

From: Sharron Logan [GRO]
Sent: Thur 21/12/2017 10:09:06 AM (UTC)
To: Jim Coney [GRO]; Andrew S McCabe [GRO]; Matt Mowbray [GRO]; Joel Watson [GRO]; Steven Moseley [GRO]
Subject: FW: Prosecution meeting outcome

FYI



Sharron Logan

Security Operations Lead - South

**2017 Winner of the
Global Postal Award
for Customer
Experience**

Post Office Security,
Future Walk, 1 West Bars,
Chesterfield, S49 1PF
[GRO]

From: Mark Raymond
Sent: 21 December 2017 10:06
To: Robert Daily [GRO]
Cc: Helen Dickinson [GRO]; Sharron Logan [GRO]; Christopher G Knight [GRO]
Subject: RE: Prosecution meeting outcome

Hi Robert,

As far as liaison cases go we will continue to support any cases being progressed by external prosecuting authorities. I raised this issue and it will be on the agenda for the January meeting. My view is that we will remain in the current position for some time with a very generic disclosure that we already provide, as a form of words as you know that gives very little.

The conclusions of the report are yet to be finalized and the SME is yet to be sourced so timing is a real issue. It is also clear that paramount is the test in the civil case, so I doubt anything will be made available to any criminal proceedings before this happens. I stress that is only my opinion, but hopefully in the new year this issue will be addressed.

As an aside we will also discuss the potential civil recovery options in Scotland that we discussed.

Regards

Mark



Mark Raymond

Head of Security Operations

**2017 Winner of the
Global Postal Award
for Customer**

Post Office Limited
Finsbury Dials

Experience

20 Finsbury Street
London
EC2Y 9AQ

GRO

mark.raymond GRO

For Government Security Classifications

OFFICIAL please use:

mark.raymond GRO

From: Robert Daily
Sent: Thursday, December 21, 2017 9:06 AM
To: Mark Raymond
Cc: Helen Dickinson; Sharron Logan
Subject: RE: Prosecution meeting outcome

Mark

Police liaison enquiries.

Is there any "set" advice we will have to give when approached by the Police for assistance in an enquiry. I.e. the Postmaster has reported a member of staff to the Police for theft (potential use of Horizon to disguise the theft).

Regards

Robert



**2017 Winner of the
Global Postal Award for
Customer Experience**

Robert Daily

Security & Investigation Manager

Post Office Ltd
Admin Office/CTO,
230 Springburn Way,
Glasgow, G21 1BU

GRO

robert.daily GRO

For Government Security Classifications

OFFICIAL, please use:

robert.daily GRO

From: Mark Raymond**Sent:** 20 December 2017 19:12**To:** Andrew S McCabe [GRO] Jim Coney [GRO] Joel Watson

[GRO] Kevin Ryan [GRO] Matt Mowbray
[GRO] Robert Daily [GRO] Simon I Hutchinson
[GRO] Steve Bradshaw [GRO] Steven Moseley
[GRO] Andrew Wise [GRO] Stephanie J Ball
[GRO] Sandra Daykin [GRO] Sharron Logan
[GRO] Helen Dickinson [GRO] Christopher G Knight
[GRO] Wayne Z Griffiths [GRO]

Subject: Prosecution meeting outcome

Hi All,

I just wanted to give you a quick update from the prosecution's meeting with Jane and Mark yesterday.

A report has been produced externally examining the issues with regards to the Group Action litigation, that is due to commence hearings in November 2018. The primary function of the report is to support the POL case in the civil arena; however moving forward the findings will be equally applicable to criminal trials. The report has been considered by a specialist external lawyer. Certain findings have been referred back for clarity but overall there appear to be no major flaws.

When the report is fully complete the QC will provide his opinion and recommendations. The next stage will be to identify a subject matter expert who will act for POL in all future hearings, be it Group Action, CCRC or criminal prosecutions.

What has been highlighted is the risk of testing a case in the criminal court prior to the civil hearing, where the burden of proof has to be beyond all reasonable doubt, as opposed to the balance of probability in civil cases. The risk is that should a trial collapse, or a not guilty verdict be reached, this could have a devastating impact on the civil cases, in particular if the Judge made negative comments against POL. The counter argument is that without prosecutions our losses are increasing hugely and will continue to be so.

At this stage the risk appetite dictates that every case will be reviewed on its merits, weight of evidence and public interest as it is now, however we are unlikely to proceed to prosecute until post-civil action, unless there are exceptional circumstances. This is how we operate at present but as you know the business can change policy at any time.

There will be a legal/prosecution workshop held in January that will consider the impact of prosecuting or not, and looking at the way we deal with investigations, for example, should we continue to carry out PACE interviews if the policy is not to prosecute etc. After this meeting we will have a finalized and clear steer as to our function moving forward.

On a more positive note, the wish of the board is that as soon as practicable we return to being a fully functional prosecution team. Our team numbers will be increased considerably, we will have a full FI capability and our legal team will have a criminal section purely to support prosecutions (the good old days – before my time).

I appreciate (as do Jane and Mark) the frustration of the whole process for everyone, and thank you all for your efforts, hard work and can-do attitude. After the January meeting we will have a team conference call so I can give you the updates and decisions taken. In the meantime if you have any questions about the above please give me a call.

Kind regards

Mark



**2017 Winner of the
Global Postal Award
for Customer
Experience**

Mark Raymond

Head of Security Operations

Post Office Limited

Finsbury Dials

20 Finsbury Street

London

EC2Y 9AQ

GRO

mark.raymond

GRO

For Government Security Classifications

OFFICIAL please use:

mark.raymond

GRO