

To: Steve Allchorn[steve.allchorn@postoffice.gov.uk];
Cc: zz pete.newsom@postoffice.gov.uk; Jenkins Gareth GI[Gareth.Jenkins@postoffice.gov.uk];
Simon Baker[simon.baker@postoffice.gov.uk];
From: Lesley J Sewell[lesley.j.sewell@postoffice.gov.uk];
Sent: Tue 6/4/2013 5:11:33 PM (UTC)
Subject: Re: Urgent & In Confidence: Bracknell Enquiry Clarity From Second Sight

Steve

This is useful. I do think that we still need to meet with SS as the questions they have asked are broadly in line with what we discussed.

Simon: has this been set up?

Thx

Sent from my iPad

On 4 Jun 2013, at 09:27, "Steve Allchorn" <steve.allchorn@postoffice.gov.uk> wrote:

Pete/Gareth

I have now received a response from Ian Henderson (Second Sight) clarifying the specific line of enquiry in their current investigations into the POL Bracknell site.

Ian's specific questions are listed in his email below. They primarily concentrate on Horizon system data access capabilities and controls rather than procedures. To provide greater context, also attached is the spot review that outlines the specific allegation.

As a matter of urgency, could you provide a response to each of Ian's points and feed these back to me asap. I suggest your responses are clear paragraphed statements rather than a provision of further information via attachments etc.

I will then collate and formally feedback to Ian.

Please also note the potential that a further line of questions may be created from Second Sight's ongoing review of the information they have recently received.

Thanks as always for your co-operation.

Steve Allchorn | IT & Change Lead CPMO Manager
<image013.jpg>

<image002.png> 2nd Flr, Central Wing, 148 Old Street,
LONDON, EC1V 9HQ

<image003.png>  Postline: 
<image004.png> Mobex: 

<image005.png> steve.allchorn@postoffice.gov.uk 

<image006.png> [Post Office stories](#)

<image007.png> [@postofficenews](#)

<image014.jpg>

From: Ian Henderson [mailto:irh@] GRO
Sent: 03 June 2013 17:36
To: Steve Allchorn
Cc: Simon Baker; 'Ron Warmington'
Subject: RE: Follow up from call
Sensitivity: Confidential

Steve

I'm sorry for the delay in replying. There's a lot going on at the moment....

I attach a copy of Spot Review 5 which describes the allegations that have been made.

The emails that have been forwarded to you appear to describe matters that may be relevant to the allegation. Please note that we are primarily interested in finding out the following:

1. What capabilities did the POL Bracknell team have? (As far as TC or Rem Out type transactions or Journal adjustments are concerned)
2. What were the PHYSICAL or LOGICAL controls over their use of the systems available to them?
3. What audit trail is available to show the extent that they posted TC or Rem Out type transactions, or Journal adjustments?
4. Can we rely on the COMPLETENESS of the audit trail? i.e. does it record all transactions or just transactions meeting certain criteria? Is it protected from user manipulation?
5. What USER ID was used if TC type transactions or journal adjustments were posted?
6. Could the POL Bracknell team log on with either super user or SMPR credentials?
7. How would TC, Rem Out or Journal Adjustment type transactions executed by the POL Bracknell team be seen by SMPR of Branches affected by those actions?

There are probably other questions / issues that will arise after you have had a preliminary look at this.

Please note that we're not really interested in what the procedures manual says about any of this. We need to look at whether it would be possible for a rogue employee to do what is alleged and what log files would be generated to record that activity. Please note that I have now been provided with a second batch of employee email and I may find other emails that are potentially relevant to this matter.

Thank you for your help with this

With best wishes

Ian R Henderson CCE CISA FCA
Advanced Forensics - London, UK

Forensic computing expert witness and electronic disclosure specialist

UK Mobile: [] GRO

Email: irh@] GRO
Website: <http://advancedforensics.com>
LinkedIn: <http://linkedin.com/in/forensicgod>
Twitter: <http://twitter.com/forensicgod>

CONFIDENTIALITY. This email and any attachments are confidential and may also be privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify me at [] GRO and delete the email and any attachments.

From: Steve Allchorn [mailto:steve.allchorn@] GRO

Sent: 30 May 2013 12:43

To: Ian Henderson (irh: [GRO])

Subject: FW: Follow up from call

Sensitivity: Confidential

Hi Ian

I've been forwarded the email stream below however having not been party to prior conversations or spoken to Susan as she is formally off-work I just need to understand the context of the enquiry as the email pdf's attached are a collection of individual instances rather than a clear line.

My perception of what I think you need is;

- 1) A clear documentation/articulation of the end-to-end Transaction Corrections process, how it is applied and the sign-off authorities that are in place (e.g. subpostmaster acceptance etc.).
- 2) An understanding of how the attached email instances relate to that process and authorities.

Let me know if I'm on the right lines or not.

Thanks

Steve Allchorn I IT & Change Lead CPMO Manager
<image001.jpg>

<image002.png> 2nd Flr, Central Wing, 148 Old Street,
LONDON, EC1V 9HQ

<image003.png> [GRO] Postline: [GRO]

<image004.png> [GRO] Mobex: [GRO]

<image005.png> steve.allchorn [GRO]

<image006.png> [Post Office stories](#)

<image007.png> [@postofficenews](#)

<image008.jpg>

From: Susan Crichton

Sent: 28 May 2013 15:00

To: Steve Allchorn

Cc: Alwen Lyons

Subject: FW: Follow up from call

Sensitivity: Confidential

Steve – copy of some of the emails as extracted by Ian Henderson, please can you forward to FJ as appropriate.

Susan

From: Ian Henderson [<mailto:irh>: [GRO]]

Sent: 28 May 2013 09:35

To: Susan Crichton; Alwen Lyons

Cc: [rwarming](#) [GRO]

Subject: RE: Follow up from call

Sensitivity: Confidential

Susan

I attach 11 emails from our preliminary review of the Bracknell data. Please note that I only received the second batch of Bracknell data on Friday and it will take 3 or 4 days to process.

With best wishes

Ian R Henderson CCE CISA FCA
Advanced Forensics - London, UK

Forensic computing expert witness and electronic disclosure specialist

UK Mobile: [GRO]

Email: irh [GRO]
Website: <http://advancedforensics.com>
LinkedIn: <http://linkedin.com/in/forensicgod>
Twitter: <http://twitter.com/forensicgod>

CONFIDENTIALITY. This email and any attachments are confidential and may also be privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify me at irh [GRO] and delete the email and any attachments.

From: Susan Crichton [mailto:susan.crichton [GRO]]
Sent: 24 May 2013 17:54
To: 'irh [GRO]'; Alwen Lyons
Cc: 'rwarming [GRO]'
Subject: Re: Follow up from call
Sensitivity: Confidential

Ian - thanks could you also send the details of the relevant emails to help us move forward this work next week.
Thanks
Susan

From: Ian Henderson [mailto:irh [GRO]]
Sent: Friday, May 24, 2013 09:35 AM
To: Alwen Lyons; Susan Crichton
Cc: 'Ron Warmington' <rwarming [GRO]>
Subject: Follow up from call

As requested, please find attached the letter from JA to JFSA received by us on 19 April

I also attach the Rudkin Spot Review sent to POL on 10 May

With best wishes

Ian R Henderson CCE CISA FCA
Advanced Forensics - London, UK

Forensic computing expert witness and electronic disclosure specialist

UK Mobile: [GRO]

Email: irh [GRO]
Website: <http://advancedforensics.com>
LinkedIn: <http://linkedin.com/in/forensicgod>
Twitter: <http://twitter.com/forensicgod>

CONFIDENTIALITY. This email and any attachments are confidential and may also be privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify me at

irk[] GRO and delete the email and any attachments.

This email and any attachments are confidential and intended for the addressee only. If you are not the named recipient, you must not use, disclose, reproduce, copy or distribute the contents of this communication. If you have received this in error, please contact the sender by reply email and then delete this email from your system. Any views or opinions expressed within this email are solely those of the sender, unless otherwise specifically stated.

POST OFFICE LIMITED is registered in England and Wales no 2154540. Registered Office: 148 OLD STREET, LONDON EC1V 9HQ.

This email and any attachments are confidential and intended for the addressee only. If you are not the named recipient, you must not use, disclose, reproduce, copy or distribute the contents of this communication. If you have received this in error, please contact the sender by reply email and then delete this email from your system. Any views or opinions expressed within this email are solely those of the sender, unless otherwise specifically stated.

POST OFFICE LIMITED is registered in England and Wales no 2154540. Registered Office: 148 OLD STREET, LONDON EC1V 9HQ.

<SR005 V2.doc>

This email and any attachments are confidential and intended for the addressee only. If you are not the named recipient, you must not use, disclose, reproduce, copy or distribute the contents of this communication. If you have received this in error, please contact the sender by reply email and then delete this email from your system. Any views or opinions expressed within this email are solely those of the sender, unless otherwise specifically stated.

POST OFFICE LIMITED is registered in England and Wales no 2154540. Registered Office: 148 OLD STREET, LONDON EC1V 9HQ.
