
From: Melanie Corfield [GRO]
Sent: Mon 28/11/2016 4:18:45 PM (UTC)
To: Mark R Davies [GRO]
Subject: FW: Postmaster Litigation - Remote Access: extract from draft Letter to Freeths - LEGALLY PRIVILEGED - DO NOT FORWARD
Attachment: _DOC_34439974(1)_RA comments on Response to Letter of Reply_ 27 November .docx

Another thought (I know it is stating the obvious but goes to heart of why there is no plausible reason anyone FJ or POL would want to manipulate data even if possible):

Underline the simple fact we made to Panorama – that Horizon is an electronic point of sale and does not contain money.

Mel

From: Melanie Corfield
Sent: 28 November 2016 15:54
To: Mark R Davies
Subject: RE: Postmaster Litigation - Remote Access: extract from draft Letter to Freeths - LEGALLY PRIVILEGED - DO NOT FORWARD

Very slight suggested tweaks as discussed (highlighted attached). I think the context and language around the difficult parts are very good though in portraying the ridiculousness of the claims about 'remote access' so I don't think we should worry too much.

Mel

From: Mark R Davies
Sent: 28 November 2016 15:19
To: Melanie Corfield
Subject: Fwd: Postmaster Litigation - Remote Access: extract from draft Letter to Freeths - LEGALLY PRIVILEGED - DO NOT FORWARD

Would love your views on this if you have a chance?

Mark Davies
Communications and Corporate Affairs Director

[GRO]

Begin forwarded message:

From: Jane MacLeod [GRO]
Date: 28 November 2016 13:49:30 GMT
To: Paula Vennells [GRO], Alisdair Cameron [GRO]
Cc: Rodric Williams [GRO], Angela Van-Den-Bogerd [GRO]
[GRO], Thomas P Moran [GRO], Tom Wechsler [GRO], Rob Houghton [GRO], Mark R Davies [GRO]
Subject: Postmaster Litigation - Remote Access: extract from draft Letter to Freeths - LEGALLY PRIVILEGED - DO NOT FORWARD

Paula, Al

Attached is the current draft of the proposed wording regarding remote access that is to be included in a much longer, and largely procedural letter to be sent to Freeths later tomorrow. We have a further call with our QC at 5pm this evening to review this wording again with the team and Mark Davies is included on that. For reference the key statement made in the letter to Freeths in July, was as follows:

4. **“Administrator access to databases.** Database and server access and edit permission is provided, within strict controls (including logging user access), to a small, controlled number of specialist Fujitsu (not Post Office) administrators. As far as we are currently aware, privileged administrator access has not been used to alter branch transaction data. We are seeking further assurance from Fujitsu on this point.”

Freeths have picked this up and therefore the new wording is designed to address their challenges.

Please let me have any comments asap

Jane