To:

Mena Rego

Copy:

Tim O'Leary

Wendy Powney

Alan Shepherd

From:

Paul Rich

Date:

3 March 1997

enpoce -marce

SUBJECT: HORIZON - TECHNICAL CONCURRENCE

- During my meeting with Alan Shepherd on Thursday, I raised the issue of technical concurrence for Horizon to check Alan was happy about its state of state of progress.
- 2. He said he broadly was, though there were some outstanding issues around additional technical testing and monitoring which he thought looked like they were being addressed but would not be settled for another couple of months or so (further to a meeting with you and Gael Stewart). Can you clarify please, with the PDA and him what's the specific timed deliverable that will clear this, and milestone that in the PDA? I fear it will become confusingly vague otherwise.
- 3. I also explained to him Tim and Min's work in developing a testing approach both for Horizon and its interfaces (in Pathway and the PDA), and then the testing to ensure integrity with our own existing or new systems and processes that needs to be done in POCL. I promised that once this was buttoned down, we would run through this with him in detail to assure him could you arrange this please, via Tim? It may add value for me if Wendy's team attend too.
- 4. Finally, Alan raised the good point about contingency planning in the event on non-acceptance of trial (or else you get forced to accept anyway!). Could you take this forward with the PDA please.

PAUL RICH
Development Director
Room 216, Drury House

Tel:

GRO

Fax:

GRO