Message GRO From: Andrew Parsons Sent: 19/10/2019 13:25:07 **GRO**]; Rodric Williams To: Ben Foat **GRO** ; Emanuel, Catherine [CC: Lerner, Alex [Watts, Alan **GRO** ; Tom Beezer **GRO GRO** Sherrill Taggart GRO]]; Kenneth Garvey **GRO** Subject: RE: KEL documents [WBDUK-AC.FID26896945] Attachments: _DOC_158383932(1)_Summary of Counsel_s opinion - audit.DOCX

Ben

Alan, Kate, Tony and I have just discussed this issue and we'll be circulating a revised update shortly.

I have answered the blanks in your email below in red.

Please also find attached a one page summary of Counsel's advice.

Kind regards Andy

Andrew Parsons

Partner

Womble Bond Dickinson (UK) LLP



Sign up for legal updates, e-newsletters and event invitations



womblebonddickinson.com





| From: Ben Foat | GRO | <u> </u> | | | | |
|------------------------------|---------------------|-----------|---------------|-------------|-------------|---|
| Sent: 19 October 2019 | 12:12 | | | | | |
| To: Emanuel, Cathering | e CPO | >; Ro | dric Williams | { | GRO |] |
| Cc: Andrew Parsons 🚛 | GRO GRO | Lern | er, Alex | GRO | Watts, Alan | |
| GRO | Tom Beezer | GRO | ; Sherr | ill Taggart | GRO | ; |
| Kenneth Garvey | GRO | | | | | |
| Subject: RE: KEL docur | nents [WBDUK-AC.FID | 26896945] | | | | |

Thanks Rod and Kate. Much appreciated.

Rod's summary is much clearer than the summary that was contained in the table which is what we send to board so could we have someone sense checking this before it is sent to me. Frankly it wasn't good enough the version that was sent to me at first instance.

KELs analysis

In short, we have taken a risk based approach in reviewing the 14000 scripts which were generated by FJ. We have now completed the reviewed those KELs that were disclosable at trial (658) of which (94) were deemed to be in the 4 or 5

categories of adverse to POL's position because (they contain material new information that could change the Claimants' or the expert's views on Horizon bugs discussed at trial). Can someone fill in the sentence – the synthesis of this is missing.

Audit

I was still awaiting an executive summary of counsel's opinion from WBD. Is this through yet? It should have been with me yesterday. It needs to be then synthesised for the Board table. Again, Rod's summary is more helpful that what was currently drafted. In short, we can proceed with a limited scope of an audit to FJ support provided to date but that a general audit /review could pose significant risks to GLO and therefore although a general audit must be completed at some stage (given other legal, commercial, and operational requirements) it is not recommended at the present time. Again, if someone could update the table to reflect that I would be greatly appreciated.

Rod - thank you for this email.

Kate/ Rod / Andy - Let me know when the table is finalised so I can send to Board and also GE.

All – can we also have a discussion about delivering at pace on this project. I appreciate it is a challenging matter but we can only make it better by delivering against GE, Board and Shareholder expectations or managing them in advance.

Thanks Ben



Ben Foat

Group General Counsel Ground Floor 20 Finsbury Street LONDON EC2Y 9AQ

| Mobile | ş٢ | GRO |
|-------------------|----|------|
| 8*8 CF 8.F 8 F 8C | 91 | OILO |

| From: Emanuel, Cathe | erine < | GRO | | | | |
|------------------------|------------------|--------------|----------|-----------|---------------|--|
| Sent: 19 October 2019 | 9 11:41 | | | | | |
| To: Rodric Williams ← | GRO | | n Foat ∢ | GRO | GRO | |
| Cc: andrew.parsons | GRU | Lern | er, Alex | GIVO | ; Watts, Alan | |
| GRO | Tom Beezer | GRO | Sherrill | Taggart < | GRO | |
| Kenneth Garvey | GRO | | | L | | |
| Subject: RE: KEL docur | ments [WBDUK-AC. | FID26896945] | | | | |

Ben,

There is one point that Alan, Andy and I want to iron out before the update and recommendations are circulated to the Board.

I appreciate this may delay the update but we would rather be sure that the recommendations we give are right. We are working as quickly as possible to get something to you.

Kind regards Kate

| From: Emanuel, Catherine | | | | |
|------------------------------------|-----|------------|-----|--|
| Sent: 19 October 2019 11:01 | | | | |
| To: 'Rodric Williams' ⟨ | GRO | Ben Foat < | GRO | |

| Cc: andrew.parsons | GRO | ; Lern | er, Alex GRO | ; Wat | ts, Alan |
|-------------------------|------------------------------|------------------|--------------------|--------|-------------------|
| GRO | ; Tom Beezer { | GRO | ; Sherrill Tagga | art 🖣 | GRO |
| Kenneth Garvey < | GRO | | | · | |
| Subject: RE: KEL docu | ments [WBDUK-AC.FI | D26896945] | | | |
| Rod, | | | | | |
| As promised I have be | en liaising with Alan | this morning and | we have a few comr | nents. | |
| I will send a revised d | raft shortly. | | | | |
| Andy – are you aroun | d for a brief word? | | | | |
| Cheers | | | | | |
| Kate | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| From: Rodric William: | s GRC | | | | |
| Sent: 19 October 201 | | | | | |
| To: Ben Foat | GRO | | | | |
| Cc: Emanuel, Catherin | ne GRO Watts, Alan | ; an | drew.parsons | GRO | GRO; Lerner, Alex |
| GRO | | | Tom Beezer | GNO | Sherrill Taggart |
| GRO | | eth Garvey { | GRO | | |
| Subject: FW: KEL doc | uments [WBDUK-AC.F | ID26896945] | | | |

Please find attached an updated Board update. Set out below are the key notes to address the points from your email on "what would it take to get all of [the KEL review] done by next week", and "what is the scope [of a Fujitsu audit] that would diminish the risk [of creating documents that would then need to be disclosed to the Claimants]".

External Lawyers - please comment/amend as necessary asap so that Ben can update the Board this morning!

Generally

Ben,

- I have stressed (firmly) to the HSF and WBD teams the importance of this workstream. It is being escalated to Alan Watts at HSF and Tom Beezer at WBD to make sure our Board's requirements are met (both cc'ed).
- The key legal risk here is the ongoing duty in the GLO litigation to disclose adverse documents, which may not exist (or which we may not have been aware of) but for taking the action now contemplated, especially in the context of material we had not previously seen.

New KELs

- WBD are assessing the risk over the weekend of the 94 newly disclosed high-risk KELs. By the middle of next week, Counsel will have reviewed these KELs in detail and given a view on whether they are likely to cause the Horizon trial to be recommenced / the judgment delayed (the Counsel team being best placed to identify the impact they may have on the trial they conducted).
- In relation to the other KELs not used at the trial (i.e. the majority of the c.14,000 new KELs), the key risk of reviewing these is that the Claimants have not yet asked for the documents, so by reviewing them now we are doing the Claimants' work for them.
- The legal advice therefore is that we should <u>not</u> review the 14,000 other KELs unless the Claimants ask for them, or Counsel's review of the 94 high-risk KELs warrants a wider review.
- WBD and HSF will nevertheless confirm resources to deliver such a review as required.
- By way if further background since the last update, having now considered the previously undisclosed KELs:

- Many (maybe up to 50%) could be duplicates of previously disclosed documents, but because the KEL is a live database, the KELs could not be extracted in a way to avoid this duplication. This means a manual/slower "de-duplication" review is required.
- o If the new KELS are to be reviewed at pace (i.e. so that they are all reviewed by the end of next week), the trade off will be quality/assurance. A paralegal team is less qualified than the smaller, elite team of lawyers who ran the trial to assess the relevance of the new KELs to the matters in issue in the Horizon Issues trial. Having paralegals undertake the review therefore creates the risk of inaccuracies in the review process, which is compounded by the technical nature of the KELs.

Audit

- The best way to mitigate the risk of generating adverse/disclosable documents through an audit is to keep it focussed on Fujitsu's litigation support provided to date, with any operational audit to follow once the litigation has been resolved and its associated disclosure duties concluded.

Please let me know if you need anything further. Kind regards, Rod

This email and any attachments are confidential and intended for the addressee only. If you are not the named recipient, you must not use, disclose, reproduce, copy or distribute the contents of this communication. If you have received this in error, please contact the sender by reply email and then delete this email from your system. Any views or opinions expressed within this email are solely those of the sender, unless otherwise specifically stated.

POST OFFICE LIMITED is registered in England and Wales no 2154540. Registered Office: Finsbury Dials, 20 Finsbury Street, London EC2Y 9AQ.

"Post Office Limited is committed to protecting your privacy. Information about how we do this can be found on our website at www.postoffice.co.uk/privacy"

Herbert Smith Freehills LLP and its subsidiaries and Herbert Smith Freehills, an Australian Partnership, are separate member firms of the international legal practice known as Herbert Smith Freehills.

This message is confidential and may be covered by legal professional privilege. If you are not the intended recipient you must not disclose or use the information contained in it. If you have received this email in error please notify us immediately by return email or by calling our main switchboard on GRO and delete the email.

Further information is available from www.herbertsmithfreehills.com, including our Privacy Policy which describes how we handle personal information.

Herbert Smith Freehills LLP is a Limited Liability Partnership registered in England and Wales with registered number OC310989. It is authorised and regulated by the Solicitors' Regulation Authority of England and Wales whose rules can be accessed via www.sra.org.uk/code-of-conduct.page. A list of the members and their professional qualifications is open to inspection at the registered office, Exchange House, Primrose Street, London EC2A 2EG. We use the word partner of Herbert Smith Freehills LLP to refer to a member of Herbert Smith Freehills LLP, or an employee or consultant with equivalent standing and qualifications. Herbert Smith Freehills LLP's registration number for Value Added Tax in the United Kingdom is GB 927 1996 83.