Peter R Laycock From: Rebecca Barker GRO To: GRO GRO Cc: Ian Trundell Willie Hughes FW: Horizon terminal time offsets Subject: Date: 24 January 2013 10:09:00 Attachments: image005.png image007.png Rebecca Whilst we're raising the dialogue with Fujitsu on the peaks and troughs, will you get an update on this too please. I've heard nothing since Steve Bansai said on the 28th November "should have an update for by the end of the week" Thanks Peter Peter R Laycock I Information Security Consultant GRO GRO postoffice.co.uk @postofficenews From: Peter R Laycock **Sent:** 14 December 2012 14:51 To: Rebecca Barker Cc: Ian Trundell GRO Willie Hughes **GRO** Subject: RE: Horizon terminal time offsets Rebecca Fujitsu were to respond "by the end of the week" on 28/11 I've received nothing back yet, have you? Regards Peter Peter R Laycock I Information Security Consultant **GRO** postoffice.co.uk @postofficenews



From: Rebecca Barker

Sent: 28 November 2012 12:57

To: Peter R Laycock

Subject: FW: Horizon terminal time offsets

Hi Peter

Im in and out of meetings today, please see response from fujitsu re the timing offsets

Kind regards,

Rebecca Barker I Post Office Service Desk

0	2nd Floor, South Wing, Dearne House, Cortonwood Drive, Brampton, BARNSLEY, S73 OUF		
0	GRO	Postline:	GRO
@	[GRO	
9	postoffice.co	<u>.uk</u>	

All incidents or requests for information relating to incidents should be rung into the Post Office Service Desk on GRO Postline: GRO

From: Bansal Steve (BRA01) [mailto GRO

Sent: 28 November 2012 11:20

To: Rebecca Barker

Cc: Scott Somerside; Calum S Ellison; Willie Hughes

Subject: RE: Horizon terminal time offsets

Hi Rebecca

I am currently looking into the details below as requested and should have an update for by the end of the week.

Regards

Steve Bansal

Problem Manager End User Services FUJITSU

 Lovelace Road, Bracknell, Berkshire. RG12 8SN

 Tel.
 GRO
 or Internally
 GRO

 Mobile: +44|
 980
 i

 E-mail:
 GRO
 i

http://uk.fujitsu.com



Please consider the environment - do you really need to print this email?

From: Rebecca Barker [mailto: **GRO**

Sent: 21 November 2012 16:00 To: Bansal Steve (BRA01)

Cc: Scott Somerside; Calum S Ellison; Willie Hughes

Subject: FW: Horizon terminal time offsets

Hi steve

Please see email below, I really don't understand what the issue is? If there is an issue?? Can you advise or shed any light Kind regards,

Rebecca Barker I Post Office Service Desk

@		outh Wing, Do Drive, Bramp 573 OUF		use,
O	GRO	Postline:	GRO	
(a)	postoffice.co	GRO .uk		!

All incidents or requests for information relating to incidents should be rung into the Post Office Service Desk on

From: Peter R Laycock

Sent: 07 November 2012 10:11

To: Ian Trundell

Cc: Duty Manager; Mark R Pearce; Willie Hughes; Rebecca Barker

Subject: Horizon terminal time offsets

lan

Although we have been discussing the EBT transaction drop-off and bursts with Fujitsu, I have just remembered that the original email I sent detailed also the issue of counter position Horizon terminal clock times.

I'm mindful that JPM have a service level to perform transactions at 95% within 2 seconds and 100% within 10 seconds so terminal time offset can impact this.

My original email is below and JPM's analysis by FAD Code attached. I think there is an understanding that JPM's EBT and Horizon at the Data Centre hold slightly different clock times, but I'm not sure just how counter terminals are clocked and why there should be some discrepancies of up to 5 minutes and in one case, 21 minutes.

How should we take this	forward with Fujitsu?
Regards	
Peter	

1. Transaction time offsets - see attached document for JP Morgan analysis

Summary: JP Morgan are reporting that out of a sample of 865,731 transactions from 10,643 FAD codes, 1,640 FAD codes had at least one terminal with "its time out by more than 1 minute (±1.0 second). And of those, 36 FAD codes had at least one terminal with its time out by more than 5 minutes, with the worst one being out by nearly 21 minutes.

This indicates that clock synchronisation at the counter is not always what it should be. Of course for banking transactions, the time stamp on the receipt is important in relation to disputes, but if this is offset considerably to the time of the transaction recorded by the bank this could further complicate any dispute.

Peter R Laycock
Information Security Consultant
Mob: GRO

This email and any attachments are confidential and intended for the addressee only. If you are not the named recipient, you must not use, disclose, reproduce, copy or distribute the contents of this communication. If you have received this in error, please contact the sender and then delete this email from your system.

ROYAL MAIL GROUP LIMITED registered in England and Wales at 100 VICTORIA EMBANKMENT, LONDON EC4Y 0HQ with the registered company number 04138203

POST OFFICE LIMITED registered in England and Wales at 148 OLD STREET, LONDON EC1V 9HQ with the registered company number 02154540

Unless otherwise stated, this email has been sent from Fujitsu Services Limited, from Fujitsu (FTS) Limited, or from Fujitsu Telecommunications Europe Limited, together "Fujitsu".

This email is only for the use of its intended recipient. Its contents are subject to a duty of confidence and may be privileged. Fujitsu does not guarantee that this email has not been intercepted and amended or that it is virus-free.

Fujitsu Services Limited, registered in England No 96056, registered office 22 Baker Street, London W1U 3BW.

Fujitsu (FTS) Limited, registered in England No 03808613, registered office 22 Baker Street, London W1U 3BW.

PFU Imaging Solutions Europe Limited, registered in England No 1578652, registered

office Hayes Park Central, Hayes End Road, Hayes, Middlesex, UB4 8FE.

Fujitsu Telecommunications Europe Limited, registered in England No 2548187, registered office Solihull Parkway, Birmingham Business Park, Birmingham, B37 7YU.