From: "Parsons, Andrew" GRO
To: Anthony de Garr Robinson GRO
Cc: "Prime, Amy" { GRO , "Gribben, Jonathan"
Subject: RE: Remote Access [BD-4A.FID26859284]
Date: Thu, 21 Jul 2016 09:24:18 +0100
Importance: Normal
•
Ok. Speak later (that's if you can speak at all!). A
Andrew Parsons
Partner Pand Biolinean LLB
Bond Dickinson LLP Tel: GRO
From: Anthony de Garr Robinson
Sent: 21/07/2016 08:33 To: <u>Parsons, Andrew</u>
Cc: Prime, Amy; Gribben, Jonathan
Subject: RE: Remote Access [BD-4A.FID26859284]
Thanks, Andy.
GRO but should be back by 10am. So
it looks like we'll be speaking at 12.
Tony
Original Message From: Parsons, Andrew [mailto: GRO Sent: 21 July 2016 07:58 To: Anthony de Garr Robinson GRO Cc: Prime, Amy GRO; GRO; Gro Subject: RE: Remote Access [BD-4A.FID26859284]
Tony
Fair enough.
Please find attached a re-worked version of the language - now more directly and transparently explaining how Post Office can change branch accounts.
This re-draft draws a clearer distinction between:
1. The factual allegation that Post Office has been changing branch accounts and that this is the true reason for the shortfalls in branches.
2. The allegation that Post Office concealed its capabilities in this regard - which, at the moment, only goes to the limitation point
The second is the more difficult point to address. First, it is not yet 100% clear that the Super User access does affect branch accounting, though this seems very likely. Second, the reason that Post Office made incorrect statements is that it relied on confirmations from FJ (see attached email as an example). At some point, we may well wish to disclose the attached email in order to show that Post Office did not "deliberately" mislead the Claimants. This will however need to be carefully managed with Fujitsu. From a tactical perspective, this may be better done once Freeths have more accurately stated their case on concealment.
IRRELEVANT — would you be available for a call at 9:30? If not, would 12 noon work?

Kind regards Andy
Andrew Parsons Partner
Direct: GRO Mobile
Follow Bond Dickinson:
www.bonddickinson.com
Original Message From: Anthony de Garr Robinson [mailto: GRO] Sent: 20 July 2016 20:55 To: Parsons, Andrew
Cc: Prime, Amy; Gribben, Jonathan Subject: Re: Remote Access [BD-4A.FID26859284]
Dear Andy,
I've had a quick look at the drafting and I don't think it will wash. First of all, we appear to have ignored the second route by which I understand it is possible for data to be altered remotely - the one that involves PO and has happened only once since 2010.
Second, we boldly say that they haven't given proper particulars of our concealment of the remote data alteration facility, but for years virtually every document we submitted positively said that data could not be altered remotely. We need to say something that at least provides a basis for explaining how we came to do this, even if we can't bring ourselves actually to acknowledge that we have made false statements in the past and to explain why.
We must assume that this letter is going to be pored over for many hours at trial, at which time the court will know everything. Attempting to brush this under the carpet to avoid short term embarrassment would do profound damage to our long term credibility. In my view it would be the worst possible thing to do. It really is the case that in many cases cover ups give a much worse impression and are much more serious than the things sought to be covered up (in this case, our previous inconsistent statements).
It may well be that I have got the wrong end of the stick, but this drafting makes me very nervous indeed.
Talk tomorrow?
Tony
Sent from my iPhone
On 20 Jul 2016, at 20:06, Parsons, Andrew { GRO }>> wrote:
Tony
Please find attached the latest version of the letter - this hasn't materially changed since your amendments. I've highlighted in Blue in the attached letter where these two sections on remote access will be fit in - see pages 22 and 45.
Kind regards
Andy

Andrew Parsons	
Partner	
Direct:	
Mobile: GRO	
Follow Bond Dickinson:	
Tonon Bona Brannoon	
www.bonddickinson.com	

<image001.jpg><http: www.bondickinson.com=""></http:></image001.jpg>
Direct: GRO Mobile:
Follow Bond Dickinson:
<image002.jpg><https: bond_dickinson="" twitter.com=""><image003.jpg><http: 3098928?trk="tyah" company="" www.linkedin.com=""></http:></image003.jpg></https:></image002.jpg>
www.bonddickinson.com http://www.bonddickinson.com/http://www.bonddickinson.com/www.bonddickinson.com/http://www.bonddickinson.com/www.bonddickinson.com/www.bonddickinson.com/www.bonddickinson.com/www.bonddickinson.com/www.bonddickinson.com/www.bonddickinson.com/www.bonddickinson.com/
From: Anthony de Garr Robinson [mailto GRO]
Sent: 20 July 2016 19:16
To: Parsons, Andrew
Cc: Prime, Amy; Gribben, Jonathan
Subject: RE: Remote Access [BD-4A.FID26859284]
Dear Andy,
I'm more or less at my budget limit. What do I do?
Best wishes,
Tony
From: Parsons, Andrew [mailto: GRO]
Sent: 20 July 2016 19:05
To: Anthony de Garr Robinson
GRO >>>
Cc: Prime, Amy
GRO ; Gribben, Jonathan
GRO Mailto GRO Mailto GRO GRO GRO GRO Com%3cmailto GRO Smailto GRO Com%3cmailto GRO Smailto GRO GRO Smailto GRO GRO
Subject: Remote Access [BD-4A.FID26859284]

Tony
Would you mind reviewing the attached wording on the remote access issues?
Having reviewed the Letter of Claim, the remote access point is only specifically raised in relation to limitation / s.32 concealment. It is not cited as a ground for (i) deceit or (ii) unwinding the settlement agreements - although it could in due course be used for these purposes.
We have expressly referred to balancing transactions and Super User access in the attached, without drawing specific attention to them. Is this transparent enough or should we go further?
Kind regards
Andy
Andrew Parsons
Partner
<image001.jpg><http: www.bondickinson.com=""></http:></image001.jpg>
Direct: Mobile: GRO
Follow Bond Dickinson:
<image002.jpg><https: bond_dickinson="" twitter.com=""><image003.jpg><http: 3098928?trk="tyah" company="" www.linkedin.com=""></http:></image003.jpg></https:></image002.jpg>
www.bonddickinson.com <http: www.bonddickinson.com=""></http:> http://www.bonddickinson.com%3chttp://www.bonddickinson.com/>>
Please consider the environment! Do you need to print this email?
The information in this e-mail and any attachments is confidential and may be legally privileged and protected by law. arobinson(
> only is authorised to access this e-mail and any attachments. If you are not arobinson GRO
aroundon; gro

>, please notify		
andrew.parsons; GRO	as soon as possible and delete any co	pies. Unauthorised use, dissemination
distribution, publication or copying of t	GRO as soon as possible and delete any co his communication or attachments is prohibited	and may be unlawful.
	we been checked by us with virus detection softw	
LLP accepts no liability for any loss or checks before opening any attachment.	damage which may be caused by software virus	es and you should carry out your own virus
checks before opening any attachment.		
Content of this email which does not re	late to the official business of Bond Dickinson L	I P is neither given nor endorsed by it
Content of this chian which does not re	late to the official ousiness of Bolid Blekinson E	221, is ilettici given noi endoised by it.
This email is sent by Bond Dickinson I	LP which is a limited liability partnership regist	ered in England and Wales under number
	fore London Riverside, London, SE1 2AU, when	
	efer to a member of the LLP, or an employee or	consultant who is of equivalent standing.
Our VAT registration number is GB123	393627.	
Dand Dialinean LLD is sutherized and	and the state of t	
Bond Dickinson LLP is authorised and	regulated by the Solicitors Regulation Authority	<i>'</i> .
This email has been scanned by the Syr	mantec Email Security.cloud service.	
For more information please visit <a href="http://www.http://www.ntm.ntm.ntm.ntm.ntm.ntm.ntm.ntm.ntm.ntm</td><td>/www.symanteccloud.com</td><td></td></tr><tr><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr><tr><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr><tr><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr><tr><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr><tr><td>This email has been scanned by the Syr</td><td>mantec Email Security.cloud service.</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>T</td><td></td><td></td></tr><tr><td>For more information please visit <a href=" http:="" td="" www.http:="" www.ntm.ntm.ntm.ntm.ntm.ntm.ntm.ntm.ntm.ntm<=""><td>/www.symanteccloud.com</td><td></td>	/www.symanteccloud.com	
TI: 11 1 1 C	F 16 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
This email has been scanned by the Syr	nantec Email Security.cloud service.	
For more information please visit http://	/www.symanteccloud.com	
This email has been scanned by the Syr	mantec Email Security.cloud service.	
For more information please visit <a "="" href="http://www.http://www.nts.ntm.nts.ntm.nts.ntm.nts.ntm.nts.ntm.nts.ntm.nts.ntm.nts.ntm.nts.ntm.nts.ntm.nts.ntm.nts.ntm.nts.ntm.nts.ntm.nts.ntm.nts.ntm.nts.nts.nts.nts.nts.nts.nts.nts.nts.nts</td><td>/www.symanteccloud.com</td><td></td></tr><tr><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr><tr><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr><tr><td>This email has been scanned by the Syr</td><td></td><td></td></tr><tr><td>For more information please visit http://	/www.symanteccloud.com	

<_DOC_33380020(1)_DRAFT Letter of Response 16 July 2016.docx>
This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service. For more information please visit http://www.symanteccloud.com
This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service.
For more information please visit http://www.symanteccloud.com
This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service.
For more information please visit http://www.symanteccloud.com