

То:	Nicholas Samuel/e/POSTOFFICE, Chris P Young/e/POSTOFFICE, Marc Reardon/e/POSTOFFICE,	
cc: Hard Copy To: Hard Copy cc:	Chiris P Young/e/POSTOFFICE, Marc Realdon/e/POSTOFFICE,	
Date: From:	24/05/2002 10:17 Andrew J Perkins	
Subject:	Cost challenge:- CCN965 'Change to stock unit cash on hand total time'	
Nick,		
am the owner of the costs of this Co	the above CCN. I believe that we may have some grounds for challenging so ${\sf CN}$.	me of
By implementing th	nis CCN we would, in effect, be fixing a Pathway bug (PC0076101).	
	ara 2 page 2 'The consequence of this is that the LFS Counter job will co null value for that outlet,'	rrectly
Deirdre Conniss) '	OT currently working as (Quoting from email from Pathways' LFS Service ma Since the clock changed at the beginning of April, a bug is showing which ca declaration to be sent instead of the 'incomplete' (i.e. null) ONCH'	
non-conforming. Post- However I believe to S20 release date as the business.	ious problems for the roll-out of MRP as CHD cannot identify which offices a athway have marked the bug as 'Urgent' and had intended to produce a fix ir that Pathways latest position is that that implementation of the fix could affect and is competing with changes for Network Banking and so would be a large ion would be that we should ask for reduction in the cost of the CCN on these	n July. t the risk for
	spoken to me regarding this and he said he will phone you on Monday morn a change control board on Monday?	ing as
Your view would be	e much appreciated.	
Andrew	firstly on the	growers that
FS Product Mana Post Office Ltd Change & IS	· ·	
Room B1, C/O Fuji	tsu Services (Pathway) Ltd, Forest Road, FELTHAM, Middx, TW13 7EJ.	ind recordly
STD Phone: C External Email:	GRO Fax: GRO Mobile: GRO thet	to be fact the implemental

Impact Assessment Due Date	27/05/2002
----------------------------	------------

Programme Impact Assessment	Change Control Note/Request No: 0965
Form	
Change Request Title: Change to Sto	ock Unit Cash on Hand LES total time
Business Owner: Andrew J Perkins	Tel:
Fax:	1011
Impact Assessment:	
(If no i	No impact on my area mpact tick the box, sign and date the form and return)
Accept X	Reject
Provide estimates for your area to make the ch	ange
Mandays effort to implement the	e change
(Cost (£k)
Earliest possible implementa	tion date
Dependencies	
Other Documentation: (If you know of any additional documentation that could be Tim Vause - ACCEPT Horizon System User Guide LFS Training Workbook	ne affected by the change please specify)
Additional Comments: Docu	ments/papers attached
	litional papers or documents attached to your impact)
Paul Martin - ACCEPT No comment Nicola Wood - ACCEPT	
A problem currently exists (P10000797) which in the system is causing identical cash declarat consecutive days. Fujitsu have stated that this meet the End of day and therefore this Change problem. If the change is not implemented the preventing the successful roll out of MRP to timproblem cannot be rolled out onto MRP (A copy desired)	ions to be transmitted to SAPADS on only occurs where branches have failed to would assist in the resolution of this problem may not be fixed until S20 thus lescale. Those branches experiencing the
Impact Assessor	Network Support Composite
Signature	Tony Willis
Date	27/05/02
Determine the life of the Country of	

Return completed form to the Change Control 2nd floor, Calthorpe House, 15-20 Phoenix Place, London WC1X 0DG

iaform.doc Version 3

This C(N is rejected for the following reasons:

Adjustment of Servicelevels

To:

Brenda John/e/POSTOFFICE, Lisa Brownsdon/e/POSTOFFICE,

CC:

Liz J Tuddenham/e/POSTOFFICE, Nicholas Samuel/e/POSTOFFICE, John D

Cole/e/POSTOFFICE, Bob Booth/e/POSTOFFICE, Chris P Young/e/POSTOFFICE, Marc Reardon/e/POSTOFFICE,

Hard Copy To: Hard Copy cc:

Date:

30/05/2002 09:33

From:

Andrew J Perkins

Subject:

REJECTION CCN965

Dear All,

Following comments from a number of yourselves it is my intention to reject the above CCN with the following statement to go to Fujitsu Services:-

I'm not convonced by FS Pathways request for a 2% reduction in SLAs as shown below:

Statement 3 M (details of change) requests

"1% reduction due to reduction in processing time by 2 hours" not sure where FS get the two hours from - believe most of our brenches close at 5:30pm most days, allowing for the 30 minutes before harvesting suggests a reduction of 59 mins at most, given this - hence reduction should be at least half the proposal if any as we need to question whether this reduction in processing time will really lead to such an impact on service levels - depends on processing timescales etc so believe POL should be convinced more here and provided with evidence based on current actuals.

1% reduction due to levels of outlets switching of at the end of day - without accepting whether or not this happens - it definitely isn't a result of this change and the level and impact of switch off should be no different to now - hence not an acceptable reason for seeking a service reduction.

Switch Sully rylens Also, strictly speaking the service is based on data available at the outlet - if the system is not witched on then i believe that FS could legitimately say the data was not available and hence not include in the SLA performance anyway. This point would need checking out with Horizon commercial before sharing with FS as it could open a wider debate about how this service level is actually measured now. The first point under the bullet should be sufficient to argue against this 1% part of the reduction anyway

The current Service Level is already based around data being available by 19:00 (not before), Polbelieve therefore that this service level would have been based on data sbeing available at 19:00 anyway - a further argument for not accepting any reduction at all, however, pragnmatically this change will increase the success of FP (formerly MRP) which will lead to significant financial benefit to POL ..

A second point but not strictly my remit - I believe the ongoing cost should be questioned as I think some of the other services eg APS, TPS may require a call to be made to poll data after/around 7pm on which this poll could piggy-back, - are we sure we aren't going to be charged for something that is already there - shouldn't we be asking for a reduction in current charge reflecting the saving of the earlier LFS polling call?

In conclusion, I think we should reject at this stage but need to push for a speedy way forward given the dependencies of FP, planned roll out and efficiency requirements of the business. To this end we

orthogis Fs are rewested to Provide a full explant of the Why any Change to review level is loford.

Howeve and Polity of the Control of

carried

30 refus to

the infust of outlets

Not be orlanded

will probably accept some service level reduction if need be in the future - just not as much as this on the basis stated in the ${\tt CCN}$



To:

Andrew J Perkins/e/POSTOFFICE@POSTOFFICE

cc:

Ruth Strickland/e/POSTOFFICE@POSTOFFICE, Andrew

Winn/e/POSTOFFICE@POSTOFFICE

Hard Copy To: Hard Copy cc:

Date:

13/05/2002 09:34

From:

Nicola Wood

Subject:

RE: MRP related problems

Andrew

Here is the response from Deirdre. I'm afraid it doesn't actually tell us anything specific about the resolution of the problems. I am not happy that this is being treated with sufficient urgency and will be looking to escalate the problems today. I will keep you posted on any progress.

Thanks Nicola F	orwarded by Nicola Wood/e/POSTOFFICE on 13/05/2002	09:23
To: cc:	Nicola Wood/e/POSTOFFICE@POSTOFFICE "Wright John (BRA01)" GRO	Panesar Nina
Hard Copy To: Hard Copy cc:	₫ GRO	
Date: From:	10/05/2002 16:17 Conniss Deirdre GRO	
Subject:	RE: MRP related problems	

Nicola

I apologise for not getting back to you earlier.

Please find below my response to your queries.

PC0074884

- 1) The problem with the weekly cash flow report occurs only on inactive stockunits. The workaround therefore is to ensure that all current declarations are redeclared at least once per week.
- 2) I am slightly puzzled by your request for a target date for the implementation of the workaround. Any Post master who raises this issue with the HSH, should be given the above instructions since it is documented on the Known Error Log and has been since 5th June 2001. In terms specifically of the LFS pilot, I am not quite sure of the correct process, since the outlets are being closely monitored by yourselves. Did you want me to submit an article to Counter News?
- 3) Given your progess that, following the full rollout of the MRP, the

report might become redundant, I have placed it on a list of fixes which are allocated to Futures ie future date of delivery not fixed. I have already indicated that there is no scope within current plans to fix a low priority bug before the end of the year. If you will provide me with a definite date when it is required, I will go back to Development and confirm the most suitable delivery date.

PC0075409

As we discussed when you originally indicated your wish to raise a problem, we do not normally agree to raise a problem where the change is being dealt with under normal incident management processes. However I have agreed to raise this problem in view of the impact on the MRP pilot. In terms of priority for clearance however, this problem is still competing with changes for Network Banking. I am aware of the urgency and developers have been told that a fix will be required asap.

- 1) The Fujitsu problem number is PC0076101.
- 2) Detailed description of the problem.

It is important to note that there are circumstances where it is valid for the declaration made on a Wednesday to be identical to that made on a Tuesday. This will be the case, when the office is closed on Wednesday and open on Tuesday. A proportion of the occurrences will be related to that.

It is also important to note that, in the course of the pilot, it has been established that a significant number of offices are performing their cash declarations after the cut off point for their office ie making "late" declarations.

If the system was working correctly, these "late" declarations would generate an incomplete ONCH. The evidence is that since the clocks changed at the beginning of April, that a bug is showing which causes the previous day's declaration to be sent instead of the "incomplete" ONCH.

From this detailed explanation, therefore it would appear that the problem specifically relates to the system failing to cope properly with PMs doing late declarations. Resolving this problem and delivering a fix, would NOT provide the SAPADS system with more data with which to calculate their deliveries. It would simply lead to the system correctly identifying that the PM has made an incomplete ONCH. There is a CR in the pipeline, which would allow the PMs more time to complete their transactions and that would, it is hoped, increase the number of successful declarations from the Post Office outlets.

- 3) I do not know the answer on what is required to resolve the problem. As soon as I have it, I will pass it on.
- 4) I have stressed to the developers the urgency of the problem. Until the diagnostic work is completed, I am not in a position to promise any fix date. I have asked the developers to "pencil" in BI2R, which is the most urgent release currently for Counter release.

Bug relating to timestamps on declarations. This is no longer a problem. An issue was raised late last year, whereby there was evidence that a large number of PMs were doing their cash declarations at 2am in the morning. On investigation, this turned out to be a problem which had been identified in testing Release SIO, whereby the 2am reload of the counters also rewrote the cash declaration. There were also a few postmasters in December, who actually WERE doing declarations late at night during December, presumably because of the Christmas rush. The reason why it is still appearing on the minutes of the LFSORF is due to a lapse on my part in that I have had an action to confirm what release the fix was supplied in for some time. It is old history.

I apologise once again for failing to get back to you before.

Deirdre Conniss Fujitsu Services APS, TPS and LFS Service Manager.



(internal)
(external)
(mobile)

520 S

Please note that as from 1st April 2002, my E-Mail address is deirdre.conniss GRO Please change it on any distribution lists which you may have. Thank you.

This e-mail is only for the use of its intended recipient. Its contents are confidential and may be privileged. Fujitsu Services does not guarantee that this e-mail has not been intercepted or that it is virus-free.

Original Message				
From: nicola.wood	GRO [ma	ilto:	GRO	
Sent: 09 May 2002 18:01				
To: deirdre.conniss	GRO			
Cc: andrew.j.perkins		ruth.strickland_	GRO	
Subject: MRP related pro	oblems			

Deirdre

I am concerned that the MRP problems that we have been discussing are not progressing sufficiently urgently. We need to understand the current status and

timescales in order that we can make a decision upon the rollout of MRP. Can you provide updates to the following by COP tomorrow (Fri 10th May)

PC0074884

You were going to confirm what instructions we can give to branches with dormant

stock units in order to prevent the Weekly Cash Flow Report being incorrect. Have

you progressed this any further ? Although not urgent to MRP rollout, we do need a

target date for implementation of the workaround and another target fix date for

implementation of the fix to rectify this problem.

Please provide

- 1. An update on the progression of the instruction / workaround
- 2. A target date for implementation of the workaround
- 3. A target date for implementation of the fix in order to ensure the report functions as it should.

PINICL 75409

I raised this as a problem with you last week and am still awaiting confirmation $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left$

that it has been accepted. This has huge implications to the decision to rollout

MRP further into the Network as it makes it very difficult to identify whether $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

branches are conforming to the procedures or not.

Please provide

- 1. The Fujitsu Problem Number allocated
- 2. A detailed description of the root cause of this problem, how and why it occurs
- 3. A description of what is required to resolve the problem
- 4. A target fix date for this problem (This MUST be as soon as is possible)

Thirdly, I have been told of a further 'problem' regarding a bug relating to time stamps on cash declarations. I would like some details as to the impact of this problem.

I appreciate that you may not be in a position to provide answers to all of these question by COP tomorrow, however I would like as much information as you can provide.

Many thanks Nicola GRO

This email and any attachments are confidential and intended for the addressee only. If you are not the named recipient, you must not use, disclose, reproduce, copy or distribute the contents of this communication. If you have received this in error, please contact the sender and then delete this email from your system.

Horizon Change Request Form		Change Request Number:			
Change Request Title: Change to Stock Unit Cash On Hand LFS total time.					
Authorised Person:	Originator:		Owner:		
	Chris P Young	5	Andrew J Perkins		
			,		
Tel. No.	Tel. No.	GRO	Tel. No. GRO		
Fax. No.	Fax. No.		Fax. No.		
Business Reason for Change			1 1 1 1 1 0 1011		
			ducing their daily ONCH report		
which is currently preventing the	ie Turther roll-ot	it of MRP/LFS.			
Description of Change: There is substantial evidence of	offices failing	a complete their	and of day each dealeration		
within the current 30 minute 'w			end of day cash declaration		
The consequence of this is that			av Fujitsu Services (Pathway)		
Ltd.	a nun deciarat	ion is naivested	by Fujitsu Scivices (Fathway)		
Did.					
This change requires Fujitsu Se	rvices (Pathway) Ltd. to make a	change to the time that LFS		
totals the Stock Unit Cash On H		,	-		
Schedule K01 Para 3.1.3).			(
It is intended that the service (a	nd therefore par	agraph 3.1.3 in th	ne Codified agreement) be		
changed to:-	-				
"When the Outlet completes the			:00, LFS shall total the Stock		
Unit Cash On Hand Statements	created in the C	Outlet''			
/					
It is recognised that Fujitsu Ser		•			
impact on its network of such a	-				
implemented for an initial trial			order such impacts to be fully		
assessed after which time the ch					
Consequence and/or Cost of N (A statement of what the impact will be					
The LFS and MRP Pilots currently un			further.		
Should the Change be sent to IC					
No					
(If 'Yes' state reason why).	D C II	CI			
Urgent: Yes		-	requirement currently a		
(Yes/No)		r LFS and MRP	umber and attach appropriate		
Document(s) Affected:		tions of the documen			
Contract Schedules					
Contract Schedules					
Contract Controlled Documents Codified Agreement Schedule K01- LFS Service Definition					
	V1.4				
Contract Reference Documents					
Shared Documents					
Date Change Request Raised:					
Proposed Implementation Date or Release: ICL Pathway to advise of earliest possible					
implementation date.					

					00
	jos hoppy with	con	765	NO: 965 INISTRATION USI R0248a 3236 ATE: 02 5/02	E ONLY.
	MERGENCY IMPLEMENTA BMISSION DATE: 20 th May				
AP	PROVALS REQUIRED:				
PA	THWAY APPROVAL	DATE	POCL APP	ROVAL	DATE
		*			

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF IMPACT ASSESSMENTS:

This CCN is raised in response to CR R0248 which requests that a change is made to the time when the outlet 'LFS Cash on Hand Statement' job is run.

This will change the current business rule in section 3.1.3 of Schedule K01 and set the run time of the 'LFS Cash on Hand Statement' job to after 19:00 for all outlets. Changing the start time will result in an additional network connection for the majority of outlets and this will result in additional network charges as shown below.

It should be noted that changing the start time of this job will reduce the elapsed time available for the transfer of the cash statement details. In addition it is believed that a number of outlets are switching their counter systems off after end of day or at weekends/bank holidays. This takes place before the proposed new time for the LFS job and accordingly the transactions from these outlets will not be available. An estimate of the number of outlets is shown below.

It is noted that POL has advised that they do not wish to change the associated service level of 98% delivery by 23:59. However the reduction in the time to process and transfer the LFS transactions and the likelihood of a number of outlets switching their systems off before the LFS job runs means that Fujitsu Services' ability to meet this service level is reduced.

It is proposed that there should be reduction in the associated service level to 96% to allow for these conditions.

Fujitsu Services accept this change subject to the adjustment to the associated service level being accepted as described below.

OVERALL IMPACT ASSESSMENT: HIGH / MEDIUM / LOW

ICL PATHWAY CHANGE			CCN NO: 965		
CONTROL NOTE (CCN) -					
SHEET 1					
CCN TITLE:		0	CHANGE ADMINISTRATION USE ONLY.		
Change to Stock Unit Cash	on Hand	CF	R NO: R0248a		
LFS total time		CF	NO: 3236		
IMPLEMENTATION DATE		EXPIRY DATE:			
OR RELEASE: BI2R		10 th June 2002			
CCN RAISED BY: Dave Cooke		DA	DATE: 17/05/02		
EMERGENCY IMPLEMENTATION DATE:					
SUBMISSION DATE: 20 th Mag	y 2002				
APPROVALS REQUIRED:					
PATHWAY APPROVAL DATE		PO	CL APPROVAL	DATE	
EVECUTIVE CLIMATARY OF	D (T) + (T) + (1)	TEGG	A CENTRO		

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF IMPACT ASSESSMENTS:

This CCN is raised in response to CR R0248 which requests that a change is made to the time when the outlet 'LFS Cash on Hand Statement' job is run.

This will change the current business rule in section 3.1.3 of Schedule K01 and set the run time of the 'LFS Cash on Hand Statement' job to after 19:00 for all outlets. Changing the start time will result in an additional network connection for the majority of outlets and this will result in additional network charges as shown below.

It should be noted that changing the start time of this job will reduce the elapsed time available for the transfer of the cash statement details. In addition it is believed that a number of outlets are switching their counter systems off after end of day or at weekends/bank holidays. This takes place before the proposed new time for the LFS job and accordingly the transactions from these outlets will not be available. An estimate of the number of outlets is shown below.

It is noted that POL has advised that they do not wish to change the associated service level of 98% delivery by 23:59. However the reduction in the time to process and transfer the LFS transactions and the likelihood of a number of outlets switching their systems off before the LFS job runs means that Fujitsu Services' ability to meet this service level is reduced.

It is proposed that there should be reduction in the associated service level to 96% to allow for these conditions.

Fujitsu Services accept this change subject to the adjustment to the associated service level being accepted as described below.

OVERALL IMPACT ASSESSMENT: HIGH / MEDIUM / LOW

CCN No: 965

REASON FOR CHANGE:

The current LFS business rule states that the 'LFS Cash on Hand Statement' job will run at the Outlets scheduled End of Day plus 30 minutes (or 19:00 whichever is earlier). A recent review by POL has identified that many outlets whose EOD is before 18:30 are not completing this activity before the LFS job runs. It is also the case that the End-of-Day time set in POL reference data for some outlets is not correct.

The consequence of this is that the LFS counter job which runs just after the outlet's (EOD + 30 minutes) will correctly generate a default null value for that outlet, and this will be transmitted to POL's SAPADS system.

The POL MRP programme requires these daily cash declarations, and it is believed that changing the LFS job time will allow outlets the time to complete this activity before the outlet LFS job runs.

DETAILS OF CHANGE:

1) Change to LFS job time

The Counter Scheduler, which controls the run times of outlet jobs, will be changed to start the LFS Cash declaration job after 19:00.

The current operation will remain unchanged - i.e. This job will take the outlet's Cash Declaration if present, or generate a default null value, and transfer this to SAPADS via TMS, the Horizon data centre's and the POL FTMS gateway. No transaction will be sent to SAPADS for an outlet that switches their counter system(s) off.

2) Additional Network connection

An additional replication / network connection will take place in the majority of outlets on the majority of days due to the creation of the LFS Cash Statement transaction after 19:00. This will create approx. 76,000 additional calls per week (330,000 calls per month). The charge for each call is 2.5p up until 30/11/2002 after which the charge reduces to 0.4p.

3) Adjustment of Service Level

- a) At present the average time for running the LFS job is approx. 17:00 (based on 7days/week closing times as defined in ref. data). The change to 19:00 will reduce the time available for transferring and processing the LFS transactions by approx. 2 hours. It is proposed that a 1% reduction of the service level should be allowed to compensate for this.
- b) Analysis by Fujitsu Services has shown that there may be at least 100 outlets switching their systems off at end of day / weekends / bank holidays. This will prevent a job timed to start at 19:00 from running which will affect the Service Level achievement figures. It is proposed that a further 1% reduction of the service level should be allowed to compensate for this.

This will result in an overall revised Service Level of 96%.

PROPOSED REVISION TO WORDING OF CODIFIED AGREEMENT:

1) The business rule in Schedule K01 para. 3.1.3 will be changed as follows:-

Current Text

"When the Outlet completes the end of day process, that is close of business plus thirty (30) minutes or 19:00 whichever is earlier, LFS shall total the Stock Unit Cash On Hand Statements created in the Outlet."

Proposed new Text

"The contractor shall complete the LFS end of day process [after] 19:00 and LFS shall total the Stock Unit Cash On Hand Statements created in the Outlet"

NB: It is proposed that the word 'at' in POL's proposed new text is changed to 'after' (as shown above). This will allow outlets to complete their Cash Declarations up to 19:00 and for the LFS job to run after 19:00.

2) The associated service level in Schedule K08 para. 3.2 is changed as follows:-

Daily Cash on Hand details

MAT changed to 96% to SAPADS by 23:59 day A. TR changed to 93% to SAPADS by 23:59 day A.

ACCEPTANCE / IMPLEMENTATION TIMETABLE:

This change can be implemented approx. 4 to 6 weeks after approval of the CCN.

PA/TEM/007, Version 3.0, Sheet 1

ICL PATHWAY CHANGE		CCN NO: 965		
CONTROL NOTE (CCN)				
SHEET 2				
CCN TITLE:				
Change to Stock Unit Cash on H	Hand LES to	tal time		
COSTS TO POCL: (Including non-recur				
Task	Man-days	£		
One Time Charges	With days	de/		
Change Counter Scheduler and unit test	1	a £ 841 = £ 841:00		
Live System Test	4	a £ 841 = £ 3,364:00		
Product Integration, build and release	2	a £ 841 = £ 1,682:00		
	7	0.5.007.00		
Sub-total	7	£ 5,887:00 £ 1,177:40		
20% Contingency				
Ongoing Charges		TOTAL: £ 7,064:40		
Additional Network connection charges from 01/07/02 to 31/11/02		£ 41,234:00		
Additional Network connection		£ 15,840:00 per 12 months.		
charges for 01/12/02 to 31/11/03 and				
for each subsequent 12 month period.		*		
IMD A CT CLIMM A D.V. Ivon	agata on Dalatad	Acres con outs and Compiesa		
IMPACT SUMMARY <i>Imp</i> Payment Plan/Terms of Payment:	acis on Related A	Agreements and Services		
One time charges - 100% on completion.				
Ongoing Network charges - The charges		annually in advance, and will be		
adjusted pro rata from the actual implem				
These ongoing charges are subject to RP	I.			
Documentation:				
Codified Agreement Schedules Affect	ted:			
K01 : LFS Service Definition				
New Contract Controlled & Reference		: None		
Documents Quality Reviewed: YES/	NO			
Reference Title		Version & Date		
Reason for Document Update: N/A				
A CC - 4 - 1 C - 1 - 1 - 1 / D - C	1D-	A NI		
Affected Contract Controlled/Referenced Documents: None				
Reference Title		Version & Date		
Other Affected Documents (for inform	nation only): N	Vone		
Reference Title		Version & Date		
D.F. 11				
Deliverables: N/A				
Personnel: N/A				

Existing Timetable: N/A

Implementation Plans: N/A

Security: N/A

Risk: N/A

System Support: N/A

System Management: N/A

Standards: N/A

Accommodation/Location: N/A

Charging Mechanism:

Where cost estimates are included, these are quoted as a mid-point estimate of the work to be carried out by ICL Pathway. The eventual charge will be made on an 'actuals' Time and Materials basis using the same man-rates. A 20% contingency has been included in the CCN to allow for reasonable variation around the mid-point estimate. The estimate is set so that the ICL eventual charge is as likely to be lower as higher than the estimate excluding contingency. The contingency is included to provide allowable headroom for invoicing purposes against a purchase order. Above this level, ICL Pathway would have to apply for additional approval via a new CCN.

Service Delivery Criteria: N/A

Service Levels: N/A

POCL Responsibilities: N/A

Contractual Issues: N/A

Other: N/A

PA/TEM/007, Version 3.0, Sheet 2

Impact Assessment Due Date	27/05/2002
----------------------------	------------

Programme Impact Assessment Form	Change Control Note/Request No: 0965
Change Request Title: Change to Sto	ck Unit Cash on Hand LFS total time
Business Owner: Andrew J Perkins	Tel:
Fax:	
Impact Assessment:	
П	No impact on my area f no impact tick the box, sign and date the form and return)
(19)	The impact tien the cost, sign and auto the join and resultly
Accept	Reject
Provide estimates for your area to make the change	
Mandays effort to implement t	
	Cost (£k)
Earliest possible implement	tation date
Dependencies	
Other Documentation: (If you know of any additional documentation that could be a	ffected by the change please specify)
	Oocuments/papers attached
Impact Assessor Signature	
Date	

iaform.doc Version 3 September 97



To:

Andrew J Perkins/e/POSTOFFICE@POSTOFFICE

CC:

Hard Copy To: Hard Copy cc:

Date:

23/05/2002 15:20

From:

Brenda John

Subject:

Re: CCN 965 comments

see comments below

Brenda

--- Forwarded by Brenda John/e/POSTOFFICE on 23/05/2002 15:23 ------

To:

Brenda John/e/POSTOFFICE@POSTOFFICE

CC:

Hard Copy To: Hard Copy cc:

Date:

21/05/2002 17:09

From:

Jon Bishop

Subject:

45

Re: CCN 965 for impact

Brenda

The following comments were made by Keith Barney, Head Of Inventory Management.

CCN NO 965

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF IMPACT ASSESSMENTS:

Paragraph 2 - Surely it is only replacing a scheduled connection 30 minutes after closing.

Paragraph 3 - Why will changing the start time of this job reduce the elapsed time available for transfer of the cash statement details. I didn't think they were supposed to switch off. If not then this is a conformance issue.

DETAILS OF CHANGE

3 -- Surely there is no reason why this job cannot be completed within the 5 hours available - No reduction in standard is warranted.

PROPOSED REVISION TO WORDING OF CODIFIED AGREEMENT

Proposed New Text -- Provided there is an end time for completion of the transfer.

Please do not hesitate to contact me if you require further clarification.

Regards

Jon Bishop ADS System Owner Team

Post Office Ltd - CHD 3rd Floor Royal London House 22 - 25 Finsbury Square London EC2A 1NL

	STD Phone:	GRO
External Email:	GRO	



27/05/2002

Programme Impact Assessment Form	Change Control Note/Request No: 0965
Change Request Title: Change to Stock Unit Cash on Hand LFS total time	
Business Owner: Andrew J Perkins	Tel:
Fax:	
Impact Assessment:	_
	No impact on my area
(I)	f no impact tick the box, sign and date the form and return)
Accept	Reject X
Provide estimates for your area to make the change	
Mandays effort to implement t	he change
	Cost (£k)
Earliest possible implementation date	
Dependencies	
Other Documentation: (If you know of any additional documentation that could be affected by the change please specify)	
Additional Comments:	Occuments/papers attached
	if additional papers or documents attached to your impact)
This is rejected because there is no reference to schedule K 08 para 3.2, row 4.(this needs to be included)	
Impact Assessor	Horizon Contract Author
Signature	
Date	22.05.02.

iaform.doc Version 3 September 97



To: cc: Hard Copy To: Hard Copy cc:	Andrew J Perkins/e/POSTOFFICE@POSTOFFICE	
Date: From:	23/05/2002 15:23 Brenda John	
Subject:	Re: CCN 965 comments	
see comments below		
Brenda F	orwarded by Brenda John/e/POSTOFFICE on 23/05/2002 15:26	
To: cc: Hard Copy To: Hard Copy cc:	Brenda John/e/POSTOFFICE@POSTOFFICE Sue Lowther/e/POSTOFFICE@POSTOFFICE	
Date: From:	22/05/2002 17:25 Gary Dooley	
Subject:	Re: CCN 965 for impact	
No information security impact, as I assume that capacity and load-balancing schedules have already been undertaken to ensure that this increase in traffic can be managed successfully.		
Regards		
Gary		
Information Securit Services Group Business Systems	y Specialist	
Postline: GRO GRO , Mobex External Email: Brenda John	STD Phone: GRO Fax: GRO , Moble: GRO GRO GRO	

Brenda John

21/05/2002 11:50

To: Dick Brazear/e/POSTOFFICE@POSTOFFICE, Paul OGorman/e/POSTOFFICE@POSTOFFICE, John Bruce/e/POSTOFFICE@POSTOFFICE, Mike G Harris/e/POSTOFFICE@POSTOFFICE, Keith K Baines/e/POSTOFFICE@POSTOFFICE, Marc Reardon/e/POSTOFFICE@POSTOFFICE, BSM Change Control@POSTOFFI Nicholas Samuel/e/POSTOFFICE@POSTOFFICE, Adrian Donnelly/e/POSTOFFICE@POSTOFFICE, Jeanette Brown/e/POSTOFFICE@POSTOFFICE, Phil Norton/e/POSTOFFICE@POST(Graeme Seedall/e/POSTOFFICE@POSTOFFICE, Lisa Brownsdon/e/POSTOFFICE@POSTOFFICE, OPTIP Team@POSTOFFICE, G Dooley/e/POSTOFFICE@POSTOFFICE, Liam Jacques/e/POSTOFFICE@POSTOFFICE, Mark R Knight/e/POSTOFFICE@POSTOFFICE, John D Cole/e/POSTOFFICE@POSTOFFICE, Mark Burley/e/POSTOFFICE@POSTO Rashpal Dhesi/e/POSTOFFICE@POSTOFFICE, Clive Read/e/POSTOFFICE@POSTOFFICE, Barry R Evans/e/POSTOFFICE@POSTOFFICE, Jon Bishop/e/POSTOFFICE@POST(Tony Hills/e/POSTOFFICE@POSTOFFICE, Jim H Smith/e/POSTOFFICE@POSTOFFICE, Lynn Sawyers/e/POSTOFFICE@POSTOFFICE, Lynsey Wright/e/POSTOFFICE@POSTOFFICE, Andy J Jones/e/POSTOFFICE@POSTOFFICE, Karen Rogers/e/POSTOFFICE@POSTOFFICE, Tom Fitzgerald/e/POSTOFFICE@POSTOFFICE, Beverley Dunn/e/POSTOFFICE@POSTOFFICE, Mike J Hannon/e/POSTOFFICE@POSTOFFICE, Graham C Ward/e/POSTOFFICE@POSTOFFICE, John D Cole/e/POSTOFFICE@POSTOFFICE, Tracey Mulholland/e/POSTOFFICE@POSTOFFICE

CC

Subject: CCN 965 for impact

Please see CCN 965: Change to Stock Unit Cash on Hand LFS total time your forms by 27/05/2002.

Andrew Perkins because of the financial position of Post Office Ltd, you should note that any discretionary spend incurred as a result of this Change Control Note will need to be agreed and signed off at Director level and that budgetary provision will need to be agreed and certified with Finance before the Change Control Note can be approved and signed off. As part of the processing activity, the Change & IS Change Control will be required to confirm with Finance that the change proposal is covered by a current business case and to confirm with the Business / Technical Design Authority that it is consistent with Post Office Ltd Strategy.

Can you Also forward me the budgetary details cost centre code etc

Regards Brenda

ATTACHED: 965.DOC