



Redacted document review – 18 March 2019

Trial Bundle Ref	Begin Bates	Reviewed by Owain and referred to in WBD letter?	WBD Comments
F/1549	POL-0220220	Yes	<p>Document has been redacted on the basis that it refers to legally privileged material. Redactions were all maintained following Owain's review. This document is redacted on the basis that it refers to "Sparrow" – reference to a legally privileged project and the recommendations or concerns from Project Sparrow are privileged.</p>
F/1225	POL-0216221	No	<p>Redaction on page 2 seems to have been done on the basis of irrelevance and confidential, but this seems questionable.</p>
F/1664	POL-0221328	Yes	<p>The majority of the document has been redacted on the basis of confidentiality and irrelevance. Some sections of the document has been redacted on the basis of legal advice and/or litigation privilege.</p> <p>This is a collection of board papers. Unless stated otherwise these have been redacted on the basis of irrelevance and confidentiality.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1 to 2 – actions for June 2017 operations board. • 4 to 22 – Health and safety update • 23 to 29 – Cash management report • 30 to 47 - Supply chain ops board update • 48 to 80 – Network Operations • 81 to 94 – Operations Board. • Pages 86 to 88 have been disclosed and sections of 90 and 91

Trial Bundle Ref	Begin Bates	Reviewed by Owain and referred to in WBD letter?	WBD Comments
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li data-bbox="1052 347 1680 375">94 to 109 – IT Performance. All pages disclosed. Redactions were all maintained following Owain's review.
F/610	POL-0215620	No	Document has been redacted on the basis of confidentiality and irrelevance.
F/639	POL-0215539	Yes	Document has been redacted on the basis of confidentiality and irrelevance. Redactions were all maintained following Owain's review.
F/658	POL-0215545	Yes	Document has been redacted on the basis of confidentiality and irrelevance. Following Owain's review the redactions that had been made under the heading of "IT" were removed. Remainder of the document remained redacted as irrelevant.
F/657	POL-0215543	Yes	Document has been redacted on the basis of confidentiality and irrelevance. Following Owain's review the redaction at the end of the document was removed so as the author was shown. Remainder of the document remained redacted as irrelevant.
F/708	POL-0215536	No	Document has been redacted on the basis of confidentiality and irrelevance.
F/1402	POL-0221031	Yes	This document is redacted on the basis that it refers to "Sparrow" – reference to a legally privileged project. When Owain reviewed the document we removed a redaction (on page 6) where privilege had been over claimed since it concerned lessons POL learned from process of defending claim. Redaction on page 2 remained as reference to legal advice.