

Message

From: Richardson, Simon [Simon.Richardson@...]
Sent: 29/07/2013 13:47:09
To: Susan Crichton [susan.crichton@...]
CC: Jarnail A Singh [jarnail.a.singh@...]; Rodric Williams [rodric.williams@...]; Hugh Flemington [Hugh.Flemington@...]; Matthews, Gavin [Gavin.Matthews@...]; Parsons, Andrew [Andrew.Parsons@...]
Subject: RE: Legally privileged and confidential: Interim review of appeal process [BD-4A.FID20472253]
Attachments: CCRC suggested response.doc; GARETH JENKINS ADVICE 15-7-2013.pdf

Susan

We warned Brian there may not be papers today and he was fine with this. He said he would have the time still to review. He has been sent the above, the first includes the process CK have adopted unless there is a later version but Jarnail can confirm this. The other relates to the advice on the expert evidence.

His home address is [REDACTED] His disclosure probably doesn't amount to an invite to us all to pop round to chat about the case!

As to the ARC, it may be too soon but worth considering, even if too soon for any firm conclusions, to get a sense of his perception and likely view though it will come with caveats on the 12th. I'll hold off asking him to keep that date free but when you come back to us let us have the October dates too and I'll get both into his diary with may be a meeting with you a day or so beforehand. I know you are off during September so diary planning now would be sensible.

Regards
Simon

From: Susan Crichton [mailto:susan.crichton@...]
Sent: 29 July 2013 11:06
To: Richardson, Simon
Cc: Jarnail A Singh; Rodric Williams; Hugh Flemington; Matthews, Gavin; Parsons, Andrew
Subject: RE: Legally privileged and confidential: Interim review of appeal process [BD-4A.FID20472253]

Simon thanks for you note, Jarnail says that he has the two files, but he is out doing strike cover today so we will not be able to send them until tomorrow, is that too late for Brian to look at them before he goes on holiday? Has he seen the review protocol?

I will talk to CK today so that they are aware of Brian's involvement.

Re the Board, it may make more sense if we look to have a review with him at the ARC on 12th September, but leave that we me to talk to the Chair of the ARC and get back to you, that may be too early in the process.

Susan

From: Richardson, Simon [mailto:simon.richardson@...]
Sent: 26 July 2013 17:25
To: Susan Crichton
Cc: Jarnail A Singh; Rodric Williams; Hugh Flemington; Matthews, Gavin; Parsons, Andrew
Subject: Legally privileged and confidential: Interim review of appeal process [BD-4A.FID20472253]

Dear Susan

Gavin and I spoke to Brian this afternoon to discuss the interim review on the process undertaken by CK. Brian appreciated the need for a swift review and believes he can complete it before he goes on holiday.

We discussed what he might need to review and he has already from Gavin a document, I believe it is the suggested response that CK prepared to the CCRC, which sets out the process that they are adopting. Their draft reply referred to

"impacted cases" and says two cases were identified where there may be grounds for appeal. Brian does not want to get into a detailed review of all the cases but believes it would be helpful to have sight of one of those two cases and perhaps another where the view was taken that there would not be grounds for an appeal. Brian will be working from home for the first part of next week, clearing up matters before he goes on holiday, and he is very happy for papers to be sent to his home address – indeed it would suit him better if they were. I assume the files will be hard copy files. Would it be possible to arrange for them to be delivered to his home? We have his address.

He may want to talk to CK. Are they now aware of his role? Is there a preference for him to go through Jarnail initially at least? Thereafter I imagine he can go direct once contact has been established. He may have some questions for Jarnail as well.

On a separate point assuming you think it a good idea to ask Brian to meet the Board, is there a particular Board meeting it would be useful for him to attend? I do not believe protocol requires us to be present, but we can be if you need us to be. However, I would have thought it essential that you were present. I imagine Brian would expect to have one of those instructing him present as well because there will be matters he cannot deal with. Generally it sounds as if his diary is fairly free but, if there was a likely date it may be sensible to get it in his diary sooner rather than later.

Regards
Simon

Anita Cox
Secretary

for and on behalf of Bond Dickinson LLP

Bond Dickinson

Direct: GRO
Office:

Follow Bond Dickinson:



www.bonddickinson.com

Please consider the environment! Do you need to print this email?

The information in this e-mail and any attachments is confidential and may be legally privileged and protected by law. susan.crichton GRO is authorised to access this e-mail and any attachments. If you are not susan.crichton GRO please notify simon.richardson GRO as soon as possible and delete any copies. Unauthorised use, dissemination, distribution, publication or copying of this communication or attachments is prohibited and may be unlawful.

Any files attached to this e-mail will have been checked by us with virus detection software before transmission. Bond Dickinson LLP accepts no liability for any loss or damage which may be caused by software viruses and you should carry out your own virus checks before opening any attachment.

Content of this email which does not relate to the official business of Bond Dickinson LLP, is neither given nor endorsed by it.

This email is sent for and on behalf of Bond Dickinson LLP which is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales under number OC317661. Our registered office is St Ann's Wharf, 112 Quayside, Newcastle Upon Tyne, NE1 3DX, where a list of members' names is open to inspection. We use the term partner to refer to a member of the LLP, or an employee or consultant who is of equivalent standing. Our VAT registration number is GB123393627.

Bond Dickinson LLP is authorised and regulated by the Solicitors Regulation Authority.

This email and any attachments are confidential and intended for the addressee only. If you are not the named recipient, you must not use, disclose, reproduce, copy or distribute the contents of this communication. If you have received this in error, please contact the sender by reply email and then delete this email from your system. Any views or opinions expressed within this email are solely those of the sender, unless otherwise specifically stated.

POST OFFICE LIMITED is registered in England and Wales no 2154540. Registered Office: 148 OLD STREET,
LONDON EC1V 9HQ.
