To:

Wendy Powney

Copy:

Bob King

Ian Gair

Charlie Hooper

Bill Kerr

From:

Paul Rich

Date:

7 March 1996

SUBJECT: BA/POCL - iT CONCURRENCE

- Charlie Hooper raised the question of gaining concurrences at my Cluster Leaders meeting yesterday (6 March) as a question during Derek Selwood's explanation of the Evaluation Phase.
- 2. You will recall our meeting on 7 February with Bob King on this subject, and my note of 9 February covering that. In summary, you were going to liaise with Alan Shepherd to ensure that my previous understanding with Duncan Hine (my note of 1 February) about the definition of such iT concurrence from PO Group was net. There was nothing that was decided at the more recent Evaluation Board (which Alan Shepherd attends) that should prevent that.
- 3. Bob King has also answered some of Basil's outstanding queries on Pathway by his note of 28 February, which I copied to you. I trust this is OK.
- 4. However, there seems to be two outstanding action points which I would be grateful if you could pick up please, liaising with Charlie and Bob King/ Derek Selwood as necessary:
 - a) We need assurance from Alan that there still is not problem with formal concurrence. The EPOSS issue was separately raised as a potential additional risk (rather than a pre-ITT hurdle) at the Evaluation Board, and Alan may need some briefing on what we are now doing about that. You will have seen my TOR for Ian Gair's 'task force' for this, and Charlie will be involved.
 - b) We agreed that your team would produce a separate report on supplier's solutions to Bob Peaple so that we could understand the impact on our IS Strategy (not as a concurrence). Please could you pick this up too.
- 5. I would be grateful for a view on when you think these points could be reasonably cleared by producek. Thanks

GRO

PAUL.