From:	Elliot Jacobs	GRO			
Sent:	Tue 28/05/2024 5:47:05	PM (UTC)			
То:	Saf ismail	GRO	Nigel Railton	GRO	
Subject:	Re: Discussion Documer	nt	'		

Hi Saf

Yes, this captures our thinking and aims for the future and also encapsulates a lot of what we saw in Vennells' evidence that remains areas for significant improvement.

I think we suggested instead of calling it an oversight committee a PM Advisory Committee sounded better. This committee should have a clear mandate and terms of reference, bring together voices from across the PM community, meet 4-6 times a year, and aim to educate and guide senior POL members on the impact of proposals and strategies, ensuring a PM-centric approach in all our activities. It should have the authority to call upon senior POL members to explain and challenge what is working and what is not. It should also provide overwatch on PM investigations.

The lack of automation is shocking and needs the be right at the top of agenda - it is the only way to build a sustainable network and if we ran our own network would have been invested in years ago.

On the point of candour - we must embrace the "uncomfortable" (such as the GT report) and stop seeking to rewrite analysis for a better view rather than face into the changes we need to make.

Finally, the lack of Corporate Memory is a major problem - sighted by Vennells too and still a lesson unlearned - we risk losing the learnings and experience in the desire to replace positive challenge (No NEDs older than Saf and Elliot in situ, with many not looking to do a second term).

Ε

From: Saf ismail GRO
Sent: Tuesday, May 28, 2024 5:39 PM
To: Nigel Railton GRO
Cc: Elliot Jacobs (

Subject: Discussion Document

Hi Nigel

I hope all is well. Please find attached the document I have prepared for our discussion tomorrow. It's a work in progress, feel free to amend.

Regards

Saf Ismail

Non-Executive Director

100 Wood Street, London, EC2V 7ER postoffice.co.uk