



To

Graham Shervington/POCL/POSTOFFICE@

CC

Keith K Baines/POCL/POSTOFFICE@

Hard Copy To Hard Copy cc

From

Andrew Radka/POCL/POSTOFFICE

Date

10/08/99 13:33

Subject

Fwd: System Freezes 🖺

Graham

Can you plse get me some data on our ECCO etc experience as a matter of urgency?

Andy

To CC

Andrew Radka/POCL/POSTOFFICE@ **GRO** 

Chris French@MAILHUB, Ruth Holleran/POCL/POSTOFFICE@ **GRO** 

Hard Copy To Hard Copy cc

From

Keith K Baines/POCL/POSTOFFICE

Date

10/08/99 12:31

Subject

System Freezes

As you probably know, one of the potential High severity acceptance incidents still outstanding relates to the number of times that the system freezes and has to be re-booted before it can be

Pathway seem to be preparing a line on this (for Peter Copping) that the problem is only at the level that we should have expected from a computer-based system, and is in line with industry norms. We need to be in a position to refute this. Chris French is following up the line of external benchmarking. In parallel, it would help our case if we could show that we do not have this level of incident with our existing systems.

Are there performance stats, or help desk analyses available for ECCO+ and/or ALPS that would show up the level of freezes and re-boots for our existing systems?

To be included in our inputs to Peter Copping, stats would be needed by mid day on Thursday at the latest. Any info after that would be useful as briefing for Dave Miller in the meeting with Copping scheduled for next Monday.

Keith

10 AUG 1999-837

## Electronic memo



To

Andrew Radka/POCL/POSTOFFICE@

Keith K Baines/POCL/POSTOFFICE@

GRO GRO

Hard Copy To

Hard Copy cc From

Graham Shervington/POCL/POSTOFFICE

Date

10/08/99 16:38

Subject

Re: Fwd: System Freezes

Andy

Per your request, please find examples of `systems freeze` calls to the help desk over the last 3 months re ECCO/ALPS platforms.

Regards

Graham



systemfreeze.xls

To Graham Shervington/POCL/POSTOFFICE@ GRO

To

..

Graham Shervington/POCL/POSTOFFICE@ GRO

CC

Keith K Baines/POCL/POSTOFFICE@ GRO

Hard Copy To

Hard Copy cc

Andrew Radka/POCL/POSTOFFICE

From Date

10/08/99 13:33

Subject

Fwd: System Freezes 🖺

Graham

Can you plse get me some data on our ECCO etc experience as a matter of urgency?

Andy

To

Andrew Radka/POCL/POSTOFFICE@. GRO

CC

Chris French@MAILHUB, Ruth Holleran/POCL/POSTOFFICE@ GRO

Hard Copy To Hard Copy cc

From

Keith K Baines/POCL/POSTOFFICE

Date

10/08/99 12:31

Subject

System Freezes

Andy,

As you probably know, one of the potential High severity acceptance incidents still outstanding relates to the number of times that the system freezes and has to be re-booted before it can be used again.

Pathway seem to be preparing a line on this (for Peter Copping) that the problem is only at the level that we should have expected from a computer-based system, and is in line with industry norms. We need to be in a position to refute this. Chris French is following up the line of external benchmarking. In parallel, it would help our case if we could show that we do not have this level of incident with our existing systems.

Are there performance stats, or help desk analyses available for ECCO+ and/or ALPS that would show up the level of freezes and re-boots for our existing systems?

To be included in our inputs to Peter Copping, stats would be needed by mid day on Thursday at the latest. Any info after that would be useful as briefing for Dave Miller in the meeting with Copping scheduled for next Monday.

Keith

systemfreeze.xls

Page 1

	A	В	С
1			
2			
3	ECCO		
4			
5			
6	DATE	No of Terminals Volume of SW calls	
7	Apr-99	6305	
8	May-99	6305	
9	Jun-99	6305	305
10			
11			
12			
13	ALPS / ESNS		
14			
15	DATE	No of Terminals	Volume of SW calls
16	Apr-99	4551	348
17	May-99	4551	263
18	Jun-99	4551	303

## systemfreeze.xls

Page 2



	D	E	F
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6	System Freezes	% of calls	% occurences per terminal
7	22	5.60	0.35
8	24	6.98	0.38
9	10	3.28	0.16
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15	System Freezes	% of calls	% occurences per terminal
16	48	13.79	1.05
17	28	10.65	0.62
18	26	8.58	0.57