

## Message

**From:** Belinda Crowe [IMCEAEX-  
\_O=MMS\_OU=EXCHANGE+20ADMINISTRATIVE+20GROUP+20+28FYDIBOHF23SPDLT+29\_CN=RECIPIENTS\_CN=BELINDA+20CROV  
569F-4526-A078-F5B4958A8917220@C72A47.ingest.local]  
on behalf of Belinda Crowe <IMCEAEX-  
\_O=MMS\_OU=EXCHANGE+20ADMINISTRATIVE+20GROUP+20+28FYDIBOHF23SPDLT+29\_CN=RECIPIENTS\_CN=BELINDA+20CROV  
569F-4526-A078-F5B4958A8917220@C72A47.ingest.local> [IMCEAEX-  
\_O=MMS\_OU=EXCHANGE+20ADMINISTRATIVE+20GROUP+20+28FYDIBOHF23SPDLT+29\_CN=RECIPIENTS\_CN=BELINDA+20CROV  
569F-4526-A078-F5B4958A8917220@C72A47.ingest.local]  
**Sent:** 10/12/2014 07:04:00  
**To:** Chris Aujard [GRO]  
**CC:** Belinda Crowe [GRO]  
**Subject:** Fwd: Second Sight Questions for POL [BD-4A.FID20472253]  
**Attachments:** ATT00001.htm; ATT00002.htm; ATT00003.htm; \_DOC\_29898390(1)\_SS Questions for POL.DOCX; ATT00004.htm

Chris

You need to have a look at this. Its Second Sight's list of questions to inform Part Two. You will see that this is a pretty considerable fishing expedition which includes a lot of general requests. We will need to do a formal response setting out where we are not providing information - for example, the contract, investigation procedures. But grateful for your view on approach before I start this.

Best wishes  
Belinda

**Belinda Crowe**  
[148 Old Street, LONDON, EC1V 9HQ](#)

GRO Postline: GRO  
GRO

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** "Parsons, Andrew" [GRO]  
**Date:** 9 December 2014 17:01:47 GMT  
**To:** Belinda Crowe [GRO], "Tom Wechsler  
[GRO]", "Mark Underwood1  
[GRO]", Angela Van-  
Den-Bogerd [GRO]  
**Cc:** "Rodric Williams" [GRO],  
"Brooks, Victoria" [GRO]  
**Subject:** FW: Second Sight Questions for POL [BD-4A.FID20472253]

All

I've converted SS' questions into a Word doc (attached) as I thought this might be a little easier to use / edit and embedded some comments.

There are some sensible questions in here but there is also a massive fishing expedition for information that does not address issues raised by Applicants. I've highlighted all the questions that I think are just fishing for info in blue. There are also a number of questions that are outside the scope of the Scheme. Most telling is the fact that there are only a handful of questions about Horizon; nearly all the questions are about accounting practices.

My guess is that it is going to take quite a lot of work to answer all these questions. We may want to follow the approach we used for the Factfile ie. break the questions into sections, identify the key technical person in POL to answer those questions, prepare draft answers and then have a senior and separate sign-off team. Just a thought...

Kind regards  
Andy

**Andrew Parsons**  
Managing Associate