From: Melanie Corfield[/O=MMS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=MELANIE CORFI1DE623C2-38B2-49FB-AE9A-12E4B20D626720C] Mon 20/04/2015 10:16:10 PM (UTC) Sent: To: Mark Davies **GRO** Cc: Patrick Bourkel Subject: RE: Another... C Really not sure what he means Mark, but the fact is that any 'information' he has that he thinks is important he should put forward (via his professional advisor/ Second Sight if he wants) if it has not already been considered. Why has he not done so? Will speak to you tomorrow about this case but recent dealings we have had have demonstrated that he, regrettably, believes all kind of untruths. Honestly - it's been guite irrational as I am sure Patrick will agree. Having said that, we are clearly seeing some desperation now because these people were given expectations by JFSA. I would not rule out allegations based on "leaked memos" or similar (there will be probably be some that can be wrongly interpreted as there are in any company). But they can and will be rebutted because the allegations about Horizon are wrong, pure and simple. And also - why is he saying this now on Twitter, apart from to be nasty and threatening? If he thinks he has something that affects his conviction, why hasn't he taken it through proper channels? I think he is a CCRC case so fine, he should challenge his conviction through legal process as we have always said. We have offered to meet with him and his MP but, even that, he said we were offering to do so before the Second Sight report was published (WRONG!) etc etc. Mel From: Mark Davies Sent: 20 April 2015 21:47 To: Melanie Corfield Cc: Patrick Bourke; Mark Davies **Subject:** Re: Another... I agree - and sorry. I just can't resist sometimes. But this is a wake up call. What could be mean? Sent from my iPhone On 20 Apr 2015, at 21:13, Melanie Corfield I really agree with you Patrick. I wonder if, in future, we might do the necessary Tweeting via the PO account so you are not subjected to this Mark. Mel From: Patrick Bourke Sent: 20 April 2015 19:46

Agree important not to respond to it but really sorry you have to put up with it. It's deeply unpleasant and designed to be hurtful. But, while he may get to write this stuff, he doesn't get to decide how you feel about it - have a glass of wine and enjoy your family!

From: Melanie Corfield
Sent: 20/04/2015 19:53

Subject: RE: Another...

To: Mark Davies; Patrick Bourke

To: Melanie Corfield; Mark Davies

Subject: RE: Another...

Mark - obviously do not respond to this nasty tweet or indeed any other abuse! I think you might know that his brother works for Howe and Co (finance director) and is very involved - we have had correspondence with the brother, the latest of which went from Bond Dickinson.

I do have a concern that Second Sight might be misusing material (odd questioning tonight from Andrew Verity - all fine, it's more distortion). I am thinking through where it might be coming from so we are prepared. If Scott Darlington or anyone else has evidence or information that they think is important they have had rather a long time to declare it.

Mel

From: Mark Davies GRO

Sent: 20 April 2015 18:29

To: Melanie Corfield; Patrick Bourke

Subject: Another...

scott darlington	GRO	-1	
20/04/2015 18:55		i	
		ly to sign on because no	obody is going to employ
somebody with your recer	nt successes		

Download the official Twitter app here

Sent from my iPhone

This email and any attachments are confidential and intended for the addressee only. If you are not the named recipient, you must not use, disclose, reproduce, copy or distribute the contents of this communication. If you have received this in error, please contact the sender by reply email and then delete this email from your system. Any views or opinions expressed within this email are solely those of the sender, unless otherwise specifically stated.

POST OFFICE LIMITED is registered in England and Wales no 2154540. Registered Office: Finsbury Dials, 20 Finsbury Street, London EC2Y 9AQ.
