

Minutes of Meeting

Confidential / Legally Privileged/ Do not Share



GLO CONTINGENCY PLANNING GOVERNANCE	
Finsbury Dials	
[9 May 2019; 4.30 pm]	
Attendees: Ben Foat (BF), Zoe Brauer (ZB), Rodric Williams (RW), Angela Van-Den-Bogerd (AVB), Rawa Hussain (RH)	
Apologies: Mark Underwood (MU)	

Agenda

1	Operational Focused Updates
2	Litigation Focussed Updates
3	AOB
4	Actions

1	<p><u>Operational Focussed Updates</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • BF needs weekly updates for GE. • BF explained what MU needs in terms of the pillars. • ZB had a meeting with Julie today – New plan: 3 working groups. She reassured that legal have prepared well. 1. Input sessions – checklist - they can call out where they have concerns, etc. should set out a new world of guidance with comms to send to their teams. 2. Suspensions and terminations workshops. 3. Residual mock-up of residual actions as a result of J e.g. onboarding. • ZB emphasised this is not just a Judgment impact, this is what we should be doing. • BF- 2 months and nothing has been done. Al and I will get level of comfort when we see that report to present to board next Monday. • ZB – I will get that report done tonight. • BF explained Al has to justify the delay – he had a board meeting in March and then in May and doesn't seem anything has changed in the business. • ZB – on the contract piece – recommendation is clear at the moment with regards to the operational impact - approach should be we transcribe Judgment into the contract. That is my recommendation. • RW – But then there is an appeal that could potentially overturn these changes. • AVB – Do we need to change the contract at all? • RW – High Court has said those words mean x and included extra words. So the contract can continue to be issued and will be interpreted in this way. • AVB – Contract should stay intact in the interim whilst we do the appeal but processes should come back to the Judgment – this approach should be fine. • RW – we shouldn't vary the contract because in doing that we are saying Judgment is right. • BF- let us forget the Judgment- what is the right contract that we think should be issued? • ZB – are we comfortable issuing a contract that doesn't say what it should say. • BF- operationally, it doesn't look very good. What is the business comfortable with? This needs to be considered by WBD and HS. RW to get advice on this. <p>BF left at 5pm. The meeting continued with a discussion around processes.</p>
2	<p><u>Litigation Focussed Updates</u></p> <p>None discussed.</p>

Minutes of Meeting

Confidential / Legally Privileged/ Do not Share

4	AOB None.
----------	---------------------

Actions

No	Description	Owner	Status
1	Board Report	ZB	
2	WBD and HS to provide advice on what the business should do now with the contract.	RW	

Author of Minutes: RH

Date: 9 May 2019