

Jonny,

In relation to the following questions on the attached (answers are also on the attachment):

The SLA reports that Fujitsu have provided to POL monthly from April 2002 to July 2018 have now been uploaded to a new folder "Fujitsu SLA Reports" in your HighQ repository. Please note that between 2002 and August 2010 the SLAs are included within the body of one or more reports which are provided as either Word or PDF format, over time the exact format of what was provided evolves somewhat. From September 2010 on the SLAs are provided in a standalone Excel file each month. From my checks I noted that there are no reports for September 2005 and November 2007.

List of TFS closed with SD codes sent by Dave Ibbett at 09:50 07-Aug-2018.

Peak does not currently have a mechanism to export the 222,000 problems / incidents from the last 18 years. For a meaningful export it would need both the narrative and the evidence attached. This evidence will include large files (e.g. Database dumps). It would be possible to develop an application to export the Peaks only, minus attached evidence. The TfS system contains 32462 records from the last 18 months, and we have requested a dump of the system but this will not be ready for sharing by 08-Aug-2018.

) As v).

) We received this from Torstein: I am puzzled by the statement that "PO was making TC decisions based on the filtered not the unfiltered." I don't think POL make TC decisions based on ARQ data as to do so would imply a very unwieldy process. The delta between filtered and unfiltered data depends on the filter applied. Unfiltered data is the set of all audit data (for a branch). A filter will result in some data (presumably deemed irrelevant to a particular purpose) not being included in the resulting ARQ. We have previously provided a list of the filters that have been set up in the ARQ system. P&PS, Digital Technology Services

Fujitsu

Lovelace Road, Bracknell, Berkshire, RG12 8SN



Web: https://www.fujitsu.com/global/

 From: Jonathan Gribben [mailto
 GRO

 Sent: 07 August 2018 09:41

 To: Lenton, Matthew
 GRO

 Cc: Lucy Bremner
 GRO

 Jbbett, Dave <Dave.Ibbett</td>
 From >; Newsome, Pete

 GRO
 Subject: RE: Coyne's 20 July email: requests v), vi) and vii) [WBDUK-AC.FID27032497]

Matthew, Dave,

Further to my email below please find attached a draft of Post Office's response to Mr Coyne's 20 July email that Lucy has prepared based on your responses so far, which we need to finalise and serve by 4:00pm tomorrow.

Thank you for your considerable efforts so far. Please would you take a look and provide the missing information ASAP today so that we can close this one off?

Kind regards

Jonny

Jonathan Gribben

Managing Associate Womble Bond Dickinson (UK) LLP



Stay informed: sign up to our e-alerts









Matthew,

Thank you for your responses on this. Can you let me know the current position in relation to the sections highlighted yellow? A few thoughts:-

can we do something similar to what we did with the KELs for the PEAK/TfS systems?

what manual analysis would you need to do to work out how many OCPs there are and can it be done by Wednesday?

what are OCRs used for?

Kind regards

Jonny

 From:
 Matthew.Lentor
 GRO
 mailto:
 GRO

 Sent:
 03 August 2018 16:05
 To: Jonathan Gribben
 C: Lucy Bremner; Dave.Ibbett
 GRO
 pete.newsome
 GRO

 Subject:
 RE:
 Coyne's 20 July email: requests v), vi) and vii)
 [WBDUK-AC.FID27032497]

Jonny, please see the responses below.

Matthew Lenton Post Office Account Document Manager

P&PS, Digital Technology Services

Fujitsu

Lovelace Road, Bracknell, Berkshire, RG12 8SN

Phone:	
Email:	GRU

Web: https://www.fujitsu.com/global/

From: Jonathan Gribben		GRO			
Sent: 01 August 2018	10:14				
To: Newsome, Pete <	GRO	>; I	bbett, Dave <	GRO	≥; Lenton,
Matthew <	GRO	>			
Cc: Lucy Bremner	GRO				
Subject: Covne's 20 Ju	ilv email: requests	v), vi) and vii)	WBDUK-AC	.FID270324971	

Pete, Matthew, Dave,

As discussed on the call just now, please can you let us know what the art of the possible is in relation to the following requests:-

v) PEAK and/or TfS records where the error or issue resulted in financial impact to either Post Office or a Subpostmaster.

[Matthew Lenton] Whilst this type of action may have been taken by SSC it would have been in the context of an individual incident. All incidents are recorded but the system was designed to manage individual operations not for statistical reporting for when a particular action has been taken by a Support Consultant. Fujitsu will be able to answer questions on individual branch queries where the data is still available.

Is it possible to provide a dump of the entire PEAK database, which he can run up on SQL server or the appropriate DBMS? Or could we give the experts access to the PEAK / TfS systems?

[Matthew Lenton] This would have to be investigated; the twelve Peaks we sent recently were 12 MBs of data.

vi) PEAK and/or TfS records for any Claimant who has a record including any audit data for the period (at least a month) of the PEAK/TfS record.

[Matthew Lenton] We would need to know which claimants; we would then need to carry out the search for each claimant, this is a time consuming manual task.

As above.

viii) A copy of any Master Service Change ("MSC") OR Operational Corrective Requests ("OCR") OR Operation Control Procedures ("OCP") [as outlined in POL-0074909] where the data to be changed has had a financial impact on Post Office or where they relate to fixing a peak.

[Matthew Lenton] We know that there are in excess of 18,000 MSCs and would predict that there are similar number of OCPs, but would need to carry out manual analysis to answer these questions. OCRs would not be relevant to this as they would not be used for any such change.

How many MSC, OCR, and OCP are there? By what means could they be provided to the experts?

I look forward to hearing from you.

Kind regards

Jonny

Jonathan Gribben

Managing Associate Womble Bond Dickinson (UK) LLP



Stay informed: sign up to our e-alerts



womblebonddickinson.com



Please consider the environment! Do you need to print this email?

The information in this e-mail and any attachments is confidential and may be legally privileged and protected by law. matthew.lenton GRO only is authorised to

access this e-mail and any attachments. If you are not <u>matthew.lentor</u> **GRO a**, please notify jonathan.gribbet **GRO** as soon as possible and delete any copies. Unauthorised use, dissemination, distribution, publication or copying of this communication or attachments is prohibited and may be unlawful. Information about how we use personal data is in our <u>Privacy Policy</u> on our website.

Any files attached to this e-mail will have been checked by us with virus detection software before transmission. Womble Bond Dickinson (UK) LLP accepts no liability for any loss or damage which may be caused by software viruses and you should carry out your own virus checks before opening any attachment.

Content of this email which does not relate to the official business of Womble Bond Dickinson (UK) LLP, is neither given nor endorsed by it.

This email is sent by Womble Bond Dickinson (UK) LLP which is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales under number OC317661. Our registered office is 4 More London Riverside, London, SE1 2AU, where a list of members' names is open to inspection. We use the term partner to refer to a member of the LLP, or an employee or consultant who is of equivalent standing. Our VAT registration number is GB123393627.

Womble Bond Dickinson (UK) LLP is a member of Womble Bond Dickinson (International) Limited, which consists of independent and autonomous law firms providing services in the US, the UK, and elsewhere around the world. Each Womble Bond Dickinson entity is a separate legal entity and is not responsible for the acts or omissions of, nor can bind or obligate, another Womble Bond Dickinson entity. Womble Bond Dickinson (International) Limited does not practice law. Please see www.womblebonddickinson.com/legal notices for further details.

Womble Bond Dickinson (UK) LLP is authorised and regulated by the Solicitors Regulation Authority.

Unless otherwise stated, this email has been sent from Fujitsu Services Limited (registered in England No 96056); Fujitsu EMEA PLC (registered in England No 2216100) both with registered offices at: 22 Baker Street, London W1U 3BW; PFU (EMEA) Limited, (registered in England No 1578652) and Fujitsu Laboratories of Europe Limited (registered in England No. 4153469) both with registered offices at: Hayes Park Central, Hayes End Road, Hayes, Middlesex, UB4 8FE.

This email is only for the use of its intended recipient. Its contents are subject to a duty of confidence and may be privileged. Fujitsu does not guarantee that this email has not been intercepted and amended or that it is virus-free.

Unless otherwise stated, this email has been sent from Fujitsu Services Limited (registered in England No 96056); Fujitsu EMEA PLC (registered in England No 2216100) both with registered offices at: 22 Baker Street, London W1U 3BW; PFU (EMEA) Limited, (registered in England No 1578652) and Fujitsu Laboratories of Europe Limited (registered in England No. 4153469) both with registered offices at: Hayes

Park Central, Hayes End Road, Hayes, Middlesex, UB4 8FE.

This email is only for the use of its intended recipient. Its contents are subject to a duty of confidence and may be privileged. Fujitsu does not guarantee that this email has not been intercepted and amended or that it is virus-free.