

1.4.2014

CJB, JS.

Carolyn Lee

Lesley

Rod Willions

David Oliver

Belinda Cane

Work to cut down the scheme alternatives doc to a
proj and cons note in much shorter form.

Note on the format and scope of a Review of Horizon!

Angele suggested that we could get external
validation of the fact file done.

Rijitru = relevant on Rijitru = neg respects.

Rijitru should do a description. do a written
description and get it from Rijitru.

Exercise to do with Rijitru.

Do an outline & explanation of the system.

Do it as it is working properly and normally.

Rijitru & Imperial College - the neg be some work
along those lines already.

We don't want this to be huge.

There is a question over what and how we would use the
output.

Doing a Report for centre would be a different exercise.

All depends on the use to which you want to put the report.

Primary questions re whether it is going to be taken forward. As for the bid - cognitive on the way & what it would be about the scheme. Interrelated questions - what might be the scope of the work.

Saying more for expert W - outline scope?

Driven by the criminal prosecutors' view of what they wanted to establish. It's not be realistic - involve them into 2 parts. Core coding of the transaction. Looked at data integrity from end to end.

To scope what they need for the big piece ICL need to kick the tyres and work out what the system looks like generally.

Assurance project - scoping exercise. Board not sure of the precise requirements - just want something which is good enough. Helpful to pick up on any basic points but second sight raise. Good to have it done quickly and to be cost effective.

The board is not speaking with one voice as to what assurance looks like.

reticently threshold for auditors £600,000.
Quite low.

likely to build to deliver.

WNG need also do it. Lesley to talk to them.
G+Y are the auditors.

make sure that that is a privileged piece of work.
Take it out of the scope of FOL as well.

Pick out the bits from the bases identified by Second Sight.

- what are their key themes? Air gap, processing
half a transaction, link to other systems,
third party interaction.

Fujitsu keeps help. Need to be clear with Fujitsu what
we are asking WNG to do.

need to be clear as to the scope & the work.

Post office to meet WNG or Deloitte.

What does the work look like? Too early to say.

medichia - timetable pushed out. Resolvic review pushed
out.

Can we persuade the Chairman & the working Group
we need to replace Second Sight.

we said we would consider alternatives.

Ray risks that the political situation is
such that we can't abandon the scheme in its
entirety. Fair enough.

Should we have warned E. Frank via
SPML? If there is a moral pattern &
tradition did we know about?

July 2013 Report. Project Review Report.

Case Report on individual cases. Spot Reviews.

Generally think that second fight are incapable of
dealing with this.

We have an articulated complaint for a SPAR.

We have had a go at repaying.

Does second fight need to

modifying expectations letter

Still fluid.

Current thinking of board: streamline scheme, bring
in house, get rid of working group
bring in house -

24th Feb meeting

3 - timing - but we will do a pilot job.

Reg produced no reports. Where is Ray Hooper now?

Second report missed deadlines.