From:	,		OU=EXCHANGE ADMINIS IENTS/CN=AB7222DDA3A		
Sent:	Tue 19/03/2019 10:0	0:05 PM (UTC	;)		
То:	Gideon Cohen	GRO	; Tom Beezer	GRO]
Cc:	David Cavender[Stephanie Wood[GRO GRO]; Andrew Parsons[]; Dave Panaech[GRO GRO	; ;
Subject:	RE: timing of readine	ess for recusal	[WBDUK-AC.FID2689694	5]	
Attachment:	_DISPUTERESOLUTION_154878848(1)_Recusal Application - Witness Statement.DOCX				
Gideon					

Please find attached a very rough draft of the witness statement, which includes the "back story".

We have been through all the CMC Skeles and transcripts to locate relevant material – the only thing missing is anything which is contained in the transcripts for the CIT which we are checking tomorrow. I however inserted the sections which were in David's note

The structure of the witness statements is then as follows:

- Section 1: Background very short introduction. Query how much procedural detail we want to include in this section. If this document is more aimed at Court of Appeal (on the assumption that the judge will not recuse himself) then we may want to include more detail, or potential an Annex with a chronology. We would welcome your thoughts on this.
- Section 2: Scope of Common Issues Trial. This includes all relevant quotes from the numerous CMCs are which the scope of witness evidence has been discussed. Each CMC is in separate section and it runs through them in chronological order.

At the moment, we are included far more detail / quotes then I think are necessary but for now have included everything so as you have visibility of the material there is.

- Section 3: Scope of disclosure. This contains the relevant quotes from the CMCs on 2 Feb and 22 Feb 18 at which the scope of disclosure was limited by the Judge. Again, we have included more material than necessary so as you have visibility.
- Section 4: Common Issues Judgment. This section needs completing once we have decided which parts of the judgment are going to be concentrated on. Subject to views, I have suggested the key areas should be:
 - Witnesses Criticisms of POL's witnesses which is going to have an impact on future trials;
 - Findings Findings which have been made but where full disclosure or evidence has not been given since the finding is outside of CIT. Emphasise on point that judge has caused this issue by the way in which he ordered staged trials and Model C disclosure. Show that biased as stuck with these decisions going forward.
 - PGQC XX Examples where he has not stopped PGQC with out of scope XX.
 - Disclosure Examples where narrow disclosure and then made findings on this ie. helpline and Horizon investigations features.

One other question to consider – do we want to include stuff in the witness statement which has happened in the HIT? For example, POL being asked to do a witness statement for disclosure of audit reports, but no pushing over the lack of Cs disclosure; and I am sure Owain will be able to provide us with other example which show the bias has continued into Trial 2.

Once you have had a chance to look at this, it might be helpful to have a call to discuss the plan for finalising this - I am conscious this is a very rough document and will need quite a lot of polishing.

Many thanks Amy

Amy Prime

Solicitor Womble Bond Dickinson (UK) LLP



<u>Stay informed: sign up to our e-alerts</u> Join us for Disrupting Disputes 2.0 20 March 2019 at the British Library Book your place <u>here</u>



womblebonddickinson.com



From: Gideon Cohen [GRO Sent: 19 March 2019 21:21 To: Tom Beezer Cc: Amy Prime; David Cavender; Andrew Parsons; Stephanie Wood Subject: Re: timing of readiness for recusal [WBDUK-AC.FID26896945]

On 19 Mar 2019, at 20:28, Tom Beezer <	GRO	·>
wrote:		

All

Jane at POL is wanting an update on timing of readiness for recusal if the button is pressed tomorrow.

Could each of you comment on what I set out below (and what I do set out below is just my understanding of where we are, so do correct at will...)...:

- Letter to Freeths – Amy is doing that. Yet to be done, but is only short.

- Application Notice. Gideon. Done I believe?

Stephanie has done a draft, on which I have commented. Stephanie, once you are happy with it, could you please circulate?

- Draft Order. Done I believe. I think I have seen a copy.

Yes, circulated on Sunday. Please let me know if any comments.

- Draft witness statement. Work in progress. Amy P is collating the back story (quotes from hearings etc) and then Amy and Gideon to bully that into a first draft. Realistic for tomorrow ?

Difficult to comment on timings until I've seen a draft. More fundamentally, spoke about this to Tony today. His view was that we might want to hold this back for the hearing, and not put in a WS at all. That would involve beefing up the App Notice slightly.

- Skeleton Arg'. David, Gideon - where is that sitting and how far off a draft to AGQC are we?

I spent much of today drafting it with AGQC. We are well advanced - and anyway we will obviously not be putting this in with the application notice.

Are there any other bits I have missed ?

If POL said "yes" tomorrow when would we serve Freeths and Judge ? When do we think we will try an engineer the hearing to be ? Friday ? Next week ?

Won't be Friday, because it will be contested and the other side will want to put in a skeleton argument. I think back end of next week would be the very earliest.

Also, we need to think REALLY carefully about ensuring that Lord Grabiner is available to do the hearing. Andy P says this Judge is very much of the "do it when I say" camp and not when Counsel is free.

David, Gideon – how can we ensure that lord Grabiner will be free for the hearing ? Is that a note from him to the Judge ? Is that something the Clerks sort out ?

Have you spoken to the clerks?

All thought gratefully received.

Jane wants me to send her a timing update early tomorrow morning.

Т

Tom Beezer
Partner
Womble Bond Dickinson (UK) LLP
d:
m:
t:
GRO
+
GRO

Stay informed: sign up to our e-alerts<<u>https://www.womblebonddickinson.com/uk/preferences</u>>

Join us for Disrupting Disputes 2.0 20 March 2019 at the British Library Book your place here<<u>https://www.womblebonddickinson.com/uk/insights/events/disrupting-disputes-20</u>>

<imageb2a78b.PNG><http://>
womblebonddickinson.com<
<imageecd8ee.PNG><
<image8fa8ae.PNG><https://www.linkedin.com/wbd_uk

Please consider the environment! Do you need to print this email?

The information in this e-mail and any attachments is confidential and may be legally privileged and protected by law. gcohen(<u>GRO</u> only is authorised to access this e-mail and any attachments. If you are not gcohen[<u>GRO</u>]>, please notify tom.beezet[<u>GRO</u>]> as soon as possible and delete any copies. Unauthorised use, dissemination, distribution, publication or copying of this communication or attachments is prohibited and may be unlawful. Information about how we use personal data is in our Privacy Policy<https://www.womblebonddickinson.com/uk/privacy-policy> on our website.

Any files attached to this e-mail will have been checked by us with virus detection software before transmission. Womble Bond Dickinson (UK) LLP accepts no liability for any loss or damage which may be caused by software viruses and you should carry out your own virus checks before opening any attachment.

Content of this email which does not relate to the official business of Womble Bond Dickinson (UK) LLP, is neither given nor endorsed by it.

This email is sent by Womble Bond Dickinson (UK) LLP which is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales under number OC317661. Our registered office is 4 More London Riverside, London, SE1 2AU, where a list of members' names is open to inspection. We use the term partner to refer to a member of the LLP, or an employee or consultant who is of equivalent standing. Our VAT registration number is GB123393627.

Womble Bond Dickinson (UK) LLP is a member of Womble Bond Dickinson (International) Limited, which consists of independent and autonomous law firms providing services in the US, the UK, and elsewhere around the world. Each Womble Bond Dickinson entity is a separate legal entity and is not responsible for the acts or omissions of, nor can bind or obligate, another Womble Bond Dickinson entity. Womble Bond Dickinson (International) Limited does not practice law. Please see <a href="http://www.womblebonddickinson.com/legal http://www.womblebonddickinson.com/legal notices for further details.

Womble Bond Dickinson (UK) LLP is authorised and regulated by the Solicitors Regulation Authority.

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service. For more information please visit <u>http://www.symanteccloud.com</u> For more information please visit http://www.symanteccloud.com