From: Parsons, Andrew GRO

Sent: Thur 13/03/2014 8:09:22 AM (UTC)

To: Rodric Williams GRO

Cc: Belinda Crowe GRO

Subject: RE: Strictly Private & Confidential - Subject to Legal Privilege

Rodric

I think we hold fire until we see the thematic report. At the WG meeting on Friday, SS hinted that their concern is not a comms failure between branch and data centre (and therefore a failure to record transactions to the audit log in the DC) but rather it was where a third party system received the transaction (eg. bank withdraws cash from a customer account) but there is then a comms failure that stops the transaction completing at the branch end (eg. customer doesn't get handed any cash). They call this the "one-sided" transaction issue. This point is not expressly drawn out in M014 but does come out more clearly in M001 – Castleton.

This variation on the comms theme is not directly covered in the FJ Data Integrity documents so we may need to commission further work from FJ once we know for certain how SS have characterised this in their thematic report. On that basis, I think that sending more info at SS at this stage risks them asking more questions. My preference is for a targeted attack on the SS' report when we understand the specifics of their position rather than be on the back foot in trying to defend the entire Horizon comms infrastructure.

Please say if you disagree.

Kind regards Andy

Andrew Parsons

Senior Associate

for and on behalf of Bond Dickinson LLP



Direct: Mobile: Fax:



Follow Bond Dickinson:



www.bonddickinson.com

From: Rodric Williams [mailto: GRO

Sent: 12 March 2014 14:05
To: Parsons, Andrew
Cc: Belinda Crowe

Subject: FW: Strictly Private & Confidential - Subject to Legal Privilege

Andy,

What are your thoughts on how we should feed to SS FJ's response below on SS's M014 report?

Kind regards, Rodric

Rodric Williams I Litigation Lawyer

②	148 Old Street, LONDON, EC1V 9HQ		
0	GRO Postline: GRO		
0	GRO		
@	GRO		
(2)	Post Office stories		
©	@postofficenews		
	POST OFFICE		
To: Parsons,	ch 2014 11:49		
Pls see below			
Rodric Willia	ıms I Litigation Lawyer		
Ø	148 Old Street, LONDON, EC1V 9HQ		
©	GRO Postline: GRO		
0	GRO		
@	GRO		
٩	Post Office stories		
©	@postofficenews		
	POST OFFICE		
From: Kay Alastair [mailto] GRO Sent: 06 March 2014 10:00 Fo: Rodric Williams Cc: Andy Holt Subject: Strictly Private & Confidential - Subject to Legal Privilege			

Hi Rodric

The attached Horizon Integrity doc and details of findings on an email referencing Semilong 226226 branch are the specific docs referenced in Question 2

Regards ALASTAIR

From: Rodric Williams [mailto: GRO

Sent: 05 March 2014 18:04

To: Kay Alastair **Cc:** Andy Holt

Subject: RE: Strictly Private & Confidential - Subject to Legal Privilege

Alastair,

Thank you again for your email below. So that I know we are looking at the same thing, could you please send me / cross refer me to the specific documents to which you refer in your answer to Question 2, i.e.:

- the "Horizon Integrity Witness Statement and accompanying papers"; and
- the "specific case evidence already submitted" which shows that operator error during the recovery process did not contribute in any significant way to losses at the office.

By all means give me a call if it's easier to discuss.

Kind regards, Rodric

Rodric Williams I Litigation Lawyer

(A)	148 (Old Street,	LONDON,	EC1V 9HG

	GRO	Postline:	GRO
(C)	GRO	Postime.	GRU

(C) GRO



Post Office stories

@postofficenews



From: Kay Alastair [mailto GRO GRO

Sent: 05 March 2014 14:29

To: Rodric Williams **Cc:** Andy Holt

Subject: Strictly Private & Confidential - Subject to Legal Privilege

Hi Rodric

The following details the Fujitsu response to questions 1 to 4 (which have already been vetted by Michael Harvey and Pete Newsome):

1. Could communications line problems be responsible for: (a) transaction discrepancies; and/or (b) branch losses?

Communications line problems cannot be responsible for transaction discrepancies which lead to branch losses / gains. The answer to question 2 answers why this is the case. They can however be the catalyst for miss-operation by Post Office staff which can cause discrepancies (see the description of the recovery process in the answer to 2 below).

2. What safeguards exist within the Horizon system to protect against a communications line failure becoming responsible for a transaction discrepancy and/or branch loss?

The Horizon system stores a complete basket of a customer's transactions to the Data Centre in one communications interaction. This interaction will be either fully processed or entirely lost:

- There is a digital signature on the whole basket (included in the same interaction) to ensure that the data has not been corrupted en route.
- Every financial transaction has a sequence number. The system checks that there are no gaps in the sequence.

If the entire communications interaction is lost, then there are retries and if these fail the user is made aware of the problem and is logged out.

When the user logs back in the recovery process is invoked. This completes any reconciliation required between the counter and the data centre view of the transaction. Thus a communication fault can only be an indirect cause of a financial loss / gain. This would only be significant if there were a large number of recoveries due to forced Log Outs and the clerk has not settled with the customer (i.e. declared cash or product handed over / received) in line with what has been recorded on the system and notified to the clerk. This subject is also covered in the Horizon Integrity Witness Statement and accompanying papers.

It should be noted that in this specific case evidence already submitted does not indicate that possible mistakes made by the clerk as a result of recovery contribute in any significant way to losses at the office.

3. Specifically, could transaction discrepancies and/or branch losses be caused by: (a) the communications line being shared with a telephone; and/or (b) a "loop" or "noise on the line"?

As stated above, a communications line failure cannot cause transaction discrepancies. The reason for this failure, whether because the line is shared with a telephone or "noise on the line" is therefore not relevant. Clearly these factors may increase the risk of a communications line failure which increases the risk that the sub-postmaster will not be able to process transactions, not that it will causes a discrepancies or losses

4. What does Fujitsu say about the temporal connection between the resolution of problems with the communications lines and the cessation of transaction discrepancies and branch losses?

Fujitsu cannot comment on temporal connections. As stated above, the communication line problems do not, technically, cause transaction discrepancies or branch losses. The Horizon system provides an accurate representation of what was inputted into the system and what was and was not processed, due to communication line issues or otherwise.

The reader might also consider:

- Page 7 of the document M014_POL_Case_Review_Summary_KA[1].pdf does record another discrepancy on 03/04/13 (loss of £108.36) which might refute a statement of "no further allegations"
- Re-use of logins

Incorrect processing of cheque payments

As contributory factors that could start and cease on any date

Regards ALASTAIR

Alastair Kay

Project Manager
Post Office Account

Fujitsu

Lovelace Road, Bracknell, Berkshire, RG12 8SN

Tel: GRO
Email: GRO
Web: http://uk fuitsu.com









From: Rodric Williams [mailto]

Sent: 03 March 2014 15:30

To: Harvey Michael

Cc: Newsome Pete; Kay Alastair; Andy Holt

Subject: Strictly Private & Confidential - Subject to Legal Privilege

GRO

Michael,

As discussed earlier today and with apologies for the delay (IT problems!), can Fujitsu please provide us with an answer to the following questions:

- 1. Could communications line problems be responsible for: (a) transaction discrepancies; and/or (b) branch losses?
- 2. What safeguards exist within the Horizon system to protect against a communications line failure becoming responsible for a transaction discrepancy and/or branch loss?
- 3. Specifically, could transaction discrepancies and/or branch losses be caused by: (a) the communications line being shared with a telephone; and/or (b) a "loop" or "noise on the line"?
- 4. What does Fujitsu say about the temporal connection between the resolution of problems with the communications lines and the cessation of transaction discrepancies and branch losses?

We require these answers so that we can properly consider the statements made by Second Sight in para's 4.2 ("Whilst we have seen no technical evidence that links communications line problems to transactional discrepancies, we find the proximity of this solution to the resolution of the alleged problems to be quite compelling") and 5.1 ("Whilst we have not been able to establish a direct causal link between communication line problems and the losses reported, we believe that communication line problems did play some part in these losses") in the attached Draft Report prepared by Second Sight. I also attach the Post Office Investigation Report to which Second Sight's Draft Report responds.

As discussed, we need the answers as a matter of urgency, and are happy to receive them piecemeal if that is going to be quicker. Can you please let me know when we can expect to receive them?

l also l	look forward	to receiving f	rom you the	list of the	issues which	the Draft Re	port raised for \	VOU.
1 4150	OOK IOI Wala	a to receiving i	totti you the	mat of the	1334C3 WINCH	the Diant ne	portraised for y	you.

Please give me a call if we need to discuss further.

Kind regards, Rodric

Rodric Williams I Litigation Lawyer

148 Old Street, LONDON,	EC1V 9HQ
GRO Postline:	GRO
GRO	
GRO	
Post Office stories	
@postofficenews	

This email and any attachments are confidential and intended for the addressee only. If you are not the named recipient, you must not use, disclose, reproduce, copy or distribute the contents of this communication. If you have received this in error, please contact the sender by reply email and then delete this email from your system. Any views or opinions expressed within this email are solely those of the sender, unless otherwise specifically stated.

POST OFFICE LIMITED is registered in England and Wales no 2154540. Registered Office: 148 OLD STREET, LONDON EC1V 9HQ.

Unless otherwise stated, this email has been sent from Fujitsu Services Limited, from Fujitsu (FTS) Limited, or from Fujitsu Telecommunications Europe Limited, together "Fujitsu".

This email is only for the use of its intended recipient. Its contents are subject to a duty of confidence and may be privileged. Fujitsu does not guarantee that this email has not been intercepted and amended or that it is virus-free.

Fujitsu Services Limited, registered in England No 96056, registered office 22 Baker Street, London W1U 3BW.

Fujitsu (FTS) Limited, registered in England No 03808613, registered office 22 Baker Street, London W1U 3BW.

PFU Imaging Solutions Europe Limited, registered in England No 1578652, registered office Hayes Park Central, Hayes End Road, Hayes, Middlesex, UB4 8FE.

Fujitsu Telecommunications Europe Limited, registered in England No 2548187, registered office Solihull Parkway, Birmingham Business Park, Birmingham, B37 7YU.

This email and any attachments are confidential and intended for the addressee only. If you are not the named recipient, you must not use, disclose, reproduce, copy or distribute the contents of this communication. If you have received this in error, please contact the sender by reply email and then delete this email from your system. Any views or opinions expressed within this email are solely those of the sender, unless otherwise specifically stated.

POST OFFICE LIMITED is registered in England and Wales no 2154540. Registered Office: 148 OLD STREET, LONDON EC1V 9HQ.

Unless otherwise stated, this email has been sent from Fujitsu Services Limited, from Fujitsu (FTS) Limited, or from Fujitsu Telecommunications Europe Limited, together "Fujitsu".

This email is only for the use of its intended recipient. Its contents are subject to a duty of confidence and may be privileged. Fujitsu does not guarantee that this email has not been intercepted and amended or that it is virus-free.

Fujitsu Services Limited, registered in England No 96056, registered office 22 Baker Street, London W1U 3BW.

Fujitsu (FTS) Limited, registered in England No 03808613, registered office 22 Baker Street, London W1U 3BW.

PFU Imaging Solutions Europe Limited, registered in England No 1578652, registered office Hayes Park Central, Hayes End Road, Hayes, Middlesex, UB4 8FE.

Fujitsu Telecommunications Europe Limited, registered in England No 2548187, registered office Solihull Parkway, Birmingham Business Park, Birmingham, B37 7YU.

This email and any attachments are confidential and intended for the addressee only. If you are not the named recipient, you must not use, disclose, reproduce, copy or distribute the contents of this communication. If you have received this in error, please contact the sender by reply email and then delete this email from your system. Any views or opinions expressed within this email are solely those of the sender, unless otherwise specifically stated.

POST OFFICE LIMITED is registered in England and Wales no 2154540. Registered Office: 148 OLD STREET, LONDON EC1V 9HQ.

Please consider the environment! Do you need to print this email?

The information in this e-mail and any attachments is confidential and may be legally privileged and protected by law. belinda.crowe GRO only is authorised to access this e-mail and any attachments. If you are not belinda.crowe GRO please notify andrew.parsons GRO as soon as possible and delete any copies. Unauthorised use, dissemination, distribution, publication or copying of this communication or attachments is prohibited and may be unlawful.

Any files attached to this e-mail will have been checked by us with virus detection software before transmission. Bond Dickinson LLP accepts no liability for any loss or damage which may be caused by software viruses and you should carry out your own virus checks before opening any attachment.

Content of this email which does not relate to the official business of Bond Dickinson LLP, is neither given nor endorsed by it.

This email is sent for and on behalf of Bond Dickinson LLP which is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales under number OC317661. Our registered office is St Ann's Wharf, 112 Quayside, Newcastle Upon Tyne, NE1 3DX, where a list of members' names is open to inspection. We use the term partner to refer to a member of the LLP, or an employee or consultant who is of equivalent standing. Our VAT registration number is GB123393627.

Bond Dickinson LLP is authorised and regulated by the Solicitors Regulation Authority.