/25 150/15			
151/9 152/20 153/25			
158/7 158/7 159/23			
160/11 161/3 161/12			
162/6 162/9 163/22			
164/12 164/15 165/16			
165/17 166/7 167/18			
168/1 172/25 173/21			
175/2 175/5			
yourself [3] 65/18			
117/5 160/13			
Z			
zero [1] 60/10			
ZZ [1] 113/10			