

Message

**From:** Lesley J Sewell [GRO]  
**on behalf of** Lesley J Sewell  
**Sent:** 24/05/2013 12:20:35  
**To:** Mark R Pearce [GRO]; Alwen Lyons [GRO]; Steve Allchorn  
**Subject:** RE: JA call

Mark

Nothing to do at the moment.

L

Lesley J Sewell  
Chief Information Officer

**GRO**

Confidential Information:

This email message is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorised review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient please contact me by reply email and destroy all copies of the original message.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Mark R Pearce  
**Sent:** 24 May 2013 09:10  
**To:** Alwen Lyons; Steve Allchorn; Lesley J Sewell  
**Subject:** RE: JA call

Hi Alwen,

No problem, let me know to whom you would like me to send the files and let me know if you want me to attend the call?

Regards, Mark.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Alwen Lyons  
**Sent:** 24 May 2013 08:43  
**To:** Steve Allchorn; Lesley J Sewell  
**Cc:** Mark R Pearce  
**Subject:** RE: JA call

Mark would you be able to help with this request.

Thanks  
Alwen  
Alwen Lyons I Company Secretary

**GRO**

@postofficenews

-----Original Message-----

From: Steve Allchorn  
Sent: 24 May 2013 08:35  
To: Alwen Lyons; Lesley J Sewell  
Subject: RE: JA call

Both

As stated in my final update a few weeks back, the file containing the email history for Post Office testing team individuals resident in Bracknell in 2008 is held by the Information Security team.

Mark Pearce/Dave King were central to preparing a copy of the data file ahead of handover to Second Sight. They will have more detailed knowledge of the information contained and how it was received from CSC.

Thanks  
Steve

-----Original Message-----

From: Alwen Lyons  
Sent: 24 May 2013 08:15  
To: Lesley J Sewell  
Cc: Steve Allchorn  
Subject: RE: JA call

Lesley  
I understand that Steve Allchorn has the whole file

Thanks  
Alwen  
Alwen Lyons I Company Secretary

The logo consists of the letters "GRO" in a bold, black, sans-serif font. The letters are enclosed within a rectangular border that has a dashed or dotted pattern.

@postofficenews

-----Original Message-----


From: Lesley J Sewell  
Sent: 24 May 2013 07:52  
To: Alwen Lyons  
Subject: Re: JA call

Alwen

Could you please request a copy of the emails from SS for us to review. I will need this for FJ to review.

Thx

Sent from my iPad

On 24 May 2013, at 07:33, "Alwen Lyons" <alwen.lyons@ wrote:

> Paula

> Lesley and I had a discussion yesterday and she is taking this forward with Fujitsu today to ensure we get high level support for the review, and clear evidence about any central system which can adjust sub postmaster accounts if it exists, and its controls.

>

> Susan and I have a call with SS at 930 when I will relay messages from James and challenge as to why they have suggested there are failures at this stage.

>

> I have contacted Janet to ask for a meeting but have had no reply. My concern is that she is away, as I know she was planning a trip home to NZ and may not be available until after recess so we loose 2 weeks!

>

> I think the way forward is to try to get SS to work as agreed yesterday Paula. The risk is James' letter ends up not saying what we heard but we cannot risk the delay

>

>

> Thanks

> Alwen

>

> Alwen Lyons

> Company Secretary

> **GRO**

> Sent from Blackberry

>

> ----- Original Message -----

> From: Paula Vennells

> Sent: Friday, May 24, 2013 05:36 AM

> To: Alwen Lyons

> Cc: Mark R Davies; Martin Edwards; Susan Crichton; Lesley J Sewell

> Subject: JA call

>

> Dear all, just a quick note to say thank you for your help and briefing for the above.

>

> Alwen has a set of notes from the call, so you are very welcome to consult those for a full debrief.

>

> It went as well as we could have hoped. James agreed to most of what we asked him to do. We will need to wait for him to consult Janet to be sure. But the call will have moved things on and that is good.

>

> The most important outcome to secure is the clarity around the two parts to the ToR.

>

> Alwen, did you catch up with Janet? As you said, it is critical that we keep up the momentum from the call: narrowing back down SS to the ToR is urgent - ie., James' letter. It might help if she realises that unless the letter goes soon, James won't get his interim meeting in time.

>

> Can you confirm by return what you see as next steps by who/when for the different parts to this iinc., stakeholders, so we can be clear by end of today?

>

> Thanks again,

>

> Paula

> Sent from my iPhone