## Message

From:	Ben Foat	GRO			
on behalf of	Ben Foat	GRO			
Sent:	11/05/2019 13:59:5	7			
To:	Watts, Alan	GRO ; Massey, K	irsten GRO	; Henderson, To	m
	GRO	; andrew.parsons	GRO	; Tom Beezer	GRO
	GRO; Amy Prime	GRO	; Rodric Williams	GRO	; Mark
	Underwood1	GRO	; Patrick Bourke	GRO	
CC:	Alisdair Cameron	GRO	; Diane Blanchard	GRO	;
	Veronica Branton	GRO	; Owen Woodley	GRO	į.
	Debbie.K Smith	GRO	; Mohinder Kang	GRO	; Rob Houghton
	GRO	; Mark R Dav	vies GRO		
Subject:	Group Litigation - re	cusal application - legally p	orivileged and highly confide	ntial	
Attachments:	RecusalAppeal 201	90510.pdf			

All

As you will know by now, we received the Court of Appeal's judgment in relation to Post Office's recusal application earlier today.

Post Office has not been successful in appealing against the trial judge's decision not to recuse himself. The judgment is critical of Post Office's application and permission to appeal the trial judge's recusal decision. At this stage there is little media activity but that may change over the weekend.

There are a number of steps that need to be worked through over this weekend:

- 1. A summary of the Court of Appeal's findings and our position (which can be adapted for various purposes) [HSF/WBD];
- 2. Further consideration of our approach to the substantive appeal given the number of statements which Lord Justice Coulson has made about the approach taken by Post Office in respect of the Common Issues trial. The Board subcommittee has approved the appeal approach last week but we need to advise the Board subcommittee whether this judgment should cause us to reconsider our approach or otherwise has any impact to the substantive appeal (not just the basis of the appeal ie the points of law; but the approach and tone) and provide (if any) recommendations to change; [HSF/WBD]
- 3. Implications to the current timetable including the existing Horizon trial which will resume on 4 June (ie this would continue) and also the hearing on Thursday 16 May (given the claimant's application for a delay); [HSF/WBD]
- 4. Costs consequences of this appeal; [WBD]
- 5. Comms statement (including internal comms position); [Patrick Bourke]
- 6. Updating the draft board paper which has already been prepared but will now need to be updated on the position, setting out the implications, and clarifying timetable and next steps (ie as above). [Mark Underwood/Ben Foat to liaise with HSF/WBD]

Could the above points be progressed over the weekend. I will reach out to you individually over the weekend but may I suggest a call at 8.30 on Monday to discuss actions. Meeting invite and dial in details will be circulated.

WBD – could you ensure that the Counsel team provide the necessary support here given 1 and 2 and the short timeframe to file the relevant documents for the substantive appeal.

Apologies for the urgency but given the materiality of the issue we need to support the business. Thank you for your support in advance.

If you want to discuss or have any questions, please contact me either on email here or my personal mobile is GRO .

Kind regards Ben



**Ben Foat** 

Legal Director Ground Floor 20 Finsbury Street LONDON EC2Y 9AQ

**Highly Commended for** 'Excellence In-house' at Mobile the Law Society Excellence number: Awards 2018

GRO

This email and any attachments are confidential and intended for the addressee only. If you are not the named recipient, you must not use, disclose, reproduce, copy or distribute the contents of this communication. If you have received this in error, please contact the sender by reply email and then delete this email from your system. Any views or opinions expressed within this email are solely those of the sender, unless otherwise specifically stated.

POST OFFICE LIMITED is registered in England and Wales no 2154540. Registered Office: Finsbury Dials, 20 Finsbury Street, London, EC2Y 9AQ.