Message	<u> </u>				
From:	Mark R Davies	GRO			
Sent:	29/11/2016 16:36:30				
то:			change Administrative Group		
10.					
CC:	Podrie Williams	CPO	9ed344815e47e4aaa3c0e7e1 ; Jane MacLeod	740919-Andrew Parsj	; Prime, Amy
CC.	[/o=Exchange-Org/ou			GRU	i, Prime, Amy
			7222dda3a9453eaed5751238	250562 Amy Primal	
Subject:			privilege. [BD-4A.FID2689694		
oubject.	ne. Postillastei Litiga	tion - Subject to legal	privilege. [BD-4A.FID2003034	+2]	
		. 1 1			
Apolog	gies - I have read it throu	gh and am content	t.		
Mark I	Davies				
Comm	unications and Corporate	Affairs Director			
GF					
On 20	Nov 2016 at 16:34 Pars	one Andrew!	GRO	wrote:	
On 27.	100 2010, at 10.54, 1 ats	ons, Andrew	GRO	wiote.	
	Mark – apologies for pressing – but have you had a chance to review the draft letter?				
	Andy				
	Andrew Daves				

Andrew Parsons

Partner

Bond Dickinson LLP <image65d2b5.JPG>
Direct:

Mobile Office: GRO
Follow Bond Dickinson:



<image823984.PNG>

www.bonddickinson.com

From: Jane MacLeod [mailto GRO

Sent: 29 November 2016 09:07

To: Mark R Davies

Cc: Rodric Williams; Parsons, Andrew

Subject: RE: Postmaster Litigation - Subject to legal privilege.

Mark

Attached is the recent Freeths' letter and Sunday's draft of the full response – most of which is procedural. There have been no material changes to that response other than in relation to section 9 which I sent you last night.

We want to get the response back to Freeths today if possible given that they are due to file their Particulars of Claim on 1 December (Thursday). Please liaise with Rod and Andy if you have any queries or comments.

Thanks

Jane



Jane MacLeod

General Counsel Ground Floor 20 Finsbury Street LONDON EC2Y 9AQ

Mobile number: GRO

From: Mark R Davies					
Sent: 29 November 2016 09:01					
To: Jane MacLeod GRO Rodric Williams					
GRO					
Subject: Re: Postmaster Litigation - Subject to legal privilege.					
Hi both Could one of you send me the full document and I will re-read. Sorry that I haven't been as close to this as I should be. Mark					
Mark Davies Communications and Corporate Affairs Director GRO					
On 28 Nov 2016, at 23:35, Jane MacLeod GRO wrote:					
Paula Al					

Following discussions with our QC and Bond Dickinson this afternoon, the following is the recommended language to be included in the response to Freeths in the wider section on remote access:

"At each stage, Post Office ascertained the position to respond to the questions it believed it was being asked. With the benefit of hindsight, some of Post Office's statements may have been incorrect in light of what has since been identified in relation to Fujitsu's administrator access rights (see below). But Post Office refutes any suggestion that it ever made false statements deliberately or did so to mislead, deceive or conceal. The Post Office personnel responsible for those statements made them in good faith: what was said reflected what they understood the position to be after they had made relevant enquiries at the time."

There have been no other material changes to the wider drafting around the remote access issue which I circulated to you earlier today.

The challenge has been to balance the risk of adverse publicity ahead of the court process (Post Office's concern) with the need to be open and transparent with the Court in admitting that certain of the information we provided previously on this issue could be construed as 'wrong'.

Given Mark D had other commitments today, I am taking him through this wording early tomorrow.

Kind regards,

Jane MacLeod General Counsel The Post Office GRO

Sent from my iPad

This email and any attachments are confidential and intended for the addressee only. If you are not the named recipient, you must not use, disclose, reproduce, copy or distribute the contents of this communication. If you have received this in error, please contact the sender by reply email and then delete this email from your system. Any views or opinions expressed within this email are solely those of the sender, unless otherwise specifically stated.

POST OFFICE LIMITED is registered in England and Wales no 2154540. Registered Office: Finsbury Dials, 20 Finsbury Street, London EC2Y 9AQ.

Please consider the environment! Do you need to print this email?

The information in this e-mail and any attachments is confidential and may be legally privileged and protected by law. mark.r.davies gRO
as soon as possible and delete any copies. Unauthorised use, dissemination, distribution, publication or copying of this communication or attachments is prohibited and may be unlawful.

Any files attached to this e-mail will have been checked by us with virus detection software before transmission. Bond Dickinson LLP accepts no liability for any loss or damage which may be caused by software viruses and you should carry out your own virus checks before opening any attachment.

Content of this email which does not relate to the official business of Bond Dickinson LLP, is neither given nor endorsed by it.

This email is sent by Bond Dickinson LLP which is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales under number OC317661. Our registered office is 4 More London Riverside, London, SE1 2AU, where a list of members' names is open to inspection. We use the term partner to refer to a member of the LLP, or an employee or consultant who is of equivalent standing. Our VAT registration number is GB123393627.

Bond Dickinson LLP is authorised and regulated by the Solicitors Regulation Authority.