

25.3.2014.

Range of options:

Legal analysis only. No need regard to other issues.

They think that they are not susceptible to JR on commercial decisions.

They argue that they are not JRable.

Some are branch closures. Saw the opt. one is terminating SPNs.

- Post office takes the approach that it is not subject to JR.

Entitlement letter is branch network to stay open - from Sec 2 of state. Contractual authority under the public procurement rules.

Decisions is intrinsically linked to those decisions

- eg branch closure regime - may be JRable. Gets very close to issues - the entitlement letter.

But not of purely commercial decisions - not JRable. & public procurement regime.

There are issues, potentially, for the future
is potential JR and legitimate expectations.

If you do this by reference to principles other than the law you need to define how to do it.

One step in the journey. Not the final destination.

Precedent is v important. Scale of network - considerable. Consideration is further action.

Exceptional cases i mid.

Academic exercise.

Concern re commissioning a full report on Horizon.
Don't tell them they need a report.

5.30 - letter for the Post Office.

Settling precedents.

- ① Second light spot criticisms - reply to counter them.
- ② Restore confidence.
- ③ Come reference point to corporate complaints.

5.36 LS com of context.