Sent: Fri 28/06/2013 2:41:03 PM (UTC) To: Alwen Lyons GRO Subject: FW: Fwd: next steps on Horizon issues - update Hi Alwen I think Alice's suggestion of approaching Letwin is a good one. Paula has a pretty good relationship with him having helped out on a number of issues. The only thing to double check is his own constituency case and whether that would make him sceptical of our position. Do you know the details and whether it has been resolved? (One small point on your email below – personally I'd be cautious about mentioning the funding link to Janet, as she might think we're trying to stop the report just because the timing is inconvenient. But as you say, you'll need to plat the meeting by earl). Many thanks, Martin From: Alice Perkins [mailto: GRO] Sent: 28 June 2013 14:51 To: Paula Vennells Cc: Alwen Lyons; Martin Edwards Subject: Re: Fwd: next steps on Horizon issues - update Thanks for the updates. I am glad we have the best people on this. Three thoughts - first is probably a red herring but I'll mention it because it came into my mind. Are the lawyers also thinking about implications for the PO and the people in it, if there is any suggestion by SS that things have been awn may be OTT/worst case scenario but just need to be aware of Maxwellisation/Salmon letters angle. Second, I agree with Martin about the risks of getting heavy with SS - deeply unattractive. But I haven't heard anything yet which gives me hope we can get this properly back on track. Which is worse? (I don't know the answer. (Keep aiming high here! That is, for the goal of no interim/no meeting. But I recognise that this is not within our control.) Third, a constructive suggestion!, shall we ask Oliver Letwin to help us turn this round? Alice From: Paula Vennells [mailto] From: Paula Vennells [mailto] From: Paula Vennells [mailto] From: Paula Vennells [mailto]	From:	Martin Edwards GRO
Subject: FW: Fwd: next steps on Horizon issues - update Hi Alwen I think Alice's suggestion of approaching Letwin is a good one. Paula has a pretty good relationship with him having helped out on a number of issues. The only thing to double check is his own constituency case and whether that would make him sceptical of our position. Do you know the details and whether it has been resolved? (One small point on your email below – personally I'd be cautious about mentioning the funding link to Janet, as she might think we're trying to stop the report just because the timing is inconvenient. But as you say, you'll need to plat the meeting by earl). Many thanks, Martin From: Alice Perkins [mailto:{ Sent: 28 June 2013 14:51 To: Paula Vennells Cc: Alwen Lyons; Martin Edwards Subject: Re: Fwd: next steps on Horizon issues - update Thanks for the updates. I am glad we have the best people on this. Three thoughts - first is probably a red herring but I'll mention it because it came into my mind. Are the lawyers also thinking about implications for the PO and the people in it, if there is any suggestion by SS that things have been awn may be OTT/worst case scenario but just need to be aware of Maxwellisation/Salmon letters angle. Second, I agree with Martin about the risks of getting heavy with SS - deeply unattractive. But I haven't heard anything yet which gives me hope we can get this properly back on track. Which is worse? (I don't know the answer. (Keep aiming high here! That is, for the goal of no interim/no meeting. But I recognise that this is not within our control.) Third, a constructive suggestion!, shall we ask Oliver Letwin to help us turn this round? Alice From: Paula Vennells [mailto! GRO From: Paul	Sent:	
Subject: FW: Fwd: next steps on Horizon issues - update Hi Alwen I think Alice's suggestion of approaching Letwin is a good one. Paula has a pretty good relationship with him having helped out on a number of issues. The only thing to double check is his own constituency case and whether that would make him sceptical of our position. Do you know the details and whether it has been resolved? (One small point on your email below – personally I'd be cautious about mentioning the funding link to Janet, as she might think we're trying to stop the report just because the timing is inconvenient. But as you say, you'll need to plat the meeting by earl). Many thanks, Martin From: Alice Perkins [mailto:{ Sent: 28 June 2013 14:51 To: Paula Vennells Cc: Alwen Lyons; Martin Edwards Subject: Re: Fwd: next steps on Horizon issues - update Thanks for the updates. I am glad we have the best people on this. Three thoughts - first is probably a red herring but I'll mention it because it came into my mind. Are the lawyers also thinking about implications for the PO and the people in it, if there is any suggestion by SS that things have been awn may be OTT/worst case scenario but just need to be aware of Maxwellisation/Salmon letters angle. Second, I agree with Martin about the risks of getting heavy with SS - deeply unattractive. But I haven't heard anything yet which gives me hope we can get this properly back on track. Which is worse? (I don't know the answer. (Keep aiming high here! That is, for the goal of no interim/no meeting. But I recognise that this is not within our control.) Third, a constructive suggestion!, shall we ask Oliver Letwin to help us turn this round? Alice From: Paula Vennells [mailto! GRO From: Paul	To:	Alwen Lyons GRO
I think Alice's suggestion of approaching Letwin is a good one. Paula has a pretty good relationship with him having helped out on a number of issues. The only thing to double check is his own constituency case and whether that would make him sceptical of our position. Do you know the details and whether it has been resolved? (One small point on your email below – personally I'd be cautious about mentioning the funding link to Janet, as she might think we're trying to stop the report just because the timing is inconvenient. But as you say, you'll need to plat the meeting by ear!). Many thanks, Martin From: Alice Perkins [mailto: GRO Sent: 28 June 2013 14:51 To: Paula Vennells Cc: Alwen Lyons; Martin Edwards Subject: Re: Fwd: next steps on Horizon issues - update Thanks for the updates. I am glad we have the best people on this. Three thoughts - first is probably a red herring but I'll mention it because it came into my mind. Are the lawyers also thinking about implications for the PO and the people in it, if there is any suggestion by SS that things have been aw may be OTT/worst case scenario but just need to be aware of Maxwellisation/Salmon letters angle. Second, I agree with Martin about the risks of getting heavy with SS - deeply unattractive. But I haven't heard anything yet which gives me hope we can get this properly back on track. Which is worse? (I don't know the answer. (Keep aiming high here! That is, for the goal of no interim/no meeting. But I recognise that this is not within our control.) Third, a constructive suggestion!, shall we ask Oliver Letwin to help us turn this round? Alice From: Paula Vennells [mailto: GRO Sent: Friday, June 28, 2013 02:14 PM Tot Alice Perkins	Subject:	FW: Fwd: next steps on Horizon issues - update
helped out on a number of issues. The only thing to double check is his own constituency case and whether that would make him sceptical of our position. Do you know the details and whether it has been resolved? (One small point on your email below — personally I'd be cautious about mentioning the funding link to Janet, as she might think we're trying to stop the report just because the timing is inconvenient. But as you say, you'll need to plat the meeting by ear!). Many thanks, Martin From: Alice Perkins [mailto: GRO Sent: 28 June 2013 14:51 To: Paula Vennells Cc: Alwen Lyons; Martin Edwards Subject: Re: Fwd: next steps on Horizon issues - update Thanks for the updates. I am glad we have the best people on this. Three thoughts - first is probably a red herring but I'll mention it because it came into my mind. Are the lawyers also thinking about implications for the PO and the people in it, if there is any suggestion by SS that things have been awn may be OTT/worst case scenario but just need to be aware of Maxwellisation/Salmon letters angle. Second, I agree with Martin about the risks of getting heavy with SS - deeply unattractive. But I haven't heard anything yet which gives me hope we can get this properly back on track. Which is worse? (I don't know the answer. (Keep aiming high here! That is, for the goal of no interim/no meeting. But I recognise that this is not within our control.) Third, a constructive suggestion!, shall we ask Oliver Letwin to help us turn this round? Alice From: Paula Vennells [mailtos] GRO Sent: Friday, June 28, 2013 02:14 PM To: Alice Perkins	Hi Alwen	
might think we're trying to stop the report just because the timing is inconvenient. But as you say, you'll need to plat the meeting by ear!). Many thanks, Martin From: Alice Perkins [mailto:{ GRO Sent: 28 June 2013 14:51 To: Paula Vennells Cc: Alwen Lyons; Martin Edwards Subject: Re: Fwd: next steps on Horizon issues - update Thanks for the updates. I am glad we have the best people on this. Three thoughts - first is probably a red herring but I'll mention it because it came into my mind. Are the lawyers also thinking about implications for the PO and the people in it, if there is any suggestion by SS that things have been awn may be OTT/worst case scenario but just need to be aware of Maxwellisation/Salmon letters angle. Second, I agree with Martin about the risks of getting heavy with SS - deeply unattractive. But I haven't heard anything yet which gives me hope we can get this properly back on track. Which is worse? (I don't know the answer. (Keep aiming high here! That is, for the goal of no interim/no meeting. But I recognise that this is not within our control.) Third, a constructive suggestion!, shall we ask Oliver Letwin to help us turn this round? Alice From: Paula Vennells [mailto] GRO Sent: Friday, June 28, 2013 02:14 PM To: Alice Perkins	helped out on a	a number of issues. The only thing to double check is his own constituency case and whether that
From: Alice Perkins [mailto: a GRO Sent: 28 June 2013 14:51 To: Paula Vennells Cc: Alwen Lyons; Martin Edwards Subject: Re: Fwd: next steps on Horizon issues - update Thanks for the updates. I am glad we have the best people on this. Three thoughts - first is probably a red herring but I'll mention it because it came into my mind. Are the lawyers also thinking about implications for the PO and the people in it, if there is any suggestion by SS that things have been awn may be OTT/worst case scenario but just need to be aware of Maxwellisation/Salmon letters angle. Second, I agree with Martin about the risks of getting heavy with SS - deeply unattractive. But I haven't heard anything yet which gives me hope we can get this properly back on track. Which is worse? (I don't know the answer. (Keep aiming high here! That is, for the goal of no interim/no meeting. But I recognise that this is not within our control.) Third, a constructive suggestion!, shall we ask Oliver Letwin to help us turn this round? Alice From: Paula Vennells [mailto] GRO Sent: Friday, June 28, 2013 02:14 PM To: Alice Perkins	might think we	're trying to stop the report just because the timing is inconvenient. But as you say, you'll need to play
Sent: 28 June 2013 14:51 To: Paula Vennells Cc: Alwen Lyons; Martin Edwards Subject: Re: Fwd: next steps on Horizon issues - update Thanks for the updates. I am glad we have the best people on this. Three thoughts - first is probably a red herring but I'll mention it because it came into my mind. Are the lawyers also thinking about implications for the PO and the people in it, if there is any suggestion by SS that things have been awn may be OTT/worst case scenario but just need to be aware of Maxwellisation/Salmon letters angle. Second, I agree with Martin about the risks of getting heavy with SS - deeply unattractive. But I haven't heard anything yet which gives me hope we can get this properly back on track. Which is worse? (I don't know the answer. (Keep aiming high here! That is, for the goal of no interim/no meeting. But I recognise that this is not within our control.) Third, a constructive suggestion!, shall we ask Oliver Letwin to help us turn this round? Alice From: Paula Vennells [mailtos] GRO Sent: Friday, June 28, 2013 02:14 PM To: Alice Perkins		
Three thoughts - first is probably a red herring but I'll mention it because it came into my mind. Are the lawyers also thinking about implications for the PO and the people in it, if there is any suggestion by SS that things have been awn may be OTT/worst case scenario but just need to be aware of Maxwellisation/Salmon letters angle. Second, I agree with Martin about the risks of getting heavy with SS - deeply unattractive. But I haven't heard anything yet which gives me hope we can get this properly back on track. Which is worse? (I don't know the answer. (Keep aiming high here! That is, for the goal of no interim/no meeting. But I recognise that this is not within our control.) Third, a constructive suggestion!, shall we ask Oliver Letwin to help us turn this round? Alice From: Paula Vennells [mailto GRO GRO Sent: Friday, June 28, 2013 02:14 PM To: Alice Perkins	Cc: Alwen Lyor	ns; Martin Edwards
From: Paula Vennells [mailto GRO Sent: Friday, June 28, 2013 02:14 PM To: Alice Perkins	Three thoughts thinking about may be OTT/we Second, I agree anything yet w (Keep aiming h	s - first is probably a red herring but I'll mention it because it came into my mind. Are the lawyers also implications for the PO and the people in it, if there is any suggestion by SS that things have been awry porst case scenario but just need to be aware of Maxwellisation/Salmon letters angle. The with Martin about the risks of getting heavy with SS - deeply unattractive. But I haven't heard hich gives me hope we can get this properly back on track. Which is worse? (I don't know the answer.)
Sent: Friday, June 28, 2013 02:14 PM To: Alice Perkins		active suggestion!, shall we ask Oliver Letwin to help us turn this round?
Cc: Alwen I vons < GRO : Martin Edwards < GDO	Sent: Friday, J	une 28, 2013 02:14 PM
	Cc: Alwen Lyor	ns < GRO ; Martin Edwards < GRO >
Subject: Fwd: next steps on Horizon issues - update	Subject : Fwd:	next steps on Horizon issues - update

the list - thx.)

You will see below Alwen's proposed next steps. It covers all the ground at present. We may update following today's phone call with SS in an hour; and certainly will update post Alwen's meeting with Janet on Monday.

Alwen and I are staying close (two calls already today) and I'm expecting an update later this pm. So no need to bother you today.

BW Paula

Sent from my iPhone

Begin	forwarded	message:
005111	101 Walaca	micoouge.

From: Alwen Lyons	₫ GRO	>
Date: 28 June 2013	13:12:03 BST	
To: Paula Vennells <	GRO	<u>k</u> >
Subject: next stens	on Horizon issues - undate	

Paula

- Rod Ismay and Lesley working the detail of the 2 bugs, to understand them and then get them
 into language that is clear and can be communicated
- Mark is putting in place expert external comms resource to be dedicated to this issue from Monday (this will be from an external agency which he trusts
- We have a call with SS this afternoon and we will run through the individual cases to see how far they will have got by next Tuesday's briefing with James and the meeting date on the 8th, this will inform my conversation with Janet on Monday
- I am going to spend time with Janet at 9.00 on Monday morning, she says she can give me as long as it takes. My approach will be try to get to understand the status of the review and the risk to James and us of an incomplete interim report. I will share the fact that SS are not using all the evidence they are being given and our concerns is that there approach to try and keep everyone happy is not how we would expect a forensic accountant to behave. I do think this is the right place to share the 'bugs' we have found and how we have dealt with them, which is why the report from Rod/Lesley checked by legal and Mark is important. My objective is to get Janet to a place where she also wants the meeting to be cancelled. I am also going to mention the timing of the report aligned with the funding and James' unhelpful comment to Jo about 'unfair convictions'. I will have to play this meeting a bit by ear!
- Monday 3pm we have SS coming in to give us a preview of the messages they are going to give to James on Tuesday. Susan is coming straight back from the airport to attend.
- Tuesday SS brief James 9 am COMMS will have reactive statements ready in case there is a leak
- Wednesday Paula has a call with James at 8.45, which may become a f2f and possible with Alice depending on how this is progressing
- Proactive COMMS to be produced for possible use before the MP meeting on the 8th
- Meeting on the 8th POL not invited. James, MPs, SS, Shoesmith, and JFSA present. James not
 expecting a powerpoint presentation, but SS to talk the meeting through the issues which they
 have found.
- We think SS will present the 4 cases some of which will not be finished, but we are not sure yet. They will also raise the issue of the 'bugs' which were outside the cases but which we disclosed to them.

Please let me know if	you need	anytning	eise
-----------------------	----------	----------	------

Thanks Alwen

This email and any attachments are confidential and intended for the addressee only. If you are not the named recipient, you must not use, disclose, reproduce, copy or distribute the contents of this communication. If you have received this in error, please contact the sender by reply email and then delete this email from your system. Any views or opinions expressed within this email are solely those of the sender, unless otherwise specifically stated.

POST OFFICE LIMITED is registered in England and Wales no 2154540. Registered Office: 148 OLD STREET, LONDON EC1V 9HQ.

Click here to report this email as spam.

This message has been scanned for malware by Websense. www.websense.com