Message			
From:	Angela Van-Den-Bogerd	GRO	
on behalf of Sent:	Angela Van-Den-Bogerd		GRO
	04/07/2013 08:59:13 Theresa lles <b>GRO</b>		
Subject:	RE: Conference Call this morning with	Paula	
Theresa,			
I'll be dialling in.			
Angela			
Angela Van I	Den Bogerd I Head of Partnership	ps	
148 old Stre	eet, LONDON, EC1V 9HQ		
	GRO		
angela.van-	den-bogerd <b>GRO</b>		
Post Office stories			
@postofficenews			
This email r	information. Any unauthorised re	eview, use, disclosure	c(s) and may contain confidential and or distribution is prohibited. If you and destroy all copies of the original
From: Theres Sent: 04 Ju To: Mark R I Cc: Sarah Pa	ly 2013 08:25	A Brown; Gina Gould	Lesley J Sewell; Angela Van-Den-Bogerd
Hi All			
Referring to Paula's email below (and Alwen's in RemCom/NomCom till 10:30) - can I suggest 10:45am in Paula's room for the conference call - please let me know if you will be calling in/attending in person.			
Dial in details are as follows:			
Dial in From landlin From mobile			
Participant's code: 1512931#			
Chair code:	2113547#		
Kind regards Theresa	5		
From: Paula Sent: 04 Ju To: Mark R I	ly 2013 08:04 Davies; Theresa Iles; Sarah Pado yons; Martin Edwards; Susan Cric	dison chton; Lesley J Sewell	; Angela Van-Den-Bogerd
	he record, I am hugely appreciat		ne last 24 hours whilst on holiday. In g in by everyone and your teams over the
Could you forward the JA letter if not already done so (haven't yet checked all mails).			

I'll try to get a conference call in for the ExCo sub-group this am. The meeting went better (and at moments) worse than hoped. But overall, the balance was positive. I suggest you speak to Alwen who can debrief you. I am with the Fujitsu CEO at 8am then at a Crown vision event (visible leadership:) so although I'll try and call personally it may not be possible.

The angle is turning I think, to Horizon is ok (hurrah) but we as a business are not. Ie., that we have behaved in a heavy-handed way with some Spmrs who panicked, which resulted in them inadvertently getting into a muddle and committing fraud. There is no suggestion that it is wide-spread and there is recognition that other prosecutions were correct.

I have a number of thoughts on this, which we need to discuss. This was fed back to me also by Will post the JS/JA phone call. His view was that JA was very loose on detail and backed off a number of points when challenged but that was pursuing this theme - ie., big institution poor duty of care. If it is true, I am very clear that I would want to call it out first. It does not sit comfortably with our current values and it would undermine the trust in the brand.

However, we need to be very careful about proportionality, which is the point I have made consistently: a handful of cases vs 2.5bn txns a year, vs (at the time) 15-20k Spmrs who didn't panic, etc.

I would like Susan to find out how many prosecutions we have had over the last 10 years. And from Angela, I would like to know what flags we have in place in the NBSC and in Chesterfield today, to make sure we pick up any signs of concern or incompetence or inability re Spmrs balancing etc. If accurate answers are not available immediately, I need a steer for our phone call.

Susan, I will try to call you asap I finish with Duncan as would like more advice before the call.

Finally, I'd like our next steps updated please: there are a number of activities various of us have committed to doing and I'd like a check all is on track. Could everyone come on the call with a list.

Theresa, could you get a conf call in the diary for mid-morning?

Many thanks all.

Paula

Sent from my iPad

On 3 Jul 2013, at 19:36, "Mark R Davies" | GRO | wrote

> Hi Paula

> I hope the Second Sight meeting went as well as it could have done. And that you are ok after another long day!

> We have a draft letter for you to send to James and have got to the bottom of the 'Bracknell email' issue which James raised in the meeting today.

> I also feel that the comms positioning is coming along well.

> Portland have been in twice today and provide helpful perspective (though I must also say that Nina and Ruth are making for a good in house team).

> Their view, which I agree with, is that subject to how the report lands, we can and should handle the story without putting you up for TV and other interviews. We have also landed the 'rocks' which should form the basis of our statement.

> I'll let you know any other developments.

> Mark

> Sent from my iPhone