

---

**From:** Sewell Peter (FEL01)[/O=EXCHANGE/OU=ADMINGROUP1/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=SEWELLP]  
**Sent:** Thur 20/08/2009 1:03:18 PM (UTC)  
**To:** Dunks Andy[ GRO ]  
**Cc:** Budd Phil MA[ GRO ]  
**Subject:** RE: Porters Avenue Post Office - Statement

Phil  
Your statement is fine and is all you can actually say. If they can stump up the cash the counter equipment can won't be of much use as the 42 days retainer of the message store is long gone, and will be endorsed by Gareth.  
Pete

Pete Sewell  
Security Operations Manager

Fujitsu  
LOVELACE ROAD, BRACKNELL, BERKS, RG12 8SN  
Tel: [ GRO ]  
Mobile [ GRO ]  
Fax [ GRO ]  
E-Mail [ GRO ]  
Web <http://uk.fujitsu.com>

Fujitsu Services Limited, Registered in England no 96056, Registered Office 22 Baker Street, London W1U 3BW  
This e-mail is only for the use of its intended recipient. Its contents are subject to a duty of confidence and may be privileged. Fujitsu Services does not guarantee that this e-mail has not been intercepted and amended or that it is virus free.

-----Original Message-----

From: Dunks Andy  
Sent: 18 August 2009 11:03  
To: Sewell Peter (FEL01)  
Cc: Budd Phil MA  
Subject: FW: Porters Avenue Post Office - Statement

Hi Pete,  
I think you need to be made aware of what Phil has been asked for...

Andy

-----Original Message-----

From: Budd Phil MA  
Sent: 18 August 2009 10:13  
To: Dunks Andy  
Subject: FW: Porters Avenue Post Office - Statement

Morning Andy,

That court case reared its head again a few weeks ago. You remember I analysed a couple of counters back in July 07 then you got me to sign a new witness statement in June 08, well they came back again and wanted me to sign another one - just a single paragraph to say the counters were in 'full working order and would not cause a discrepancy'. I was not happy with the implications of 'full working order' since I did not perform test transactions on the counters so I provided a new paragraph to reiterate my previous statement - that the files thereon were correct and the counters should be expected to perform as required.

The reason for my email, now the defence are hiring an expert to analyse the equipment I just wanted to make sure POA are not solely relying on my analysis - I assume we have supplied evidence of the transactions going through and the systems working correctly? I'm just trying to reduce the stress I feel whenever this pops back into my head!

Regards,  
Phil Budd

RMGA Development, Systems Engineer

Office: **GRO** (ITN: **GRO**)

Mobile: **GRO** (ITN: **GRO**)

Email: **GRO**

Fujitsu Services Limited, Registered in England no 96056, Registered Office 22 Baker Street, London, W1U 3BW

This e-mail is only for the use of its intended recipient. Its contents are subject to a duty of confidence and may be privileged. Fujitsu Services does not guarantee that this e-mail has not been intercepted and amended or that it is virus-free.

-----Original Message-----

From: lisa.allen@fujitsu.com **GRO**

Sent: 29 July 2009 12:22

To: Budd Phil MA

Subject: RE: Porters Avenue Post Office - Statement

Phil

Sorry for not getting back to you - we had another hearing and the trial has been adjourned for further enquiries as the defence want an expert to analysis the equipment and they need to get funding.  
Thanks for the statement I will forward it to our legal team.

(Embedded image moved to file: pic22807.jpg)

\*\*\*\*\*

Royal Mail Group Limited registered in England and Wales registered number  
4138203 registered office 3rd Floor, 100 Victoria Embankment, London, EC4Y 0HQ

This email and any attachments are confidential and intended for the addressee only. If you are not the named recipient, you must not use, disclose, reproduce, copy or distribute the contents of this communication.  
If you have received this in error, please contact the sender and then delete this email from your system.

\*\*\*\*\*