

Message

From: Paula Vennells
on behalf of Paula Vennells
Sent: 24/05/2013 07:03:22
To: Alice Perkins CB
Subject: Fwd: JA call

GRO

GRO

Not out of the woods but sounding better. You will see I have been more explicit! P

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Paula Vennells <GRO>
Date: 24 May 2013 08:02:06 BST
To: Alwen Lyons <GRO>, Theresa Iles
<GRO>
Cc: Mark R Davies <GRO>, Martin Edwards
<GRO>, Susan Crichton <GRO>
Lesley J Sewell <GRO>
Subject: Re: JA call

Thanks Alwen. Let me know where you get to by end of today.
I'd like a weekly update - just you and me is fine and we can extend if necessary leading up to the interim review. 30 mins should do and happy to have over the phone when necessary.
Theresa pls could you set up?

Thx Paula

Sent from my iPhone

On 24 May 2013, at 07:34, "Alwen Lyons" <GRO> wrote:

Paula

Lesley and I had a discussion yesterday and she is taking this forward with Fujitsu today to ensure we get high level support for the review, and clear evidence about any central system which can adjust sub postmaster accounts if it exists, and its controls.

Susan and I have a call with SS at 930 when I will relay messages from James and challenge as to why they have suggested there are failures at this stage.

I have contacted Janet to ask for a meeting but have had no reply. My concern is that she is away, as I know she was planning a trip home to NZ and may not be available until after recess so we loose 2 weeks!

I think the way forward is to try to get SS to work as agreed yesterday Paula. The risk is James' letter ends up not saying what we heard but we cannot risk the delay

Thanks

Alwen

Alwen Lyons

Company Secretary

GRO

Sent from Blackberry

----- Original Message -----

From: Paula Vennells

Sent: Friday, May 24, 2013 05:36 AM

To: Alwen Lyons

Cc: Mark R Davies; Martin Edwards; Susan Crichton; Lesley J Sewell

Subject: JA call

Dear all, just a quick note to say thank you for your help and briefing for the above.

Alwen has a set of notes from the call, so you are very welcome to consult those for a full debrief.

It went as well as we could have hoped. James agreed to most of what we asked him to do. We will need to wait for him to consult Janet to be sure. But the call will have moved things on and that is good.

The most important outcome to secure is the clarity around the two parts to the ToR.

Alwen, did you catch up with Janet? As you said, it is critical that we keep up the momentum from the call: narrowing back down SS to the ToR is urgent - ie., James' letter. It might help if she realises that unless the letter goes soon, James won't get his interim meeting in time.

Can you confirm by return what you see as next steps by who/when for the different parts to this iinc., stakeholders, so we can be clear by end of today?

Thanks again,

Paula
Sent from my iPhone

This email and any attachments are confidential and intended for the addressee only. If you are not the named recipient, you must not use, disclose, reproduce, copy or distribute the contents of this communication. If you have received this in error, please contact the sender by reply email and then delete this email from your system. Any views or opinions expressed within this email are solely those of the sender, unless otherwise specifically stated.

POST OFFICE LIMITED is registered in England and Wales no 2154540. Registered Office: 148 OLD STREET,
LONDON EC1V 9HQ.
