

10 AUG 1999 - 032

To Bruce McNiven/POCL/POSTOFFICE@POSTOFFICE, Keith K
Baines/POCL/POSTOFFICE@POSTOFFICE
cc
Hard Copy To
Hard Copy cc
From Chris French@MAILHUB
Date 12/08/99 13:33
Subject FW: Acceptance

From: French, Chris
To: David W Miller
Subject: Acceptance
Date: 10 August 1999 13:12

Dave,

From yesterday's wrap up meeting, you were considering whether or not it was not it was in POCL's interests to declare to ICL Pathway that Acceptance could not be given on 16th.

This is based on a literal (hard nosed) view of the contractual situation.

1. The Data Integrity/ Acceptance Incident (376) is an agreed High
2. The end date of retest is, I believe, in the contract as 2 weeks after the end of the core observation period - which gives 9th August for end of retest.
3. 376 had not been retested satisfactorily by that date.

(In fact the only extant plan for resolution of 376 is a codified work around)

There may be value in recording the position with Pathway even if we allow more time for the retest (e.g. the 3 weeks Ruth feels is a minimum level of observation).

Regards

Chris