From:	Swil, Jonathan	GRO		
Sent:	Mon 24/03/2014 3:03:	:39 PM (UTC)		
То:	Amanda A Brown	GRO		
Cc:	Band, Christa David Oliver1	GRO GRO	Rodric Williams	GRO
Subject:	RE: Draft report			
Thanks <b>GRO</b> . Ca Kind regards	ın we go with 3.15pm pl	ease?		
Jonathan				
From: /	GRO			
Sent: 24 March 2014 To: Swil, Jonathan Cc: Band, Christa; Ro Subject: RE: Draft ro	odric Williams; David Oli	iver1		
Hello Jonathan				
	all tomorrow between C d then I'll send out an ir		- 12.30 or 15.00 - 16.00. tails.	Let me know if any of the
Kind regards				
GRO	A to General Counsel			
5 <sup>th</sup> Floor, Bunhill Wing, GRO Postline Mobex ( GRO	148 Old Street, London, E GRO ]	EC1V 9HQ	POST	
			OFFICE	
From: David Oliver1 Sent: 24 March 2014 To: Swil, Jonathan; C Cc: Band, Christa; Ro Subject: RE: Draft re	Chris Aujard; Belinda Cro odric Williams	owe; Amanda A Bro	wn	
Jonathan,				
I discussed with Chris	a call tomorrow would	be very helpful.	<b>GRO</b> – are you able to so	ort a time?
Chris suggested he w	ould not go to all of the	e mediation session	s so that would create som	e flexibility.
Thanks				
David				

David Oliver Programme Manager				
Initial Complaint and Mediation Scheme				
GRO				
Mobile GRO				
From: Swil, Jonathan GRO Sent: 24 March 2014 12:23 To: Chris Aujard; Belinda Crowe Cc: Band, Christa; Rodric Williams; David Oliver1 Subject: RE: Draft report				
Chris, Belinda				
In preparation for Christa's presentation to the Board on Wednesday, we were wondering if you would like to set up a call to discuss how you would like Christa to approach the meeting and what she can expect on the day.				
Kind regards				
Jonathan				
From: Swil, Jonathan Sent: 20 March 2014 15:17 To: 'Belinda Crowe'				
Cc: David Oliver1 GRO Band, Christa; Chris Aujard GRO				
Rodric Williams GRO Subject: RE: Draft report				
Belinda				
Please see attached a final clean copy amended as requested.				
Kind regards				
Jonathan				
From: Belinda Crowe GRO  Sent: 20 March 2014 15:04  To: Swil, Jonathan; Rodric Williams  Cc: David Oliver1; Chris Aujard; Band, Christa; Belinda Crowe  Subject: RF: Draft report				

Thanks Jonathan, having discussed with Rod, could I suggest, for clarity, one change to the end of para 5.47 as below:

We include this point not because it impacts on the legal liability to repay losses *per se* but because such a practice would narrow very considerably, or remove completely, the scope for<del>argument as to whether a SPMR was negligent, careless or in error in relation to any losses shown to exist a SPMR to argue that a particular loss was caused by something other than his own negligence, carelessness or error, and therefore not recoverable from him.</del>

Also could I ask you to remove the track change to the footer and insert 'Subject to Legal Professional Privilege' on the cover and send me a final clean copy which I can submit to the Board.

Thanks Belinda

From: Swil, Jonathan	Belinda Crowe 148 Old Street, LONDON, EC1V 9HQ
From: Swil, Jonathan	GRO Postline: GRO
Sent: 20 March 2014 14:19 To: Rodric Williams C: Belinda Crowe; David Oliver1; Chris Aujard; Band, Christa Subject: RE: Draft report  All  I attach the report and a mark-up showing the comments from Chris and Belinda, and further comments from Rodric discussed with me over the phone. We will send this version to Richard Morgan for his comment by Monday, on the assumption it is the version that will now be sent to the Board.  Kind regards  Jonathan  From: Rodric Williams	
I attach the report and a mark-up showing the comments from Chris and Belinda, and further comments from Rodric discussed with me over the phone. We will send this version to Richard Morgan for his comment by Monday, on the assumption it is the version that will now be sent to the Board.  Kind regards  Jonathan  From: Rodric Williams  GRO  Sent: 20 March 2014 12:17  To: Swil, Jonathan; Chris Aujard; Belinda Crowe Cc: David Oliver1; Band, Christa  Subject: RE: Draft report  Hi – could you hold on for another 30 mins while I finish my review (nothing material to add thus far!).  Thanks, Rodric  Rodric Williams I Litigation Lawyer  148 Old Street, LONDON, EC1V 9HQ  GRO  GRO  GRO  Postline: GRO  GRO	Sent: 20 March 2014 14:19  To: Rodric Williams  Cc: Belinda Crowe; David Oliver1; Chris Aujard; Band, Christa
discussed with me over the phone. We will send this version to Richard Morgan for his comment by Monday, on the assumption it is the version that will now be sent to the Board.  Kind regards  Jonathan  From: Rodric Williams	All
From: Rodric Williams GRO Sent: 20 March 2014 12:17 To: Swil, Jonathan; Chris Aujard; Belinda Crowe Cc: David Oliver1; Band, Christa Subject: RE: Draft report  Hi – could you hold on for another 30 mins while I finish my review (nothing material to add thus far!).  Thanks, Rodric  Rodric Williams I Litigation Lawyer  148 Old Street, LONDON, EC1V 9HQ  GRO  GRO  Postline: GRO	discussed with me over the phone. We will send this version to Richard Morgan for his comment by Monday, on the
From: Rodric Williams GRO  Sent: 20 March 2014 12:17  To: Swil, Jonathan; Chris Aujard; Belinda Crowe Cc: David Oliver1; Band, Christa  Subject: RE: Draft report  Hi – could you hold on for another 30 mins while I finish my review (nothing material to add thus far!).  Thanks, Rodric  Rodric Williams I Litigation Lawyer  148 Old Street, LONDON, EC1V 9HQ  GRO  ORD  Postline: GRO	Kind regards
To: Swil, Jonathan; Chris Aujard; Belinda Crowe Cc: David Oliver1; Band, Christa Subject: RE: Draft report  Hi – could you hold on for another 30 mins while I finish my review (nothing material to add thus far!).  Thanks, Rodric  Rodric Williams I Litigation Lawyer  148 Old Street, LONDON, EC1V 9HQ  GRO  Postline: GRO	Jonathan
GRO Postline: GRO	To: Swil, Jonathan; Chris Aujard; Belinda Crowe Cc: David Oliver1; Band, Christa Subject: RE: Draft report  Hi – could you hold on for another 30 mins while I finish my review (nothing material to add thus far!).  Thanks, Rodric
© GRO	148 Old Street, LONDON, EC1V 9HQ
	© Postline: GRO
Post Office stories	

(

@postofficenews



From: Swil, Jonathan GRO

**Sent:** 20 March 2014 12:12 **To:** Chris Aujard; Belinda Crowe

Cc: David Oliver1; Band, Christa; Rodric Williams

Subject: RE: Draft report

Thanks Chris.

Are there any other comments? Otherwise, I will make that change and send through a final version.

Kind regards

Jonathan

From: Chris Aujard GRO

Sent: 20 March 2014 11:34

To: Swil, Jonathan: Belinda Crowe

Cc: David Oliver1; Band, Christa; Rodric Williams

Subject: RE: Draft report

Thanks Jonathan — I have had a quick read, and my sense is that this is much more in line with what the Board are expecting to see. I have no substantive comments, other than to suggest that it may be better to position paragraph 4 (Exec Summary) right at the very front of the report, as a paragraph 1. Others may have additional comments, but I will leave it to them to get back to you directly. Thanks Chris

From: Swil, Jonathan GRO

Sent: 20 March 2014 11:06
To: Chris Aujard; Belinda Crowe
Cc: David Oliver1; Band, Christa
Subject: RE: Draft report
Importance: High

Chris, Belinda

I attach a final version of the report and a mark-up showing the changes we have made to address your comments below

Given the time available we have not had a chance to run the report by Richard Morgan. We will do so now and should have any comments back from him before the Board meeting.

Kind regards

Jonathan

From: Belinda Crowe GRO

Sent: 20 March 2014 09:40

To: Swil, Jonathan

Cc: Band, Christa; David Oliver1; Belinda Crowe; Chris Aujard

Subject: RE: Draft report

Jonathan

Further to our conversation, in addition we may have a few more minor points but I have a couple:

- 1. In 5.33 you refer to SS's working relationship with JFSA and Post Office. I do not think it is that SS have a good working relationship with JFSA and not the Post Office, I think it is more the slant of their report in terms of acknowledging the input from JFSA rather than Post Office. The wording of the Interim report suggests that their work was aimed at addressing issues raised through JFSA rather than the fundamental question about Horizon. Or put another way, JFSA fed in accusations and concerns about which SS then challenged Post Office. I agree the conclusion about the idiosyncratic nature of the SS approach.
- 2. In 511, could you refer to 150 applications that have been made to the Scheme. The reason for this is that the 137 represents a figure, which changes often, is the number of cases left in the Scheme and may not be a figure that the Board recognises.

Best wishes Belinda

## **Belinda Crowe**

148 Old Street, LONDON, EC1V 9HQ



From: Chris Aujard Sent: 20 March 2014 00:19

**To:** Swil, Jonathan

Cc: Band, Christa; David Oliver1; Belinda Crowe

Subject: RE: Draft report

Hi Jonathan – my detailed comments are set out in the attached. Two big points, though:

- 1) The intro section needs to be re-worked a bit to make it clear that this report focuses mainly on the legal liability point, and that you will be working with us in relation to alternative structures (and may be not even producing a report). The reason for this is that some board members think that the task of coming up with alternatives to the scheme should be an internally driven bit of work, not something that is outsourced to a law firm.
- 2) The executive summary is still pretty long, and doesn't hit the reader between the eyes with what I understand to be your main conclusion namely: Unless there is something wrong with the system, we are entitled to rely on the accounts produced by Horizon as the basis of claiming sums of money from SPMRs. Further that there can be no question of a claim for consequential losses based simply on the recovery by the Post Office of losses if the losses were properly payable and the Post Office was entitled to the money.

These 2 statements together are quite powerful, and need to be brought our clearly, and it also needs to be said that in consequence the amounts that could be successfully claimed in court are a fraction of the aggregate amounts (c£100m) that has been claimed under the scheme.

I would be most grateful if you could turn this round asap in the morning.

Cheers

Chirs

From: Swil, Jonathan GRO
Sent: 19 March 2014 21:58

**To:** Chris Aujard

Cc: Band, Christa; David Oliver1; Belinda Crowe

**Subject:** Draft report **Importance:** High

Chris

As discussed, I attach our draft report on the legal issues.

There is a factual question that we would like you to confirm in paragraph 5.22 (and a related question in 5.37), but otherwise please provide any comments you may have in the time available and we will send through a finalised version as soon as possible in the morning.

In the interests of time, I have left in the outline of "Part B" of the report in this document so that, as requested, you can see where that part is headed. I will remove it (i.e. everything from section 6 onwards) in the final version for the Board we send through tomorrow.

Kind regards

## Jonathan Swil

Managing Associate (Solicitor, New South Wales) Linklaters LLP, London



nup://www.iinkiaters.com

Any business communication, sent by or on behalf of Linklaters LLP or one of its affiliated firms or other entities (together "Linklaters"), is confidential and may be privileged or otherwise protected. If you receive it in error please inform us and then delete it from your system. You should not copy it or disclose its contents to anyone. Messages sent to and from Linklaters may be monitored to ensure compliance with internal policies and to protect our business. Emails are not secure and cannot be guaranteed to be error free. Anyone who communicates with us by email is taken to accept these risks. Linklaters LLP is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales with registered number OC326345. It is a law firm authorised and regulated by the Solicitors Regulation Authority (<a href="www.sra.org.uk">www.sra.org.uk</a>). The term partner in relation to Linklaters LLP is used to refer to a member of Linklaters LLP or an employee or consultant of Linklaters LLP or any of its affiliated firms or entities with equivalent standing and qualifications. Please refer to <a href="www.linklaters.com/regulation">www.linklaters.com/regulation</a> for important information on our regulatory position. A list of Linklaters LLP members together with a list of those non-members who are designated as partners and their professional qualifications, may be inspected at our registered office, One Silk Street, London EC2Y 8HQ and such persons are either solicitors, registered foreign lawyers or European lawyers.

\*

This email and any attachments are confidential and intended for the addressee only. If you are not the named recipient, you must not use, disclose, reproduce, copy or distribute the contents of this communication. If you have received this in error, please contact the sender by reply email and then delete this email from your system. Any views or opinions expressed within this email are solely those of the sender, unless otherwise specifically stated.

POST OFFICE LIMITED is registered in England and Wales no 2154540. Registered Office: 148 OLD STREET, LONDON EC1V 9HQ.

\*

This email and any attachments are confidential and intended for the addressee only. If you are not the named recipient, you must not use, disclose, reproduce, copy or distribute the contents of this communication. If you have received this in error, please contact the sender by reply email and then delete this email from your system. Any views or opinions expressed within this email are solely those of the sender, unless otherwise specifically stated.  POST OFFICE LIMITED is registered in England and Wales no 2154540. Registered Office: 148 OLD STREET, LONDON EC1V 9HQ.
**************************************
This email and any attachments are confidential and intended for the addressee only. If you are not the named recipient, you must not use, disclose, reproduce, copy or distribute the contents of this communication. If you have received this in error, please contact the sender by reply email and then delete this email from your system. Any views or opinions expressed within this email are solely those of the sender, unless otherwise specifically stated.  POST OFFICE LIMITED is registered in England and Wales no 2154540. Registered Office: 148 OLD STREET, LONDON EC1V 9HQ.
***************************************
**************************************
or opinions expressed within this email are solely those of the sender, unless otherwise specifically stated.  POST OFFICE LIMITED is registered in England and Wales no 2154540. Registered Office: 148 OLD STREET, LONDON EC1V 9HQ.
*****