From:	Mark Underwood	GR	0	7					
Sent:	Wed 17/10/2018 5:18:			-1					
То:	Angela Van-Den-Boge MacLeod[Patrick Bourke[GRO	rd GRO GRO	GRO ; Rodric William{]; Andrew P		GRO GRO				
Cc:	Mark R Davies[GRO							
Subject:	Re: Postmaster Litigat	ion - UKGI Briefir	ng						
Yes, thank you. Slightly odd for a senior lawyer to ask the % question.									
Mark									
Mark Underwood									
Head of Portfolio: Legal, Risk & Governance									
GRO									

GRO

From: Angela Van-Den-Bogerd

Sent: Wednesday, October 17, 2018 6:15 pm

To: Jane MacLeod; Mark Underwood:; Rodric Williams; Patrick Bourke; Andrew Parsons

Cc: Mark R Davies

Subject: RE: Postmaster Litigation - UKGI Briefing

Hi Jane

Thanks for the update. I'm glad it went well.

See you tomorrow.

Angela



Angela Van Den Bogerd

Business Improvement Director

1st Floor,Ty Brwydran, Atlantic Close,Llansamlet Swansea SA7 9FJ

GRO

Confidential Information:

This email message is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorised review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient please contact me by reply email and destroy all copies of the original message.

From: Jane MacLeod

Sent: 17 October 2018 18:	09			
To: Mark Underwood	GRO	; Rodric Williams		GRO
Patrick Bourke	GRO	Andrew Parsons	GRO	>; Angela Van-
Den-Bogerd	GRO			
Cc: Mark R Davies	GRO			
Subject: Postmaster Litiga	tion - UKGI Briefing			

All

The briefing went well this afternoon, and there were no particularly difficult questions. Paula introduced, emphasising that while there were difficult individual cases with which we have some sympathy, overall we have a diligent responsible network.

They were interested (at a high level) at what we were doing around contingency planning, and our assessment of what a worst case could look like. They stressed multiple times that BEIS/UKGI have not provisioned for any financial support that POL might require. They noted my guesstimate that a minimum settlement would be at least £30m and I explained that this was a guess based on Therium being likely to want a ROI of 3 x fees paid.

There was a brief discussion on the possible outcomes, they noted the advice that we were unlikely to win on all heads, and have asked for a copy of the Merits Opinions to be provided to the BEIS & UKGI lawyers. I met the BEIS lawyer -Gareth Evans, Deputy Director, BEIS Legal Advisors, (none of us warmed to him and he pretty much blanked me in the meeting) who asked if the QCs had put a % on the likelihood of winning. I said given the complexity and interplay of issues and the number of possible outcomes, I thought it impossible and meaningless to do this.

They will want to keep a close eye on us, and that will in all likelihood mean frequent briefings. We will need to consider how we (me) does this.

There was then a conversation on Comms handling - mainly for the Minister; and we then segued into the Banking framework for 15 mins, finishing with an update on the cabinet paper which Paula and Al think they will be able to get to Tom C shortly (Patrick?)

Mark D - anything else to add?

So many thanks everyone for the effort that went into producing the briefing pack last week (which I could see that both the Minster and Perm Sec had in the packs, and had read at least in part).

Now - back to the litigation!

See you tomorrow,

Jane

Jane MacLeod
Group Director Legal, Risk & Governance
Post Office
GRO