

|                         |   |                 |                   |
|-------------------------|---|-----------------|-------------------|
| <b>Fujitsu Services</b> | <b>APS Reconciliation &amp; Incident Management</b> | <b>Ref:</b>     | <b>CS/PRO/128</b> |
|                         |   | <b>Version:</b> | <b>3.0</b>        |
|                         | <b>COMMERCIAL IN CONFIDENCE</b>                     | <b>Date:</b>    | <b>19/12/2002</b> |

---

**Document Title:** APS Reconciliation & Incident Management

**Document Type:** Procedure

**Release:** N/A

**Abstract:** This document outlines the end-to-end reconciliation and incident management procedures required to investigate, report and resolve, APS reconciliation and business incidents.

**Document Status:** Approved

**Originator & Dept:** Richard Brunskill: Fujitsu Services Pathway

**Contributors:** Fujitsu Services: Jez Murray, John Moran, Michael King, Post  
Office: Dave Salt, Glenys Latham

**Reviewed By:** Fujitsu Services: Richard Brunskill  
Post Office: Liz Tuddenham

**Comments By:** 15/12/02

**Comments To:** Originator

**Distribution:** PVCS  
Reviewers

Fujitsu Services

APS Reconciliation &amp; Incident Management

Ref: CS/PRO/128

Version: 3.0

COMMERCIAL IN CONFIDENCE

Date: 19/12/2002

## 0.0 Document Control

### 0.1 Document History

| Version No. | Date     | Reason for Issue  | Associated CP/PinICL |
|-------------|----------|---|----------------------|
| 0.1         | 07/02/01 | Initial Draft   | N/A                  |
| 0.2         | 01/05/01 | Second draft incorporating comments                                       | N/A                  |
| 0.3         | 23/05/01 | Third draft incorporating Post Office comments                            | N/A                  |
| 1.0         | 02/07/01 | For approval  |                      |
| 2.0         | 04/12/02 | Updated version following contract renewal & inclusion within Schedule 19 |                      |
| 3.0         | 19/12/02 | Updated for Contract Amendment  |                      |

### 0.2 Approval Authorities

| Name              | Position  | Signature | Date |
|-------------------|---|-----------|------|
| Richard Brunskill | Fujitsu Services<br>Infrastructure Services<br>Manager                          |           |      |
| Liz Tuddenham     | Post Office: Network<br>Support: Supplier and<br>Service Performance<br>Manager |           |      |
|                   |   |           |      |

### 0.3 Associated Documents

| Reference     | Version | Date     | Title  | Source |
|---------------|---------|----------|--|--------|
| 1. CS/QMS/002 | 1.0     | 12/01/01 | ICL CS Process Manual                        | PVCS   |
| 2. CS/PRO/099 | 1.1     | 31/03/00 | Reporting on Non Polled Post Offices         | PVCS   |
| 3. CS/PRO/111 | 2.0     | 04/12/02 | TPS Reconciliation & Incident Management     | PVCS   |
| 4. CS/TEM/022 | 1.0     | 26/01/01 | Daily End-to-End APS Reconciliation Template | PVCS   |
| 5. CS/SER/017 | 0.1     | 04/12/02 | Data Error / Not Data Error – Definitions    | PVCS   |
| 6. AP/IFS/048 | 4.0     | 10/04/00 | APS Host Operational                         | PVCS   |

**Fujitsu Services**

**APS Reconciliation & Incident Management**

**Ref: CS/PRO/128**

**Version: 3.0**

**COMMERCIAL IN CONFIDENCE**

**Date: 19/12/2002**

---

|  |  |  |                                 |  |
|--|--|--|---------------------------------|--|
|  |  |  | Reconciliation Reports for CSR+ |  |
|--|--|--|---------------------------------|--|

Fujitsu Services

APS Reconciliation &amp; Incident Management

Ref: CS/PRO/128

Version: 3.0

COMMERCIAL IN CONFIDENCE

Date: 19/12/2002

## 0.4 Abbreviations/Definitions

| Abbreviation              | Definition  |
|---------------------------|---|
| APS                       | Automated Payment Service   |
| BIMS                      | Business Incident Management Service  |
| BSM                       | Business Service Management ( AP Service Provision Team – Post Office <sup>TM</sup> ) |
| CTS                       | Client Transmission Summary   |
| EPOSS                     | Electronic Point of Sale Service  |
| HAPS                      | Host Automated Payment System   |
| HSH                       | Horizon Systems Helpdesk  |
| MER                       | Manual Error Report   |
| MSU                       | Fujitsu Services Management Support Unit  |
| Post Office <sup>TM</sup> | Post Office   |
| PVCS                      | Fujitsu Services Pathway document management system                                   |
| SIL                       | System Incident Log   |
| SSC                       | System Support Centre   |
| TIP                       | Transaction Information Processing Post Office <sup>TM</sup>                          |
| TP                        | Transaction Processing Post Office <sup>TM</sup>                                      |
| TPS                       | Transaction Processing Service  |

## 0.5 Changes in this Version

| Version | Changes   |
|---------|---|
| 2.0     | References to the Codified Agreement Schedule G01 Section 3.6, now deleted and replaced with the CCD entitled: <i>CS/SER/017 'Data Error / Not Data Error – Definitions</i> ; which has been issued to preserve the definitions quoted in Schedule G01 section 3.6. |
| 3.0     | Updated for Contract Amendment – references to Schedule G01 have been removed and minor typos corrected   |

## 0.6 Changes Expected

| Changes |
|---------|
|         |

## 0.7 Table of Contents

|   |           |
|---|-----------|
| <b>1.0 INTRODUCTION.....</b>  | <b>6</b>  |
| <b>2.0 SCOPE.....</b>   | <b>7</b>  |
| <b>3.0 APS RECONCILIATION REPORTS.....</b>  | <b>8</b>  |
| 3.1 REPORTS AVAILABLE TO POST OFFICE FROM FUJITSU SERVICES CENTRAL SYSTEMS....                | 8         |
| 3.1.1 APSS2133 – APS Daily Account Balancing Report.....                                      | 8         |
| 3.1.2 APSS2133b – The APS Client Summary Report.....  | 9         |
| 3.1.3 APSS2133c – The APS Delayed Transaction Report.....                                     | 9         |
| 3.1.4 APSS2136 – The Daily TPS / APS Transaction Summary Reconciliation Report.....           | 9         |
| 3.1.5 APSS2139 – The Daily APS Office Harvesting Report.....                                  | 10        |
| 3.2 DELIVERY TIMESCALE & MECHANISM FROM FUJITSU SERVICES TO POST OFFICE.....                  | 11        |
| <b>4.0 END-TO-END APS RECONCILIATION.....</b>   | <b>12</b> |
| 4.1 DAILY END-TO-END APS RECONCILIATION REPORT.....   | 12        |
| 4.1.1 End-to-End APS Reconciliation Report – APSS2141.....                                    | 13        |
| 4.1.2 End-to-End APS Reconciliation Summary.....  | 14        |
| 4.1.3 Data Delivery Timescale & Mechanism.....  | 14        |
| 4.1.3.1 Delivery Mechanism.....   | 15        |
| <b>5.0 RECONCILIATION &amp; INCIDENT HANDLING.....</b>  | <b>15</b> |
| 5.1 INCIDENT CLASSIFICATION.....  | 15        |
| 5.1.1 APS Business Incidents.....   | 15        |
| 5.1.2 System Incidents.....   | 15        |
| 5.2 APS BUSINESS INCIDENT ORIGINATORS.....  | 16        |
| 5.3 GENERATION OF BUSINESS INCIDENTS.....   | 16        |
| 5.4 APS BUSINESS INCIDENTS.....   | 17        |
| 5.4.1 APS Reconciliation Report Errors.....   | 17        |
| 5.4.2 End-to-End APS Reconciliation Errors.....   | 17        |
| 5.4.3 Delayed Transactions.....   | 17        |
| 5.5 INCIDENT REPORTING.....   | 18        |
| 5.5.1 BIMS Reports / MER.....   | 18        |
| 5.5.1.1 Format & content of BIMS report / MER.....  | 18        |
| 5.5.1.2 Clearance & Closure Criteria.....   | 18        |
| 5.5.1.3 Report Distribution.....  | 19        |
| 5.5.2 System Incident Log.....  | 19        |
| 5.5.3 Reporting Timescales.....   | 20        |
| 5.5.4 Widespread Errors.....  | 20        |
| 5.5.5 Repairing Data.....   | 21        |
| <b>6.0 CLIENT MIGRATION.....</b>  | <b>22</b> |
| 6.1 VARIABLE FILE TRANSFER.....   | 22        |
| 6.2 RECONCILIATION IN THE EVENT OF NON DELIVERY OF THE CTS TO POST OFFICE.....                | 22        |
| 6.2.1 Clerical Reconciliation Example in the event of non delivery of CTS to Post Office..... | 23        |
| 6.3 IMPACT OF CLIENT MIGRATION ON THE RECONCILIATION PROCESS.....                             | 23        |
| <b>7.0 APPENDIX.....</b>  | <b>24</b> |
| 1 - BIMS REPORT EXAMPLE.....  | 24        |

## 2.0 Introduction

The Automated Payment Service (APS) report set produced by Fujitsu Services central systems and the End-to-End APS reconciliation prepared by Fujitsu Services Management Support Unit (MSU), have been designed to enable APS transactions completed in the outlets to be reconciled to the outlet Cash Account and settlement to be made with Post Office clients.

Fujitsu Services central systems will produce a daily suite of reports, (see section 3 for a full description of each report), which reconciles those values harvested by both the Transaction Processing Service (TPS) and APS harvesters. End-to-End APS reconciliation will be completed by Fujitsu Services / MSU to provide a view from harvesting, through to Post Office / Transaction Information Processing (TIP) processing and Post Office client settlement.

In addition to those errors discovered by Fujitsu Services within either the APS report set or the End-to-End APS reconciliation, others may be discovered by Post Office when reconciling data within its central systems or relating to queries from Post Office clients. To initiate the Business Incident Management Service (BIMS) process, Fujitsu Services or Post Office generate APS Business Incidents for one or more errors discovered.

The incident management process is generic for both Electronic Point of Sale Service (EPOSS) and APS incidents in the way that APS Business Incidents are raised, documented and progressed. It should be noted however, that where an APS incident **DOES NOT** affect client settlement or reconciliation within TIP, the provisions quoted within the CCD entitled: *CS/SER/017 'Data Error / Not Data Error – Definitions'*; in respect of charges levied for Manual Error Reports (MER), **DO NOT** apply. Definition and charges for TPS related errors, subject to the provisions quoted within the CCD entitled: *CS/SER/017 'Data Error / Not Data Error – Definitions'*; where the incident has caused a reconciliation or settlement error within TIP are found in associated Fujitsu Services CCD entitled: *'CS/PRO/111: TPS Reconciliation & Incident Management'*

### 3.0 Scope

This document sets out the reconciliation and incident management procedures to be adopted by Fujitsu Services / MSU for dealing with APS reconciliation report distribution to Post Office, End-to-End APS reconciliation and with any associated APS Business Incidents which may arise, including:

- APS reconciliation report differences
- End-to-End APS reconciliation differences
- Delayed transactions
- Software faults affecting reconciliation and settlement
- Post Office client enquiries
- TPS Output file delivery failures



## 4.0 APS Reconciliation Reports

### 4.1 Reports Available to Post Office from Fujitsu Services Central Systems

#### 4.1.1 APSS2133 – APS Daily Account Balancing Report

1. **Opening Balance** – This figure identifies the APS delayed transactions that were not cleared from the previous day. This figure should be identical to the closing balance from the previous day's APSS2133 report.
2. **Pathway Harvested Transactions** – This figure should be identical for both TPS and APS harvesters and reflects the APS transactions harvested from the outlets.
3. **Transactions Received from HAPS** – This figure identifies all transactions received by Fujitsu Services **FROM** Post Office / HAPS in relation to outlets who have not yet been migrated to the Horizon system, where transactions have been made using pre-Horizon system processes.
4. **Receipt Sub total** – This figure should equal the **Opening Balance + APS Pathway Harvested Transactions + Transactions Received From Host Automated Payment System (HAPS)** and equates to all transactions available for delivery today.
5. **APS Transactions Delivered to HAPS** – This figure identifies those transactions delivered to Post Office / HAPS
6. **APS Transactions Delivered to Clients** – This figure identifies those transactions delivered direct to Post Office / Clients
7. **APS Transactions Delivered To Manual** – This figure identifies those APS transactions which have been harvested by the APS harvester but have not been delivered to **HAPS / Clients** via the electronic stream and which Post Office will need to advise / adjust with the client manually. Notification will be made to Post Office via the BIMS process. Refer to APSS2133c – *The APS Delayed Transaction Report*.
8. **APS Transactions delivered to TIP** – This figure should be equal to **TPS Pathway Harvested Transactions**.
9. **Delivery Sub Total** – This figure should equal APS Transactions delivered to HAPS + APS Transactions Delivered To Clients + APS Transactions Delivered To Manual.
10. **Delayed APS Transactions** – This figure identifies APS delayed transactions not yet cleared. This figure should be identical to the opening balance on the next day's APSS2133 report.



11. **Reconciliation Error** – *This figure should always be zero. If this is not the case this will form the basis of an APS Business Incident and will be investigated via the BIMS process.*

#### 4.1.2 APSS2133b – The APS Client Summary Report

This report is a sub-set of line 5 and 6 on report APSS2133. It identifies by client ID, all APS transactions that are available to be delivered to clients. NB: This report identifies those transactions that have been harvested on this date irrespective of the delivery requirements of the specific client. It therefore does NOT equal the electronic Client Transaction Summary (CTS).

#### 4.1.3 APSS2133c – The APS Delayed Transaction Report

1. This report is a breakdown of all Delayed APS Transactions. The grand total is equal to the Delayed APS Transactions, line 10 on report APSS2133.
2. Delayed transactions may be carried over on the report until they are resent or manually advised via the BIMS process – this involves an interaction by Fujitsu Services using the APS Workstation. Any transactions that have been resent will appear as part of the APS Transactions delivered to HAPS / Clients, lines 5 or 6 of report APSS2133. Any transactions that have been manually advised will appear as part of the APS Transactions Delivered to Manual, line 7 of report APS2133.

#### 4.1.4 APSS2136 – The Daily TPS / APS Transaction Summary Reconciliation Report

1. This is a 30-day rolling report, whereby if any transactions appear on this report they can remain for up to thirty days.
2. There are two difference categories described within this report:

Difference '1':

Shows any difference between transactions input at the outlet counter system and those delivered to Post Office / TIP or Post Office HAPS / Client. This difference can be accounted for with transactions which were not harvested by the TPS harvesters and not delivered to Post Office / TIP, or by the APS harvesters and not delivered to Post Office HAPS / Clients.

Difference '2'

Shows any difference in what was delivered by Fujitsu Services to Post Office TIP and what was delivered by Fujitsu Services to Post Office HAPS or Clients. This difference is always calculated as Post Office TIP less Post Office HAPS / Clients. If the Post Office TIP figure is lower than the Post Office HAPS / Client figure, (through harvester mismatches or harvester exceptions) the difference will be

shown as a 'NEGATIVE'. If the Post Office HAPS / Client figure is lower than the Post Office TIP figure, the difference will be shown as 'POSTIVE'.

If there are no mis-balances on this report between difference '1' and difference '2' then no data will be shown for that transaction date.

#### **4.1.5 APSS2139 – The Daily APS Office Harvesting Report**

This report shows the number of outlets harvested and any outlets not harvested. In principle it is very similar to the Non Polled offices Report. (See CS/PRO/099 Reporting on Non Polled Post Offices). However, where that report shows the number of days since the office last polled this report shows the number of working days since the office last harvested. It therefore does not include Sundays or any other day that the outlet was not trading. The most important check with this report is to establish that all the offices that appear are also on the Non-polled report. NB: This report also lists those outlets which have been closed.

## 4.2 Delivery Timescale & Mechanism from Fujitsu Services to PON

The following reports are sent daily to Post Office / TP and Post Office / HAPS:

1. APSS2133 – The APS Daily Account Balancing Report
2. APSS2133b – The APS Client Summary Report
3. APSS2133c – The APS Delayed Transaction Report
4. APSS2136 – The Daily TPS / APS Transaction Reconciliation Summary Report
5. APSS2139 – The Daily APS Office Harvesting Report
6. APSS2141 - The End to End APS Reconciliation Report (*PON / TP only*)

Where Fujitsu Services is able to do so, as governed by e-mail availability, all reports will be made available to Post Office by 08.00hrs daily, on a Monday to Friday basis only. For example, on a Monday or the day after a bank holiday, reports will be delivered for all days having occurred since the delivery of the last set of reports.

Reports are initially sent to Post Office using the Fujitsu Services account within the Post Office corporate mail system. Should the Post Office corporate mail system be unavailable to Fujitsu Services, then Fujitsu Services Corporate mail is used as an alternative. *NB: Due to the size of these reports, e.g. APSS2133b is often in excess of 100 pages, Fujitsu Services are unable to resort to facsimile transmission should the corporate e mail service of either organisation be unavailable except in situations where any failure is deemed to be long term. In such cases, the Manager Fujitsu Services /MSU will liaise with the Manager Post Office / TP & Post Office / Outlet Systems Group (BSM) to agree a contingency distribution.*

The distribution list is considered by both Fujitsu Services and Post Office to be of a dynamic nature and therefore specific addressees are not covered within this document.

## 5.0 End-to-End APS Reconciliation

### 5.1 Daily End-to-End APS Reconciliation Report

The End-to-End APS reconciliation has been developed to reconcile all areas within the APS transaction process. The system derived report set produced by Fujitsu Services, serves only to identify and reconcile the values harvested by the TPS and APS harvesters. There is no guarantee that those transactions, which were harvested, will be processed by Post Office / TIP or Post Office client within the reconciliation timescale identified within these reports. This is due to a variety of reasons, for example, TIP rejections at transmission file level, delayed transactions, software errors causing transaction errors after harvesting. The APS stream sent directly to HAPS or the Post Office clients is not expected to cause any problems with regard to file rejection.

The End-to-End APS reconciliation has been developed jointly between Fujitsu Services and Post Office to ensure that a reconciliation is provided from harvesting through to processing at Post Office / TIP and the eventual Post Office client settlement. In other words:

- Harvested transactions for APS and TPS are reconciled
- TPS harvested transactions are reconciled against transactions processed by Post Office / TIP – taking into account file rejection and data repair / resends etc.
- APS harvested transactions are reconciled against transactions sent to HAPS and directly to Post Office clients.

In order to maintain an effective and timely End-to-End APS reconciliation, Fujitsu Services are required to provide, in addition to the system derived APS reconciliation report set, APS transaction detail in respect of transmission and sub files rejected by Post Office / TIP. A variety of queries have been developed within Fujitsu Services to identify the APS content of any files subsequently rejected and to track the re-send and repair process completed to ensure that these transactions are correctly accounted for.

### 5.1.1 End-to-End APS Reconciliation Report – APSS2141

Once all transaction rejections have been accounted for, Fujitsu Services will prepare the daily End-to-End APS reconciliation report and forward this to Post Office TIP / TP. This reconciliation is completed in accordance with the following rules:

|  | No                             | Value                                  | No                                     | Value |
|--|--------------------------------|--|--|-------|
| <b>Section 1: APS Harvested / TPS Harvested</b>                                |                                |  |  |       |
| 1. Transactions harvested by APS   | From APSS2133                  |  |  |       |
| 2. Transactions harvested by TPS   |                                |  | From APSS2133                          |       |
| 3. APS transactions not harvested by TPS harvester (*)                         |                                |  | Line 1 – Line 2                        |       |
| 4. APS transactions not harvested by APS harvester (*)                         | Line 2 – Line 1                |  |  |       |
| 5. APS transactions harvested by TPS today but harvested by APS on: dd/mm/yyyy |                                | Previous days report(s) Line 3 entries |  |       |
| 6. APS transactions harvested by APS today but harvested by TPS on: dd/mm/yyyy |                                |  | Previous days report(s) Line 4 entries |       |
| 7. TOTAL   | No                             | Value                                  | No                                     | Value |
| 8. Difference  |                                |  |  |       |
| <b>Section 2: TIP Processed / TPS Harvested</b>                                |                                |  |  |       |
| 9. Transactions harvested by TPS   |                                |  | From APSS2133                          |       |
| 10. TIP rejections received today  | Calculated by Fujitsu Services |  |  |       |
| 11. TIP rejections returned today  |                                |  | Calculated by Fujitsu Services         |       |
| 12. Transactions processed by TIP  | Calculated by TIP              |  |  |       |
| 13. Transactions disregarded by TIP (*)  | Calculated by Fujitsu Services |  |  |       |
| 14. Transactions processed by TIP with incorrect accounting sense (*)          | Calculated by Fujitsu Services |  |  |       |
| 15. Transactions processed by TIP delivered on: dd/mm/yyyy                     |                                |  | Calculated by Fujitsu Services – TIP   |       |
| 16. TOTAL  | No                             | Value                                  | No                                     | Value |
| 17. Difference   |                                |  |  |       |
| <b>Section 3: APS Harvested / APS Processed</b>                                |                                |  |  |       |
| 18. B/Fwd: Delayed transactions not processed                                  | From APSS2133                  |  |  |       |
| 19. Transactions harvested by APS  | From APSS2133                  |  |  |       |
| 20. Transactions delivered to HAPS   |                                |  | From APSS2133                          |       |
| 21. Transactions delivered to Clients  |                                |  | From APSS2133                          |       |
| 22. Delayed transactions delivered to manual                                   |                                |  | From APSS2133                          |       |
| 23. C/Fwd: Delayed transactions not processed                                  |                                |  | From APSS2133                          |       |
| 24. TOTAL  | No                             | Value                                  | No                                     | Value |
| 25. Difference   |                                |  |  |       |

Note:

(\*) Entries on these lines will generate a BIMS report.

### 5.1.2 End-to-End APS Reconciliation Summary

In addition to the End-to-End APS reconciliation report, Fujitsu Services will provide an ongoing daily summary showing the reconciliation status for each day, i.e. whether or not the day reconciles in respect of Post Office / TIP processing.

| Date     | Difference in Transaction Count | Difference in Transaction Value | Reconciliation State<br>Y/ N | Explanation of Difference |
|----------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|
| 01/02/01 | 0                               | £0.00                           | Y                            | N/A                       |
| 02/02/01 | 50                              | £500.00                         | N                            | Transactions not rejected |

### 5.1.3 Data Delivery Timescale & Mechanism

In order to reconcile the TPS harvested transactions to those transactions processed by Post Office / TIP, Post Office / TIP will provide the volume and value of transactions processed for each day. This figure will relate to those transactions received and processed and will ignore any specific transaction dates. If the TIP derived figures are unavailable within the timescale defined below, Fujitsu Services will delay the completion of APSS2141 until the final TIP processed figures are available.

To complete the End-to-End APS reconciliation, it is important that data is received and input into the spreadsheet in accordance with the following timescales:

| Deliverable   | Timescale              | Responsibility    |
|---|------------------------|-------------------|
| APS system derived reports (base data)                        | Harvesting day + ONE   | Fujitsu Services  |
| TIP Processed figure for APS transactions                     | Harvesting day + THREE | Post Office / TIP |
| APS content of TIP rejected files                             | Harvesting day + THREE | Fujitsu Services  |
| APS content of resent rejections                              | Harvesting day + THREE | Fujitsu Services  |
| End-to-End APS reconciliation report available to Post Office | Harvesting day + FOUR  | Fujitsu Services  |

In the event that a reconciliation cannot be achieved by close of business on harvesting day + 4, the appropriate misbalance will be shown as a difference at line 19 of APS2141



### 5.1.3.1 Delivery Mechanism

Information flows between Fujitsu Services and Post Office / TIP will conform to the following:

- TIP processing information will be delivered to Fujitsu Services via e-mail
- The End-to-End APS Reconciliation report and the End-to-End APS reconciliation summary will be delivered to Post Office / TIP via Post Office corporate e-mail (Fujitsu Services account). If this is not available, standard e-mail between the two organisations will be used as a contingency.

## 6.0 Reconciliation & Incident Handling

### 6.1 Incident Classification

#### 6.1.1 APS Business Incidents

*Relate to the 'Symptom' of an underlying cause – e.g. the effect of the system fault on the resulting reconciliation or settlement information sent to PON.*

An APS Business Incident relates to one or more of the errors reported within the APS Report Set, the End-to-End APS reconciliation (section 4.0) or one or more of the reconciliation or settlement errors raised in accordance with this document by Post Office / TIP or TP. Refer to section 5.4 for a list of those APS Business Incident categories currently known and for which appropriate APS Business Incident reporting processes are set out in this document.

#### 6.1.2 System Incidents

*Relate to the underlying 'Cause'*

System Incidents may be raised by Fujitsu Services to cover file rejections, non-delivery of files, or failures in the delivery of the APS Report Set, where there is no associated APS Business Incident. In addition, following the creation of an APS Business Incident, Fujitsu Services may raise an associated System Incident. System Incidents will be routed to the appropriate group within Fujitsu Services, for investigation and resolution.

Where there are associated System Incidents and APS Business Incidents, their relationship can be either:

- one to one; or
- one to many, respectively.



## 6.2 APS Business Incident Originators

It is envisaged that APS Business Incidents will only be generated by the following groups within Fujitsu Services and Post Office:

- Fujitsu Services / MSU for errors reported via the APS Report Set & End-to-End APS reconciliation
- Post Office TIP / TP / Outlet Systems Group (BSM) for any other reconciliation or settlement error discovered by Post Office that has not been reported by Fujitsu Services
- Fujitsu Services / System Support Centre (SSC) for any system fault or data 'surgery' which is considered by Fujitsu Services to have a reconciliation or settlement implication within Post Office.

Subject to agreement by the parties to the contrary, outlet calls to the Horizon System Helpdesk (HSH) will not generate APS Business Incidents. However calls from outlets will be monitored and if it is considered necessary by Fujitsu Services, difficulties reported to the HSH will be elevated to APS Business Incident status.

## 6.3 Generation of Business Incidents

In line with the generic incident management policy agreed between Fujitsu Services and Post Office, APS Business Incidents will only be recognised as such if generated by Fujitsu Services or Post Office as appropriate, via the HSH. This ensures that the APS Business Incident is properly logged, enabling Fujitsu Services / MSU to ensure that corrective information can be supplied and any underlying system fault can be rectified.

It is important that Post Office TIP / TP / BSM supply sufficient information to the HSH when generating an APS Business Incident to ensure the timescales for the resolution of APS Business Incidents referred to in section 5.4 can be achieved. Achievement of such timescales is dependent upon the following information being provided by Post Office TIP / TP / BSM when generating an APS Business Incident via the HSH:

- A valid 'PATH' code must be quoted, e.g. '**PATH040**' etc.
- Prefix all narrative with '**THIS IS A BUSINESS INCIDENT FOR MSU**'
- The valid incident category (see section 5.4), if one is applicable, should be quoted together with any other relevant detail, e.g. product Id, Cash Account lines etc.

NB: Where Post Office TIP / TP / BSM raise an APS Business Incident which may require a large amount of supporting information, summary detail only may be given to

the HSH and the additional information sent via e-mail to Fujitsu Services / MSU. (A current contact list will be made available to Post Office).

## 6.4 APS Business Incidents

### 6.4.1 APS Reconciliation Report Errors

Reconciliation errors within the APS reconciliation report set should be few and far between and, if they do occur, will be applicable to:

- APS / TPS harvesters running out of sync
- Software errors causing transactions not be harvested
- Unidentified differences classed as 'Reconciliation Errors' within APSS2133.

Where such differences occur, a BIMS report will be raised for each incident and referenced against the appropriate line within section 1 of APSS2141

### 6.4.2 End-to-End APS Reconciliation Errors

Reconciliation errors may occur when reconciling the TPS harvested transactions against those transactions received and processed by Post Office / TIP.

If, after accounting for all rejected and resent transactions, section 2 of APSS2141 fails to provided a zero difference, the process of resolution should be as follows:

- Issue an initial BIMS for the difference requesting Post Office / TIP verify the rejected, resent and processed figures supplied for the day in question. NB: This may be difficult if the rejection rate is particularly high on a given day and where there is in excess of 10 affected transmission files, Fujitsu Services MSU manager and Post Office / TIP manager will discuss how to resolve the difference.
- If after verification, these figures prove to be correct, Fujitsu Services / MSU will raise a System Incident to investigate any possible software errors or transaction discrepancies in the delivered total to Post Office / TIP. This will be tracked via the BIMS and System Incident Log (SIL) process.
- If after verification, corrections are required to the report, version 2 of APS2141 will be issued by Fujitsu Services MSU.

### 6.4.3 Delayed Transactions

Where transactions have been harvested by the APS harvester and have failed to be delivered to either HAPS or Post Office clients, they are referred to as Delayed Transactions. In normal circumstances, these transactions will be input by Fujitsu Services into the APS data file via the APS secure workstation and will be received by Post Office client, 24 hours later. There may be occasions when transactions cannot be sent via the data file process and have to be delivered to 'Manual'. In such cases, full detail of the transaction is supplied via the BIMS MER route which is **NOT** chargeable under the provisions of CS/SER/017 "Data Error / Not Data Error – Definitions" as

the incident refers only to the APS transaction stream and does not affect Post Office / TIP.

These transactions are highlighted within section 3 of the End-to-End APS reconciliation – APSS2141.

## **6.5 Incident Reporting**

### **6.5.1 BIMS Reports / MER**

BIMS has been designed to report the progress to resolution of an APS Business Incident to allow Post Office to complete an accurate reconciliation (within Post Office central systems) or settlement with their clients. For ease of identification and association with the corresponding Post Office HSH call, BIMS Report references will mimic the HSH reference. However they will be prefixed with a letter 'B', e.g. HSH ref.: E9912120011 = BIMS ref.: BE9912120011.

#### **6.5.1.1 Format & content of BIMS report / MER**

A BIMS Report will be issued for each APS Business Incident generated via the HSH. As part of that BIMS report, Fujitsu Services will issue a MER for each error associated with the relevant APS Business Incident where it is necessary to do so to advising Post Office / TP of the transaction detail required to enable reconciliation or settlement to take place.

BIMS Reports / MER are designed to notify Post Office of the detail required to assist in the reconciliation or settlement process within Post Office. They communicate information concerning the resolution of the symptom of an underlying cause, not the cause itself. BIMS Reports / MER will not advise any detail as to the underlying 'Cause' of the problem if this is a result of a software error etc. This information is supplied via the SIL. Where a System Incident is generated to eradicate the cause of a particular problem, and there are one or more associated APS Business Incidents, cross-references will be supplied on the APS Business Incident BIMS Report / MER to allow tracking of the System Incident.

#### **6.5.1.2 Clearance & Closure Criteria**

Fujitsu Services anticipates that it will provide information concerning APS Business Incidents to Post Office on a 'drip feed' basis, by issuing updated versions of the initial BIMS Report / MER.

A BIMS Report is 'Cleared' when Fujitsu Services has provided the information required to be contained in the relevant BIMS Report as set out in section 5.5.1.1. The BIMS Report is then closed following agreement between Post Office / TP and Fujitsu Services / MSU at the monthly Incident Management Review. Such agreement is subject only to fulfilment of the following conditions:

- If there is no associated System Incident, the BIMS Report is closed subject to the clearance criteria described above being met
- If there is an associated System Incident, the BIMS Report is closed subject to the successful closure of the System Incident by Fujitsu Services.

Post Office will advise Fujitsu Services via spreadsheet on a monthly basis at the monthly Incident Management Review of any payments it considers are payable to Post Office (as compensation for Post Office's costs in dealing with MER) and / or its charges for dealing with widespread errors. For the avoidance of doubt, **NO** charges are payable in respect of MER issued for APS incidents affecting the HAPS or Client transaction stream only.

If the parties disagree whether only the HAPS / Client transaction streams are affected, this will be initially discussed at the monthly Incident Management Review. The specific incidents will then be escalated via a 'Case Law Referral' form, to the Contract Administration Board for a final decision to be made.

#### 6.5.1.3 Report Distribution

Fujitsu Services will distribute APS BIMS Reports / MER within Post Office using the Post Office corporate e-mail network. In the event that this facility is temporarily unavailable, reports will be distributed via the Fujitsu Services mail system.

BIMS Reports / MER distributed in accordance with this section will be deemed to have been issued to Post Office, and / or Post Office given notice of any errors described therein, at the time of transmission by mail.

An example of a BIMS Report / MER is shown in Appendix 1.

#### 6.5.2 System Incident Log

The SIL is intended to track the progress to resolution of a System Incident generated to eradicate an underlying system fault. In practice, one system fault could lead to a number of symptoms generating APS Business Incidents. The SIL has been developed to remove the need to annotate each BIMS Report / MER associated with a particular system fault, with the detail required to ensure Post Office are fully advised as to the nature of this fault and how and when it is to be rectified. This information will be contained in the SIL.

#### 6.5.3 Reporting Timescales



Fujitsu Services / MSU will use reasonable endeavours to raise an initial BIMS Report (V1.0) relating to a new APS Business Incident within 24 hours of the notification of the incident. This will be made available in accordance with section 5.5.1.3, to the Post Office 'Incident Manager, Transaction Processing', on the same working day as the APS Business Incident is generated via the HSH, or in any event on the morning of the next working day. In the event of the APS Report Set not being available to Fujitsu Services in time to enable any errors to be notified within this timescale, Fujitsu Services will contact the Post Office 'Incident Manager Transaction Processing' to agree a temporary extension to the timescale. This initial, incomplete, BIMS Report will serve to notify Post Office that a Business Incident has occurred and that the completed BIMS Report will be provided to Post Office within the agreed timescales.

Fujitsu Services will use reasonable endeavours to ensure the final completed BIMS Report / MER, is made available in accordance with section 5.1 and is cleared within five working days from the date the APS Business Incident was generated via the HSH.

Where there is a need to correct APS / TPS Data Errors, (see *CS/PRO/111 TPS Reconciliation & Incident Management*, for a full description), Fujitsu Services will use reasonable endeavours to deliver the corrected data file to Post Office TIP within five working days from the date the APS Business Incident was generated via the HSH. This may however, not always be practical due to the technicalities of creating a corrected data file if there is a high volume of data.

There is no strict timescale for the resolution of a System Incident as the time taken to develop a fix or correct erroneous reference data cannot be determined. Obviously however, Fujitsu Services will give every System Incident the priority it deserves taking into account Post Office's requirement and would aim to deliver an initial analysis of the root cause within 5 working days and a final analysis and evidence of remedial action, within 10 working days. A System Incident will be closed by Fujitsu Services once the relevant fix has been developed and tested, or a correction to the relevant erroneous reference data has been authorised or approved for release through the appropriate agreed procedures between Fujitsu Services and Post Office. The SIL, advising the current status of System Incidents will be delivered to Post Office TIP / TP & Post Office / Network Support at the end of each week. Post Office may telephone Fujitsu Services / MSU at any time to receive an update as to the status of any System Incident documented on the SIL.

#### 6.5.4 Widespread Errors

Fujitsu Services will monitor 'trigger points', for example HSH calls and the APS Report set, which can alert of any likely potential or actual 'widespread' errors which may occur. This is generally agreed to be the case where at least 100 outlets are affected with the same problem. In such a case, the incident type will be closely monitored by Fujitsu Services until volumes are such that the incident will then be raised as a problem and passed from Fujitsu Services into the Post Office business

community. This is action will be taken when at least 1000 outlets are affected by the same incident type.

Should this scenario occur, Fujitsu Services Business Continuity Manager shall immediately notify Post Office Business Continuity Manager of the widespread error. Upon giving such notice the provisions of this document (other than this section) shall cease to apply to that particular widespread error. Instead, a recovery plan applicable to the specific nature of the error will be agreed by both parties.

### 6.5.5 Repairing Data

Data repair is not viable for Post Office client stream transactions. Rejected transactions are not expected as neither have sophisticated file / transaction validation processes. Therefore the repair of Post Office client transactions is not discussed within this document.

Refer to Fujitsu Services document *CS/PRO/111 TPS Reconciliation & Incident Management* for the repair criteria in relation to APS transactions affecting the TPS transaction stream.

## 7.0 Client Migration

### 7.1 Variable File Transfer

Post Office clients have the option of taking transaction delivery from Fujitsu Services in accordance with their own processing requirements. *NB: Specific client requirements are described elsewhere for each client – this document is not intended to describe each in detail.*

APS transactions are harvested from the outlets on a seven-day basis and all are available for onward transmission on each day to the clients should they require it. However, some clients only require transactions to be delivered on a five day, or one day etc., per week basis. This has no impact upon the reconciliation between the actual client transmission and the Client Transaction Summary (CTS).

The (CTS) accurately identifies the volume and value of the 'normal' transactions (not reversed / reversing transactions) that have been delivered to the clients on a particular day in accordance with their specific requirements. It is important to note that the transactions actually delivered to Post Office clients as recorded on the CTS will differ from the value shown on APSS2133 within the Delivery Sub Total (9). This figure represents the values harvested from the outlets, which may, or may not yet have been delivered to Post Office clients in accordance with their requirements.

### 7.2 Reconciliation in the event of non delivery of the CTS to Post Office

Post Office / TP use the CTS as the basis for settlement with migrated clients. In the unlikely event that Fujitsu Services fails to deliver the CTS file to Post Office or Post Office reject the file, Post Office / TP will need to use the APSS2133b to manually calculate settlements due. The CTS only reports normal transactions whereas the APSS2133b includes reversed / reversing transactions. Consequently Post Office / TP can only use the APSS2133b to calculate the value (not the volume) of the settlements due.

Settlement is time critical and Fujitsu Services will ensure all the relevant system derived APS reconciliation reports are delivered on time to ensure that settlement can be made between Post Office and it's clients. In order to satisfy this requirement, Fujitsu Services elected to make all reconciliation reports available by 08.00hrs as stated in section 3.2, on a daily basis.

*NB: Fujitsu Services is currently reviewing CTS reporting.*



### **7.2.1 Clerical Reconciliation Example in the event of non delivery of CTS to Post Office**

When using the APSS2133b report to calculate settlements due, Post Office / TP will need to take account of the individual clients file delivery pattern and settlement frequency, e.g. for clients who have elected to take five day delivery – Monday to Friday the Monday delivery will include transactions harvested on the Friday / Saturday and Sunday. For clients who have elected to take six day delivery – Monday to Saturday, the Monday delivery will include transactions harvested on the Saturday and Sunday.

## **7.3 Impact of Client Migration on the Reconciliation Process**

It is not expected that client migration will have any adverse impact upon the established reconciliation processes now being employed by Fujitsu Services.

However, the delivery of the CTS to Post Office is vital if settlement between Post Office and it's clients is to take place on a timely basis. Where this is not possible, contingency procedures are being developed to use the APS reports; APSS2133 and APSS2133b to provide a manual reconciliation to enable settlement to take place. This process has been described within section 6.2.1 above.

Fujitsu Services  
Ltd

APS Reconciliation &amp; Incident Management

Ref: CS/PRO/128

Version: 2.0

COMMERCIAL IN CONFIDENCE

Date: 04/12/02

## 8.0 Appendix

### 1 - BIMS Report Example

|                                   |   |                               |                          |              |                                 |
|-----------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------|---------------------------------|
| <b>BIMS Reference:</b>            |   | BE/0102010894                 |                          | Final Update |                                 |
| Incident Type:                    | 2   | APS                           | Version:                 | 3            | Last Updated: 06/02/01 16:15:59 |
| Incident Class:                   | 0044 Transaction(s) polled by TIP but not by HAPS |                               |                          |              |                                 |
| Originator:                       | PW-MSU  |                               | Transaction Date:        | 31-Jan-01    | CAP: 4500 FAD:                  |
| Status:                           | 0 Open  |                               | Exception Value: £835.26 |              |                                 |
| <b>Other References</b>           |   | <b>Transaction Liability</b>  |                          |              |                                 |
| PinICL Reference:                 |   | Provisional:                  |                          | Final:       |                                 |
| Incident Xref:                    |   | <b>Settlement Details</b>     |                          |              |                                 |
| TIP/TP/OSG Ref:                   |   | <b>Transaction Settlement</b> |                          |              |                                 |
| <b>System Incident References</b> |   | Settled Amount:               |                          |              |                                 |
| HSH:                              |   | Invoice Number:               |                          |              |                                 |
| PinICL:                           |   | Invoice Date:                 |                          |              |                                 |
|                                   |   | <b>Manual Error Report</b>    |                          |              |                                 |
| <b>Incident History</b>           |   | Chargeable Errors:            |                          |              |                                 |
| Date Received:                    | 01-Feb-01   |                               | MER Set Amt:             |              |                                 |
| Date Cleared:                     | 02-Feb-01   |                               | MER Inv No:              |              |                                 |
| Date Closed:                      |   |                               | MER Inv Date:            |              |                                 |

|   |                   |             |                   |         |           |
|---|-------------------|-------------|-------------------|---------|-----------|
| <b>Actions</b>  |                   |             |                   |         |           |
| Actions: Date & Time  | 01/02/01 13:28:47 | Action Type | Describe Incident | Analyst | Mike King |
| <p>The APS Daily Account Balancing Report 2133 for processing date 31/01/01 shows 54 delayed transactions that have not been delivered to HAPS. Of these there are 26 which are being investigated under BE0101230757, BE0101261621, BE0101290754 &amp; BE0101310689. There are also 28 new txns from FADs 152405 &amp; 282226 and carry the error message Digital Signature Failure.</p> <p>Please see attached spreadsheet E-0101310680 for details of value, volume, client and item ID.</p> |                   |             |                   |         |           |
| Actions: Date & Time  | 02/02/01 11:54:09 | Action Type | Clear Incident    | Analyst | Mike King |
| <p>These transactions were successfully resent on 01/02/01. PON needs to take no further action with regard to these txns. This was caused by difficulties in migrating APS software on some counters at these FADs to C14. 152405 &amp; 282226 require swapping of base units which will be carried out this week. Once this is done there should be no further recurrence.</p>  |                   |             |                   |         |           |