POL00299534

From: Martin Edwards[/O=MMS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=MARTIN EDWARDS1F838E9D3-CC99-4040-B432-33552E99ED2DDD]

Sent: Fri 20/09/2013 10:49:48 AM (UTC)

To: Susan Crichton GRO

Cc: Hugh Flemington GRO

Subject: RE: RM - Prospectus

Yes, I'll pick up with BIS and keep you posted. thanks

-----Original Message-----
From: Susan Crichton
Sent: 20 September 2013 11:49
To: Martin Edwards
Cc: Hugh Flemington
Subject: RE: RM - Prospectus

So are you going to go back to BIS - not sure our protesting about this will make any difference?

-----Original Message-----
From: Martin Edwards
Sent: 20 September 2013 11:15
To: Susan Crichton
Cc: Hugh Flemington
Subject: RE: RM - Prospectus

Thanks Susan. Looks like none of our changes have been taken on board....

-----Original Message-----
From: Susan Crichton
Sent: 20 September 2013 10:35
To: Martin Edwards; Hugh Flemington
Subject: RE: RM - Prospectus

We cannot go direct to Slaughters they would have to ask RMG to approve giving us the information, so I will ask RM but as I understand it the Horizon/IT reference was taken directly from our press release.

Regards
Susan

-----Original Message-----
From: Martin Edwards
Sent: 20 September 2013 10:18
To: Susan Crichton; Hugh Flemington
Subject: RE: RM - Prospectus

Susan, Hugh - would you be able to ask Slaughters to send across the latest extracts on POL from the prospectus please? Just spoke to Will G for an update from their perspective - he said the main sticking point was the sentence on Horizon/Second Sight in the IT risks section, which they're still pushing to have removed but are facing some resistance.

I asked if that meant the other issues had been resolved (on MDA language etc) - he said he didn't think there was any push back there, but wasn't able to confirm whether or not our comments had been taken on board. He said he would do some digging - but it might be quicker just to get hold of the latest language direct from Slaughters.

Thanks,
Martin

-----Original Message-----
From: Susan Crichton
Sent: 19 September 2013 07:34

POL00299534

To: Hugh Flemington; 'tim.mcinnes GRO ; Martin Edwards
Cc: 'William.Gibson GRO
Subject: Re: RM - Prospectus

Don't forget we have only reviewed the extracts we were given.
Susan

----- Original Message -----
From: Hugh Flemington
Sent: Thursday, September 19, 2013 06:08 AM
To: 'tim.mcinnes GRO Martin Edwards
Cc: 'William.Gibson GRO ; Susan Crichton
Subject: Re: RM - Prospectus

Susan and I did a set of legal comments on limited extracts ages ago, then I fed in some IT comments the other week for Lesley / Sue B.

----- Original Message -----
From: McInnes Tim (ShEx) GRO
Sent: Thursday, September 19, 2013 05:22 AM
To: Martin Edwards
Cc: Gibson Will (ShEx) < GRO ; Hugh Flemington
Subject: Re: RM - Prospectus

Thanks that's useful to know.

----- Original Message -----
From: Martin Edwards GRO
Sent: Thursday, September 19, 2013 12:05 AM
To: McInnes Tim (ShEx)
Cc: Gibson Will (ShEx); Hugh Flemington GRO
Subject: Re: RM - Prospectus

Tim - the only other channel of communication with RM on the prospectus has been between our legal team (Susan and Hugh, who I have copied) and a lawyer at Slaughters. Hugh can confirm, but as far as I'm aware the last communication with them was 1-2 weeks ago, and focussed on narrower points of legal/factual detail rather than the reputational/PR issues that I flagged to you.

It certainly didn't represent us signing off on the document, so please do bat this idea away.

Thanks,
Martin

Martin Edwards
Chief of Staff to the Chief Executive
Post Office
GRO

On 18 Sep 2013, at 18:08, "McInnes Tim (ShEx)" < GRO > wrote:

> Martin,
>
> Can you let me know the time / status of POL's most recent conversations with RM on the prospectus. We think someone on the other side might be saying that POL has signed off, and to bat this away (which we will) it would be useful to understand comms channels and what's been said / agreed.
>
> And further to our chat a few minutes ago it would be good if you can leave this with us for the moment. We will keep you updated on progress in real time but there are some weapons we want to keep in the arsenal and only use if they are absolutely necessary. We have a couple of avenues to try still so let's see where we get to with those.

POL00299534

>
> Thanks,
>
> Tim
>
> ---------
> Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes
> ----------

_____