



"Stephen Dilley"

GRO

07/11/2006 11:34

To: "Pinder Brian" <Brian.Pinder@GRO>

cc: <graham.c.ward@GRO>, "Tom Beezer"

<martyn.mitchell@GRO>, <mandy.talbot@GRO>

Subject: Post Office Limited v Lee Castleton

Dear Brian,

Thanks for your email of yesterday, which was helpful.

1. One of the things that each subpostmaster has to do is to make a cash declaration each day, stating what quantity of each denomination of cash they have in their till.

We have many, but not all of Mr Castleton's cash declarations. As an example, I attach copies of what some of them look like. We are missing the cash declarations made from and including **Friday 12 March 2004** onwards and ideally could do with them at a minimum up to and including Wednesday 24 March 2004 (but preferably **Wednesday 31 March 2004** for completeness).

The P.O. are going to e-mail me a spreadsheet showing the total cash declared each day in January, February and March 2004 (as an example, I attach January's spreadsheet). However, that spreadsheet does not show the quantity of each denomination which Mr Castleton declared on each day. Is this something that you would be able to obtain from the Horizon archives? It stands to reason that if the subpostmaster has to tell the computer what quantities of what denomination he has, the computer must record that information somewhere and that consequently, we should be able to retrieve it. If so, please could you obtain it and forward it to me?

2. The Court has ordered the parties to disclose any further documents which may help or hinder their case. In this context, a "document" means anything in which information of any description is recorded, so it includes a computer database. So far, we have disclosed many documents including spreadsheets received from you and the P.O. that were extracted from the database. We have not named the database itself as a document, which we will now do. Is there a formal name for it? What data does it contain that we have not so far received?

3. It is possible (although not very likely) that Mr Castleton's solicitors may wish to inspect the database by 27 November. (The Court rules state we must give them access if they require it). If they do, is there one computer either at the P.O or Fujitsu where they could get access to all of the data relating to Marine Drive? My impression from the P.O. is that there is not one particular person there who has access to everything and that the P.O must make a formal request to Fujitsu for data (above a certain number per month) and that accordingly, it is more likely that there will be one person at Fujitsu who will have access to all of the data on the database.

I look forward to hearing from you as soon as possible.

Kind regards.

Stephen Dilley
Solicitor
for and on behalf of Bond Pearce LLP

DDI: **GRO**
Main office phone: **GRO**
Fax: **GRO**
www.bondpearce.com

The information in this e-mail and any attachments are confidential and may be legally privileged and protected by law. The intended recipient only is authorised to access this e-mail and any attachments. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender as soon as possible and delete any copies. Unauthorised use, dissemination, distribution, publication or copying of this communication is prohibited.

Any files attached to this e-mail will have been checked by us with virus detection software before transmission. You should carry out your own virus checks before opening any attachment. Bond Pearce LLP accepts no liability for any loss or damage which may be caused by software viruses.

Bond Pearce LLP is a Limited Liability Partnership registered in England and Wales number OC311430.

Registered Office: 3 Temple Quay, Temple Back East, Bristol, BS1 6DZ.

A list of Members is available from our registered office. Any reference to a Partner in relation to Bond Pearce LLP means a Member of Bond Pearce LLP. Bond Pearce LLP is regulated by the



Law Society. eCopy scanned document Events 1 to 31 Jan 04 Cash d