ICL Pathway Memorandum

To: John Bennett Date: 28 May 1998

Mike Coombs Liam Foley Tony Oppenheim

From: Dominic Barton c.c.

Subject: QUESTIONS RAISED AT TREASURY REVIEW PANEL PRESENTATION.

For information, please find detailed below the questions raised at the Treasury Review Panel presentation that took place on Thursday 28 May 1998 in the Marketing Suite here in FEL 01.

Present: Adrian Montague - Treasury Review Panel

Bill Robins - Treasury Review Panel Alec Wylie - Treasury Review Panel

Peter Copping - PA Consulting
David Rees - PA Consulting

Questions:

1. AM: How does the size of the smart card estate (38,000 terminals) compare with other smart card terminal estates around the world?

ACTION: Business Development

- 2. BR: Who is the certifying authority for AP signing, and what is the certification process/mechanism?
- 3. AW: Is there a mismatch between POCL and BA's requirements on 'Uncarded Casual Agents' (UCA)?
- 4. BR: Do POCL have specific issues with UCA, or do we not know why they will not sign it
- 5. BR: Is UCA actually showing a very fundamental service philosophy difference between POCL and BA, particularly if the point of the system for BA is to eliminate fraud?
- 6. AW: Have you a list of sponsor dependencies for Release 2+?
- 7. AW: Can you provide a list of the 6 issues, the dates by which you need resolution, and the impact if you do not get resolution?

ACTION: Programmes

8. AM: Please also mention how long these issues have been outstanding.

ACTION: Programmes

- 9. AM: What is the contractual mechanism for resolving these issues?
- 10. AM: Is there a mechanism for driving these things to a resolution to a timescale?
- 11. DR: Will the 'Wigan Data Centre' diagram need to be changed for non-ISDN offices?
- 12. AM: How many non-ISDN offices are there?

QUESTIONS RAISED AT TREASURY REVIEW PANEL PRESENTATION 1998

28 MAY

- 13. PC: How will you deal with non-ISDN offices.
- 14. AM: Can you please explain to me what the difference is between ISDN, PSTN, and frame relay?
- 15. PC: Does soft EVP cover non-ISDN offices?
- 16. AM: Why does soft EVP cover non-ISDN offices?
- 17. BR: Are there urban, multiple position non-ISDN offices?
- 18. AW: Do you have test beds for all releases?
- 19. All: Copy of Frank Fields NFSP conference speech to Treasury Review Panel.

ACTION: Business Development

- 20. AW: Can you confirm that 40% of calls to the payment card helpline are not relevant?
- 21. BR: Is the frustration mentioned in the customer satisfaction slide about not rolling out more services or about problems with the current service?
- 22. BR: Is ICL a certification authority on any other big programmes (question about AP signing)?
- 23. BR: What is the end to end process for creating and discharging acceptance criteria, and how is it going?

ACTION: C&F/CR?

- 24. BR: Since our ISDN lines are dial up and not fixed, how do we stop someone coming into Post Offices what is the security?
- 25. BR: Would you provide some consolidated written feedback on reaction of users to the implementation and operation of 1c?
- 26. BR: Has there been any progress on resolving the 11 week live trial issue?
- 27. BR: How many Post Offices do we believe can be rolled out before R2+ is accepted and the sponsors have assured themselves? Are we any nearer to reconciliation on this issue?
- 28. BR: What tools do you use for resource planning, profiling and costing for the programme?
- 29. BR: Excluding the areas where requirements have not been defined, do you feel you have an accurate view of costs and resources to get to the 'end' of the programme (implied end of National Roll-out)?
- 30. AM: Why do you think the actual resource requirements has so surpassed the planned resource requirements staff increasing from 60 to 270?
- 31. AM: Has requirement complexity OR sponsor intrusion in specification been the major problem?
- 32. AM: If you had a better decision making process from the sponsors, how much difference would it have made?
- 33. AM: This appears to be a case of dealing with people who see half empty, not half full is this an approach you have come across before in large systems projects?
- 34. BR: Are you getting changes input to you from outside of the POCL and BA change control mechanisms? How can you change this in the future? In a programme of this size, that's death.
- 35. BR: What are ICL's Programme Director's 3 biggest issues in terms of ICL's internal ability to deliver this programme?
- 36. BR: Can you confirm that the 5 biggest programme issues are i. security, ii. scalability, iii. deliverability and operability of soft EVP, iv. R2+ approval, and v. stopping roll-out once it has begun?
- 37. BR: Are you creating a negotiation position for these issues to cater for if you are put into a room where a decision can be made?

28 MAY

1998 38. AW: Did the BA security committee say unofficially/informally that they 'Don't know what all the fuss is about' with respect to security? 39. BR: We need to pull out all the various agendas, agree whether they are valid or not, and then change the programme accordingly. 40. BR: It is profoundly disturbing that R2+ is about to go to a 'no forecast' because we can't get a grip on the requirements. Soft EVP is therefore not an ICL issue, it is a sponsor issue. 41. BR: The 'Summary' slide is a bit bullish - would we agree it needs an extra bullet to reflect 42. BR: the dip on confidence between R2 and the Future Vision? (Comments about the

problems associated with ICL not getting full contractual acceptance/sign-off).

QUESTIONS RAISED AT TREASURY REVIEW PANEL PRESENTATION

D A Barton

28 May 1998