

Message

From: Paula Vennells [GRO]
on behalf of Paula Vennells [GRO]
Sent: 06/07/2013 09:35:57
To: Martin Edwards [GRO]; Mark R Davies [GRO]; Lesley J Sewell [GRO]; Susan Crichton [GRO]; Alwen Lyons [GRO]
CC: Theresa Iles [GRO]
Subject: Fwd: Proposed way forward

FYI and for any thoughts pls
Paula
Sent from my iPad

Begin forwarded message:

From: Paula Vennells [GRO]
Date: 6 July 2013 10:35:03 BST
To: Alan Bates [GRO]
Subject: Re: Proposed way forward

Alan, thank you for the note. Yes, I thought the meeting with James was positive too. My main concern is still how we manage the publicity, to avoid - as you said - it 'going ballistic'.

We had a useful conversation re a statement from James with quotes from you and me, or possible joint statement. And agreed we would pick up again on Monday.

Ours is now bring re-worked in the light of that and as we liaise with SS over the weekend on some changes to the report where it is factually inaccurate. I am hopeful these will be addressed.

Once I have a final draft, I would be happy to send across to you.

It would be good to meet on Monday. And as I haven't met Kay, then I would be happy to extend the meeting to include her and I would bring Alwen Lyons, who is our Company Secretary - Alwen has been the key lead on the liaison with James' office.

In the meantime, I hope you enjoy the glorious weather - at last!

Paula

Ps. You were on my list to call today but I imagine this email exchange is sufficient now? However, if you would like to speak at any time, don't hesitate to text me.

Sent from my iPad

On 6 Jul 2013, at 09:51, "Alan Bates" [GRO] wrote:

Hello Paula

I understand the meeting with James Arbuthnot went well on Friday and I believe he will be discussing his views with me on Monday morning.

I am sure you will agree that it is important that we have even an outline document of the proposed way forward we have discussed, before the MPs' meeting. As soon as it is available, I would appreciate seeing your version of what is proposed, hopefully amended to address the comment below.

Looking through my notes from our last conversation, there is an early item of concern, that being the name of the panel which you referred to as the 'user group'. Whilst I can appreciate you want such a group to continue on into the future, at which time such a name may be suitable. Initially, and whilst it is also looking at the issues surrounding the report and the cases, possibly 'task group' or 'working party' might be more accurate, as technically, the bulk of JFSA are ex users, and others will no doubt pick up on the name. I could offer 'review board', but I could see that might not be acceptable.

Regarding Monday 8th, do you still want to meet? If we do meet, and others are to attend, I would like Kay Linnell, who has been working with us for the last year, to accompany me. With travel arrangement to finalise, I would appreciate a response to that point as soon as you are able to let me know.

Regards

Alan