

15 NOV 1999

2234

15/11/99

### Roll-out 2000 Pre-Checkpoint Meeting

Monday 15<sup>th</sup> November 12:30pm - 1:30pm - Gavrelle House Conference Room 9

#### Participants

Dave Miller  
Chris French  
John Meagher  
Ruth Holleran

Keith Baines  
Andy Radka (to be confirmed)  
Jeff Triggs  
Min Burdett
















#### Agenda

1. Roll out criteria monitoring report latest update (handout at meeting) Min Burdett
2. Update on meetings with Pathway:
  - 10<sup>th</sup> November Delivery Meeting (discussions around roll-out criteria and general progress on Acceptance Rectification plans) John Meagher
  - Meeting with Richard Christou (9<sup>th</sup> November) Dave Miller
3. Options under the contract Jeff Triggs
4. Approach to Checkpoint meeting on 17<sup>th</sup> November Dave Miller
  - (output to include agreement on participants and any further actions to prepare for meeting)
5. Meetings going forward (see attached) Min Burdett

Version 0.4

**Roll-out 2000 Criteria - Monitoring Report**

Update for 15 Nov Pre-Checkpoint Meeting

|          | Week Commencing   |           |           |            |             | 21/10       | 28/10      | 4/11       | 11/11       | Total         | Red/Amber/Green   |
|----------|---|-----------|-----------|------------|-------------|-------------|------------|------------|-------------|---------------|---|
| AI 298/1 | The number of system stability incidents for the four week period 21/10 to 17/11 shall be less than 547.  |           |           |            |             | 119         | 201.5      | 112.5      |             | 433           | Amber    |
|          |   | 24/9-2/10 | 3/10-6/10 | 7/10-13/10 | 14/10-20/10 | 21/10-27/10 | 28/10-3/11 | 4/11-10/11 | 11/11-14/11 | Total/Average | Red/Amber/Green   |
| AI 376/1 | The percentage of cash accounts containing discrepancies shall not exceed 0.6%  |           | 44.92%    | 42.97%     | 32.04%      | 2.24%       | 0.90%      | 0.40%      |             | 16.05%        | Red      |
| AI 376/2 | No cash account discrepancy will be as a result of a cause previously reported to POCL as having been remedied  |           |           | 0          | 0           | 0           | 0          | 0          |             | 0             | Green    |
| AI 376/3 | All new causes of cash account will be analysed and have a rectification plan, submitted to POCL, within 10 days (Number without analysis/rectification plan)         | 5         | 1         | 7          | 2           | 4           | 1          |            |             | 20            | Red      |
| AI 376/4 | The Accounting Integrity Control Release would have identified all new Cash Account Discrepancies reported prior to 24 <sup>th</sup> November (number not identified) |           |           |            |             |             |            |            |             | No Data       | Amber    |
| AI 376/5 | Those elements of the Rectification Plan for AI 376 which are scheduled to be complete by 24/11 shall be complete   |           |           |            |             |             |            |            |             | On Track      | Green    |
|          | Week Commencing   |           |           | 4/10       | 11/10       | 18/10       | 25/10      | 1/11       | 8/11        | Total/Average | Red/Amber/Green   |
| AI 408/1 | Service Levels for answering Level 1&2 calls to the Help Desk is met in at least four of the six weeks as follows:  |           |           |            |             |             |            |            |             |               |   |
| a)       | 95% of first level calls to be resolved in 5 minutes  |           |           | 97%        | 95%         | 96%         | 96%        | 96%        |             |               | Green    |
| b)       | 100% of first level calls to be resolved in 10 minutes  |           |           | 100%       | 100%        | 100%        | 100%       | 100%       |             |               | Green    |
| c)       | 95% of second level calls to be resolved in 30 minutes  |           |           | 96%        | 100%        | 99%         | 99%        | 100%       |             |               | Green   |
| d)       | 100% of second level calls to be resolved in 45 minutes   |           |           | 98%        | 100%        | 100%        | 100%       | 100%       |             |               | Green  |
| AI 408/2 | Service Levels for answering 80% of calls to the Help Desk within 20 seconds is met in at least four of the six weeks   |           |           | 69%        | 82%         | 82%         | 66%        | 81%<br>72% |             |               | Amber  |
| AI 408/3 | Service Levels for cash account calls (no ring backs required) is met in at least four of the six weeks   |           |           | 5%         | 1%          | 0%          | 0%         | 0%         |             |               | Amber  |
| AI 408/4 | Service Levels for Cash Account repeat calls is met in at least four of the six weeks   |           |           | 0%         | 0%          | 0%          | 0%         | 0%         |             |               | Green  |
| AI 408/5 | Service Levels for 95% compliance on Cash Account call scripts is met in at least four of the six weeks   |           |           | N/A        | 40%         | N/A         | 36%        | 70%<br>24% |             |               | Amber  |
| AI 408/6 | The Contractor's Horizon System Helpdesk Service shall provide first, second and third level Services as described in Schedule G01                                    |           |           |            |             |             |            |            |             | No Data       | Amber  |



Version 0.4

## Roll-out 2000 Criteria - Monitoring Report

Update for 15 Nov Pre-Checkpoint Meeting

## Issues:

|    | Criteria | Issue  | Actions   | Responsibility                                      |
|----|----------|--|---|---|
| 1. | AI 298/1 | Pathway dispute whether the Blue Screens (possibly Energis switch fail) should be included (78 failures counted: 65 in CAP 32 and 13 in CAP 33)  | Pathway to respond to POCL's clarification of position  | Pathway (John Dicks)                                |
| 2. | AI 376/4 | Analysis of incidents to date is not complete. POCL have not had access to Pathway's design documentation, and so POCL will not be in a position to concur with Pathway's analysis.  | Pathway to present review of all incidents in next 376 report due 11 <sup>th</sup> November.<br>Pathway to consider whether POCL can have access to the documents   | Pathway (John Dicks)                                |
| 3. | AI 408/2 | During the week commencing 1 <sup>st</sup> November, Pathway believe there were mitigating factors for the failure to meet the service levels. A reference data fault (which Pathway believe was caused by POCL) resulted in a number of calls. Without these calls the service level would have been 81%. | POCL to consider Pathway's view of mitigating circumstances.  | (to be determined)                                  |
| 4. | AI 408/3 | The method of reporting is in dispute. POCL believe that these should be reported as integers not percentages, and that if there are any incidents in the week, this is a failed week.   | Pathway have agreed that their next report will show numbers  | Pathway (Paul Westfield)                            |
| 5. | AI 408/3 | During the week of the 18 <sup>th</sup> October, Pathway report a ring back in the text of the report, but not in the Service Level table.   | ATMs have discussed reason and agreed that the ring back on the 18 <sup>th</sup> October should not be included.  | Pathway (Paul Westfield and POCL (Dave McLaughlin)) |
| 6. | AI 408/5 | The agreed method of measurement has not been followed and may not be workable.  | POCL and Pathway have discussed an alternative means of monitoring based on a newly introduced HSH report showing the sequence scripts were used for each call. As only two weeks is left of the original monitoring period POCL and Pathway now need to agree the duration of the new monitoring process. Pathway's initial view is 2 weeks, POCL's initial view is 6 weeks. | (to be determined)                                  |
| 7. | AI 408/6 | POCL and Pathway have not agreed how this should be measured.  | Provide documentary evidence to support position  | Pathway (Paul Westfield)                            |

Extract from Roll-out Decision paper

Draft for Review

|                  | Date/Time  | Meeting                          | Purpose   | Inputs   | Participants  |
|------------------|--|----------------------------------|---|--|---|
| 9.               | 17 <sup>th</sup> November 1-3pm Boardroom                      | Checkstep meeting                | For POCL and Pathway to explore likely outcome of decision and its implications                             | Latest version of summary report containing measurements against criteria to date and issues arising                                       | Dave Miller, Dave Smith, Keith Baines, John Meagher, Ruth Holleran<br>Richard Christou, John Bennett, Tony Oppenheim, Mike Coombs |
| 10.              | 24 <sup>th</sup> November 12:30 to 2:30                        | Delivery Meeting                 | (In addition to normal agenda)<br>Agree measurements against criteria                                       | Latest version of summary report containing measurements against criteria to date and issues arising                                       | The usual Delivery Meeting Participants.  |
| 11.              | Some time 24 <sup>th</sup> to 26 <sup>th</sup> November<br>TBC | POCL Decision Meeting            | To decide whether rollout in 2000 should continue. Agree the implications of the decision and next actions. | Agreed measurements against criteria. Business impact assessment of any failed criteria (production coordinated by the POCL ATM)           | Dave Miller, Dave Smith, Keith Baines, Chris French, John Meagher, Ruth Holleran, Min Burdett, Jeff Triggs (on standby)           |
| 12. <sup>1</sup> | TBC (soon after 11) - could be telephone call                  | Communicate outcome of Decision  | To inform Pathway of POCL's decision and agree/confirm way forward  | Output from meeting 11.  | Dave Miller<br>Richard Christou   |
| 13.              | TBC (soon after 11)  | POCL Re-plan preparation meeting | To agree requirements and planning parameters for re-testing, demonstration and roll-out.                   | Contingency planning output (from Release Management - 17 <sup>th</sup> Nov Checkpoint meeting should indicate if contingency plan needed) | Chris French, John Meagher, Andrew Simpkins (DN other participation depends on nature of failure)                                 |
| 14.              | TBC  | Joint Re-plan session            | To develop a new plan incorporating re-testing and demonstration and new roll-out plan                      | Output from 13.  | Andrew Simpkins<br>Mike Coombs  |
| 15.              | TBC (if needed)  | Commercial Meeting               | To agree any changes to the contract  | Output from meeting 11.  | Keith Baines, Jeff Triggs<br>Tony Oppenheim   |

<sup>1</sup> Hereafter, the activities are contingent on POCL having given notice of their intention to postpone the resumption of the roll-out. The meetings are likely to be modified by the output of meeting 11.